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## DESCRIPTION OF ILLUSTRATIVE COINS (SILVER).

From the British Museum Educational Series (Catalogue).

1. III. B. 28. Of Thebes. Obv. Boeotian shield. Rev. $\Theta \mathrm{E}$ $(=\Theta \epsilon i \beta i \dot{\omega} \nu)$. Infant Hêrakles strangling serpents. Fourth century b.c. Wt. 187 grs. Cf. N. 1. 39-47.
2. II. C. 16. Of Akragas. Obv. Two eagles with hare. In field horned head of a young river-god. [Rev. AKPA「ANTINON.] End of fifth century b.c. Wt. 267.8 grs. Cf. N. 3. $80,81$.
3. II. B. 24. Of Aegina ( $\mathrm{X} \in \lambda$ ब́vq). Obv. AI. Land tortoise (symbol of Astartê, Phoenician goddess of commerce). Rev. Incuse square divided into five compartments, with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{I}$, and dolphin in the three whole squares. Earlier than B.c. 459 . Wt. 189 grs. Cf. N. 6. 66.
4. I. C. 25. Of Katana. [Obv, Man-headed bull (river-god); above, water-fowl ; beneath, river-fish.] Rev. KATANAION (IOUKATANA). Winged Nikê with wreath in right hand moving quickly to the left. Before 480 в.c. Wt. 266.8 grs.
5. II. C. 28. [Obv. ME $5 \Sigma A N I O N$. Hare ; beneath it dolphin.] Rev. 'A $\pi \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \eta$; winged Nikê about to crown charioteer. In exergue two dolphins. Fifth century b.c. Type adopted by Anaxilâos. Wt. $266 \cdot 9$ grs. Cf. O. 5. 3.

## INTRODUCTION.

## THE PENTATHLON.

My explanation of N. 7. 72, 73 differs materially from that of Prof. Gardner and Dr Pinder (Der Fünfkampf der Hellenen, Berlin, 1867), and my view of the nature of the pentathlon is to a great extent new.

I had anticipated Prof. Gardner's view of the ephedros in my note on 0.8 .68 . I also agree with Prof. Gardner and Dr Pinder that victory in only three contests was necessary to win the prize (in spite of Aristides, Panathen. p. 341).

But I hold that the competitors all contended at once in leaping, running, and discus-hurling, and also in spearthrowing, save that all competitors who were beaten by one competitor (or more) in the first three contests may have at once retired as beaten, in some cases at any rate. Similarly all wrestled, or at least those who had not been beaten by any one competitor in three out of the first four contests.

The qualification for ultimate victory was to defeat each and all other competitors in some (not necessarily the same) three contests out of the five. Thus I do not, like Dr Pinder, force the meaning of $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$, but only distribute its application.

It follows from my hypothesis that the first in wrestling, if there was any, would generally win. But cases of equality as to the mere order of placing according to the rough and ready method propounded might arise ; for instance, if $A$ beat all in two contests and $B$ and $C$ each beat all in one contest out of the first four, then if $B$ or $C$ win the wrestling we have two winners in two contests apiece. In such cases it is reasonable to suppose that the judges would decide which of the competitors had shown himself the best all-round man.

But still a winner could not, as Prof. Gardner urges, in objection to Dr Pinder's scheme, "be very inferior in the first three contests."

It must be assumed that a minimum of proficiency was required in all the contests. If a competitor were absolutely first in the first three contests or in three out of the first four contests he would only have to satisfy the judges as to his proficiency in the last two contests or in wrestling alone, while the other candidates would still compete, at any rate those who had'a chance, in case the winner of three contests were after all disqualified.

Dr Pinder narrows the circle of competitors after the second contest, not after the first (Fünfkampf, pp. 77, 79) to four, three, two successively in the last three contests.

This view seems at once untenable, because
$A$ who was successively $5,4,3,2,1$ might win from $B$ who was $1,1,1,1,2$, a case which is at variance with common sense and (as Prof. Gardner shows) with all the slight testimony given by antiques and by writers.

In Flavius Philostratos' Argonautic pentathlon (de Gymu. §3) my hypothesis, according to Prof. Gardner's view of the heroes' merit, gives the subjoined simple scheme.

|  | $\alpha \lambda \mu \alpha$ | $\alpha \kappa \omega \nu$ | $\delta \iota \sigma \kappa o s$ | $\delta \rho \delta \mu$ os | $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lynkeus | 4 or 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 or 4 |  |
| Telamôn | 5 or 4 | 2 | 1 | 4 or 5 |  |
| Kalaís | 1 or 2 | 5 or 4 | 4 or 5 | 2 or 1 |  |
| Zêtês | 2 or 1 | 4 or 5 | 5 or 4 | 1 or 2 |  |
| Pêleus | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 wins |

If the larger of the alternative numbers be chosen or excluded, all five competitors remain in for the wrestling.

I need not make any assumption as to the numbers in the case of Tisamenos. Pausanias says of him, 3.11. 6, oṽт




 only competitors, and Hierônymos was first in spear-throwing and discus-hurling, Pausanias seems to say too much and too little. Bacchylides, 9. 32 to 36, says that Automedes won with discus and spear and in the wrestling, and in 1. 7, 8 Melas is distinguished for running and wrestling.

Theoretically any number of competitors might stay in for the wrestling, as for example if the order of $n-1$ competitors $A_{1} A_{2} \& c$. ( $n$ being greater than 2 ) in the first four contests were $A_{1}, 1,1, n-1, n-1 ; A_{2}, 2,2, n-2, n-2 ; \ldots$; $A_{n_{-1}}, n-1, n-1,1,1$.

But practically there would almost always be some competitors already beaten after the 3rd and 4th contests; and often, no doubt, the ultimate victor would be absolutely first in three out of the first four contests.

My hypothesis avoids the following difficulties :
Firstly. If two competitors were each first twice, or if 3,4 , or 5 competitors were each first once, we have on these assumptions no means of determining the final decision.

Secondly. Prof. Gardner's difficulty (p. 221) "that at first sight" Xenophon's language, Hellenica, 7. 4, "would seem to imply that the running contests of the pentathlon took place all at once."

Thirdly. The apparently necessary assumption that seven competitors is an extreme case, and that one can only fit in the three heats required in this case "provided, of course, that they went on at the same time as other contests." There happens to be a little indirect evidence on this point. O. 8 . 38 tells us that from eleven to sixteen boys competed in
wrestling at once. Of course when the term ${ }^{\prime} \phi \epsilon \delta \rho o s$ was used metaphorically the case which naturally presented itself was the ${ }^{\prime} \phi \phi \delta \rho \rho$ s at the most critical stage of a contest, namely when only three were left in, and proves nothing as to the original number of competitors. We must not forget that the pentathlon "was in high favour among the Greeks" (p. 210), so that a theory as to the nature of the pentathlon ought to admit of as many competing in the boys' pentathlon (N. 7) as are implicitly recorded to have competed at once in the boys' wrestling. Prof. Gardner's heats would have taken as long in the case of five competitors as in his "extreme case" of seven. Then as to the pentathlon going on during other contests Pausanias tells us, 6. 24. 1, that the pentathlon took place towards the middle of the day after the running, and before wrestling and the pankration. This passage then supports the "at first sight" interpretation of Xenophon, Hellenica, 7. 4, as also does N. 7. 72-74, to which I shall return. The most conclusive ${ }^{1}$ passage on this point is Pausanias 5.9.3, which tells us that, in the 77 th Olympiad the horse-racing and pentathlon were deferred to a second day, because they, especially the pentathlon, extended the pankration to night. This passage, together with ib. 6. 24. 1, proves that the pentathlon did not go on simultaneously with other contests. These citations offer an argument against the system of heats for the pentathlon, as they tend to show that contests which took place in the same place came together. First the scene was in the dromos, then in the hippodromos, then the pentathlon in leaping- and hurling-ground, dromos, and wrestling-place, whence there was no further move till night.

Fourthly comes the difficulty presented by the great advantage which an ephedros would have over competitors who had wrestled. Prof. Gardner justly says (p. 214) "We cannot

[^0]help wondering what sort of a throw with a spear an athlete could make after a bout or two of wrestling."

This remark suggests a fifth difficulty, namely, that when one or more couples in the first heat had wrestled, the performance in the subsequent heats would have been miserable.

Sixthly, it seems strange that a popular contest should be carried on during other contests, and that its interest should be divided.

Seventhly, time being an important consideration, a system of heats presupposes expenditure of time, while the pentathloi pass more than once from leaping-place to $\delta \rho o ́ \mu o s$, and thence to wrestling-ground.

My supposition that it was not necessary for the victor to be absolutely first except in wrestling is not only supported by the above-mentioned case of Pêleus, which was most probably in accordance with the usage of the historic pentathlon, but also by Xenophon, Hellenica, 4. 7. 5, äт $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\delta}$ v $\nu \epsilon \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \tau 0 \hat{u}$
 $\pi \circ \lambda \iota s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ̂ ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon i ̂ \chi o s ~ \eta ้ \gamma a \gamma \epsilon \nu ~ o ́ ~$



 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ тòv фı入óvoфov, к.т.入. Even in Plutarch, Symp. Probl. 9. 2, where alpha $\tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \tau \rho \iota \sigma i v ~ \mathscr{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\pi \epsilon ́ v \tau \alpha \theta \lambda o \iota \pi \epsilon \rho i \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ каì vıкầ, definite classes of letters are vanquished at each contest ${ }^{1}$, so that this passage can scarcely be quoted to support heats on Prof. Gardner's plan. Prof. Gardner cites the Scholiast ad
 $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ av่̉oîs $\gamma^{\prime} \tau \omega ิ \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ v i ́ \kappa \eta \nu ~(E d . ~ F r o m m e l, ~ p . ~ 112) . ~ B u t ~$ Aristides, Panathenaicus, p. 341, says '̇ $\mu o i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ o v ̉ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon ́ v \tau a \theta \lambda o \iota ~$


Plutarch and Aristides allude either to the most famous
${ }^{1}$ In using this passage to support his own theory Dr Pinder seems to press the simile too much.
pentathloi of old, who would naturally occur first to the minds of late writers, if they thought of old times at all, or perhaps to the exhibitions of professional athletes of their own times; while Plato refers to ordinary cases in the fifth and fourth centuries B.c. The authority of the Scholiast ad Aristidem is perhaps somewhat lowered by the fact that he does not repudiate the idea that the pankration might have taken the place generally assigned to the leaping (see, however, Plin. N. H. 34, c. 19). But it is not my desire to damage his authority, for the three passages on the $\tau \rho \iota a \gamma \mu o ́ s ~ d o ~ n o t ~ " p r o v e ~$ beyond all cavil that for victory in the pentathlon it was necessary to win three events" (p. 217), but simply that the winning of three events was a familiar case.

The appointment of only three Hellânodikae for the pentathlon is to my mind almost an argument against pairs being set to work simultaneously; for one official is required at the starting line to see that the leap or throw is fair, and another to determine the lengths, unless the one walks backwards and forwards, so wasting a great deal of time.

Then again an extra judge might well be wanted to see that in the first two contests, or one of them, competitors did not purposely take it easy, which would give them a considerable unfair advantage in the last three or four contests.

The placing of several competitors in three or four contests, which I have assumed, takes more judging than merely placing the first two. But after all the appointment of three Hellânodikae is fully accounted for $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ the pentathlon taking a much longer time than the other contests.

Even if my interpretation of N. 7. 72-74 were wrong, and the poet were alluding to a false throw often preventing a man wrestling, it is mere assumption to talk of disqualification and stoppage of the pentathlon. For the competitor who won the discus-hurling would often if he had lost the spear-throwing be debarred from wrestling by his principal rival beating him (or being first) in leaping, spear-throwing, and running. Now

Prof. Gardner, though he speaks of "five very various contests" (p. 217), calls discus-hurling and spear-throwing "two rery kindred contests" (p. 217), suggesting that "perhaps there was no absolutely fixed order" for these two. But Flavius Philostratus tells us that the discus-hurling was $\beta a \rho$ v́s and spearthrowing кои̂фos. It seems to me that a frequent distribution would be that suggested by the actual case of Tisamenos and Hierônymos-Tisamenos superior in leaping and running, and Hierônymos in discus-hurling, so that the spear-throwing was a crucial point in this contest. Had Tisamenos won it, the words $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu \pi a \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \alpha^{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$ would at any rate have applied to Hierônymos. I take it that the representatives of коифóт $\eta$ s and $\beta \alpha \alpha^{\rho} o s$ were not seldom more evenly matched in this contest than in the four others. Hence perhaps its prominence on vases and Pindar's allusions N. 7. 72-74.

Prof. Waldstein writes :
...... Especially after the Persian war, when the public Palaestrae became fully organised, they were more consciously meant to provide for the physical education of Greek youths, the ultimate aim of which education, as is well known, was to produce good citizens who could guard the integrity of the state as strong and agile soldiers. No doubt in the subsequent stages we find that this ultimate aim is lost sight of, and that what was to be a means to a higher end becomes the end in itself, thus leading to an overstraining of the importance of the athletic games and to professional athletes. Within this palaestric organisation we can distinguish various subdivisions corresponding to the various requirements of a good physical education. When once the games had become systematised, the first broad distinction is between the heavy and light games; the ßapùs and koùфos to which you draw attention, those that tended to develop more the strength, and those that developed more the agility. Boxing and the Pankration, for instance, are heavy games ; while running, jumping, and throwing the spear, are light. Every quality that tended to make a perfect suldier had its own game. A good runner, a good jumper, an agile wrestler, a boxer with powerful arms for thrusting and skill in parrying, all tended to make a good soldier.

The more the games were thus specialised and corresponded to separate requirements in man, the more did need become felt to have a game which encouraged the all-round man. Such a game is most specifically Greek. Now the aim and essence of the Pentathlon was thus to supplement the other, specialised, games, and to encourage and produce all-round strength and agility. The more we recognise this fundamental truth concerning the Pentathlon, the more shall we have to bear in mind that the aim and intention would always be to make the victory depend as far as possible upon the best man in all the five constituent contests or at least in as many as possible.

The fact that Pentathlon prize-vases rery often hare only representations of three of the games, can be no guide as to the nature of the game itself, for the class of figures represented in these paintings is ouly influenced by artistic requirements, i.e. by the fact that certain games can more readily be represented in single figures than others. It is an easy thing for a vase-painter or sculptor to represent a youth as a jumper, a discus-thrower or a spear-thrower, for he need merely place in his hand halteres, a diskos, or a spear. It is more difficult to represent anong several other's a wrestler or a runner. This can only be done with clearness by representing a pair of youths wrestling, or a number running, which is often represented on Panathenaic rases destined to be prizes for one of these single games, but these are not subjects that can be easily composed into a number of figures placed together on a limited space, and each expressing part of the game illustrated by the whole group. Thus it is that of the fire games of the Peutathlon, three especially serve as pictorial types, i.e. ${ }^{\prime} \kappa \omega \nu, \tilde{\pi} \lambda \mu a$, סíqкos. But often vases evidently pentathlic have merely one scene. I have met with Pentathlon vases with merely two games of the five, diskos and spear, or spear and halteres. In some cases even the connexion between the mythological scenes on the one side and the scenes from real life on the other, to which I alluded above, has served the vase paiuter in giving a full illustration of the Pentathlon, the mythological scenes illustrating those games which the athletic scenes do not represent. So a kylix in Paris is evidently pentathlic from the mythological scenes of struggle represented on the border of the outside, while in the medallion on the inside there is but one of the contests figured, namely a youth with halteres.

## THE METRICAL STRUCTURE OF PINDAR'S STANZAS.

There is far more symmetry in the internal structure of the Nemean and Isthmian strophes and epodes than is to be found in the Olympian and Pythian odes. The difference is remotely analogous to a verse of Tate and Brady's version of the Psalms and the corresponding portion of the Prayerbook version set as an anthem.

As a general rule the Greek lyric stanza (strophe or epode) is composed of one or two periods. In the case of unsymmetrical stanzas-i.e. of stanzas which only offer a show of symmetry when they are arbitrarily divided into several small sections-it is not often possible to find indications of organic division or to determine whether the stanza be mono-periodic or not. But that the constituent periods seldom exceed two in number is a fair inference from the frequency of symmetrical stanzas made up of two symmetrical periods or constituting one symmetrical period.

This natural kind of symmetry, illustrated by many of the odes in this volume, is also to be discovered in many of the stanzas of Bacchylides and the Tragedians. For instance, Eur. Orest. $982-1004$ is one symmetrical period, which however J. H. H. Schmidt chops up into three kommata containing seven periods. The conclusions here propounded have been confirmed by a careful examination of all extant Greek lyrics.

## RECURRENT WORDS.

Is the first 200 lines of the First Book of the Tliad there are many tautometric recurrences of words and phrases and kindred forms as well as of proper names, while whole lines are repeated, e.g. 65,$93 ; 68,101$.

For instance, $\lambda \alpha_{0}$ - ends vv. 10,$16 ; \beta \hat{\eta}$ begins $v v .34,44$;

 $\chi^{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota v .80$ are in the fourth foot, $\delta \iota i \phi \iota \lambda-v v .74,86$ in the third and fourth feet, $\theta$ єorport- vv. 85, 87, 109 in the same part of the verse, $\theta v \mu o^{\prime}-v v, 136,173$ in the third foot; $\gamma$ ' $p a s$ vv. $120,133,138,163,185$ in the fourth foot ; '̇тápoьซぃ ends
 occurs in the same parts of $v v .77$ and 150 , ảmò 入otxòv á $\mu \hat{v} v a \iota$ ends v. 67, $\lambda o \iota \gamma o ̀ v ~ a ́ \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ v . ~ 97 . ~ T o ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ Ө o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ ' A \chi a l-~ v . ~ 79 ~ c o r-~$ responds in position $\pi \epsilon i \theta \eta \tau \alpha \iota$ 'AХat- v. 150 ; to $\phi \rho$ '́vєs v. 103, $\phi \rho \epsilon \sigma i ́ v .107$, ф $\rho \in ́ v a s ~ v . ~ 115$; to $\mu a ́ v \tau \iota s ~ a ̉ \mu v ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ v . ~ 92, ~ \mu a v \tau \epsilon v ́ є \sigma \theta a \iota ~$ v. 106. Verse 94 echoes $v .11$. There are several other recurrences.

There are also many such recurrences in the Hesiodic poems, in the Homeric Hymns, and in the longer fragments of Tyrtaeos and Solon. In Simonides, Frag. 5 [12], there are tautometric recurrences, $\gamma \in \nu_{\epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ v v . ~ 15, ~ 1, ~}^{\text {tcoì vv. 21, } 14 .}$ In Bakchylides there are several responsions like those found in Pindar. In the VIth ode there is a suggestion of a refrain.


 the second and third strophes end with $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon i \tau a \iota v v .30,45$. In Aeschylos a verse or some verses at the end of a strophe are sometimes repeated in the antistrophe.

It is consequently obvious that a student of Greek epic and elegiac verse and of lyrics which were constructed with refrains might unconsciously or half-consciously absorb a habit of responsions such as are found in Pindar and Bakchylides. The recurrent words and sounds might be felt as an element of the poetic structure, as of course a refrain is.

These observations and considerations give strong support to my contention that Pindaric recurrences are often devoid of significance, especially when only one word is repeated.

## 15381

## NEMEA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS, OF SYRACUSE (PROCLAIMED AS OF AETNA), WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

Chromis, son of Agêsidâmos, was probably a member of the Hyllean tribe of Dorians, one of the Hêracleids who went from Rhodes to Gela (see P. 1. 62). He was made by Hieron governor, є́mítpotos (according to Schol. on N. 9), of Aetna, founded b.c. 476, of which Deinomenes was titular sovereign (P. 1. 58-60). Gelon had given Chromios one of his own and Hieron's sisters in marriage, and had made him, with the other brother-in-law, Aristonoös, a guardian of his son. It appears however that Polyzêlos, brother of Gelon and Hieron, married Gelon's widow, Dâmareta (Dêmaretê), thus getting control over Gelon's son and heir, so that in supporting Hieron, Chromios was not necessarily betraying his trust. He may well have despaired of his ward being able to cope with his paternal uncles, the youngest of whom, Thrasybulos, was directly responsible for his ruin. It is at any rate clear that Chromios was Hieron's chief supporter. He is said to have been his charioteer. The reason for regarding him as a Gelôan immigrant to Syracuse is because Pindar tells us (N. 9. 40) that in his prime he fought with distinction in the battle on the Helôros, in which Hippokrates, Tyrant of Gela, defeated the Syracusans. As this battle is mentioned in the ode (N. 9) sung at Aetna, it is probable that the Syracusans of rank who moved thither were new citizens of Syracuse
F. II.
introduced with Gelon. In the now city they were out of danger of surprise by the republican faction, and were reinforced by numbers of Megarians and Peloponnesians which could scarcely have been introduced into the old city, while they were near enough to give effective aid to their friends in Syracuse. As Akragas and Himera had recovered their freedom just before the date of this ode, Pindar may have had in view, when mentiouing foresight ( $v .28$ ), this provision for Deinomenes and precaution against the impending revolution. Chromios took active part in Hieron's martial enterprises, and as ambassador to Anaxilas of Rhêgion, between B.c. 478 and 476 (see P. 2 Introd.), prevented the suljugation of the Lokri Epizephyrii. He won this Nemean victory, Ol. 76. 4, B.c. 473 , in the summer. This ode was recited before the banquet given in celebration of the victory at Chromios' house in Ortygia. The chorus performed it at the $\pi \rho o o^{\theta} \theta \rho o v$, i.e. before the principal door of the palace. Cf. Bacchyl. 6. 14 т $\rho \circ \delta_{o ́ o ́ \mu o t s ~ a ̉ o \iota ~}^{\text {ais. Mezger well com- }}$ pares Chromios with Thêron, and says that his praises came straight from the poet's immost heart. It is therefore not surprising that the scene of the myth should lie in Thebes.

The warm glow shed by the festive enjoyment of honorable repose and the splendor of wealth, lavish hospitality, and victory in war and sacred games are enhanced by a vivid presentment of the frequent conflict in which the noble, whether men of action, counsel, or minstrelsy, must engage, and in which they must exhibit uprightness and straightforwardness. Pindar agrees with Bacchyli-


Note the recurrence of $\mu \in \gamma \alpha$-, aivov $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \pi \delta \delta \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \in \gamma a \nu$ in $\pi \pi \omega \nu v .6$,
 $\kappa \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \in \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu v .70$. This tautometric recurrence (vv. 70, 34) may perhaps imply that Piudar's celebration of Hêrakles-and by consequence his ode in honor of Chromios-is ка $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \iota \nu \alpha ́$.

The idea of upright straightforward conduct is led up to by $\delta \rho \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \sigma \in \omega$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \ell \chi 0 \nu \tau a \quad v .25$ (contrasted with $\sigma \dot{v} v \pi \lambda a \gamma l \psi \kappa 6 \rho \omega \sigma \tau \varepsilon l \chi o \nu \tau a \quad v v .64,65$ ),
 appears $v v, 16,17,24,25,33(\pi 0 \lambda v \pi \dot{o} \nu \omega \nu), 36,43 \mathrm{ff} ., 62-68,70$. If the exact metrical correspondence of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \alpha-(v, 68)$ with $\alpha \nu \tau i 0 \nu(v .25)$ be intentional it was intended to make the idea of conflict prominent, not to suggest any special parallelism, which would be very much forced.

Possibly the step, gesture, and disposition of the dance at this point suited the general idea of 'confronting.' This is a slight extension of my suggestion ( 0. and P. 1893 p. xix) that certain groups of articulate sound might be especially appropriate to certain parts of the metre and melody. Moreover the leading ideas of an ode would naturally recur where there was an orchestral and musical climax, which is the likeliest explanation of the position of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha$ - or $T \iota \mu \delta \delta \eta \mu$ - towards the end of the fourth verse of the strophes in N. 2. The dance at the beginning of the strophes and antistrophes in N. 1 may have been suitable to the idea of arrested

 more than from $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \nu v .59$ to $\theta \epsilon \mu \mu \nu v .5$ or from $\tau o i ̀ v . ~ 41$ to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu v .30$ or from $\dot{\epsilon} \nu v .67$ to $\hat{\epsilon} \nu v .31$ or from $\mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha}-v .31$ to $\mu \in ́ \gamma a \nu v .6$ or from -ov́ $\sigma a_{l}$
 $\sigma \in ́ \theta \in \nu v$.

After having passed this last tautometric recurrence over so far, Mezger and Bury cannot now assert that it is significant without acknowledging the inability of so-called signals to arrest the attention even of those who are on the alert to observe signals.

The compounds which seem to have been formed for this ode are


The mode is Dorian. The metre is dactylo-epitritic, the phrases used being $A$, consisting of three dactylic feet, namely $A=-\sim-1$ $\cdots-\simeq|-\simeq|, A^{\prime}=\cdots-\cdots|-\sim| \backsim \|$ or $\cdots-\cdots|-\cdots|-\wedge \|, B$, one epitrite, namely $B=-\sim\left|-=\|, B^{\prime}=--\right|$ w $\|$ or $--\mid-\wedge \|$, $C$, consisting of two epitrites, namely $C=レ-|--|-\cup|-=\|$,


## Strophe.

(1) $-\vdots C^{\prime}$
(2) $-\vdots B . A^{\prime}$
(3) $A^{\prime}$
(4) $-\vdots B \cdot A^{\prime}$
(5) $C^{\prime}$
(6) $1^{*} \cdot A \cdot C^{\prime}$
(7) B. $A^{\prime} . C \cdot C^{\prime}$

* A dactyl.
$\uparrow$ First long syllable resolved, $\pm$ Incisio.

Epode.
(1) $\quad C+\cdot A^{\prime} \neq \cdot B^{\prime}$
(2) $1^{*} \cdot A \cdot C^{\prime}$
(3) $B .1^{*} \cdot A . C^{\prime}$
(4) $-\vdots B^{\prime} .-\sim \sim|\backsim| \neq . C^{\prime}$

The first five verses of the strophe are obviously symmetrical and are equal in number of feet to the last two verses, which present the numbers 4.4. 2.3.4.4. The verses of the epode present the numbers 9.8.10.8 or 7.10.10.8. Sone metricians achieve symmetry by boldly scanning $v .4-\vdots B^{\prime} .-\cdots|\backsim| \cdot B^{\prime} . \varpi . B^{\prime}$, and taking $\tau v .2,3$ as $8 . \mid 2.8$. There is caesura after the long syllable of the 3rd and 4th feet of the second verse of the epode, and after the first syllable of $C^{\prime \prime}$ in the third verse.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-7. The ode goes forth from Ortygia in honor of Zens of Aetna, on the occasion of Chromins' Nemean victory.
8,9. The exordium makes mention of gods, as the victor's merits are derived from them.
10-12. The highest object of ambition, celebration by an Epinician ode, has its occasion in victory.
13-18. Praise of Sicily's sacred relation to Persephone, fertility, rich cities, glory in war, success in games.
18. This topic is dismissed.

19-25. For it is Chromios' hospitality which brings the poet to his halls, and to him praise is due to confound varions cavillers.
25-30. Men ought to develope natural gifts of strength and foresight, with both of which Chromios is endowed.
31, 32. One ought not to hoard, but to use wealth for one's own enjoyment and the benefit of friends,
32,33 . since man's time is short aud beset with trouble.
33,34 . Introductory mention of Hêrakles' ${ }^{3}$ maramount merits.
35-61. Myth of the infant Hêrakles and the two snakes.
61 -end. Teiresias' prophecy of Hêrakles' toilsome exploits and their final reward of peaceful bliss.

The application of the latter part of the myth to Chromios is sufficiently obvious to account for there being no formal conclusion to the ode.

Chromios' ancestor, Hêrakles, afforded a conspicuous illustration of such a theme, and perhaps to some extent his marriage with Hêbê presented a parallel to Chromios' splendid alliance. There is no need to suppose that by reciting the infantine courage of Hêrakles the poet meant to imply that the valor of Chromios had beent precocious. On the other hand, the precocity of Hêrakles is a signal instance, as Aristarchos said, of the innate courage and vigor ascribed to his descendant.

The introduction of the prophecy of Teiresias is a natural device for bringing in the career and reward of Hêrakles, so that it is needless to suppose, with Müller (Hist. of Gik. Lit. I. p. 224, trans.), that the mention of the seer and also of foresight, $v .27$, implies that Pindar had predicted Chromios' victory. V. 27 rather ascribes to Chromios the faculty which Thukydides notes as characteristic of

 ros єikaotris. Chromios very likely inspired the successful policy of Gelon and Hieron. Dissen refines too much, especially in regarding the infant exploit of Hêrakles as meant for a parallel to Chromios' early valor at the battle of Helôros, at the date of which he was probably about forty years old (see on N. 9. 42). There is a side allusion to Himera and Chromios' land-fights generally in v.62, and to the sea-fight off Cumae in the next verse. In an ode sung in Ortygia there would scarcely be any reference to the fight of Helôros, in which Syracusans were defeated.

There is nowhere a more prominent division of the ode than at v. 19. Yet this is inside Mezger's ó $\mu \not \subset \lambda$ ós, $v v .13-30$ ( 20 is a misprint). Moreover, $v v, 31,32$ take up $v v .19-24$, after the partly gnomic, partly landatory digression. The main divisions then of the ode are $v v .1-7,8-12,13-18,19-33,33-72$.

There is a possible bearing of the myth which has not, I believe, been noticed, namely, that Amphitryon was a type of hospitality, so that Chromios' palace might suggest the scene of the myth in this connerion.

The ode is one of the finest examples of Pindar's art. Especially admirable is the vigorous word-painting of the myth.

# $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime}$. 

1＂А $\mu \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \quad \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ ' А \lambda \phi \epsilon o \hat{v}$,
$2 \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a ̂ \nu$ ミvракоббầ $\theta a ́ \lambda o s ~ ’ O \rho \tau v \gamma i ́ a, ~$




1 ＂A $A$ тvєица＇Hallowed spot where Alpheus took breath＇；ie． after his pursuit of Arethusa，under the sea．This myth veils the trans－ ference by Dorian colonists of the cult of Artemis Potamia from Elis to Ortygia，cf．P．2．7．According to analogy ${ }^{a} \mu \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu \alpha$ ought to mean ＇recovered breath，＇but for a form in $-\mu \alpha$ ，meaning the place of the action，cf．$\beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ．The word $\alpha \mu \pi \nu$ ．， suggesting $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \dot{\partial} \chi \theta \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \nu \circ \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu$（ $O$ ． 8．7），at once strikes the key－note of the general sentiment of the ode． Mr Bury＇s treatment of this simple word is both semasiologically and mythologically wild．

2 Odं入os As Ortygia is supposed to be the original settlement，it is rather $\Sigma v \rho a к о \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu \rho / \zeta \%$（cf．P．4．15） than $\theta$ ad os（cf．O．2．45）in the sense of scion．Either it means＇the leader，＇whence the other quarters of the city branched，or it and the other quarters spring from a com－ mon $\pi v \theta \mu \eta \nu, i . e$ from Sicily or the Dorian stock．Paley renders $\theta d \lambda^{\prime}$ os ＇pride，＇of．I．6．24．＇Opruyla．We have no warrant for identifying a personified Ortygia with the foun－ tain－nymph Arethusa．In fact a mere apostrophe drifts into a faint vague personification in $v .4$ ，cf．
 трофоi．In relation to Alpheus and Artemis，Ortygia is not a person， but a place．As Pindar expressly separates Ortygia from Artemis， Sophokles＇＂A ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon \mu \nu$＇Oртvүlav（Trash． 213）cannot affect the interpreta－
timon of this passage．The devoted lover of Arethusa or Artemis would hardly rest＂in the arms of the ＇lovely＇nymph Ortygia＂（Bury）， unless $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu c o \nu$ is to be rendered ＇arm－chair＇and personified．

3 Sépulov Cf．Il．24． 615 èv

 canto，Plat．de fluv．et mont．5． 3 Kavкd́бıò ơpos éка入є îto т̀̀ тро́тєрор Bор́́ou коітך．＇Apтє́f．Cf．P．2．6， 7 ＇Oprvزlav．．．тотаulas er sos＇Apré－ $\mu i \delta o s$ ，and note on 7.
$4 \Delta a^{\prime}{ }^{2}$ vo ka．The two fa－ vorite islands of Artemis are her nurslings metaphorically，and hence are regarded as sisters，$\sigma \in \theta \in v$ In form an adv．of motion from，and so used here．The th verse of a jv．$\beta^{\prime}$, v．29，contains an address to Chromios，with $\sigma \in 0$ in the same metrical position as $\sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \cup \epsilon \pi$ ．



5 о́ $\mu \mu \hat{\tau}$ аи Cf，O．3．9， $10 \Pi$ Пб
 $\theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi$ mus $\dot{a} o \iota \delta a l$ ，where the song starts from the scene of the victory，here quite as naturally from the place where an ode upon it is first recited． $\theta \in \mu \in \nu$＇To establish．＇Cf．Bacchyl． 10． 18 ки̂ठos єủpelals＇A $\theta$ ávaıs｜$\theta$ خ̂каs， Oivel $\delta a \iota s \tau \epsilon \delta 6 \xi a \nu, ~ O . ~ 2.99 . ~$

6 alvov，к．т．入．Cf．Frag． 206
 $\pi \omega \nu \mid \tau i \mu \iota a$ каi $\sigma \tau \in \phi \alpha \nu o c$ ．Note that $\alpha i \nu \eta \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，＇to sound the praise of，＇ in the last line takes up aivov and helps to establish the parallel


'А $\nu \tau$. $a^{\prime}$.

= кeívov $\sigma v ̀ \nu$ ả $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta a \iota \mu o \nu i a ı s ~ a ̉ \rho \epsilon \tau a i ̂ s . ~$


+ $\pi a \nu \delta o \xi i a s ~ a ̈ \kappa \rho о \nu \cdot \mu \in \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ ́ \theta \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$
${ }_{5}$ Moîбa $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi ı \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in }}$

between Hêrakles and Chromios. Xápıv 'By grace of ' (Mezger quot. ing P. 2. 70, 3. 95). To take it as accus. in appos. with the clanse, 'a gratefal service to,' is inferior, to take it in appos. with aivop is still worse.

7 For the appropriateness of the metaphor to the victory of. 0. 6. 22-27, 8.25, N. 4.93-end, N. 7. 70-72, 8. 19, I. 1. 6. Here the poet's verses are the winged horses which will bear over the world the car, Chromios' victory. For metaphor of. P. 10. 65. For the con-


 ${ }^{\text {Ep }} \mathrm{p} \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ Not found in the Olympian and Pythian odes, only of noble deeds, esp. in games, in Pindar, cf. h. Hom. 27. 20, 32. 19, Bacchyl. 14. 17.
8 its first courses are laid with gods' (for stones). $\theta$ є $\hat{\nu}$ V Gen. of definition, plur. of majesty, Zeus of Aetna being meant; cf. Terpander Frag. 1 (Bergk) Z $\in \hat{0}$ ooì
 3. Hitherto all editors have been nonplussed by this line.
9 Góv 'Under the inspiration
 סaцpoviaus Cf. O. 9. 110. These good qualities, 'conferred by gods,' are $\phi v \hat{a}(i b .100)$, and opposed to סьঠактаis d́peтаîs. Pindar does not
distinguish $\delta a l \mu o v e s$ from $\theta \epsilon o \ell$, see 0. 1. 35, 7. 39, P. 3. 59, though $\delta a i \mu o v e s$ are not $\theta \epsilon o l$, P. 1. 12, I. 7. 24.

10 Eqvi 'There is in truth,' see O.1.35, and infra v. $24 \frac{\epsilon}{e} \nu \mathrm{l}$ in prominent position, cf. N. 2. 10
 gard I. 3. 1 as a mild case of zeug. ma, ev̉ruxia, єủtux ${ }^{\epsilon} \omega$, in all four instances where they occur in Pindar, mean the crowning good fortune of success in games: so too $\dot{\eta}^{\dot{J}}$ е́Хоутes, О. 5. 16.

11 äкроу As $\pi a \nu \delta o \xi l a s$ (prob. coined by Pindar, cf. $\pi a \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma i a)$ is a superlative expression, á. may mean 'first prize'; cf. P. 11. 55
 31 ä $\kappa \rho \alpha$ фépe $\sigma \theta a \iota$. The meaning of the sentence is, 'The consummation (or 'first prize ') of highest re-nown'-i.e. celebration in song' has its occasion in victory.' For the sentiment of. P. 1 fin. Toे $\delta \stackrel{t}{t}$


 бтоע $\delta є \delta \varepsilon к т а і$, N. 9. 46. $\delta^{\prime}$ 'For.'
$\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ Several mss. read $\mu \epsilon$ -


12 Moîбa Tautometric with ${ }^{3} \mu \nu 0 \mathrm{os}, v .5$.
13 नтeîpt vuv Corrected from

 каl бтєîpe $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \sigma \tau \eta \tau \alpha ́$ тเva $\tau \hat{\eta} \nu \eta \eta^{-}$

 ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.


 è̀ $\lambda a \iota \hat{a} \nu ~ \chi \rho v \sigma e ́ o ı s$
${ }^{25}$

$\dot{\sigma} \psi \tau \hat{\eta} \Sigma_{\iota \kappa \in \lambda l \alpha,}$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．In uncial $\Sigma \Gamma$ and E厂 were not unlike．For phrase cf．O．11． 94 Tiv $\delta^{\prime}$ á $\delta v \in \pi \eta \prime s$ тє $\lambda u ́ \rho a \mid \gamma \lambda u \kappa u ́ s \tau^{\prime}$ aủ $\lambda$ òs ávãá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ $\chi$ do $\rho(\nu$. The poet invokes himself or the chorus．The word $\tau \iota \nu$ d apologises for the boldness of the phrase，as aj入atay has not else－ where the meaning wanted，namely， ＇fame＇or＇song，＇though the ode is dj $\gamma \lambda$ as ad $\rho \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ in P．1．2，cf．Frag． 182 रopol каi Moira каi＇Ay入aita． There is an allusion to the $\phi v \lambda \lambda 0$－ ßo入ia，of．P．9． 123.

14 שठкev As a dowry on her union with Pluto．Perhaps there is a covert allusion to the temples of Dêmêter and her daughter，built by Gelo．The Schol．is needlessly exercisedat the $\delta є \mu \nu \iota o \nu$＇A $\rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \delta o s$ be－ ing in a possession of Persephonê＇s， and suggests that the two goddesses were identical，citing Kallim．Hecale of vv каl＇А＇А
 $\Delta \eta \iota \omega i ̌ \eta \eta \nu$｜＇Apré $\mu \ell \delta$ os．dépıбтєúoloav This goes with the predicate，＇as bearing off the palm for fertility of soil＇（lit，＇from（all）fruitful soil＇）． Cf．Bacchyl．3． 1 а́рıбтока́ртои $\Sigma \iota \kappa є$－ alas．

15 óp月ஸ́бєเy This sense＇raise to greatness，or splendor，or re－ nown＇（I．4．48，5．65）is a metaphor from raising up a pros－ trate person to an erect position or from raising up a conspicuous erection such as a múpros（I． 4.

44 f ．）or $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha$（N．4．81）．The кор－ $v \phi a i \quad \pi 0 \lambda t \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi v \in \alpha i ́, ~ ' c i t i e s ~ u n s u r-~$ passed in wealth，＇are the $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda a \iota$ which perpetuated the renown of Sicily．For корифаі in this sense ＇prime，choicest specimens，＇of．$v$ ． $34,0.1 .13 \delta \rho \in ́ \pi \omega \nu$ корифàs ar $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{a} \nu$ auto тaбav．It is equivalent to ＂a $\omega \tau 0 s$ ，＇choicest bloom．＇Here and v． 31 there is perhaps hypallage， cf．O．and P．p．xxiii．

16 циaбтท̂pa Cf．P．12． 24
 epithet alludes to the fame of the Sicilian armour，cf．P．2． 2.

17 Gaud $\delta \eta$ cal＇Right often even．＇＇OX $\mu \pi{ }^{\prime} \alpha^{\delta} \omega \nu$ With special complimentary allusion to the vic－ tories of Gelo and Hero в，c． 488. xpuréors For this epithet，meaning only＇glittering，＇cf，O．8．1，10．13， P．10．40．Prof．Paley，however， on Martial 9．23．1，suggests that even in Pindar＇s times the crown was actually of gold（cf．N．7．77－ 79），or that the leaves were gilded．
$18 \mu \chi^{\theta \in \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \alpha}$ Lit，＇brought into contact with．＇Cf．N．9．31，O．1．21 крátє८ $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \notin \mu \iota \xi \epsilon \delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta \tau \alpha \nu$, N． 2.22 ठ́ктіे $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф \alpha ́ \nu o \iota s \notin \mu \iota \chi \theta \in \nu \eta ้ \delta \eta$ ．V． 56 infra，the use is not quite similar． Mr Fanshawe suggests that the lemma，coming so close to $\mu \nu a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho a$ ， ＇wooer，＇may here mean＇wedded＇； so Holmes．L．and S．wrongly render $\mu \nu$ ．here and in P．12． 24 ， ＇calling to mind，＇＇mindful of．＇ Dissen compares $\mu \nu \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \chi d \rho \mu \eta s$,
but the idea is not the same. The aor. $=$ ' call to mind, ${ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho=$ 'one who keeps in mind of.' $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$, к. $\tau . \lambda$. 'I have mounted upon a copious theme, having aimed at moderation with a statement of simple truth.' The Aldine and Roman editions with two Scholia make каєрдข object of $\beta a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$. It is generally taken as the object of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \beta a \nu$, a construction which lacks support. Pindar has briefly mentioned five points on which a poet might dilate, the divine patronage of Sicily, its fertility, the wealth of its cities, its achievements in war and in games. He has stated truths without exaggeration. But only to dismiss them and turn to his special theme, the praise of Chromios, \&c.

In this difficult sentence the poet checks himself-the suggestion of the necessity for doing so being a compliment to Sicily, Syracuse and Hiero, the fact that he does so a compliment to Chromios. Thus ou $\psi \in \dot{d} \delta \epsilon \iota=$ ' not with a false statement.' For dat. of. O. 11. [10.] 72
 What he has said is a $\beta \dot{e}$ oos shot Motनầ and̀ $\tau 0 \xi \xi_{\nu}$ (O. 9. 5). Both $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \xi \beta a \nu$ and $\begin{gathered}\text { E } \sigma \tau a \nu \\ \text { are idiomatic }\end{gathered}$ aorists indicating the immediate past; the former refers to the recitation of the previous verses, the latter to the arrival of the chorus at the place of recitation. кalpoेv ov̉ $\psi$ ev́סєட $\beta a \lambda \omega$ v ' Having aimed at moderation with no false statement.' The song which was a team of mares, $v .7$, and a building, $v .8$, is again a team, behind which the poet mounts the car of Sicily's merits, and then in a flash is an unerring missile. Cf, Aesch. Suppl.

N. 6. 27, 28. Of course $\beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ is lit. 'having hit,' which is obviously implied in my translation. 'Having hit the mark of ' is clumsy. Note that the action of $\beta a \lambda \dot{\omega} \nu$ is prior to that of $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \epsilon^{\xi} \beta a y$. The poet is a shooter or hurler as a selecter of ideas for his ode, a charioteer as applying them to the occasion, expressing, and uttering them. There is therefore no confusion of metaphor. For the sense given to кaцpò cf. P.
 38, P. 10. 4. Dr Postgate's interpretation is substantially the same as the above, and he quotes N. 8. 37 for the emphatic application of the negative to a single word.

19 aùncíaıs The chorus with the poet were, it would seem, just outside the $\pi \rho o ́ \theta y \rho o y ~(c f . ~ P . ~ 3 . ~$ 78, I. 7. 3). Perhaps they were in the $\pi \rho \frac{1}{\theta} \theta v \rho o \nu$, for the eivecxès $\pi \rho^{\prime} \theta v \rho o \nu$ of O. 6. 1 could hardly have been 'a space before a door' or 'a porch' (L. and S., Smith's Dict. of Antiquities, Guhl and Koner) ; but was probably walled on three sides and with pillars in the front like the $\pi \rho o{ }^{2} v a o s$ of a templum in antis. Probably in such cases the aú入efa $\theta \dot{v} \rho a$ opened immediately into the peristyle without a Ovpúv, 'a narrow passage' or 'entrance chamber,' which would appear in town houses when the sides of the $\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu$ were built up to form chambers. According to L. and S. the household gods were in the $\pi \rho^{\prime} \theta u \rho o v$, but Smith's Dict. of Ant. places them in the peristyle.
20 kàá 'Noble achievements,' cf. O. 10. 18, 13. 11 еौ $\chi \omega$ ка入д́ тє

 $\xi_{\xi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$. Bergk suggests the rare form

3 ěv $\partial a$ ноı $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \delta \iota o \nu$
＋$\delta \in i ̂ \pi \tau \nu о \nu ~ к є к о ́ \sigma \mu \eta т а \iota, ~ Ө a \mu a ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda о \delta a \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$
5 ойк àтеіратоь סó $\mu$ on
［фє́ $\rho \in \iota \nu 35$

$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \alpha$ ，for which before a consonant there is no good authority，while any alteration is gratuitous．

21 Eve a＇In whose hall．＇ Though，as the victory was won at the summer Nemea，the feast may have been held outside．appóSiov Cf．P．4． $129 \xi \in i \nu l^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ j o \nu \tau \alpha$, and the Homeric jaıròs silo $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ．

22 ai $\lambda \lambda o \delta a \pi \omega \hat{\nu}$ Includes the poet，who was in Sicily this year， and perhaps was present．For Chromios＇hospitality cf．N．9． 2.

24 évil See ễol v．10．入é－入оүхє，к．т．$\lambda$ ．The following version is suggested by the reading $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ s of the best mss．，and supported by 0 ．
 каүópos，＇sore loss hath oft be－ fallen evil speakers＇；＇It hath be－ fallen the noble against cavillers， to bring water against smouldering fire（of envy），＇taking $\mu \in \mu \phi о \mu \in ́ v o c s$ as dat．income．to the whole phrase
 к．т．त．as inf．subject to $\lambda \in ́ \lambda \frac{\gamma \chi є \text { ．}}{}$ Obviously any infinitive phrase as subject can take the place of any abstract noun such as áképסєєa．
 $\lambda o u s{ }^{\forall} \delta \omega \rho \kappa \alpha \pi \nu \varphi$ ，which accounts for the order．The metaphor of water for streams of song is used，as here， in connexion with strangers N． 7.


 aivé $\sigma \omega^{*}$ тoti申o pos $\delta^{\prime}$ ar $\gamma a \theta 0 \hat{\iota} \sigma \iota \mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta s$ ои̃тos．Plutarch，Frag．23． 2 т $\partial े$ $\phi \theta o ́ v o \nu$ Eैvlol $\tau \hat{\psi}$ каाข $\hat{\varphi}$ єiкá\}oval, was thinking more of other appli－ cations of the similitude than of this passage，for he goes on to


 $\sigma \iota \nu$ ．The connexion of this difficult passage is not impaired by making the statement general．＂The wor－ thy by noble conduct with poets who celebrate the same drown the voices of cavillers with song．Divers folk have divers arts．（This com－ prehends the idea that it is the poet＇s work to perpetuate a victory as much as it is the work of men of action to gain one．）One must walk uprightly and make the best use of natural powers in the fight of life．Strength，to wit，has its function in action，intellect in counsel，in the case of those who have an innate gift of foresight （which class includes the poet and also，as is at once stated，Chromios）．＇ As to sentiment vv．24－33 have much in common with I．1．40－51．

There are several inferior inter－ pretations．（A．）＇But he hath got good men and true against cavillers （dat．incommodi）so as to bring water against smoke，＇ie，to use to drown the voice of envy；so Her－ mann，Don．（B．）Dissen also ap－ proves；but saye，－＂Credas etiam sit jungi posse：$\lambda \in \lambda \lambda_{0} \chi \epsilon$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda o \dot{v}$
 consequutus est hoc，ut probi viri oblrectatoribus aquam obviam ferant fumo，quem movent．＂He objects however to an accusative and in－ finitive after $\lambda a \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in เ \nu$ as unsup－ ported．（C．）Matthias proposes $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \varnothing \gamma \chi \in \nu \in \sigma \lambda o u ́ s, \mu$ ．U．á．ф．（ $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon p$ ） катข $\hat{\psi}$ ．（D．）Mommsen（after a Schol．）renders＂Innata vero est （sortito event）its qui bonos vitupe－ rare solent ar fumum［gloria］aqua ［reprehensionis］restinguendi，＂（E．） ＇This men＇s lot when cavilling at
 óסoîs $\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \chi \nu \tau a$ нápvarӨaı фuâ．
＇A $\nu \tau$ ．$\beta^{\prime}$ ．
1 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ ধ ้ \rho \gamma \varphi ~ \mu e ̀ ̀ ~ \sigma \theta є ́ \nu o \varsigma, ~$

40


${ }_{5} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ \tau \omega ิ \nu \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \epsilon \varsigma$.
［ $\epsilon \chi \chi \epsilon \iota, 45$



the good to bring water to check smoke，＇ie．to increase what they wish to diminish（yon Leutsch）． （ $F$ ．）Bergk would alter ${ }^{\epsilon \nu \tau \tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ．to di vi $\iota \lambda \in ́ \lambda o \gamma \chi \in \nu$ ，only found，I believe， as an Attic law term．

25 divilov The prominent posi－ tion helps the application to $\mu \epsilon \mu$－ фо $\mu$＇pots as well as to $\kappa \alpha \pi \nu \varphi \hat{y}$ ．See Untrod．for the echo duviá $v$ ． 68．Téxvau $\delta^{\prime}$ er．$\ell^{\ell} . \quad$ For senti－ mont cf．O．9．104－107，8．12－14， N．7．54，Bacchyl．10．35－45． oтeixov ta For metaphor of． 0 ．
 $\pi \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂ v, ~ N . ~ 8 . ~ 35 . ~ N o t e ~ e c h o ~ v . ~ 65 . ~$
 $\mu a ́ \rho \nu a \tau a \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \pi a ̂ \sigma a \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ ．фvâ̂ For the superiority of natural over acquired attainments，cf．O．2．86，
 3． 40 f ．
$26 \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma \in \mathfrak{~ ' E x e r c i s e s ~ i t s ~ f u n c - ~}$ lion，＇cf．Frag． $108 \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ v \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu \epsilon-$ $\lambda e ́ \omega \nu$ ．This does not contradict
 $\sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \mathrm{os}$ ．
 whose birthright it is to foresee what shall be．${ }^{\text {？}}$
29 न＇0，к．т．入．＇In thy charac－ ter are faculties for using both this endowment and that．＇For $\dot{a} \mu \phi i$ cf． P．5． $111 \dot{a} \mu \phi \grave{\imath} \beta o u \lambda \alpha i ̂ s$, in which
passage Arkesilas also is praised for eq pa as well as $\beta$ ouraí．Lit．＇on the various sides of＇；the faculties are observed from the outside．$\delta^{\prime}$ For $\delta \epsilon$ after vocative of．$O$ ．and $P$ ． index，N．2．14．For $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \tau \omega ิ \nu$ cf．O．2．53，I． 3.51 ；here all the varieties are good．

31 Euripides seems to be think－ ing of these two lines，Ion， 639 ow $\phi \iota \lambda \hat{\omega} \psi$ you＇s $\kappa \lambda \hat{u} \epsilon \tau \nu \mid \epsilon \nu \nu \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \sigma \psi \psi^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu$
 ais exetv Conditum habere，of． rímas e $\chi \in \epsilon$ ，Soph．Oed．R．577．For sentiment cf．I．1． 67 f．，Bacchyl．


 кри́ттєє $\sigma к о ́ \tau \varphi$.

32 ＇But from what I have both to enjoy myself and to have the credit of being duly open－handed to（lit．＇thoroughly satisfying＇）my friends．For the expectations of men，born to sore trouble as all are，are uncertain for all alike．＇ For the uncertainty of．Bacchyl．
 where dкрí $\tau .=$＇uncertain，＇cf．O． 2. 30 f．$\epsilon \quad \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ Cf．Theognis， $1009 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aủrồ $\kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{d} \nu \omega \nu$ єv̉ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi \chi \epsilon \mu \in \nu$ ．Cf．P． 3． 104 for sentiment，also Simonides， 85 ［60］v． 13 ＇A入入d̀ नो̀ $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu a \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$

a $\pi ⿰ 丿 \lambda$

## $\pi \rho о ф \rho о ́ \nu \omega \varsigma$
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 ain $\lambda \lambda a \nu \pi a i ̂ s ~ \Delta i o ̀ s$

ご $\tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$

## 


$\tau \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{l} \chi \alpha \rho \iota \zeta \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu$ os and see L．and S． s．v．$\chi$ apisoual for genitive．The gen．of the fund drawn upon for the action is a gen．of source， origin．Cf．also Bacchyl．1． 27 ff．

 ėpl\} e l . ~ E ́ g a p k e ́ c v ~ D i s s e n t ~ e x p l a i n s ~
 plentifully on my friends．＇But cf．
 $\sigma \in \nu$ éรаркєî̀ тат＇ŋ́р；＇did thy father then beget thee to be a match for all men？＇кowvi yap＇ ＇prov＇$^{\text {Cf }}$ ．
 O．1．99， 100.
$33 \pi{ }^{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda} v \pi$ óv $\omega v$ Cf Eur．Or． 976

 $\mu o i ̂ p a \quad \beta a l \nu \in \imath . . . \beta \rho о т \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ od mas $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$－ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \tau o s$ alćv．The idea of $\pi 0 \lambda v-$ $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ reflects on $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon s$ and aug－ gests the antiphrasis，of．supra， v．15．éy
 claim preeminence in devotion to，＇ cf．Thuk．1． $13 \tau \hat{\jmath} s \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ adv Tel $\chi$ оעто，＇made seafaring more an object of rivalry，＇＇vied with each other more in attention to maritime pursuits．＇

34 èv kopvфaîs For èv，＇in the sphere of，＇cf，my $O$ ，and $P$ ． p．XXvi；for корифаis cf．supra，v． 15 and O ．13． 15 ăкраєs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha$ îs． óтpúvay For the phrase of．I． 3.



 and v． 37 w＇s $\tau^{\prime}$ ova．Mommsen pro－ poses $\lambda o ́ \gamma o y \mid \tau 0 \hat{\delta} \delta^{\prime}$ on $\pi \underline{\text { a（cf，for gen．}}$ P．7．9，N．4．71，7．21， 32 and for on $\tau q$ O．11．56）from Beck＇s $\tau \delta \nu \nu \delta^{\prime}$ on $\pi \omega$ s and the $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ av่тov of the Schol．Vet．Hermann wis ápa or $v$. 37 of roc，the latter approved by Don，I incline to Böckh＇s begin－ ming of $v .35$ ，wis $\tau$＇or $\omega s \tau \epsilon$ ，leaving the third particle doubtful，keeping wis $^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}, v .37$ ．The second，resump－ five，©́s demands illustration．v๊то Cf．0．6． 43 ，quoted just below． av̉тika This must not be taken with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{l}$ as $=\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{l} \tau \alpha \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ ，$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \grave{\eta}$ $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ ，which is Dissen＇s expla－ nation．The adverb indicates the normal progress of the delivery

 es $\phi \dot{a} o s$ autica，which passage also illustrates $\theta a \eta \tau \alpha \nu$ es al＇$\gamma \lambda a \nu \mu \delta \lambda \in \nu$ ， $\omega ่ \delta i ̀ v a ~ \phi \epsilon u ́ \gamma \omega \nu, \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \gamma \chi \nu \omega \nu$ vi $\pi 0$ ．Cf． Il．16． 187 f ，aủrà $\rho \dot{\operatorname{co}} \pi \epsilon \ell$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \gamma \epsilon$

 infant Iamos too was visited by two snakes，but they came to feed him．

38 ＇$\gamma к \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \beta a$ Hardly＇stepped into＇with supernatural precocity， as Prof．Paley suggests；for the

## 3 ả入入à $\theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$ ßaбi入éa


60
5 тoì $\mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ oi $\chi \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{a} \nu ~ \pi \nu \lambda \hat{a} \nu$
［ $\gamma \nu a ́ \theta o u s$

 ка́ра，тєєра̂то סє̀ три̂тоע $\mu a ́ \chi a \varsigma$,

## 



effect of the subsequent miracle would be impaired by such a pre－ liminary display of power．The use recalls the passive sense often given to $\epsilon \in \pi i \pi \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，àrodaveîv．Ben－ der simply＇had been laid down in．＇

39 ßafi入є́a mss．give $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ （ $\beta a \sigma i \lambda e l a)$ ．For the form in the text of．P．4．5，where two fair mss．read iєpéa．For the synizesis cf．O．6． 1 xpuбéas．The form in $-\epsilon \alpha$ is illustrated by the So－ phoclean $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \eta$ ，better $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \eta$ ， given by Hêsychios．In the Lydo－ Folic ode，O．14，we find $\beta a \sigma l \lambda \epsilon \iota a l$ ．

40 бォєрХ $\theta$ єíनa Cf．Il．24． 248 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi$ онє́volo үє́povtos，Herod．5． 33 ̇̇ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho \chi \in \tau о \quad \tau \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime} A \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \delta \rho \eta$ ，Eur． Med． 1133 di $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta$｜$\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \chi o v, \phi l \lambda o s$. For the episode of the infant Herakles and the serpents of． Theokr．24，where many details differ from those of Pindar＇s ac－ count：near the end of Plautus＇ Amphitruo is a third version．

41 Whether the doors were left open at night，or had been opened in the early morning，or were opened by the serpents－is left un－ certain．

42 Oa入ápov $\mu v \chi$ òv єủpúv＇The spacious inner chamber＇；one of the chambers of the gynaekitis． тéкvototv，к．$\tau_{0} \lambda_{0}{ }^{\text {＇G }}$ Greedily yearn－ ing to make their jaws play swiftly
about the babes，＇ie．in the act of licking over the victim before en－ gorging it．Cf．Hês．Scut．Here． 235 and Paley＇s note．＇E $\lambda$ io $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$ cannot mean＇enfold＇with jaws．

43 óp日óv Proleptic，of．P． 3. 53，96，Eur．Kip． 1203 ठ $\rho \theta \partial \nu \nu$ ס $\hat{\varepsilon}$ крâт＇ย̈ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a \nu$ ours $\tau$＇es oủpavòv｜ $i \pi \pi o c$ ．Paley observes that this action is miraculous in a newborn infant．

44 Sเซनaîनı Soloús Cf．N． 8. 48 dis $\delta \grave{\eta}$ jvoîv．aủxt́vay For gen． cf．Madv．§ $57 a$ ，Rem．

46 A bold phrase both in con－ struction and sense．＇As he kept throttling them，the time made the breath of life leave their dread frames．＇The causal use of $\alpha \pi o \pi \nu \epsilon \in \omega$ is strange and the word is not the most appropriate to death by strangulation．Of course dj $\gamma \chi \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ ．．．$\chi \rho b \nu \underset{\omega}{\ldots} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \nu \in v \sigma \alpha \nu$ have been proposed．Possibly there is cor－ ruption．For $\psi v \chi$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \pi \nu$ ．cf．Simon． Frag． 52 ［26］$\psi v \chi \grave{\alpha} \nu ~ ג \pi о \pi \nu 仑 ́ o \nu \tau a, ~$ Tyrt．Frag． 10 ［6］ 24 av $\mu \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о-$ $\pi \nu \in l o \nu \tau$＇．The duration of $\chi \rho \delta \dot{\nu}$ os is relative．Experiments in the strangulation of large ophidians would be instructive but costly．I think that a grasp sustained long enough to make a tolerably large snake lie stiff would be miraculous as to time in a new－born infant，


 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon{ }^{\circ}$
 $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \nu \alpha \hat{\varsigma}$ ，ö $\mu \omega \varsigma$ ar $\mu \nu \nu \epsilon \nu$ v̌ßpı $\kappa \nu \omega \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ．

75
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．
 $\dot{a} \theta$ рóou，
and it seems that the house was roused by the lashing of the crea－ tures in death throes and possibly in reflex action after death．Schmidt＇s xpómos is most unhappy，and the idea that the poet would associate Chromios with a death－rattle is un－ tenable．The heaviness of Hêrakles＇ labours endured but for a while， xpobov，but his rest for тòv änay $\frac{1}{}$ $\chi$ र́óvov $\dot{e} \nu \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}, v .69-a$ significant recurrence which has escaped Mez－ ger and Bury．

48 Bénos＇A pang．＇Cf．Il． 11.
 jॄ̧̀v̀ रvvaîкa，Asch．Prom． 676. There is a slight balance of ms． authority in favor of $\delta$ Eos，which Par．$A$ has as a correction，but $\beta$ enos could not have replaced the much easier leos（which on the other hand would inevitably ap－ pear as an early marginal gloss）． By amply defining $\beta \in \lambda$ os，the verb $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$－ $\pi \lambda \hat{a} \xi \in$ effectively defends it against alteration such as Mr Bury＇s Hêsy－ chian $\pi$ e nos，＇prodigy．＇Moreover


49 Theokritos makes Hêrakles nine months old．Plautus agrees with Pindar as to the age．On a coin of Thebes（see Plate facing Title）the child does not seem to represent a new－born babe．Paley cites a fresco－painting of this sub－ jet from Herculaneum，Race．di Ercolano，P1． 11.

50 ＇Why，even she herself sprang from bed to her feet and unrobed as she was thought to repel the attack of the monsters．＇ Mommsen regards $\pi$ oo $\sigma$ iv as a da－ tivus termini．Cf，O．13． 72 ảvà $\delta^{\prime}$ єँ $\pi a \lambda \tau$＇$\delta \rho \theta \hat{\varphi} \pi$ root；but they may be instrumental datives，though ren－ deed＇to her（his）feet．＇$\pi ⿰ 丿 \sigma$ 㕸 Bergk $\pi \alpha, \sigma i \nu . a ̈ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ os With nothing on except an under garment，$\chi$ er $\omega$－ $\nu$ locos，i．q．$\mu о \nu 0 \chi i \tau \omega \nu$ ，Philostratos， Eur．Hec． 933 入éx $\bar{\eta}$ dè $\phi$ l $\lambda c a \mu o v o ́-$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ os $\lambda \iota \pi \frac{\hat{0} \sigma \alpha}{} \quad \Delta \omega \rho i s$ is ко́ра． ＂$\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ mss．$\dot{o} \mu \hat{\omega} s$ ．Text Stephanus． $\alpha^{\alpha} \mu v v e v$ Imperfect of intended or attempted action．«ैßpı Either $=$＇the attack，＇of．P．1．72；or else $\psi_{0} \kappa_{0}=$＇savage monsters．＇ Cf．tav̂por ípptoтai，Eur．Bach． 743.

51 So best mss．The Triclinian mss．and the Aldine and Roman editions give $\sigma \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} \delta^{\delta} \pi \lambda$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \theta \rho$ ．${ }^{\varepsilon} \delta \rho$ 。；
 $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ of $\pi \lambda . \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho$ ．For the lengthening of $-o \nu$ before a vowel cf．P．3． 6 ． xiv＇Not without＇；forcible con－ trass to the unarmed mother and infant．So too $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \chi \in \rho \rho^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ is almost ＇and not empty－handed＇contrasted with xєpoiv éaîs，v．45．ӧ $\pi$ vols Don．renders＇shields＇from Hês． Scut．Here． 13 фєрєббаке́as Kat－ $\mu$ chows ；but＇weapons＇is more natural．
 фа́б $\quad$ avo
so
 $\pi a ́ v \theta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \hat{\omega}{ }^{\text {s. }}$






 тоíaıs on $\mu \iota \lambda \eta \eta^{\sigma} \epsilon \iota$ ти́ $\chi a \iota s$,
'А $\nu \boldsymbol{\tau}$. $\delta^{\prime}$.
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52 фáryavov Omitted in the best mss. The Triclinian mss. read $\xi \zeta \ell \phi o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa \tau \iota \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ against the metre.

53 ógelaus ảvialol tv ten with keen throes of anguish.' The phrase refers to $\beta$ enos above. Il. 19. 125 т ̀̀v $\delta^{\prime}$ äХоs ठछ̀े катà фрéva тúqє $\beta a \theta \epsilon i ̂ a \nu, ~ O d . ~ 10 . ~ 247 ~$ $\kappa \hat{\eta} \rho$ ar $\chi \in \ddot{i} \mu \in \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \psi \quad \beta \in \beta o \lambda \eta \mu$ évos. Td үáp, к.т.入. Pausanias, 10. 22. 5, cites this sentiment with approval. Cf. 'The heart knoweth its own bitterness, and a stranger doth not intermeddle with its joy.'

54 du $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ Cf. O. and P. p. xxvi.
55 Өá $\mu \beta_{\varepsilon \iota, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~ ' W i t h ~ m i n-~}^{\text {. }}$ gled feelings of painful and glad wonderment.' Thus Paley rightly explains $\mu \chi \chi \theta$ is. Others ' $a$ ffected
 биякєккранас ди́a, Аіах 895 оौктч $\tau \hat{\varphi}-$ $\delta є \sigma v \gamma \kappa \in \kappa \rho \propto \mu \epsilon \bar{\nu} \eta \nu$.

56 ékvópıov 'Extraordinary.' Not used, it seems, in the same sense as Eкvouos, 'unlawful,' 'inordinate,' as correlative of '̀ $\nu \nu o \mu o s$
(cf. the adv. Aristoph. Plat. 981, 992).
$58 \pi a \lambda(\gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu$ Apparently a word coined by Pindar = 'gainsaid,' i, e. by the fact. Fo ı Datives commodi, not after $\hat{\beta} \hat{\sigma} \iota \nu$, and not the article, as the digamma of the personal pronoun is needed for the scansion. deávaror I.e. Zeus, by transmitting superhuman qualities to his son. Cf. Theokr. 24, 83, 84 $\gamma \alpha \mu \beta \rho \dot{s} s \delta^{\prime}$ da $\theta a \nu a ́ r \omega \nu$ ("Haas) кєк $\lambda \eta$ '-



60 yeitova According to Pausanias 9.11, Amphitryon lived by the Gate of Elektra, in the neighbourhood of which was the oleoбкотєion of Teiresias (Paps. 9. 16). $\Delta$ to s $\mathbf{v} \psi$ (prov A special title of Zeus at Thebes (Paws. 9. 8. 3) amongst other places.

61 б́poó $\boldsymbol{\mu} . \quad$ Cf. Soph. Ant. 1178
 Oed. R. 506.

62 ктаváv The participle of the

3 кaí тiva $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \pi \lambda a \gamma i ́ \varphi$


 нáхà 100
 $\pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ кó $\mu$ иу
gnomic aorist referring to sundry points of the time covered by the principal verb．Thus öббous $\kappa \tau$ ．$=$

63 ditifosikas For justice and the reverse in beasts cf．Archilochos， Frag． 88 ［6］＂$\Omega \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{\text { 人 }}, \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ Zє̂̂，


 $\delta i \kappa \eta \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon$ ．For this phrase cf．Od．
 оัтєє $\theta \epsilon ́ \mu \mu \sigma \tau a s$.

64 tıva＇Many＇（cf．P．2． 51
 such as Busiris and Antaeos．For the junction of the definite article with the indefinite pronoun cf．Soph． Oed．Col． 288 ช̈حav $\delta^{\prime}$ oे кúplos｜$\pi a \rho \hat{\eta}$ tis，Oed．Rex 107 toùs aủroévtas
 Don．Bergk reading v． $66 \mu \delta \rho \omega$ for ms．$\mu$ б́pov．Similarly Dissen，only changing $\tau \partial \nu$ to $\pi o \tau^{\prime}$ ，and Kayser， only changing $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta$ ．to $\pi a v \in \chi \theta \rho o-$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varphi . \quad$ Hermann reads $v .66 \phi \hat{a} \sigma \in \nu$ $i v$（acc．．．．．$\mu \dot{\rho} \rho \psi$ and above $\tau \hat{\omega}$ € $\chi \theta \rho o-$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \psi$ ，making $\tau \iota \nu a$ the subject mean－ ing Nessos．Keeping $\mu$ ó $\rho o \nu$, Momm－ sen would change $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$ to $\gamma \in \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ， Ahrens to $\pi a \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，Bury to $\pi \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ． Rauchenstein，Hermann and Bergk
 Bergk also suggests кai тıva oiv
 $\sigma \tau \ldots, \ldots o ́ p \psi$ after Hartung＇s кal $\tau \iota \nu a$





 $\sigma \grave{v} \pi \lambda a \mathrm{y}$ ．кóp．$\sigma \tau \in \mathfrak{i} X$ ．Cf．v， 25 supra，Phôkyl．Frag。 9 ăv $\delta \rho \epsilon s$ ，бùv ко́г $\mu \psi$ бтеोХоутєs．

67 Ф $\lambda$ É $\gamma \rho a s$ Hieron and no doubt Chromios had defeated the Cartha－ ginians off Phlegra near Cumae in the year before this victory at Nemea．The Phlegra where the gods fought the Giants was in Thrace．Cf．N．4． 27 note．

68 àvtiág．For the pres．of． Goodwin，§ 689，p．274．Intr．＇to encounter＇N．10．20．The phrase davтdá̧．$\mu d \chi a \nu$（cognate acc．）natur－ ally takes a dative like $\mu$ dxo $\mu a$. Jebb notes that＂The Giganto－ machia adorned the pediment of the Megarian＇Treasury＇at Olym－ pia；next to Zeus，Poseidon and Ares，the chief figure was Heracles．＂ For $\mu a ́ \chi a \nu$ Dissen cites Soph．Trach．
 ＇Whizzing flights，＇of．Il．16． 361 ふஎбTôv poiţov．yaia Cf．Aesch．
 $\phi u \rho d \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \phi \delta \nu \varphi$ ．$\pi \epsilon \phi v \rho^{\rho} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \sigma a l$ Note the paulo－post．fut．，＇they（the giants）will soon find their hair befouled．＇ко́цаv Cf．II．21． 407 of Ares $\epsilon \kappa \delta \nu \iota \sigma \epsilon \delta \dot{\delta}$ ұaitas．
 $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\omega}$

105

 каì үá $\mu$ о
${ }^{110}$


69 ยventv The prominent position gives emphasis both to what immediately precedes and to what follows. Xpóvov For the lengthening cf. v. 51 , supra. For the sentiment of this epode of. N. 9. 44, 45. inv $\sigma X \in \rho \varphi \hat{\varphi}$ No Ms. gives $\epsilon \nu \nu$, but $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$ ( $-\hat{\omega}$ ). The phrase however occurs N. 11. 39, I. 5. 22. Perhaps the Hêsychian $l \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\psi}=\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} s$, should be read and $\dot{e} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega}$ divided $\epsilon \pi-l \sigma \chi \epsilon \rho \dot{\text { en }}$ as Hêsychios betrays no knowledge of this adverbial use of $\sigma \chi \in \rho \sigma$ s.

70 motvaiv 'Recompense.' Cf.
 P. 2. 17 xápis $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ $\pi 0 i \nu \iota \mu \circ$ s $\alpha \nu \tau i$
 ing.' As the opening verses are obviously recalled, the reminiscence of $\theta$ didos may have suggested the memory of $\theta \alpha \lambda \epsilon \rho \eta ̀ \nu . . . \pi a \rho \alpha \kappa о \iota \tau เ \nu$ Il. 3. 53, or the closer "H $\rho \eta \nu$. $\theta$. тоぃ $\eta \sigma a \tau$ '
 921, cf. $946,999$.

71 yáभov | Salбavтa Cf. Il. 19.



 oik $\uparrow$. For theme cf. I. 3. 76-78.

72 At So mss. always, though the word is a long monosyllable. Cf. I. 7. 35. alvท́णety An echo of aivon v. 6. My reading $\lambda$ ex $\chi$ os is supported by ail $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ rá $\mu o \nu$ P. 3. 13. $\lambda e ́ x o s ~ M s s . ~ g i v e ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v ~ a n d ~ \delta \delta \mu o \nu . ~$ The former is imported from the line above, the latter is an attempt at correction, as is also the $\nu \delta \mu o \nu$, $\nu o \mu \partial \nu$ of the Schol. It is hard to believe that Pindar would terminate the last two lines of an ode with - Hov. I therefore regard the last word as entirely lost, and suggest $\lambda$ é $\chi$ os as giving better sense than Bergk's $\beta l o \nu, ~ \theta \rho o ́ v o \nu$, or $\tau \varepsilon \theta \mu \delta \nu$, Heyne's $๕ \delta o s, ~ M o m m s e n ' s ~ \nu \delta \mu o \nu$, Bury's $\sigma \tau a \theta \mu \dot{v} \nu$. Observe that the example of rest after labour at the end of the ode is foreshadowed by the opening phrases ${ }^{a} \mu \pi \nu \in v \mu a . .$. $\delta \epsilon ́ \mu \nu t o \nu$.

## NEMEA II.

## ON THE VICTORY OF TMODEMOS OF ATHENS IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Timodemos, son of Tinonoüs, of the deme of Acharnae, but of the Timodêmidae, a clan of Salamis, where he was born or brought up (vv. 13-15), won this victory probably about Ol. 75, B.c. 480-477. The ode was apparently sung at Athens ( $v .24$ ). It is a processional (monostrophic) ode. The word éछápхєтє in the last line is thought to indicate that it was introductory to a longer $\epsilon^{\prime} \gamma \kappa \omega$ кцод.

It is impossible to draw any sound inference about the place of composition. Böckh fancies that it was composed at Nemea after the battle of Plataca with Fragment 53 [45]. Perhaps the opening allusion to the Homêridae was due to Salamis being one of the aspirants to the honor of being Homer's birthplace.

The first strophe forms the proëme and the rest of the ode falls into two equal divisions.

This ode throws a light on such recurrences or echoes as have to do with the main theme of an ode. The two Glyconics which constitute the middle and end of the fourth verse contain either vıка-

 ferred that the music and dance were especially impressive at this part of the strophe, and conversely we may conjecture that in any ode the recurrence of prominent ideas in metrically parallel positions is generally owing to those positions being musically and orchestrically impressive.

Note the tautometric recurrences $\dot{\epsilon} \xi-v .18, \epsilon \in \kappa v .3, \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu v v .19,9, \phi \omega-$ vv. 25, 20. The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode


The mode is Lydo-Æolian.
The metre is logaœedic. The recurrent phrases are (A) tetrapodies (Glyconics) with syncope of the first foot, and ( $B$ ) tripodies (second Pherecratics). The second and last verses are first Glyconics.
$\checkmark \vdots$ A. $1 \cup \vdots ᄂ|\sim \sim|-\smile \mid-\wedge \rrbracket$
$>\vdots 1 s t$ Gl. 2 > $\vdots \sim \cup|-v|-\cup \mid-\vee \mathbb{1}$
B.B. $3 \quad-\simeq|\sim v|-v| |-v|\sim v|->1$
B.A.A. $4 \quad \cup \cup v|\sim v|-\cup\|L|--|\sim u|-\cup \|$

1st Gl. $5 \quad \sim \cup|-\simeq| \sim u \mid-ラ 1$
If the two long syllables at the end of the third foot be each reckoned as a trochee, the first three verses come equal in number of feet to the last two. If the second portion of the third verse be taken as mesodic and the last verse as epodic, we get a symmetrical period, or we can count 4| 4 | 7 | $7.4 \mid 4$, or $4|5| 6 \mid$ 5.6 | 4. My analysis disregards symmetry.

## ANALYSIS.

vo.
1-5. As the Homêridae begin by invoking Zeus, so Timodêmos begins his career of victory in Zeus' grove at Nemea.

6-10. He ought still, since his Fate has led him straight along the path his fathers trod and caused him to do honor to Athens (by winning at Nemea), to win often at the Isthmus and Delphi.
10-12. When the Pleiades are seen, Orion is to be expected.
13-15. Salamis can rear fighting men such as the Trojan warrior Aias and the pancratiast Timodêmos.
16, 17. The Acharnians were famous of old.
17-24. Enumeration of victories of the Timodêmidae in the Pythian, Isthmian, Nemean and the (Athenian) Olympian games.
24, 25. The citizens are bidden to celebrate Timodêmos' return as victor from Nemea.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime}
$$

## , "O $\mathrm{O} \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa а і ̈ ~ ' O \mu \eta \rho i ́ \delta a \iota$

${ }^{2} \dot{\rho} a \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ढ́ $\pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ тà $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda$ ' ảoı $\delta o i$

 N $\epsilon \mu \epsilon a i o v$

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.


In

1-5 There is only one slight irregularity of construction in this strophe, namely that instead of
 find $N \in \mu \varepsilon a l o v \mid \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi . \Delta . \alpha \not . \alpha . v v .4,5$.

1, з кal...kal For каi or каi... sal emphasising a parallel see Job on Soph. Oed. Col. 53 д $\sigma^{\prime}$ oi ठ $\delta \alpha \kappa \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\prime}$, who quotes Xenoph. Symp, 2. 25







1 ' $O \mu \eta \rho$ ¢ $\delta a r$ A clan or school of rhapsodist from Chios. The Schol. on this line tells us that Kynaethos of Chios introduced many verses into the Homeric poems and founded a distinguished school of reapsadists.

2 pamtûv 'Continuous,' hence 'epic.' The opening to which Pindar refers is probably preserved by Theokritos, 17. $1 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \kappa \Delta \iota \dot{\partial} s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi_{\mu} \mu \in \sigma \theta a$, and by Arâtos, Phaen. 1. Cf. Virg. Eel. 3. 60 ab Love principium. It is as old as Alkman, cf. Frag. 2 [31]
 A Schorl, quotes from Hêsiod ${ }^{2} \nu$


 хрибáopov ôv тє́кє $\Lambda \eta \tau \dot{\omega}$.

3 alòs Eck $\pi \rho$. Cf, N. 5. 25; 'With an exordium about Zeus.' The phrase is in apposition with ${ }^{0} \theta \in \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$. Zeus is the subject matter of the proëme, so that the gen. is of material, not of connexion as with verbs of saying and hearing,
 $\phi$ 's; The ode also ends with Zeus.

4 кaraßo久áv Cf. Kallim.,
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \mu_{0} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau a \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda о \mu^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \in l \delta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. For the metaphor from laying a foundation cf. note on N. 1. 8. vikaф. I did not mean "his career of victory" for a translation (1st ed. p. 16). Render "hath first won an opening strain of the rewards of victory," ie. of epinician odes inter alia. The abstract equals a concrete plural. Cf. Eur. Here. Fur. 663 ad $\delta v \sigma \gamma \in \in \in \in a$ $=$ of $\delta v \sigma \gamma \in v \in \hat{S}$. In O. 13. 14 (in spite of the accent) and I. 1. 22 $\nu$ vкафо́pos $=$ 'brought by victory.' סédekral At Nemea he became the winner of and is the winner of at Athens or elsewhere. Cf. O. 2. 49, 6. 27 , P. 1. 80,100 , I. 5. 4.

5 ar $\lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ t See Plus, 2. 15. 2. The grove was of cypresses.

6 óфє inlet Impersonal, but there is a v. l. $\dot{\sigma} \phi \in i \lambda \epsilon t$ def $\tau \iota$.

7 For metaphor of. P. 10. 12.
 as well as after $\delta \bar{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa є$.

3 aì̀ taîs $\mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota s ~ \delta e ́ \delta \omega \kappa є ~ \kappa o ́ \sigma \mu о \nu ~ ' A \theta a ́ v a \iota s, ~$
 ПиӨiooví тє vıкầ

I 5

a ỏpєıầ $\gamma \in \Pi_{\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota a ́ \delta \omega \nu}$





8 aicov 'Fate' in the sense of the destiny of an individual life. Cf. I. 3. 18, Soph. Aj. 645, Trach. 34. Observe that кбб $\mu$ o 'AOávaıs glances at the meaning of $T(\mu \delta \delta \eta \mu$ os Thuovbov $\pi$ aîs. Cf. N. 3. 83.
 ä $\omega$ тov Cf. O. 2. 7, 5. 1. 'The fairest bloom of victories' is epinician song. Ėv Note the Pindaric variation of construction. $\tau \epsilon$ For $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu-\tau \epsilon$ of. O. 4. 15, P. 2. 31, N. 7. 86.

10 8' 'For.' Timodêmos' antecedents make the anticipation of his future victories as reasonable as the expectation of seeing Orion when the Pleiades are in sight. Cf. Paley's note Hes. W. and D. 619. Catullus, 66. 94, uses the form Oarion.

11 ópetâr So called because daughters of Atlas. So Simonidês quoted by a Schol., Mauádos oúpelas єोєко $\beta \lambda \epsilon ф \dot{\rho} \rho o v$, of Maia, one of the daughters. Cf. Frag. 52. The succession of the constellations is a very natural example of sure succession, and no constellations would be more likely to be mentioned than those which marked the beginning of the ploughing season, and again the end of the sailing season. The word ópeiây converts a bare astronomical truism into mythical poetry instinct with
human interest. $\gamma \in$ Emphasises the phrase $\delta \rho$. $\Pi \epsilon \lambda$. Of all the stars which the huge Orion pursues, he especially pursues the Pleiades.

12 vễöal Some good mss. give the error $-\nu^{\prime}$ diveĩoal. Bergk adopts this misreading under the strange
 à $\nu a \nu \epsilon \bar{\sigma} \theta a l$.

13 kal $\mu$ áv Introduces a second reason for anticipating that Timodêmos would win further victories. Cf. Soph. Aj. 539 каl $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda a s} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\epsilon}$


14 Suvatós Fem., ef. O. 9. 26, P. 4. 209, 9. 92 , N. 5. 20, I. 3. 53. äkovaev The Schol cites $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ふ̌ovtes, $I$. 11. 532 ; cf. O. 3. 24
 the mercy of' [Prof, Colvin]. After all Wкovgev is simply 'heard,' with $^{2}$ a reminiscence of Il. 16. 361, where Hektor attacked by Ajax $\sigma \kappa \in \pi \tau \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$

 $\nu$ lк $\eta \nu$ and perhaps of a sculpture or painting of the scene. For the cult of Ajax see Jebb Soph. Aj. p. xxx. For the opposition of Aias to Hektor cf. Il . 8. 14. 402, 15. end, 16. 114, 358. $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ ह̀ $8^{\prime}$ ' к.т. 入. 'While thee, Timodêmos, doth power of endurance in the pankration exalt.' For the position of $\delta^{\prime}$ answering to $\mu \notin \nu$ after a vocative of. N. 7. 85, 86

15 е таукраті́ov $\tau \lambda a ́ \theta \nu \mu о \varsigma$ àé $\xi \in \iota$ ．
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.
r＇AХápvaı סè ma入aí申atov
${ }_{2}$ єvávopєs＇on $\sigma \sigma a \delta^{\prime}$ ar $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ ảé $\theta \lambda o \iota s$ ，

 рікка є̇ко́дıそау．

30
5 ả入入̀̀ KopıvӨímv vino $\phi \omega \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.






 the poet means $\sigma \dot{a} \dot{a} \lambda \kappa \kappa \dot{d} a \partial \xi \epsilon \tau a l$ cf．


16 та入alфатоv So mss．Cf． P．11．30．Böckh－фатоt．
 all that concerns games．＇Cf．N． 11.
 ad $\delta^{\prime}$ es yovaîkas．For ar upi cf．N． 6. 14，8．42，P．5． 111.
$18 \pi p o \lambda$＇́yovtal＇Are named before all others．＇Cp．I．3． 25.

19 ìqué．＇King of mountains．＇ єєко́ц．＇Won，＇cf．O．13．59，P． 4. 106，Soph．Oed．Col． 1411 є̇тaıvos， $\delta \nu$ коцґऽєтоу and Job＇s note＂$=к о$－ $\mu l \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta$ оv．．．．p． $6 \quad \phi \epsilon \rho \rho \nu \tau \alpha=\phi є \rho \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$
 ＂Thous，＂also єن์ $\rho \in \mathfrak{i v}$ O．7．89，P． 2. 64 and $\phi \notin \rho \in \iota \nu=$＇win＇passim，Soph． Oed．R． 480.
 9.5.

21 Ire．at the Isthmian games． Cf．I．3． $11 \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$＇I $\sigma \theta \mu \circ \hat{,}, 7$.
阝ácoals Kpoviov Пе́лотоs means at

Olympia．He is regarded as the hero Epônymos of the Peloponnese． For $\pi \tau$ ruais of．the use of $\pi 0 \lambda v^{\prime}-$ $\pi$ ouzos．

22 \＃̈x日ev Of．O．1， 22.
23 api $\theta \mu \mathrm{ov}$＇Too many to numb－ ber＇（lit．for numbering），cf．Soph．


 13． 113.
$24 \Delta$ tors áyต̂vt The Athenian Olympia，celebrated in the Spring， between the great Dionysia and the Bendideia．Note the emphatic po－ sition，and cf．v． 10 Tc hov boo $\pi a \hat{0} 0^{\prime}$ ， v． 14 סuvatós，v． 17 eưávopes．тóv $\ldots \kappa \omega \mu \alpha^{\prime} \xi a \tau \epsilon$ To．＇Him do ye cell－ brate in epinician song in honour of Tim．＇Cf．for dative P．9．89， I．6．20，21．Tiv＇Under the inspiration of．，

25 d $\delta v \mu$ ．к．т．$\lambda$ ．A variation of
 $\phi \omega v \hat{a}$ ．A genitive or accusative of the utterance is usual with ésáp－ $\chi \in \tau$ ．

## NEMEA III.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ARISTOKLEIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Aristokleidas, son of Aristophanes, was probably himself a member of a college of theôri or state ambassadors to Delphi (v. 70). He won this victory many years before the composition of the ode, as he seems to have been well advanced in age (vv. 73-76). The poet seems to apologise for his delay ( $v .80$ ), but not very profoundly, so that we need not suppose an interval of more than a year or two, if any, between the dates of the promise and the ode. From $v v .4,5$, it seems that the chorus was taught at Thelues. The ode was performed in the hall or temple of the college of theôri. The date is evidently prior to the Athenian conquest of Aegina Ol. 80. 3, в.c. 458. Leop. Schmidt fancifully connects the ode with P. 3, and assigns it to the same date. It was sung by a chorus of youths (v.5).

The tone and phraseology of this ode is set by the names 'Apıoтoк $\lambda$ ei $\delta a s$, son of 'Apıбroфd́yךs. It is inspired by the Muse Kleio and is full of superlative expressions and suggestions of brilliance. The ode is $\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{l}$ -


 dol $\delta \iota \mu \nu \nu .79$, which victory-in-games loves and thirsts for $v v, 6,7$, and (like ev́фpoov́va, N. 4. 1) is almost personified as áplotos latpós, namely as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon \xi(\omega \in \tau a \tau o \nu$ ỏna $\delta \dot{\partial} \nu v$. 8. The theme is victory won by



 emphasise the comparison between Aristokleidas and Achilles, and are
 vv, 70, 71.

This $\tau \epsilon \lambda$ os manifestly presents a contrast to the $\dot{a} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\partial} \varphi$ of $v .42$. and $v v, 41,42$ echo with two tautometric recurrences $v v .20,21$, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { จง้ } \pi о \tau^{\prime} \text { àт } \tau \epsilon \kappa \in \in \iota
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { จưкย̇т८ } \pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

ảßárav á $\lambda \alpha$ beyond Hêrakles' telos.

 the race of Aeakos whether the poet intended it or not. The tautometric echo of d́ $\rho \epsilon \tau a i s v .32$ by á $\rho \epsilon \tau a ́ s ~ v . ~ 74$ seems quite superfluous, and may be accidental, as $\alpha \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ occurs four times. Other tautometric echoes are
 'A $\mathrm{A}-v .50$, aủ-vv. 79, 58, фல́vov vi. 44, דóvov v. 12, èv vv. 79, 16.

The end of the first of the three divisions of the ode is in the first line of the second strophe, and the middle division-devoted to Aeacid victors-ends with the close of the first verse of the fourth strophe; but the second strophe is devoted to the exploits of the single-handed Hêrakles by land and sea, while the rest of the two middle systems celebrate the exploits of Pêleus, Telamon, and Achilles. Hêrakles, Pêleus and Achilles are examples of victors in single combat ( $v v .34,51$ ) like Aristokleidas. Bacchylides, 12.8 calls
 Hêrakles and the Aeacid heroes are represented as prototypes of Aristokleidas. That fame was won far away beyond sea by Hêrakles, Telamon and Achilles and by the husband of movtia Ө'́ris implies that the fame of Aristokleidas will be spread far and wide, as is expressed N. 5. 2-6 in the case of Pytheas.

The compounds which seem to be coined for this ode are: $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta \lambda$ лoviкia,

 found elsewhere.

The mode is Æolian, or Lydo-Eolian (v. 79).
The metre is logaœodic.

## Strophe.

$A^{1}, A^{2}$, tripodies (of the form of first and second Pherecratics). $B$ dipodies. Vv, 1-4 form an inverted period, $v v .5-8$ an inverted mesodic period.

The numbers are respectively 62.43 .34 .26 and 24.23. 232.42.

| $A^{2} \cdot A^{2} \cdot B$. | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4.3. | $2-$-u\|-u|-u|vuv||-u|-u|uv |
| $A^{2} .4$. | $3 \quad \cup \cup \cup\|\sim u\|-\cup\| \| L\|-v\| \cup v \cup \mid-\wedge]$ |
| - ${ }^{\text {P }}$ B. $A^{2} .3$. | $4 \cup \vdots-\cup\|L\\|-v\|\sim u\|-\cup\\| L\|-\cup \mid-\wedge]$ |
| > ${ }^{\text {B }}$. 4* $^{\text {* }}$ |  |
| B. $A^{2}$. | $6 \quad\llcorner\|-v\|\|-v\| \sim u \mid \dot{\sim}>1]$ |
| $B . A^{1} \cdot B$. | $7 \quad-\cup\|-\cup\|\|\sim u\|-\cup\|L \\|-v\|-\wedge]$ |
| $\omega!4^{*} . B$. | $8 \omega \vdots \sim u\|-u\|-v\|-\cup\|\|-v\|->\rrbracket$ |

Epode.

Two inverted mesodic periods, $v v .1-3$ and $4,5$.
The numbers are respectively 6.343 .6 and 263.62 .


Note that $4^{*} \cdot B=A^{2} \cdot A^{2}=6$ logaœdic feet.

> * Glyconics.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-5. The Muse is entreated to go to Aegina on the anniversary of a Nemean victory, where a chorus awaits her.
6-8. An ode is the highest object of a victor's ambition.
9-14. The Muse is entreated to inspire the poet to begin the hymn with Zeus of Nemea and to praise the country of the Myrmidons.
14-18. Whom the victorious endurance of Aristokleidas in the pankration at Nemea does not discredit.
19, 20. Aristophanes' son, having done justice to his fine form, has attained to the highest achievements.
20-26. One cannot well pass the pillars which Hêrakles set up at the limit of his Western explorations.
26,27 . The poet is digressing.
28. His theme is the race of Aeakos.
29. It is the height of justice to praise the worthy.
30. But it is not good to yearn for distinctions for which one's inborn nature has not fitted one.
31. The victor need not do so, as he inherits worth.
31. The legend of Pêleus is appropriate to him.

32-39. Exploits of Pêleus.
40-42. Innate worth is best. Acquired capacities are fruitless.
43-64. The alonve doctrine is illustrated by Achilles' childhood, by the aged Cheiron, and by the manhood of Achilles.
65, 66. Invocation of Zeus.
67-70. This beseems Aristokleidas who has brought glory to Aegina and the college of Pythian theôri.
70-74. Trial proves a man's excellence in all stages of life.
74,75 . Four divisions of life bring four several virtues.
76. The victor partakes of all four.
$76-80$. Dedication of the ode.
80,81 . As the eagle swoops from afar upon its prey, so the poet can seize upon the theme of a long past victory.
82. But the flight of chattering crows has a lower range.

83, 84. By favour of Kleiô the victor has won glory from Nemea, Epidauros and Megara.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$.
${ }_{1}$ ' $\Omega$ тótvıa Moîбa, $\mu a ̂ \tau \epsilon \rho ~ a ̀ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a, ~ \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma o \mu a \iota, ~$

з їкєo $\Delta \omega \rho i \delta a ~ \nu a ̂ \sigma o v ~ A i ̈ \gamma \iota \nu a \nu . ~ v i \delta a \tau \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$





' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$. $a^{\prime}$.


1 Mârєp Apollo and the Muses werein a metaphysical sense parents of poets and poems. N. 4.3. Asklêpiades in his Tрaүफסой $\mu \in \nu a$ is said to have made Orpheus the son of Apollo and Kalliopê.
$2 \tau d \nu \pi 0 \lambda \nu \xi \in v a v$ For the fame of the Aeginêtans for fair dealing with strangers cf. O. 8. 21, N. 4. 12, 5. 8. For the fem. form of the compound adjective of. N. 5. 9 ขav-
 A holy day was so called because the period of its return was calculated by the moon. For special mention of the full moon of the Olympian festival cf. O. 3. 19, 20, 11. 73-75. The Nemean festival was probably not on the new moon, see note on N. 4. $35 \nu \in o \mu \eta \nu i$.
$3 \Delta \omega \rho$. A passing tribute to actual fact, before connecting a Dorian with the glories of the mythical Aeakidae. Perhaps the mention of the (Epidaurian) Asklêpios, $v .54$, is an acknowledgment that Dorians of Epidaurus colonised Aegina.
$4{ }^{\prime}$ Aनwni¢ Two streams called Asôpos are recorded, and possibly in Aegina there was a third, named after the mythical father of the eponymous nymphs Thêbê, Aegina,
and Nemea, O. 6. 84. We cannot be sure that the poet wishes to represent himself as present in Aegina, as $\tau \dot{d} \nu \delta \epsilon \nu \hat{\alpha} \sigma \circ \nu(v, 68)$ is not conclusive on the point. Cf. O. 8. 25, P. 9.91. тє́ктоуєє кшјцшу Here the chorus; elsewhere poets. Cf. P. 3. 113.

6 $\delta\llcorner\Psi \eta$ 'Divers achievements cause divers thirsts." The verb is suggested by $\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{l}-v .4$, and leads up to vv. 18, 77-79. Lit. 'Another (kind of) achievement thirsts after something else.' $\pi p a ̂ y o s ~ A c c o r d-~$ ing to analogy and usage this word is rather equivalent to $\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi$ ıs than to $\pi \rho \hat{a} y \mu a$, and means 'great achievement,' as here, or 'conduct of important affairs,' as in Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 2.

7 dं $\in \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda_{0-}$ See $v .83$.
8 orєфávตv ápєtầ тє A hendiadys $=$ 'of crowns for highest merit.' $\delta \in \xi\left(\omega \tau\right.$. ${ }^{\prime} \pi a \delta$. ' Deftest
 $(v, 18)$. Here $\dot{o} \pi a \delta$. is a substantive as in Frag. 72.

9 'No grudging measure thereof do thou elicit from my store of skill.' It is not easy to render the play on ómadò in öma̧є in English. The verb should literally be rendered 'do thou bid attend,' as in




6 ఱ̈к


Il．24．461，N．9．30．’̈таद彑＇Send her（ $\dot{0} o \delta \delta \hat{\alpha} s$ ）without stint．＇Cf．Il．
 $\mu \epsilon \nu$ db $\rho \gamma є$ є̈фóvт $\eta \nu, 461$ col रd́ $\rho \mu_{\epsilon}$ $\pi а т \grave{\eta} \rho \ddot{a}^{\mu} \mu \alpha \pi о \mu \pi \grave{\nu} \nu$ ठ̈ $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

10 äpxє Cf．Alkm，Frag． 1

 бévous áeî́ev，oủpayov̂ mss．give oúpav－$\hat{\varphi}$－$\hat{\omega}$－$\omega$ a，but all give $\pi 0 \lambda u v e-$ фé $\lambda a$ ．According to a Schol．， Aristarchos and Ammônios took Uranos to be given as the father of the Muse，reading either three da－ lives or three genitives，but it is presumable that Pindar began with Zeus and followed Hêsiod．On this point Diodorus Siculus（4．7）gives satisfactory negative evidence．Her－ mann takes oi pay $\hat{\omega}$ as object to кр＇́ovi九．Bergk alters needlessly to Oúpavoî a hypothetical form for Oúpavia．It is better to take крєovit as a dat．commodi than as a pos－ sessive dative with $\theta \dot{\text { ujarep }}$（so one Schol．）which in such a position has the full effect of＇thou，his daughter．＇Bergk objects that it cannot Sic nude dici and that atp ${ }^{\text {ate }}$ $\forall \mu \nu o v \Delta i i$ is not appropriate to the context．Surely it is appropriate to any Nemean（or Olympian）ode， even if nothing special be said about Zeus．Moreover of．vv．65， 66.

11 ס6́kıpov＇That stamps with approval．＇For causative use of adj．（＝＇approved＇）of．O．1． 26. $\nu t v$ Ie．i̛uyov．óápots＇Choral voices．＇For the form cf．P．1． 98


 whence Bergk reads coly＇ácío $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ， but probably the Scholiast had the false reading коь $\omega \sigma$ aa $\sigma$ о $\alpha \iota$ produced by the incorporation into the text of a correction，P．4． 115 supports our text．EEG t Dissent takes Zeus to be the subject，Don．a $\quad$ ya $\mu \mu$ ， rendering＇It will be a pleasing toil to honour the land，where \＆c．，＇ which he supports by N．8． 16 татрds Méva No $\epsilon \in a i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̆ \gamma a \lambda \mu a ~ \pi \alpha-~$ $\tau \rho \delta s$ ，but there，as here，a ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \lambda \mu \alpha$ is concrete，＇an honor，＇＇an adorn－ mont．＇Here it might be said that
 being in apposition，and ${ }^{\varepsilon} \xi \epsilon \epsilon=$＇will involve．＇Cf．Soph．El． 351 ox


13 The Myrmidons were sup－ posed to have migrated with Pêleus from Aegina to Phthiôtis．

14 ぶv $\pi$ a入alфатováyopáv＇The ancient fame of whose assembly．＇ Don．says that áropó means meeting－ place here as in Od．8．109， $156 ;$ but in the latter verse $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\nu} \mu \in \tau \in \rho \eta$ dj $\gamma о \rho \hat{\eta} \ldots \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$, it is better to render＇assembly，＇＇meeting．＇ There is no reason why áropà should have been substituted for any spondaic word，say $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \dot{d} \nu$ （Ranch．）．There are not enough cases of tribrach at the end of a logacedic verse to warrant an infer－ ene that $\simeq \sim$ is impossible in such a position．Moreover of． 0. 11． $57 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho 6 \theta t \nu-(\sim \sim)$ beginning the verse（Bergk strangely $\rightleftharpoons$ ）．

15 out end．For the meiosis see
 alan For the usual rendering

8 є́ $\mu i ́ a \nu \epsilon \kappa a \tau^{\prime}$ aî $\sigma a \nu$ e่v $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \mu a \lambda a \chi \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$
'Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$.



 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega$
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.



' by thy favor,' tuo beneficio, which strains the interpretation both of катג̀ and of alбav, O. 9. 28 is quoted, but see my note and that on P. 8. 68. $\Delta t{ }_{2} s$ aloqu, 0. 9. 42 , is 'by Zeus' assignment.' Cf. also Solon Frag. 4 [13] 'H $\mu \in T \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$ ס $\bar{\epsilon}$

 $\nu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. aía means 'lot,' 'share,' 'measure,' or the theological phases of these ideas (often in the abstract) ' divine dispensation, ' ' divine ordinance, ' 'divine approval,' 'allotted career' (see N. 6. 13, 49, I. 1. 34, Frag. 108), and so al̈cuos as applied to omens means 'indicating divine approval' 'and in other uses 'being in due proportion,' 'divinely approved.' Now $\tau \epsilon \dot{d} \nu ~ \kappa a \tau$ ' aīav simply gives us a theological aspect of кã' aicav, 'duly,' 'according to measure,' with a particular divinity specified. No other explanation is scientific. Render 'as is acceptable in thy sight.' The Muse of glory is the alouulरीтts who prescribes and approves the conduct and achievements of aspirants to glory.
${ }_{16} \mu a \lambda a x \theta \in(s, B y$ yielding,' 'by proving soft,' the participle
signifying, as Don. says, the cause. Cf. N. 7. 56.

17 кquar $\omega \delta \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ For sentiment cf. N. 8. 49, 50, I. 7. 1-3.
 Moschopulos from one or two Mss. read 光 $\gamma \in \beta a \theta u \pi \varepsilon \in \delta \omega$. From $\pi \in \delta$ lov not $\pi \epsilon \delta \delta o \nu$. $\beta a \theta u ́ \pi \epsilon \delta$ os would be, as Paley renders, 'deep-soiled,' not 'with low-lying plain.' фє́pє ' He won at Nemea and wears, \&c.' (of. N. 5. 54, I. 6. 21), äкos being an extension of the predicate. It is scarcely a historic present, which is rare in Pindar, but cf. O. 2. 23, P. 4. 163.

19 For sentiment cf. O. 8. 19,
 $\tau \in \rho \in \in \mathfrak{\xi} a \iota s$, I. 6. 22.

21 Cf. O.3.43.
22 ท̋p ${ }^{2}$ s $\theta$ Eós 'Hero and God.' Cf. Paus. 2.10.1. The phrase distinguishes Hêrakles from é $\sigma \lambda d s v$. 29.

24 vitepóxos Dor. acc. plur. Cf. $v .29$ infra, O. 1.53. The conquest of sea-monsters by Hêrakles is probably a mythical dress given to the suppression of pirates by Hellênic mariners. Mss. give j̇ $\pi$ 'poxos, $i \delta i q \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \rho \in v y$. A Schol. gives a v.l. $\delta \dot{d} \tau^{\prime} \dot{e} \rho$. Böckh inserts $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered}$-,

 6 äкрау є́ $\mu o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \lambda$ дóov тара $\mu \epsilon$ íß val;


50
'A $\nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.



Hermann ait $\tau^{\prime}$. There is no support for lidia meaning 'by oneself' opposed to 'with aid,' so that the Ms. reading must be abandoned. The Greeks would hardly imagine even Hêrakles taking a long voyage by himself. tevayé $\omega v$ poods 'Channels of the shallow straits,' Pliny (Nat. Hist. 3. 1) says of the Straits of Gibraltar, frequenter taeniae candicantis vadi carinas tentant. Curtius rejects the connexion with $\tau \hat{\prime} \gamma \gamma \omega$, which is given by a Schol., and would look rather to stagnum.
 taken together as by Paley; 'Where he came to land at the bourne which sped him on his homeward way,' i.e. the reaching of which enabled him to start back speedily. For the genitive cf. Asch. Choeph. $85 \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma \delta \varepsilon$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho \subset \pi$ ท̂s $\pi о \mu \pi$ ok.

26 yâv фpáSaraє 'Made the land known,' i.e. explored the shores as he had the straits. Paley renders 'defined the limits of the earth,' Schol. [ $\phi \rho a \delta \iota \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ] є̇тоіŋбє каi $\delta \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu$.

27 тарац. Mss. $-\beta \eta$, 'Art thou diverting'? Cf. Asch. Choeph. 963 $\dot{a} \mu \epsilon \ell \psi \in \tau \alpha \ell$, 'will bring a change over,' Eur. Med. 1266, Asch. Sept. c. Th. 58 ráqevoat, 'set as captains.' Eur. Heracleid. 664 тá $\sigma \sigma \in \tau a c$, Helen. 381 є̇єєхорєи́бато, Soph. Trash. 738 бтvزoú $\mu \in \nu \quad \nu$, 'causing hatred.' In these cases the object (expressed or understood) of the middle verb would be the subject of the corresponding active verb. The poet
rather checks himself in an mmaginary voyage to Gadeira, than breaks off the praises of Herakles. But it is appropriate and gracious to say that not even the celebration of a jap $\omega$ s $\theta \in b s$ must interfere with the due praise of $\epsilon^{2} \sigma \lambda_{0} l$, 'worthy men.' He goes on to imply that Aeakidae need not take any alieneven Hêrakles-as an example of prowess.

28 Alakw.... үध́vel te Almost hendiadys, 'the race of Aeakos.'
 Soph. Sj. 1108.

29 'The flower of justice concurs with the maxim, "praise the noble." For êmetal of. O. 2. 22
 кои́pals. For äwtos cf. O. 1. 15, 2. 7, N. 2. 9 ; for the infinitive of. P. 1. $68,2.24$, N. 9.6 (where there is the same sentiment). 入óyw Echoed v. 68 .

30 d $\lambda \lambda$. Ep. 'Yearnings after quests of aliens,' such as those of Herakles. For $\phi \in ́ p \in t v=\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \in \tau \nu$ of.
 Theogn, 1322 रעoùs है pos ús $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \grave{\nu}$ रiveraı au $\nu \delta \rho i$ фépetv. This verse is
 For infinitive of. O. 7. 25. The poet states in a negative form that
 is complimenting the victor, not, as Leop. Schmidt thinks, warning him against unwise ambition.

31 olko日ev $\mu$ áтєvє 'Search at home' for examples of lofty aspira-
 55
 5 ôs каi ’ 'I $\omega \lambda \kappa o ̀ v ~ \epsilon i ̉ \lambda \epsilon ~ \mu o ́ v o s ~ a ै \nu є v ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s, ~$

## 356 каì тортíav ఆє́тьд катє́ $\mu a \rho \psi \epsilon \nu$
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tions. The victor is addressed, being included in $\gamma \in \boldsymbol{v} \in \iota v$. 28. The word ávojpi (implying Aristokleidas) positively demonstrates this and precludes Bury's view that 'Pindar still addresses his soul.' kóб $\mu$ ov 'A decoration' namely the crown of the victory at Nemea.

32 үapvépev Consequential infinitive (with indefinite subject implying the poet) after roтiфорор, 'adapted for some sweet utterance' cf. ảoเ $\delta a ̀ \nu \ldots \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu \ldots o ̉ \pi a \delta b \nu v v .7$, 8. тa入aıaîб८ $\delta^{\prime}$ év dipєтaîs Schol.
 ò $\Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon$ ย̀s кai $\dot{v} \mu \nu \in i ̂ t o . ~ D o n . ~ n e e d-~$ lessly alters to $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota \alpha \imath \imath \sigma \iota \nu{ }^{\epsilon}$, á. with the full stop moved on to the end of the line, comparing 0.13 .50 ,

 Render 'For among instances of ancient worth-King Pêleus delights in having cut a matchless spear, \&c.' For $\epsilon \nu={ }^{\prime}$ 'in the sphere of' of. O. and P. p. xxvi, N. 1. 34. Dr Postgate for the $\alpha \pi a \xi$ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma$. $\dot{\pi} \epsilon \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu$ proposes $\delta \pi \epsilon \in \rho a \lambda \frac{1}{}$ for $\pi \epsilon \rho l a \lambda \lambda o \nu$ (cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho a ́ \pi \tau \omega \nu, \mathrm{P}$.
 $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho a \lambda \lambda o v$ is supported by Frag. 39.
 must admit some unique forms. Dissen's provectior aetate for $\epsilon \nu$ $\pi a \lambda$. a $\rho$. is undoubtedly wrong. His reference to $\delta \in \delta \delta о \rho \kappa \in \nu$, N. 9. 41 (which clearly refers to the past yet is not an ordinary perfect) to explain the tense, does not apply to a present perfect like $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \theta \in$. Pêleus is represented as still rejoicing in the renown of his spear cutting,
sung by rhapsôdists, cf. Il. 16. 140-144 (repeated 19.387-391). The Schol. quotes $\tau \alpha \mu \in$ for $\pi \delta \rho \in$ in the line $\Pi \eta \lambda \iota a \delta \alpha \quad \mu \in \lambda i \not \eta \nu \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu \quad \pi a \tau \rho i$ $\phi i \lambda \psi \pi$ то́є Xeipur. This passage
 $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega ิ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho / \sigma \iota \nu$, Frag. 108. For Pêleus of. N. 4. 54.

34 Pêleus overcame the host of the mortal Akastos son of Pelias (according to a Schol. Pherekydes related that he was assisted by Iâson and the Dioskuroi) and also the divine Thetis. Cf. N. 4. 54. ós Tautometrically echoed v. 68. кal A long syllable before ' $\mathrm{I} \omega \lambda \kappa$ к̀̀ to which Christ prefixes the digamma without warrant. For hiatus cf. Mommsen, Adnot. Crit. on O. 13. 34, and O. and P. p. xxxi. I. 7.56 we find dot dal eै̉ $\lambda \pi$ ov. $\mu$ óvos a้vev $\sigma \tau p a r i a ̂ s ~ D i s s e n ~ c i t e s ~ I l . ~ 22 . ~$ 39, Od. 4. 367, 21. 364 for the pleonasm. The second instance is perhaps not to the point.

35 кarérap $\psi \in v$ 'Seized and held,' as in O. 6. 14.

36 '̇yкоvŋri From the meaning of є่रкоvє $\omega$ we gather that the adverb means 'by perseverance' or 'by dint of activity.' Thetis could change her shape like Prôteus. Cf. N. 4. 62-65. The Schol. quotes a Frag. of Soph. Troilus ${ }^{z} \gamma \eta \mu \in \nu$, ${ }^{\text {w }}$ Eै $\gamma \eta \mu \in \nu$ dं $\phi \theta$ ó $\gamma \gamma$ ous $\gamma \dot{a} \mu$ ous, $\mid \tau \hat{p} \pi a \nu \tau o-$
 again from the Achillis Erastae $\tau$ ts

 Here and N. 5. 4 'broad and strong'; elsewhere 'widely potent' e.g. I. 2. 18. See note on O. 12. 2.

'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \grave{\partial} \nu \quad \phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$.

 oй $\pi о \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \in \iota$

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \gamma^{\prime}$.


37 Note the omission of any mention of Hêrakles in connexion with Telamon and Iolâos. Cf. N. 4. 25. "ौєєрनєv 'Overwhelmed,' ' destroyed,' cf. Eur. Herc. Fur.
 Aj. 1198.

38 'And one while followed he him (Iolâos) in quest of the power of the Amazons with their brazen bows.' For the hypallage see 0. and P. p. xxiii. ả $\lambda \kappa \alpha{ }^{2}$ Cf. al $\chi \mu a ̀ \nu$ 'A $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho \dot{́} \omega \nu$ os N. 10. 13, I. 4. 33, P. 11. 61 Káotopos $\beta l a \nu$.

39 aiv $\delta$ po $\delta$ á $\mu a, s$. The termination may be -as Epic. See O. and P. p. xxx as to participles in -acs,

 vav. 'Quelled the temper of his heart.' dккцáv, Mr Fanshawe renders 'temper,' comparing $\sigma \tau о \mu b \omega$ 'to temper,' 'to give edge ( $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ ) to.'

40 tujogila Cf, note on $v, 30$ supra. We use 'nobility' for the qualities which ennoble, Don. renders 'valor,' comparing Aesch.
 means 'courageous resolve of soul.'
 d́ $\rho \eta \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu^{\prime} \epsilon \ell^{\prime} \tau \iota \nu$ os $\pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{0} \mid \eta \eta^{n} \chi \in \epsilon \rho l$


Verg. Aen. 1. 151 pietate grauem.
41 Sıठákт' For sentiment cf. 0.9.100,2.86. $\psi \in \phi \eta \nu o ́ s ~ C f . N . ~$



 perhaps 'breathed' in two directions, perhaps the use developed out of $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \in \alpha \pi \nu \in \operatorname{lov}$ tas 11. 24. 364. גंтрєкє́є 'Unflinching.'

42 кат $\in \beta a$ 'He entered the lists.' Cf. P. 11. 49 रv $\mu \nu \partial \nu \quad \epsilon \pi i$ oтádov катаßávтes. The aorist is gnomic. Notwithstanding the echoes of $v v .20,21$ which might recall also кaт $\hat{\beta} \beta$ aıve v. 25 , к $\alpha \tau \in \beta \alpha$ here cannot mean 'come to shore.' The man taught inuita Minerua enters the lists with an unsteady step that bewrays the ineffectual irresolute frame of mind with which he sips the draught of toil and danger for which he has no stomach. ảpєeâv 'Kinds of distinction.' For the vague sense cf. P. 1. 41. Generally dpeтal means either 'merits,' 'virtues,' or 'victories' or 'noble deeds.' $\quad$ 'т $\tau \lambda \epsilon \bar{i}$ 'Ineffectual.'

43 T⿺̀̀ $\mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ The answering $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ would regularly come with the general sense of $v v$. $59-63$, but the construction alters in the course of

 80
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'A $\nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.





the long interval. For the parechesis cf. v. $58-\epsilon \nu{ }_{\epsilon} \nu$, P. 3. 68 каi $\kappa \in \nu \in \nu, 0$. and P. p. xxiv.
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu a \sigma \theta a \iota$ Bacchyl. 18. 57. Xєрб८ For the plur. of. O. 13. $95 \tau$ d
 for throwing spears with either hand ef. Il. 21. 162.

45 The boy had small weapons. $\chi_{\sigma \alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ So Moschopulos for $\ell^{\prime} \sigma \circ \nu \tau^{\prime}$. ג́vépols mss. d̀vé $\mu \circ \iota \sigma t \nu$. Moschopulos altered to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \mu о \iota \sigma \iota \nu \mid \dot{\epsilon} \nu \mu a ́ \chi \propto$入є́ovaty.

46 Étparaev Not like Aesch.
 where the phrase means 'What he must do or say for his conduct to be pleasing to the deities.' Render 'he was wont to deal slaughter in fight on savage lions.'

47 ба́цата Mommsen accepts
 two Scholl. ; but Dissen points out that $\tilde{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu a$ generally applies to the wounded and dying (cf. N. 10. 74), and that the position of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota$ would be unsatisfactory. Moreover mention of Achilles' panting seems in bad taste. Rauchenstein's $\sigma \omega^{\prime}-$
$\mu a \tau a \ldots \dot{a} \sigma \theta \mu a l \nu \omega \nu \quad \epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{\prime} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \nu$ is due to his having misunderstood an old paraphrase of $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \mu \alpha\{\nu о \nu \tau \iota$.
$49 \delta^{\prime}$ " $\pi \tau \epsilon 1 \tau^{3}$ \& $\nu$ Böckh altered to $\tau^{\prime}$ ह $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \nu$ needlessly.

50 "Aprє 1 /s A special patroness of Cheiron, but considering the tone of the ode was Pindar thinking of the Theban Artemis Eukleia?

51 ävev кvvผิv, к. $\tau . \lambda$. A parallel to $v .34 \mu$ б́vos ắvєv $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s$.
 is this story of men of yore which I have to tell,' For $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma$. cf. P. 5. 101. Dissen and others take the gen. as one of origin with the participle as in O. 8. 44, which I explain differently.

55 8iסag€ Yet Asklêpios was not one $\delta$ is $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \kappa \tau^{\prime}$ ' ' $\chi \epsilon \iota v$. 41. Pindar does not scruple to use a word in two different senses though the position of the later instance is likely to recall the earlier, and in a sense teaching is teaching whether the pupil has or has not the requisite natural capacity. Cf. O. 11. 20,21 note. $\mu$ a入aкóxeเpa Cf. P.


7 Nпpéos $\theta$ v́yatpa, yóvov тé foı фépтatov

100
' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
a őфра $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma i a \iota s ~ a ́ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ ค ́ \iota \pi a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~$
60 ъ і́тò T T $\omega$ lià
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 коі́радоs ò $\pi i \sigma \omega$
 vó $\mu$ ov Mss. also give vouov, and a Schol. interprets by $\delta \iota a \nu \epsilon \in \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, 'apportionment.' Render $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu}$ 'practice.'

56 'And presided at the wedding of Nêreus' bright-headed daughter and cherished for her her matchless offspring, developing all his character by fitting lore' (or 'improving his courage in all respects by fitting exercises'). For Cheiron's part in the marriage cf. I. 7. 41. The mss, are somewhat in favor of á $\gamma \lambda \alpha \dot{\kappa \alpha р \pi о \nu . ~ I t ~ h a s ~}$ been suggested to me that $\alpha \gamma \lambda a \delta-$ калтоs (which Paley renders 'fairwristed') $=$ дрьбтотбккєа (Theok, 24. 72) ; but Hermann on картотрбфоь, Eur. Ion 475, says that карт's is not used of children, but of seed, as $\Delta$ lo८ кь картоіิs, Ion 922. 'Brightwristed' could only apply to a braceleted wrist. For bracelets in connexion with Thetis cf. Il. 18. $393-405$, if Paley is right as to ©puous meaning 'bracelets.' Bury (following Böckh) adopts a correction in D, namely $\alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \lambda a \delta \kappa \rho a \nu o \nu$, and needlessly assumes Thetis to be 'queen of well-heads.' But aj $\gamma \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \rho \alpha \nu o \nu$ can mean 'with bright head' as is proved by Bacchyl. 5.
 то Fibv. Bacchylides (17, 103 ff.$)$
fully explains the epithet 'brightheaded, ${ }^{\text {f }}$ for of the Nereids he says ámò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ á $\gamma \lambda a \mid \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \quad \gamma v i \omega \nu$ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \lambda s|\ddot{\omega} \sigma[\tau \epsilon] \pi v p o s s, \dot{a} \mu \phi i \quad \chi \alpha i \tau a c s|$
 This evidence turns the scale in favor of the text. Probably ${ }^{2} \gamma \lambda a b-$ картор is a correction of the faulty ả $\gamma \lambda \alpha \delta^{\prime} \kappa \alpha \rho \nu o \nu$ found in three old mss.

59 Cf. N. 7. 29.
60 Sopikтuтtov It is hard to say whether this refers to the סoûtos áкóvt $\omega \nu$ in actual fight or to a clashing of spears accompanying the battle cry. I decidedly incline to the former explanation. $\alpha \lambda a \lambda a, v$ Cf. Frag. 192, P. 1. 72 ó Фolvı Tvpбav $\hat{\nu} \nu \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \tau$ 's, 'the warrior host,' I. 6. $10{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \hat{\alpha} s$, 'from battle.' Compare the use of durâs, N. 9. 35.
$61 \Delta a p \delta a ́ v \omega v t e$ For $\tau \epsilon \ldots$...kal... re see 0 , and P. p. xxvii. The Phrygians and Dardani were from the north of Asia Minor, the Lycians from the south. $\mathfrak{e} \pi t \mu$. Xeipas Cf. Xen, Cyrop. 2. 1. $11 \chi$ хє̄pas $\sigma \nu \mu \mu i-$乡ovtas тoîs $\pi$ олє $\mu$ loıs, P. 4. $212 \mathrm{~Kb} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ -
 may mean 'violence,' 'fight,' cf, Il. 21. 469 , Soph. Phil. 1206. The Aethiopis ascribed to Arktinos seems to have been popular in Aegina. Cf. N. 8. 30.

62 ย̇v фpaoil $\pi a ́ \xi a \iota \theta^{\prime} \quad$ Cf. P. 8.
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.




5 ôs тáv
6 каì $\sigma є \mu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \lambda a a i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu є \rho i ́ \mu \nu a \iota s$

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

 strong variations on $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell \tau$
 ${ }^{\sharp} \nu \partial \theta \in \circ \quad \theta \nu \mu \varphi$. The use of $\epsilon \nu \in \pi a \xi \in \nu$, P. 2. 91 , is not quite the same. \% $\pi \omega$ s Not in a final clause elsewhere in Pindar's extant works, but we find $\dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta}$ final N. 8. 36, 37 and ús final O. 11. 28, P. 4. 7. oф/ar 'To their sorrow.' Dat.
 or almost to $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \phi \rho a \sigma l \pi \alpha ́ \xi \alpha l \theta^{\prime}$, ö $\bar{\pi} \omega \bar{s}$ $\mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. being the direct object.
 4. 10, 9. 38. Perhaps the kinship in prophetic faculty as well as in blood accounts for his being here called cousin of the seer Helenos rather than of any other son of Priamos. But Helenos was connected with Aegina by the services which he rendered to the Жacid Neoptolemos, for whom of. N. 7. 35-49. Tithônos was brother to Priamos.

64 äpape 'Depends therefrom,' $=$ 亿̈p $\rho \eta \tau \tau \iota$, i.e. from the Trojan war, and Memnon's slaughter especially which spread their bright fame as far as Aethiopia. Cf. N. 6. 47-55, I. 4. 39-45. фé $\gamma$ yos Cf. фdóos v. 84.

65 Zєv̂ An exultant shout of invocation, the $\beta$ od of $v .67$. See v.10. $\gamma$ áp The particle introduces
the reasons for the invocation. Cf. O. 4. 1. ${ }^{*} \beta a \lambda \epsilon v$ For metaphor ef. 0. 2. 83 - $85,1.112$.

66 xáppa 'Victory.' Cf. 0. 11. 22, P. 8. 64, perhaps O. 7. 44.

67 бט́v Tmesis, $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \in \notin \varepsilon$. Mezger compares Aesch. Sept.c.Th. 13, Suppl. 458 for the adj. $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ خे with a copula.

68 \%s Cf. v. 34. троб'Onke 'Made the theme of.' I regret having misled my readers by the strained translation 'wedded to.' $\lambda$ о́yч Cf.v. 29.
 tive yearnings for victory.' For the order cf. O. and P. p. xxv. For ả $\gamma \lambda$, of. O. 14. 6 .

70 חuもlov ©eáprov A temple or hall in Aegina belonging to the college of Pythian $\theta \in \omega \rho o l$ or sacred ambassadors to Delphi. To this college the victor doubtless belonged. There were similar colleges of perpetual $\theta \in \omega \rho o l$ at Mantinea, Thuk. 5. 47 § 9, Troezen, Paus. 2. 31. 9, Naupaktos, Thasos, and the four Pythii at Sparta. Müller, Dorier, 2. 18, Aeginetica, p. 134 f.
 is clearly shown perfection of those qualities in which one shall have proved himself pre-eminent.'

71 Sıaфaiv. See Introduction.
'А $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\tau} . \delta^{\prime}$.





72 трíтov... $\mu$ épos Accusative of general apposition; but here it comes under 'extent, range, sphere.' Madv. § 31 c.

73 ékaotov Sc. $\mu$ épos, 'in short, in each stage such as our mortal race hath in life.' So the Schol.

74 è $\lambda \hat{\chi}$ Not merely 'brings,' but 'forms a series of.'

75 ó $\theta$ varòs aláv There is a balance of evidence in favor of $\theta \nu a r \partial ̀ s$ against $\mu$ aкро̀s which would hardly need the article. Render 'The sum of mortal life brings even four virtues, for it bids us (as a fourth virtue) exercise prudence with regard to the present.' Cf. P. 4. 280-286 for the virtue characteristic of advanced age; while from P. 2. 63-65, we get courage as the virtue of early manhood, and kov入al, i.e. é̉ßovía, as that of $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ or $\pi \alpha \lambda a l \tau \epsilon \rho o l$. Cf. Frag. 182. But looking back to the exploits of Achillês aet. 6, it is hard to extract the first virtue characteristic of boyhood so as to identify it with temperance, indeed I think that the four virtues are two species of $\theta \rho \dot{d} \sigma o s$, and two of $\epsilon \dot{u}$ ßou $\lambda(a$, fearlessnessand endurance, boldness of design and prudence. Don. however thinks "that Pindar is speaking with reference to the Pythagorean division of virtue into four species, and that he assigns one virtue to each of the four ages of human life (on the same principle as that which Shakspere has followed in his description of the seven ages), namely, temperance
is the virtue of youth (Aristot. Eth. Nic. 1. 3), courage of early manhood (P. 2. 63), justice of maturer age,
 $\nu_{0}$ ) of old age (P. 2.65). That he is speaking of the virtues proper to each age is clear from $v .71$ : ${ }_{\omega}^{\omega} v$
 ages are prominently illustrated by the Aeakidae in this ode. It is obviously wrong to assume three ages only and to venture to assert that judgment is an excellence $\pi a i \delta \omega \nu$ $\nu \epsilon \omega \nu$. Old age is not the common
 paratively rare, as is its characteristic prudence or judgment, the fruit of long experience. Both the dù $\rho$ and the $\pi a \lambda a i t \epsilon p o s$ are bidden to adopt even (kai) a fourth virtue (of a fourth age is implied) and to be
 таєтє̂̂ $\beta$ เoт $\hat{Q}$ (P. 4. 282). If this fourth age is illustrated at all, it is by the $\beta a \theta \nu \mu \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ X $\in!\rho \omega \nu$. The four horses of a team are usually driven all at once. If each age has its own excellence, and there is one excellence common to three ages, and $\epsilon \lambda \hat{q}$ means 'drive a team of,' we get only a pair of horses (or mares) with two changes of Judgment's fellow; or else we start with Judgment and one other horse and take up the third and fourth during the drive. This seems hard on Judgment, and surely it is hard on the poet to saddle him with such a metaphor. The metaphor is from a swathe or a furrow or a row of plants or any progressive work along a line.
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'Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime}$.

$\mathrm{I}_{40}$
b ôs ê $\lambda a \beta \in \nu$ aîqa, тך $\lambda o ́ \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau a \mu a \iota o ́ \mu \in \nu o \varsigma, \delta a \phi о \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \gamma \rho a \nu ~$ тобív.

76 Tஸ̂v I.e. $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \omega \nu$ dं $\rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ' Of these thou hast no lack.' Mezger reads än $\pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. Aristokleidas was not necessarily approaching old age at the date of this ode any more than Dâmophilos, P. 4. $\phi$ ( $\lambda$ os Nominative for vocative. Cf. P. 1.92. For $\chi$ aîpe cf.P. 2. 67, I. 1. 32.
$77 \mu^{\prime \prime} \lambda_{\iota}$ Cf. I. 4. $54 \varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \nu \delta^{\prime}$


 The Schol. suggests that $\gamma \dot{d} \lambda a$ represents the natural talent displayed in the ode, $\mu$ elc the skilled labor. But Pindar would hardly apply the metaphor of honey so often to his verse (e.g. Frag. $129 \mu \in \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma о \tau є u ́ \kappa т \omega \nu$
 thought of its being a labored product. The main idea is a sweet thought. The ingredients may be suggested by the крaтท̀ $\rho$ р $\emptyset \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ cos, of the Muses, of. Lucr. 1. 947 Musaeo dulci melle, and of Pan, cf, Theok, 5. 58. Of. Plat. Ion 534 A, of poets, $\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ al $\beta \dot{\alpha} \kappa \chi \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho u ́ t o \nu t a \iota ~$

 $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ 入єuкồ $\pi \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau o s \pi b \theta$ os $\pi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ got milk and honey. (Perhaps the
 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu a$, but see I. 5. 2, 7.) Philostratos, In Vitis Sophistt. p. 511, ed. Olear. Td̀s $\delta^{\prime}$ évyolas liflas te kal


 of song ef. O. 6. 91, I. 5. 2, 7-9. With yá入a $\lambda_{\text {evк }}{ }^{\nu}$ cf. Frag. 143, Lucr. 1. 258 candens lacteus umor.

78 kıpv. к. т. $\lambda$. 'The froth of the stirring enfolds it.' The ingredients are blended by brisk stirring which produces such a froth as to surmount the brim and overflow. Cf. $\pi \hat{\imath} \rho \not{ }^{2} \mu \phi \in \pi \epsilon \nu$ Il. 16. 124. For the parenthesis of. P. 10. 45 $\mu \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \nu$ पaváas тoтè maîs, à $\gamma \in i ̂ r o ~ \delta '$

 'To the accompaniment of,' ef. 0. 7. 12.

80 aierós The eagle and the daws (cf. O. 2. 87, 88) are found in a fragment attributed to Alkaeos

 and in Bacchyl. 5. 16-23 Bäv̀v
 $\pi \tau \epsilon \rho u ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma t$ тaxє $\mid$ |als alecos, eủpv-


 Mr A. Platt (Class. Rev. Feb. 1898) wildly suggests that the metaphor applies to Hiero and not to Bacchylides.

81 For the eagle seizing the hare cf. II. 22. 308, and coins of Elis and Agrigentum. See Plate. $\mu є т а \mu а$ о́ $\mu \in v o s$ 'Though it make its swoop from afar.' Saфoเvóv 'Tawny.'
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 фáos.

82 тaाtєเvd̀ véноитal 'Have a low range of flight.' For $\nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha$, $=$ ' to have a range' of. Simonides, Frag. 5 [12] (Plat. Protag. 339 c)
 $\nu \epsilon \mu \in \tau a l$, 'even the saw of Pittakos goth not far enough to suit me,' Hêrod. 9. 7 каl to $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi \pi^{\prime} \eta \eta_{\mu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu}$
 "Eג入ךขas. Cf. $є \pi \iota \nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ 'encroach.' The poet means that it is easy for him to give lively interest to a distant event in a case where the ode of an inferior poet would fall flat.
$83 \gamma^{€} \mu \hat{v} \nu \quad$ I.e. $\gamma є \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$, 'however.' Cf. N. 10. 33, P. 4.50, O. 12. 5. K入єioûs Perhaps chosen because of the victor's name. For omens in names cf. O.6.56, Aesch. Prom. 85, N. 2. 8.

84 वึтर́. For position cf. 0. 7. $12,8.47, \mathrm{P} .2 .10,11,59,5.66$, 8. 99, N. 9.22. For prep. with $-\theta \epsilon \nu$ cf. Hês. W. and D. $763 \epsilon \kappa \Delta \iota \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. SéSopkev Cf. O. 1. 94, N. 9. 41, and for the perfect of. O. 1. 53. The phrase $\delta \in ́ \delta о \rho \kappa$. фá. answers to äpape фє́rүos, v. 64 supra (Mezger).

## NEMEA IV.

## ON THE VICTORY OF TIMASARCHOS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Tmasarchos, son of Timokritos, a harper ( $v .14$ ), of the family or clan of the Theandridae, was victorious in the boys' wrestling match at Thebes in the Hêrakleia, at Athens in the Panathênaea and at Nemea. The last victory was won B.c. 461, Ol. 79, 3, or a little earlier. The ode was most likely processional, as it is monostrophic. It was probably sung before a banquet as a $\pi \rho о к \omega ́ \mu \iota \nu(v .11)$.

The theme of the ode is the power of song to extend a victor's fame through time and space. The distant centres of Æacid sovereignty, Dodona, Phthia, Leuke, Cyprus, and even the shores of Acheron, are centres of fame for the $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ i $\sigma o \delta a i \mu \omega \nu$ Timâsarchos. From stanza 4 to stanza 9, the central portion of the ode, is mythical, though from v. 30 to v. 45 there is a break which chiefly concerns the victor. The rest celebrates the triumphs and sway of Eacid heroes.

There are some significant echoes: $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \hat{\prime} \nu-v v .43,61$ (see note on
 See also $v .16$ note. There is one reinforced tautometric echo which seems significant, emphasising the friendship between Aegina and Thebes,
 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \phi\left(\lambda_{\text {os }} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu\right.$. The rest are either superfluous or without poetic sig-

 єย̂ v. 43, $\epsilon \mathfrak{u}-v .19, \kappa \in v v .7,15,-\omega \nu \iota-v v .87,47$, oủ v. 28, ou゙-v. 20, oủ-v. 4,
 - $\epsilon v$ v. 77, єv่-v. 5.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are $\dot{d} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho 0 \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi a s, \dot{\alpha} \in \xi \zeta \zeta \gamma v i o s, \kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \delta \hat{\delta} \tau \iota s$, and common to Aeschylus and Pindar are iбoठain $\omega \nu$ and $\pi a \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau \eta$ 's (also used three times in the newly found Bacchylides).

The mode is Lydo-Æolian. The metre is logacedic. Verses 1-4 of the strophe consist of six 2nd Pherecratics ( $A$ ) evenly disposed about a second Glyconic ( $G^{2}$ ) in an antithetic mesodic period. Verses 5-8 are apparently unsymmetrical, though two symmetrical periods or one antithetic mesodic epodic period can be assumed.


## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-8. Feasting and song are the best recompense for severe struggles.
9-13. Dedication of the ode.
13-24. Had Timokritos been alive he would have played the lyre on the occasions of his son's victories at Nemea, Athens and Thebes.
25-30. Telamon's exploits as Hêrakles' comrade.
30-32. Achievements entail suffering.
$33-43$. The poet checks himself and bids the victor strive boldly against calumnies.
44-68. Praise of Aeakidae.
69-72. The poet again checks himself.
73-92. Praise of the victor and his family.
93-96. Praise of his trainer Melêsias.

[^1]1 "A
2 iatpós. aí סè $\sigma o \phi a i ̀$





1 єủфpooviva 'Good cheer,' cf. P. 4. 129, I. 3. 10 . кєкрцце́vшу ' When a painful struggle is decided,' cf. O. 3. $21, \mathrm{~N} .10 .23$ $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta \lambda \omega \nu \kappa \rho i \sigma t \nu, 0.7 .80$ крlбts $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi^{\prime}$ det $\theta$ dous; or 'when labors have won a favorable verdict' (or 'distinction'), cf. I. 4. 11, N. 7. 7. Bacchyl. 11. 4 ff . (of Nika) $\epsilon^{2} \nu \pi 0 \lambda v \chi \rho v^{-}$

 roîs da $\rho \in \tau$ âs. Don. explains the Schol. крi $\sigma \iota \nu \lambda a \beta b \nu \tau \omega \nu$ кai $\sigma v \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma-$ $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \omega \nu$, ' brought to a determination.' Perhaps the name Tıио́крстоs suggested the phrase.

2 latpós For the order of. 0. and P. p. xxy. For the phrase of. Aesch. Choeph. $698 \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}, \eta ँ \eta \epsilon \rho$ èv

 There seems to be a double allusion, to skill in leecheraft and skill in poetry, in this instance.

3 Өúyatpes doıঠai Cf. N. 3. 1. Songs are elements of eviфpociva. $\theta \in \lambda \xi a v$ 'Not 'produce by spells' $L$. and S., but with the Schol. 'soothe.' $\nu เ v ~ ' H i m, ' ~ t h e ~ v i c t o r, ~ i m p l i e d ~ i n ~$ $\pi \delta \nu . к є \kappa \rho$, , cf. N. 8. 21-23. Don. Paley. Mommsen however [comparing P. 3. 63 kal $\tau \ell$ Fol ( $\left.\mathrm{X}_{\epsilon} l \rho \omega \nu \iota\right) \mid$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \in \tau \rho \rho o \iota \tau \ell \theta \epsilon \nu]$ and Mezger explain $\nu \iota \nu=\epsilon \dot{\nu} \phi \rho о \sigma \dot{\psi} \nu \alpha \nu$, taking $\dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \delta \mu \in \nu a \iota$ $=$ 'when they set to work'; but I prefer 'by their touch,' of. P, 4. 271


$4 \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{E}}$ The force is-that sooth-
ing as water is, its soothing properties are proportionately small. However, Plutarch, de Tranqu. 6,
 $\mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \grave{a} \gamma v i \hat{a}, \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \Pi i \nu \delta a \rho o v, \dot{\omega} s \delta \delta \dot{\xi} a$
 The adjective is proleptic, 'bedew with soft relief,' ' soften by moistening,' 'steep limbs in softness' (Holmes). mss. give teúरel, but Plutarch's more uncommon word and tense are more likely to be original. Edd. read $\tau \in(\gamma \varepsilon \epsilon$, but for the gnomic future cf. O. 7. 3, where it is taken up by a gnomic aorist.





 à $\pi \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \mu$ ' єủ $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu$. Cf. $i b, 309$.
 and S. cite Kallim. Apoll. 94. Of. $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ relative Aesch. Pers. 100. ovváopos As eủ $\phi \rho o \sigma u ̛ \nu a$ is áplatos
 Pindar can 'wed' such ideas regardless of gender. But 'linked,' 'associated' will suffice. Cf. I. 6. 19.

6 For sentiment of. P. 3. 114, Frag. $98 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime} \in \sigma \lambda 0 i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu \dot{u} \mu \nu \in i \sigma \theta a \iota$


 ${ }^{z} \rho \gamma o \nu$. Note that $\hat{\rho} \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} \rho \gamma \mu \alpha^{\prime}-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ is echoed by $v^{3} \mu \nu o s \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \omega \hat{\nu}$ | е́p $\rho \mu \dot{d} \tau \nu \nu v v .83,84$.

7 ö, т८ кє $\kappa$ vi X аріттш тú $\chi$ a


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \beta^{\prime} .
$$

 15
${ }_{2} \mathrm{~T} \imath \mu a \sigma a ́ \rho \chi o v ~ \tau \epsilon \pi a ́ \lambda a$


20



7 ce For ce with optative in protasis, with pres. ind. in apodosis see Goodw. M. and T. 506, P. 4. 264 and my notes. Of course $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda$ dos has been altered to $\epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \eta$. 'Whatsoever a tongue would (as mine will) haply draw forth.' Guv... túxa Cf. N. 6. 25.

8 фрєvòs 'égénol $\beta a \theta \in$ las Meager compares N. 3. 9. Paley says the metaphor is from drawing arrows out of a quiver, but the epithet $\beta a \theta \epsilon i a s$ rather suggests choosing from a rich store. Don. quotes

 ßoùє $\hat{\sigma} \sigma \mathrm{a}$, where the meaning may be a little different. See on $\beta a \theta v$ logos, P. 1. 66, 0. 2. $54 \beta a \theta$ rial $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu a \nu$ ab $\gamma \rho о т$ é pal. Here oùv X api$\tau \omega \nu \tau u ́ \chi a$ may be equivalent to a protasis.

9 то́ 'Wherefore,' cf. P. 5. 37, Il. 17. 404, Soph. Phil. 142. Paley renders $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu$ ' to offer this trioute'; Cookesley 'dedicate this prerude, For $\theta^{\prime} \notin \in \nu$ cf. $v .81 . \quad \mu \mathrm{L}$ El $\eta$ For this phrase of. P. 1. 29 with the pronoun suppressed, P. 2. $96,0.1 .115, \mathrm{~N} .7 .24 \mathrm{f} . \epsilon \mathrm{l} \hat{\eta} \nu$ है $\tau \mathrm{d} \nu$ $\alpha \lambda \alpha \theta \in \varepsilon \alpha \nu \quad l \delta \epsilon \epsilon \in \nu$, with the pronoun in the accusative. тє-каl-тє See O, and P. p. xxvii. For the mention of the locality of the games, the victor's achievement and the god of the games together,



$N \in \mu$. т $\epsilon$ $\pi \alpha \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. is a hendiadys, 'wrestling at Nemea.'

11 трокш́رเov Editors and translators seem agreed that the 'prelade' or proëme of the processional ode sung by the kômos is meant. I think that the whole ode is the $\pi \rho о к \omega$ иног, the beginning of the revel, and that $\delta_{\mu \nu o v}$ is a genitive of 'material,' of. P. 4. 206 N $1 \theta \omega \nu$
 alto Is 'me' or 'the ode' the implied object? The latter most likely. The direct object of סéко $\quad$ ac is absent also P. 4. 70.

12 Sike, к.т. 1. 'A light that shines in view of all by reason of their justice in protecting aliens': metaphor from a beacon ( $\pi v \rho \sigma \delta s$ ). For the justice of Æginetans cf. O. 8. 26.

13 Ga цєvєí Elsewhere in Pindar this adjective means 'quickened by inspiration, 'applied to Mêdea, Chei. ron, Dionysos (Frag. 133) and to $\tau 6 \lambda \mu \alpha$ (Frag. $216 \tau 6 \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \eta ̀ s$ каì $\sigma \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sigma \iota s \pi \rho \delta \sigma \kappa о \pi$ os $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \omega \sigma \in \nu)$. Here it is causative (P.4.81), in a metaphysical sense, 'quickening,' 'insparing.'

14 € $\theta$ ai $\lambda \pi є$ то ${ }^{\text {' Had been basking }}$ in' (Holmes). moukìlov Cf. O.


##  <br> 

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime} .
$$

I K $\lambda \epsilon \omega \nu a i o v \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\pi^{\prime}$ ả $\gamma \omega \hat{\omega} \nu o s$ ôp $\mu o \nu \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v \omega \nu$
 flute N．8． 15 ф $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu$ Av $\delta l a \nu \mu i \tau \rho a \nu$ каעахךঠ̀̀ $\pi \epsilon \pi т о \kappa \iota \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu a \nu$ ．For con－ struction cf．Hês．Scut．Herc． 202 i $\mu$ ероєє кı $\theta \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \zeta$ є．The Schol．rightly takes $\pi о \iota \kappa$ ．кı $\theta$ ．with $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{d} \lambda \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau о$ ，after which editors have put the comma， disregarding the position of $\kappa \epsilon$ 。
$15 \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon}$ For the dat．cf．
 perhaps $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$ is＇such，＇cf．O．4．24， N．9．42，Aesch．$A g .942 \nu โ \kappa \eta \nu \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \epsilon$ ． Render＇devoting himself（so Paley） to such a strain．＇Mezger＇an dieses Lied sich anschliessend $=$ mein Lied mit der Kithara begleitend．＇If the father of Timêsarchos was a＇lyric poet，＇as Don．and Dissen say，Pin－ dar would probably not use language that would make him manifestly inferior to himself．But Mezger more cautiously calls the father only a musician，which is all that can be strictly inferred from the passage．Even so the $\theta a \mu \alpha$ and the aorist suggest that $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \varepsilon$ should not be limited to the present ode， especially as three victories are immediately mentioned．

16 yóvov Some substantive de－ noting the victor is（as Bergk saw， reading vid̀ ）needed to justify the change from the second person to the third $v v .21,23$ ，in spite of Dissen＇s＇transitu maxime Pin－ darico．＇He compares N．5．43，45， but that passage（q．v．）is irrelevant． The v．l．$\pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi$ a $\quad$ тos $v . ~ 18$ is of in－ ferior ms．authority，and though supported by the Schol．yet is clearly taken wrongly，and is a very obvious grammarian＇s alteration， and at best gives a very harsh con－ struction，so that we should decide in favor of $\pi \epsilon \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$＇for having
brought，and against ${ }^{\nu} \mu \nu o \nu . ~ I$ formerly suggested $\pi a \hat{i} \delta^{\prime} d \gamma-$ ，think－ ing that a lacuna had been filled up with $u \mu \nu o \nu$ ．It is simpler to assume that a gap before－$\nu$ oy was filled with ${ }^{3} \mu$－instead of $\gamma 6$－．No one with vid $\nu$ before him would be likely to expand it into $\ddot{u} \mu \nu 0 \nu$ ，and even if $v$ or $v \iota$ were followed by a gap the lack of space would probably preclude the conjecture ${ }^{*} \mu \nu \nu \nu$ ．Between ró－and $u \mu$－there is much less difference of space than between $\iota$ and $\mu \nu$ ．There is a little more distinction in róvop than in veóv．кєл．кал入．｜K $\lambda \epsilon$ ． Curiously echoed by $v .80 \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\iota} \mathrm{\kappa} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\epsilon} \mathrm{\hat{} \mathrm{\imath}}$ $\kappa \in \lambda \in \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota s$（and v．86，of Kallikles， $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ єं่ $\rho \in \in \tau \omega \kappa \epsilon \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \tau L \nu)$ ．
$17 \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu \mathrm{aiov}$ Of．N．10． 42. The citizens of K $\lambda$ к $\omega$ a ai near Nemea managed the Nemean games for a long period，in which fell the dates of these two odes N． 4 and 10，and going back at least a generation．Cf． Plat．Vit．Arat．c．28．One Schol． on the Nemeans says that first the Kleônaeoi and then the Corinthians presided．$\quad \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime} \ldots \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\prime}(v .19)$ Couple the $\dot{\alpha} \pi$＇clause to the oüvek＇clause． ठороv $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha{ }^{2} \omega \nu$ ．The plur．of $\sigma \tau \notin \phi$ avos is used in reference to a single victory，P．2．6，3．73，10．26， I．3．11，N．9．53．The victors pro－ bably carried home crowns given to them in the $\phi$ 人入入ово入＜a（P．9． 123
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{1} v o u s)$ as well as the prize chap－ let．Hence the phrase＇a string （festoon）of crowns＇might refer to one victory，or as here to two． It is possible that öp $\mu$ ov $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{a} \nu \omega \nu$ may refer to the crowns of the chorus，of．Eur．Herc．Fur． 677



## $2 \pi \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi а \nu \tau a ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda ı \pi а р а ̂ \nu ~$


30



7 گ́є̀vov aैбтv катє́ $\delta \rho a \mu \in \nu$


18 入itapây For the two ad－ jectives，one descriptive，the other complimentary，cf．P．9．55， 106. For $\lambda l \pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ cf．Frag．54，I．2． 20.

20 Cf．Schol．on O．7． 154 （84）


 O．9． 148 tell us that the Hêrakleia （Iolaia）at Thebes were held by the common monument of Amphitryon and Iolâos，see also Pausanias 9.



 ＇Io入áov．Pindar speaks of＇Io ${ }^{\text {ááo }}$ túr $\beta$ os in connexion with these games，O．9．98．For the other Theban games held outside the Gate of Elektra（s．w．）of．I．3． 79.

21 ov̉k dák．Pindar＇s favorite
 and v．77．$\mu(y v y o v$ For this use of $\mu \ell \gamma \nu v \mu \ell$ cf．O．1．22．The $\phi \cup \lambda \lambda o \beta o \lambda i a$ is probably referred to． Cf．note on $v .17$ ö $\rho \mu$ оу $\sigma \tau \epsilon ф$ áv $^{\omega} \nu$ ． Böckh quotes Paus．6．7．1，Clem． Alex．Paedag．2． 8.

22 Alylvas Thêbê and Aegina were sisters，daughters of Asôpos by Metôpê．Cf．O．6．84，I．7．15－ 17．The Thebans applied to Aegina for aid against Athens when told by the Delphic oracle（B．c．504）$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ むүкıбтa $\delta \hat{\epsilon \in \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ H e ̂ r o d . ~ 5 . ~ 79, ~} 80$. ф（ $\lambda_{0}$ ort．．．$\phi$ i $\lambda_{0}$ An adverbial phrase $=$＇on terms of mutual friendship．＇

The dative does not go with äة $\sigma v$ but with $\phi$（ $\lambda o s$ ．$\lambda \theta \omega \dot{\omega}$ goes with ä $\sigma \tau v$, cf．P．4．52，I．2． 48.

23 Éviov＇Bound to welcome him，＇rather than＇strange，＇as Paley and Myers render．I take the Homeric sense＇hospes＇to be older than the non－Homeric＇strange．＇
 which Mommsen reads，rendering $\kappa a \tau \epsilon \delta \rho$ ．＇ $\bar{\lambda} \lambda$ ．＇venit et conspexit，＇ adding＇$\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$＇ex veniendo suspen－ sum est．＇The better sense and construction decide in favor of the text．The metaphor is from navi－ gation，＇run ashore，into port＇；so É $\delta a \mu \in \nu$ of a ship，Theognis．Dis－ sen＇s $\kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\epsilon} \delta \rho \alpha \mu \in \nu=\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \delta v$ is notright． Mezger renders＇ran down through the city＇：see next note．The metaphor applied to an Aeginetan is quite happy．If Thebes had been a port there would be no metaphor at all．In respect of hos－ pitality Thebes is another Aegina．
 thinks that the Hêrakleion outside the Gate of Elektra（Paus．9． 11. 2）is meant，where the Æginetan probably sacrificed before the games held at the opposite side of the city．Better Müller＇s view that＇the house of Amphitryon＇is intended， the lodgings of the competitors
 the neighbourhood：comp．Böckh Corp．Inscr．Gr．1，pp． 573 ff．

г то́рөضбє каі Мє́ротая
 4 oủ тєтраорías $\gamma є \pi \rho i ̀ \nu$ ठуш́ठєка тє́трр



25 Cf. N. 3. 37, Apollodôros 2. 6. $4, I l$. 5. 638. สข่้ $\Psi^{\text {® }}$ Note the dextrous transition to myth.

26 Mépotas The inhabitants of Kos. Cf. I. 5. 31. Note the zeugma; but of, N. 3. 37, Eur. H. F. 700.

27 Cf. O. and P. p. xxiv. ${ }^{3} A \lambda$ кvovฑ̂ A Giant slain by Hêrakles at Phlegra, the Isthmos of Pallênê probably, ef. Schol. on N. 1. 67 (100), I. 5. 33 (47) (though Pindar may have placed the Gigantomachia in Campania); but according to the Schol. a giant whose kine Hêrakles was driving from Erytheia and who was killed at the Isthmos of Corinth. There seems to be a confusion with the legend of Gêryones by the Schol. Cf. Apollodôros 1. 6. 1, 2. 7. 1. The statement that Telamon vanquished Alkyoneus may be in accordance with Aginetan legend, but the language need not be pressed. What Telamon did with Hêrakles may include what Hêrakles did himself. Still Telamon as o $\pi \lambda$ ir $\eta$ s may have given the coup de grâce after Hêrakles as $\psi / \lambda$ ós had brought the giant down with his arrows. Cf. I. 5. 33.
$28 \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho i v$ Cf. $\pi \rho i \nu \gamma \epsilon \quad$ oi... $\chi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu \partial ̀ \nu \mid \Pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} s \eta^{\eta} \nu \in \gamma \kappa \kappa^{\prime}, 0.13 .65$. Elsewhere in Pindar $\pi \rho i \nu$ as a conjunction takes the infinitive. тєтpaoplas The Homeric war chariots were bigae or trigae except in the case of Hektor, $I$. 8. 185, a suspected line, the Schol. Ven. denying that Homer ever men-
tions a quadriga. Amphiarâos has тє日platious Eur. Suppl. 925-927. The four-horse war chariots of post-Homeric Greek literature were perhaps borrowed from the Persians. Cf. Xen. Cyropaed. 6. 1. 27, 28. Euripides gives four-horse war chariots to Hyllos and Eurystheus, Heracl. 802, 860, to Thebans and Argives generally Suppl. 667, 675 , and mentions such chariots for travelling (in flight) Hel. 1039, Ion 1241.

29 Є$\pi \epsilon \mu \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ S This is a case of the strictly adjectival use of the participle, in which case the presence or absence of the article makes very little difference when the noun is definite. Cf. N. 7. 65.

30 8is tóoous The $\eta v i o \chi o s$ and тараı $\beta$ ár $\eta$ s of each of the twelvechariots. áтreьpopáXas 'Manifestly without experience of battle is whoso understandeth not the saying: for "when achieving aught it is likely that one should suffer." For this saying cf. Aesch. Choeph. 313 брáбaעt८ $\pi a \theta \in \hat{\imath} \nu, \mid \tau \rho \iota-$ $\gamma \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \quad \mu \hat{v} \theta \circ s \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \epsilon \quad \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \hat{i}$, where as Don. says the application is different, as the different tense of the participle shows. With the pres. the consequences of undertaking or beginning an action are considered, with the aorist the consequences of having done an action. Pindar has apparently adapted and extended the old formula which asserted that we must take the consequences of

8 ค́є̧одтá ть каі̀ таӨєî̀ є้оıкєข.




our conduct. Paley says 'Aristotle (Eth. Nic. 5. 8 ad init.) gives this as $\tau$ ò 'Padauávovos סiкaıov, El кє
 үє́volto.' Don. says 'Pindar refers to the trouble and loss sustained by Hercules and his followers before they could subdue the giant, hinting also that Timâsarchos had suffered a good deal before he won his wrestling match.' So also the Schol. who quotes from a tragedy
 $\lambda \epsilon \tau а$.

33 'The due arrangement (of my ode) and the time (occupied by the procession and so allowed for the performance of the ode) pressing on prevent my telling at length the long tale.' Cf. I. 1. $60 \pi \alpha \nu \tau a$


 usual structure' (Mezger), the prescribed limits. Cf. I. 5. $20 \tau \epsilon \in \theta \mu \iota \downarrow$ $\mu 0 \iota$ фaul бафе́бтатоע єival | Távo'
 rials.

35 lvyjı Cf. P. 4. 214. 'I feel my heart drawn on by a charm to touch on the festival of the new moon.' But tur弓 may here mean 'a yearning,' as in Aesch. Pers. 987, Aristoph. Lysistr. 1110. हौконаь
 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \partial \nu \quad \pi о \tau \iota \quad \delta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ т $\nu \nu \quad \AA \nu \delta \rho a$. The Schol. tells us that Iynx was daughter of Echo or Peitho, who having charmed Zeus into his passion for Io was changed into a
bird. vєo $\mu \eta \nu \not \subset \underset{\text { Cf. N. 3. } 2}{ } \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ iєpouŋvia $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota$, explained by the Schol. as for $i \in p o v o v \mu \eta \nu i a$ because the beginning of the month is sacred to Apollo, and therefore the time of $\dot{\eta}$ T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ह̇ँ $\pi \nu i \kappa \omega \nu$ ev่ $\omega \chi$ ia. Hence the poet does not here refer to the day of the victory in the Nemean games, if G. F. Unger (quoted by Mezger) is right in placing the summer Nemean games on the 18th of the Attic month Hekatombaeon. He certainly does not touch on $\dot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \nu\{\kappa \omega \nu \nu \dot{\jmath} \omega \chi i a$, and therefore there is small reason for saying that he desires to do so. A more comprehensible explanation is to be found, without even making the poet say the celebration of the victory when he means the victory. Probably the Theban Hêrakleia were celebrated at the beginning of the month, for the theme which he now dismisses is closely connected both in grammar and mythical association with the Theban victory mentioned, v. 19. As for the tense
 mains though its effect has just past. The $\delta e$ then is disjunctive, introducing a sort of apology for the previous digression. Bergk conjectures $\nu \in o \chi \mu i \alpha$ (from Hesych.: $\nu \in o \chi \mu i \eta \cdot \kappa<\nu \eta \sigma \iota s$ л $\rho \delta \sigma \phi a \tau o s)$, Hartung, $\nu$ éq $\mu \nu e l a$. Bury boldly takes $\nu \in o \mu$. as an adj.

36 '̇ $\mu \pi \pi a$ This refers back (cf. N. 6. 4) to v. 32, the general statement, as well as to the following clause which gives a particular
application;-'notwithstanding the fact that worthy achievement involves suffering, though a deep sea (of detraction) has hold of thee by the middle, strain against the evil designs of foes. We shall surely be seen returning from the struggle in full light superior to our foes, while our adversaries, of envious mien (or 'blinded by envy'), keep their ineffectual saws tossing in obscurity till they sink to the ground.' If we understand the metaphor to be from a man up to his waist in the sea, we destroy the force of $\beta a \theta \epsilon \hat{a}$. Pindar likens himself to a swimmer wrestling with a deep sea in foul weather. Though he were immersed all but head and shoulders, the sea, if likened to a wrestler, would be said to hold him by the waist, that grip being apparently the strongest known to the palaestra. His adversaries' inventions are the ineffectual waves of the sea of hostile criticism which are vanquished by the wrestling swimmer, who then comes to the haven of success in the light of fame. Thus रauaıтєтoīoav is a metaphor from wrestling as well as
 condition of the swimmer's struggle, for if the shore were enveloped in gloom a swimmer would generally be unable to land. So Odys-

 $\tau \epsilon \pi a \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}$ as $\lambda c \mu e ́ v a s ~ \tau \epsilon \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta{ }^{2}$, cf. ib. 392. Metaph. $\epsilon^{i v} \phi \alpha^{\prime} \in \iota=$ 'the bright season of fair renown' i.e. the triumph of openly uttered truth. The language also suits the return home of a victorious wrestler (cf. P. 8.83-87). I do not do away with the half false antithesis of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \phi \dot{4} \in \iota$ and $\sigma \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \psi$, which suggests the secret whisperings of malice as much if not more than the obscurity of the whisperers. Thus, we have one compound metaphor worked out regularly except in one minor
detail. Pindar compares not his enemies but their $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota ~ к \in \nu \in a l$ to waves. The error of taking datuv $\dot{\psi} \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \in \rho o c$ in a physical sense affords a less 'Dantesque image,' as Dr Postgate calls it, as oat for $\delta$ aituv e $\pi \iota \beta$ Bov \as : but it seems right to explain the phrase, 'superior to (or 'victorious over') foes." The word $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \tau \in \rho o s$ is almost always used in the sense of 'superior,' 'better,' in Tragedy, and so too $\mathbf{P}$. 2. 60, I. 1. 2. It is peculiarly appropriate in reference to wrestling. The presence of the compound metaphor of wrestling with a sea is generally admitted, so that if $v v .38-41$ can be explained in harmony with this, such an explanation has strong claims to acceptance. I cannot approve Dr Postgate's suggestion that the simile is drawn from a mountainous country. 'Pindar's detractors have occupied the passes and are hurling rocks upon him from the obscurity, which however fall ineffectual on the ground. Presently, like the Persians at Thermopylae, he carries the heights above them and pursues his way down the sunlit valleys on the other side.' For the form $\xi_{\mu \pi a}$ cf. Soph. $\Delta i$.

 $\kappa \in l$ ('assiduous all the same, although' [Jebb]) $\tau a \nu 乞 ̂ \nu \mid \tau \eta \lambda \omega \pi \delta{ }^{2}$ ol $\chi \nu \in \hat{L}$. This passage scarcely illustrates the position of ${ }^{\xi} \mu \pi \alpha$, as Don. holds. kaimep ëX $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{\iota}$ An unsupported construction. Pindar himself uses the usual participle or adjectival phrase with kalite at least four times. Ahrens proposed $\xi_{\mu \pi \alpha}$ каi
 mss. give кal $\pi \epsilon \rho$. The suggestions кal, кelitep are open to question, as the case seems neither imaginary nor, though actual, conceded with reluctance, or made light of. Cf. Jebb's note on $\kappa \in l$, Soph. Aj. 563. Comparing the
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65
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \boldsymbol{\varsigma}^{\prime}$.


 $\mu o \hat{v} \phi \theta b \nu 0 s, \mu \grave{\eta} \pi a p l \epsilon \iota$ кàd́, P. 1. 85, I would suggest кai $\gamma \dot{d} \rho$ in place of кalm $\epsilon$, which is very likely to have been substituted after ${ }^{\sharp} \mu \pi \alpha$. Cf. Soph. Aj. 122. Exett For the omission of the object cf. P. 2. 17, N. 7. 23. Still the omission of $\sigma \epsilon$ is curious. The metre allows us to read $\sigma^{\prime}$ after $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o \nu, v .37$. A reading $\mu \hat{k} \sigma \sigma o \nu s$ would easily pass into $\mu \dot{k} \sigma \sigma o u s$ and be corrected to $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o v$. Perhaps a marginal $\sigma^{\prime}$ wrongly inserted accounts for the version $\epsilon \pi \iota \beta$ ou入ials, though this may arise 'ex dittographia.' тоvт.
 Sopds anvaiö $^{2} \nu$, Eur. Phoen. 859, and several times besides in Aeschylos and Euripidês. Cf. Hamlet's 'sea of troubles.'
$37 \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o v$ For the phrase $\epsilon^{\prime} \chi \omega$ $\tau \iota \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \sigma o v ~ c f . ~ E u r . ~ O r e s t . ~ 265 ~$
 тapov, Aristoph. Acharn. 571 è $\gamma \dot{\omega}$

 $\lambda \alpha \beta \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ औфиктоע. For metaphor cf. Aristoph. Ran. 704 еै "Хотєеs кข $\mu$ át $\omega \nu$ èv $a^{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \dot{d} \lambda$ aıs (from Archilochos or Aeschyl.). $\delta$ ógouev For future as apodosis to imperative, Dissen compares the following passages: (1) without кal: Il. 23. 71 tánte $\mu \epsilon-\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a s$ 'A $\delta \delta a 0 \pi \epsilon p \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma$ : ef. Cic. Tusc. 4. 24. 53 tracta-intelliges: (2) with кal: Pyth. 4. 165, Aristoph.
 Dêm. de Corona, $264 \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \dot{a} \tau \omega, \kappa$ ả $\dot{\omega}$
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \xi \omega$ : Plat. Theaet. 154 c $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$,


38 èv ф́áєt For the opposition of $\sigma \kappa 6 \tau \psi(v .40)$ cf. Soph. Phil. 578 580 where $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ cls $\phi \hat{\omega} s$ is opposed
 For the metaphor cf. Aesch. Choeph.

 Balvelv The sense is the same as in N. 3. 2 ' 'to come to shore.'
$39 \phi \theta \mathrm{v} \epsilon \mathrm{\alpha}$ र́ For $\phi \theta, \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \pi \omega$
 dos Sing. for plur. Cf. tis, P. 1. 52 , also $\tau \iota \nu \alpha=$ 'many a one,' P. 2. 51, N. 1. 64.

40 бко́тч For metaphor cf. N. 3. 41, Sopl. Phil. 578.

41 'ュol $\delta^{\prime}, \kappa ., \tau . \lambda$. For sentiment ef. P. 5. 110 sqq. ápєtáv 'Talent.' The poet's faculty of silencing cavil and consummating victory by enduring song. Note that xpobos refers back to xpovié$\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu v .6$, while $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \mu^{\prime} \nu \alpha \nu$ is recalled v. 61. Pindar helps the triumphant consummation of Timâsarchos' merits even as Cheiron ministered to the triumph and reward of Pêleus. For $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota$ cf. P. 3. $114 \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \tau \nu a i ̂ s ~ d o o b \sigma i s ~ \mid ~$ хpovia $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \theta \epsilon$ c. Bacchyl. 13. 169
 $\epsilon^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} \epsilon_{\epsilon} \rho \gamma o t s,\left|\dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \theta \in l a \quad \phi \iota \lambda \in \hat{\imath}\right| \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$, ${ }_{\delta} \tau \varepsilon \pi \alpha \nu \delta \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \rho \mid \chi \rho b \nu o s \tau \delta \kappa а \lambda \omega \hat{s}$ | Épүuévov alè̀ d $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$.

42 Пó $\mu$ цos àvak Cf. P. 3. 86


3 є
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75
$7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Te} \lambda a \mu \omega \nu \iota a ́ \delta a \varsigma^{\circ}$ à $\tau \grave{a} \rho$


2 vấov. ఆétıs ס̀̀ кратєî


43 ертти Cf. O. 13. 105 el $\delta$ è

 $\pi \in \pi \rho ., ~ к . \tau_{0} \lambda$. 'Shall bring to its destined maturity.'
 the web of song.' Cf. P. 4. 275. кal тó8' aútika 'And that at once,' 'Aye and straightway' [Holmes]. The point is obviously to give vivacity. The $\phi \dot{\phi} \rho \mu \gamma \xi$ lives and obeys promptly the impetuous command.

46 Olvఱ́vą Oenônê was said to be the old name of Aegina before Zeus took Aegina daughter of Asôpos thither, Paus. 2. 29. 2. ajápXeL Dissen rightly explains 'rules far away from his country,' Mommsen 'praeit (saltantibus),' Teukros having led the way to Cyprus for the ode; Bergk (2nd ed.) suggests $\dot{a}^{\prime} \pi^{\prime} d^{\rho} \rho \chi \in \iota$, Hartung $\epsilon \in \pi a ́ p \chi \in \iota$ which gives good sense.

48 EXel 'Is tutelary deity of.' Cf. P. 5. 77. marpwav The Salamis 'of his fathers,' opposed to the ambiguam tellure noua Salamina futuram, promised to Teucer, Hor. Od. 1. 7. 29.

49 After death Achilles was said to have dwelt with Iphigeneia in Leukê, an island in the Euxine. Cf. Eur. $A n d r .1260$ т $\partial \nu \phi \lambda_{\tau} \tau \tau \delta \nu$


סb $\mu$ ous vaionta עทбt
 Iph. in Taur. 435 тdे $\pi$ о $\lambda \nu \delta \rho \nu 1 \theta$ о é $\pi^{\prime}$ aiav, | $\lambda \epsilon u \kappa \alpha ̀ \nu ~ a ́ к \tau \alpha ́ \nu, ~ ' A \chi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} o s ~ \mid ~$
 tóvtov. Pausanias, 3. 19. 11, places the island off the mouths of the Danube (Paley).

50 ఆ́́tis. Cf. Eur. Andr. 16


 Өéris | фє $\nu \iota \nu \lambda \epsilon \omega ̀ s \mid \Theta \epsilon \tau i \delta \epsilon \iota o \nu$ aủ $\delta \hat{a}$ $\theta \epsilon a ̂ s \chi^{a ́ p ı \nu}$ $\nu v \mu \phi \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. One Schol. says that the $\theta \in \tau i \delta \varepsilon i o n$ was a iepóv at $\Phi \theta i a$. Strabo places it close to Pharsalos. Both may be right, as each town may have boasted one.

51 8campvola It is clear, in spite of editors (who render 'celebrated,' late patens, $\epsilon$ ls $\delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$ $\mu \in \nu)$, that $\delta \iota a \pi \rho v \sigma(\alpha$ simply means 'from end to end,' 'right through,' an adverbial adjective akin to $\delta \iota a$ $\pi \rho \delta$. It is explained by $\Delta \omega \delta \omega \nu a \theta \epsilon \nu$ $\ldots \pi \rho$...s 'Toviov $\pi$ obov. For the interpretation we must compare Eur. Andr. $1247 \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \in \kappa \tau 0 \hat{\delta} \delta є \chi \rho \eta े \mid$ à入ov $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ à入ov $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a ̂ \nu ~ M o \lambda o \sigma \sigma i a \nu$ -referring to the same subject, so that Euripides would seem to be paraphrasing this passage of Pindar. Unfortunately scholars are not at one as to this use of
F. II.

${ }_{5} \Delta \omega \delta \dot{\omega} \nu a \theta \in \nu$ à $\rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' I o ́ v ı o v ~ \pi i ́ p o v . ~$

$557 \pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ a ~ \chi є \rho i$ тробт $\quad$ тат $\omega \nu \quad 90$
8 П$\eta \lambda \epsilon \grave{v} \varsigma \pi а \rho є \delta \omega \kappa є \nu$ А $i \not \mu o ́ \nu є \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$,
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \eta^{\prime}$.

1 $\delta a ́ \mu a \rho т о \varsigma ~ ' I \pi \pi о \lambda u ́ t a s ~ ' A \kappa a ́ \sigma т о и ~ \delta о \lambda i ́ a \iota s ~$<br>${ }^{2}$ тє́ $\chi \nu a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . ~$

סıaтєрầ. Hermann, followed by Paley, reads Moגorolas as gen. after $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in ́ a$, taking $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu=\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu}$,
 Molossorum fines regnare, which is nearly right. The word $\delta \iota a \pi \epsilon \rho a \hat{\nu}$ with a word signifying city or country as object seems to be used only with a deity or a king as subject.

52 трผิvєs Cf. Bacchyl. 5. 65

 Ésoxor Qualifies $\beta$ oußótal 'unrivalled as pasturage for cattle. Note the absurd echo $\epsilon \xi \% \chi \omega \dot{T} \boldsymbol{\tau} \alpha$ v. 92 from $\epsilon_{\xi}{ }^{\circ}$ охо кãd-. The southerly spurs of the mountain range which runs from Pindus (Lat. $39^{\circ} 54$ ) to the Acroceraunian promontory may be appropriately called $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon$. The general tendency of the slopes which extend therefrom is towards south-west by south. By the 'Ibvion $\pi \delta$ ópo Pindar means the sea between the islands and the coast of Epeiros rather than the whole sea between Italy and Greece. For the subject of. N. 7. 64. The cattle of Epeiros are celebrated by Aristotle, Varro, Columella, Ælian, while Plinysays, in nostro orbe Epiroticis (bubus) laus maxima, Nat. Hist. 8. 70. кarákєเขтal 'Slope down.' Cf. Hor. Od.1.17. 11 Usticae cubuntis, Lucr. 4. 517, Theokr. 13. $40 \dot{\eta} \mu \in \dot{\nu} \omega$ èv $\chi \omega ́ p \varphi ̣ . ~ \lambda a \tau p \epsilon i ́ a \nu ~ S c h m i d ~ \lambda a \tau \rho l a \nu ~$
construed with $\pi a \rho \in \delta \delta \omega \kappa \in \nu$, making a very awkward order. As to metre the - $l$ - of $\lambda a \tau \rho e l a \nu$ may be scanned as short, and also in its position an irrational long syllable is admissible.
 double acc.: 'having turned Iôlkos to subjection with hostile violence.' Mommsen explains "terram hostili manui advertere (admovere)," comparing 0. 1. 22 кр $\alpha \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma ध ́ \mu \iota \xi \epsilon$ $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta$ rav. Other scholars alter or render intransitively 'having approached.' None of the proposed constructions have due support, therefore simplicity is the chief test. If the double acc. be objectionable the alteration $\lambda a \tau \rho \in i \alpha$ is the best alternative. For such hiatus cf. O. and P. p. xxxi. The exploit is mentioned N. 3. 34. For the construction of the elements of the compound, which is here retained with the compound, ef. I. 3.
 ทัтор.

56 Aípóvєб $\quad$ 'Thessalians.' Akastos was the last Minyan king of Iôlkos.

58 Хрךба́ $\mu \in \nu$ os The Schol, explains the text $\epsilon$ is $\pi \rho \sigma \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma_{0}$ $\chi \rho \eta \sigma$ d $\mu \in \nu 0 s$. It is usually rendered 'having experienced,' though the examples given are not quite parallel, as the dative substantives belong to the subject, not, as here, to another person; e.g. סuvipaylais,

60 ＋є́к $\lambda o ́ \chi o v \Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda i ́ a o ~ \pi a i ̂ s \cdot ~ a ̈ \lambda a \lambda \kappa є ~ \delta e ̀ ~ X \epsilon i ́ p \omega \nu, ~$ 5 каì тò $\mu$ о́ $\rho \sigma \iota \mu о \nu \Delta \iota o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \circ \nu$ eैк $\kappa \epsilon \epsilon \rho \epsilon \nu$ ． 100
$6 \pi \hat{v} \rho$ ठє̀ таүкратє̀s $\theta_{\rho} \rho \sigma \sigma \mu a \chi a ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$

8 тє $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu о т a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ б $\chi$ áбaıৎ ỏ óóvт $\omega \nu$
 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota ~ \check{\nu \gamma \varphi ิ ~ c o m e s ~ n e a r e r . ~ T r i c l i ̄-~}$ nius reports a v．l．$\chi \omega \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o s$. Bergk proposes rє́ $\chi \nu a \iota s \chi a \rho a \sigma \sigma a ́-$ $\mu \in y o s$（which ought to belong to a form $\chi a \rho a ́ s \omega$ ，but looks like a slip for $\chi \quad \alpha \rho a \xi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s)$ ．

59 Saiסaile Didymos＇correc－ tion for $\Delta a \iota \delta a ́ \lambda o u$ which Bergk de－ fends on the ground that $\Delta a i \delta a \lambda o s$ is identical with Hephaestos，com－ paring Eur．Herc．Fur． 470 és

 mann，ка日lєє $\delta a l \delta a \lambda o v ~ \& c.), ~ M i l l i n, ~$ Gall．Myth．13． 48 and Diodôr． Sic．4．14，where it is stated that Hêphaestos gave Hêraklês a club and breastplate．$\mu a x a l p a$ If we are to follow the passage quoted by the Schol．from Hêsiod，＇by his sword＇here＝＇by hiding his sword，＇ but є́к $\lambda$ б $\chi$ оu shows that Pindar fol－ lowed another version of the myth． The verses quoted from Hês．run


 $\pi \epsilon р \iota \kappa \lambda v \tau \grave{s}{ }^{\prime} A \mu \phi \iota \gamma v \eta \in \epsilon \varsigma^{\circ}$｜山̀s т $\eta \nu \mu a \sigma-$

 However when he got possession of the sword he may have changed his mind．Euripides，Troad．1127， says that Akastos ousted Pêleus
from Phthia or Iôlkos（ $\epsilon \kappa \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu$ $\chi$（oo os），a passage not necessarily at variance with Pindar＇s account， for Akastos may have survived the conquest of Iôlkos and have dis－ turbed Pêleus in his old age．Apol－ lodôros，3．13． 3.

60 ék $\lambda o ́ x$ ．For ék＇by means of＇of．Soph．Phil． 88 ๕̌ $\phi u v$ रàp oú $\delta \in ̀ \nu$ $\epsilon \in \kappa \tau \epsilon \in \chi \nu \eta s \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ какท̂s and Jebb＇s note．

61 ยккфєеv Generally taken as active，but the imperfect tense shows that $\tau \dot{\delta} \mu \delta \rho \sigma \iota \mu o \nu$ is the sub－ ject．Cf．Soph．Oed．Col．1424，
 $\mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ．That the agent was neither Pêleus nor Cheiron is sug－ gested by $v v$ ．41－ 43 from which $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu \nu$ is a significant echo． It is clearly implied that Cheiron was an instrument of the fate or－ dained by Zeus．

62 Opaovu．Text Hermann； Mss．$\theta \rho a \sigma v \mu a \chi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ ．

64 бXáбals Lit．＇having caused to become relaxed，＇＇having abated，＇＇having subdued．＇Cf． Bacchyl．17． $120 \mathrm{~K} \nu \omega \dot{\sigma} \iota o \nu \mid$ 的 $\sigma \chi \alpha$－ бєע бтратаүє́таע．

66 єv้кvк入ov＂סpav＇Seats fairly ranged in a circle．＇Cf．P．3． 94 каl Kроуоу таîठas $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} a s$ रठор （Pêleus and Kadmos at their re－
 paıs ě $\delta \nu a \quad \tau \epsilon \delta \in \xi a \nu \tau 0$ ．N．10． 1.


110
5 Гaठєípwv тò mpòs そóфоv ova $\pi \epsilon \rho a \tau$ óv ảто́трєтє

115
7 äтора үà $\lambda$ ó $\gamma о \nu$ А і́ако̂
$8 \pi a i ́ \delta \omega \nu$ тò̀ äтаעтá $\mu$ on $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho . i$.
1 $\Theta \epsilon a \nu \delta \rho i ́ \delta a \iota \sigma \iota ~ \delta ’ a ̉ \epsilon \xi \iota \gamma \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \epsilon ́ \theta \lambda \omega \nu$

120



 7 тро́тодоу ё $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota$. єi $\delta$ е́ то८

67 tâs For gen. L. and S. cites Apoll. Rh. 3. 1001, and cp.


68 ठ $\hat{p}$ pa kail kp. Gifts of sovereignty; es $\gamma \dot{\in} \nu \mathrm{D}$ os 'for all his race'; $\dot{\epsilon} s=$ 'to the limit or extent of' (or merely 'in relation to.' Cf. Eur. Orest. 101). हौє中. 'Decleared,' 'conferred by revelation of their will.' Mr Bury's $\epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \phi$ naval gives a far more "doubtful expression" than the text. It could not mean "planned" but must mean "completed," cf. v. 44 . 's үévos Best mss. read $\gamma \in \nu \in d ́ s$, probably from a gloss explaining that the phrase meant 'for consecutive generations.' The Schol. clearly

av่тต̣ 'His.' Cf. O. 1. 65, N. 7. 22.

69 Гaסє $\rho \omega v$ The gen. is governed by $\pi \rho \delta$ s $\zeta \phi \phi 0 \nu$. For sentiment cf.O.3.44, N. 3.21, I. 3.55 ff ., 5. 12. The poet has reached the extreme limit of mythical digression.

71 dimopa For the plur. cf. N. 8. 4, 0. 1. 52, P. 1. 34, Archil. 64

 bonum, Aristoph. Acharn. 1079 out


74 Ear See O. 9. 83, 13. 97.
75 te kail Couple Olympia to the class of less famous places. The second $\tau \epsilon$ couples the two members of the said class. Or else two past victories are coupled by cal, past and present by $\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau$. For particles cf. v. 9 supra. The datives depend on $\dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$. $\quad \sigma v v \theta$. 'As I engaged.' Cf. P. 11. 41 el
 ur $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma \nu \rho o \nu$.
 Dissen 'whenever they contend,' 'sustain a trial.'

77 \$ $v^{\prime}$ R Refers to oik $\alpha \delta \epsilon$.
79 тро́тодог 'Much concerned with,' as furnishing many victors. Müller, as cultivators of lyric poetry and music, Dissen, as providing choruses. tot This particle leads up to the impressive asyndeton $v$. 85 infra or $v .82$. It emphasises the whole sentence.

## 


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \iota a^{\prime}$.
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8 Өá̀ךбє Kopıд íoıs $\sigma \epsilon \lambda i ́ \nu o \iota s . ~$
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \iota \beta^{\prime}$.

2 ó $\sigma$ ò $\langle\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \in \tau о>\pi a i ̂$.

81 Cf．N．8，47．The substi－ tution of this phrase for ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \nu \nu$ anticipates an apodosis．

82 éqó $\mu \in v o s$＇While being re－ fined．＇From ó xpuaós to $\phi \hat{\omega \tau \alpha}$ is a virtual parenthesis（the asyndeton being noteworthy），amplifying the general notion of $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \nu$ Maplou $\lambda$ ̇Өov $\lambda є u к о \tau \in ́ p a \nu . ~ P e r h a p s ~ g r a m-~$ matically the effect of minstrelsy in general（illustrated by a simile in－ troduced parathetically，of．O．and P．p．xxiii）is made a false apodosis （cf．P．11．41－45），followed abruptly by the true apodosis，кєìvos єúpét $\omega$ ， $\kappa_{0} \tau_{.} \lambda_{\text {．}}$ ，added to express the promise of the celebration asked for，which is implied in the preceding general statement，vj $\mu \nu 0$ ．．．．$\tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \in \iota \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha$ ．It should be observed that this simile is drawn from molten gold．

83 itcíras＇All．＇The strong $\dot{\alpha} \pi d \sigma_{0}$ is used because the rays keep changing color．

84 є́рүц．Cf．v． $8 . \quad$ ßaгi入． E．g．，of course the royal Aeakidae． looठ．Perhaps $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota ~ F i \sigma o \delta$ ．is right．$\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon \tilde{\sigma} \sigma$ Especially the Eacid kings mentioned above．Cf．

 ｜$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ．

86 єบ์р́́тш＇Become aware that．＇ Cf．for sentiment 0．8． 77 sqq．， 14. 19.

87 ＂v，＇Here on earth where，＇ or，with Dissen，＇at the Isthmos where．＇Bergk reads＇ैעєк＇．

88 Өá入ŋŋє＇He burst into bloom．＇The word must not be applied literally to $\sigma \epsilon \lambda$（vots，for the Isthmian crown was of withered （ $\xi \eta \rho a ́)$ parsley．For the phrase ef． O．9．16 $\theta$ á入入є $\delta^{\prime}$ d $\rho \in \tau a \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota$.

89 été $\lambda \omega v$ Implying＇as an amateur without fee．＇$\pi \rho о \pi \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \rho$ I．e．$\mu \alpha \tau \rho о \pi \alpha \tau \omega \rho$ ．See the following scheme．

Euphanes
Timokritos，$\triangle$ Kallikles
Timâsarchos
（the subject of the ode）．
90 SıSáoкєто mSS．the im－ possible d́civerat．In old uncials $\Sigma$ is like $E$ and $K$ like $\mid \Sigma$ ，so that ACKET is very like AEICET which I take to be a corruption of the remains of a mutilated

4 є̈ $\lambda \pi \epsilon \tau а і ́ ~ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ є ̌ к а \sigma т о \varsigma ~ \epsilon ’ \xi о \chi ш ́ т а т а ~ ф а ́ \sigma \theta a \iota . ~$
150
5 oiov aìé $\omega \nu$ кє $\mathrm{M} \in \lambda \eta \sigma i a \nu$ épı $\delta a$ бтрє́申o८,

$957 \mu a \lambda а к \grave{a} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ фроעє́шข є̇ $\sigma \lambda o i ̂ \varsigma$, 155
8 т $\rho a \chi$ ѝs $\delta$ є̀ тад८уко́тоьऽ єै $\phi є \delta \rho о \varsigma$.
$\triangle I \triangle A C I C E T$. Euphanes was an amateur ( $\left.\epsilon^{\prime} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu\right)$ trainer. This assumption gives point to $v v, 91$, 92. Perhaps a gloss \#ँनкє helped the change of $\delta \delta \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \in \tau 0$. I hold that $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \in \tau 0$ ( $\delta \iota$ - having dropped out) was mistaken for $\delta^{\circ}$ del $\sigma \in \tau 0$ and corrected (?) to deificeral.
 Leutsch suggests that the poet is thinking of the proverb $\eta^{\eta} \lambda \iota \xi{ }^{\eta} \lambda \iota<\kappa a$ $\tau \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon t$, said to be derived from $O d$. 17. 218. [Mezger.] ฐิv кє тúxn mss. ä̀ $\tau$ ts $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \eta$. The intolerable ris ousted $\kappa \varepsilon$ and a gloss $\not \partial \nu$ ousted $\dot{\omega} \nu$. The emendation gives emphasis to aúros. It seems that, as has been suggested, Euphanes had trained Kallikles, and the poet apologises for saying little about it and going on to praise Melêsias.

92 ย $\lambda$ тєтal Cf. N. 7. 20.
93 oiov, к.т. त. 'For instance, were one to sing Melêsias' praises one would twist about (his theme of) the struggle, locking together phrases, hard to stir from his position in recital.' Aristarchos read oiov and éplóas. In this signal instance of Pindar's tendency to make his metaphors appropriate to the contest in which the person whom he is celebrating was victorious, $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi o l$ alludes to the general turning and twisting of a wrestler's whole body, $\pi \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \omega \nu$ to the interlacing of his limbs with his opponent's (see the group of Lottatori(Florence, Uffizi), of which there is a cast in the Fitzwilliam

Museum), ${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ is a more general term for the endeavor to move or bear down the adversary by tugging at him. The subject of $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{t} \phi o c$ is the object of $\varepsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \tau \nu$. For the tech-

 $\kappa \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} s$, Hês. Scut. Herc. 302
 the appropriate metaphor of. O. 8.
 7, 7. 70-72, I. 2. 2. For the infinitive $\epsilon \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ cf. $0.8 .24,7.25$, N. 3. 30. For the trainer Melêsias cf. O. 8.54 sqq., N. 6.66 to the end. From the trainer receiving such prominent honor as the theme of the conclusion in N. 4 and 6 one may perhaps infor that he engaged the poet to celebrate a pupil on both occasions, of. P. 4 Introd.
 but especially P. 8. 82 тєт paбı $\delta^{\prime}$ ${ }^{\kappa} \mu \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \epsilon \varsigma \quad \dot{v} \psi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu \mid \sigma \omega \mu a ̈ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ какд̀ $\phi \rho \circ \nu \epsilon \omega \nu$, of a wrestler. É $\sigma \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ ois 'The noble,' i.e. here, victors and meritorious competitors in games.

96 та入ıүко́тоเs 'Their malicious enemies.' It may be inferred from the last lines being devoted to enemies that Timâsarchos' victory was not altogether popular, cf. vv. 36 -41. of the term of. U. 8.68. It simply means the man who 'draws a by' where an odd number of competitors are matched in pairs. Here Melêsias and his resentful rivals are paired, but Euphanes is ready to take up his quarrel.

## NEMEA V.

ON THE VICTORY OF PYTHEAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Pytaeas, son of Lampon, was the elder of two brothers, who were both pancratiasts, the younger of whom Phylakidas won the victories commemorated in I. 4 (B.c. 482), and I. 5 (B.c. 480). The elder brother's Nemean victory was earlier. They belonged to the noble $\pi a ́ r \rho a$ of the Psalychidae of Aegina (I. 5. 63). Their father Lampon was son of Kleonikos (I. 5. 16), and was perhaps cousin to that
 9. 78), who wished Pausanias to increase his fame by impaling Mardonios. Critics are cruel enough to make these two Lampons probably identical, either Pytheas (Don.) or Kleonikos (Müller) being Lampon's natural father, the other his adoptive father, or else Kleonikos being a second name given to Lampon's father Pytheas. However we know that cousins did sometimes bear the same name, and the name of the victor Pytheas is no proof that his grandfather was Pytheas. If he were not the eldest son he would be more likely to be named after another senior member of the family than after his grandfather. So that the identity of Hêrodotos' and Pindar's Lampon is not more than possible.

The following stemma, mostly hypothetical, shows how, according to the Attic habits of Nomenclature, the victor might get his name, without his father having been adoptive.


## Kleonikos Themistios Pytheas* Phylakidas*

The names inarked with a star are mentioned by Pindar.
The exact responsion of $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu$ Ө่́ $\tau \nu, v .25$, to $\mathrm{N} \eta \rho \eta t \delta \omega \nu, v .7$, is effective, but the tautometric recurrences тє́кт-v. 49, тікт' v. 13, иךкќv. 50 , $\mu \grave{̀} \kappa \epsilon-v .14,-\alpha \nu \tau 0-v v .25,1,-\alpha \lambda-v v .2,20,-\alpha \check{\iota} \dot{\alpha}-v v .7,1, \mu \grave{\nu}$ ä $\rho a \rho \epsilon \nu v .44$, є่ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \nu \quad v .8$, have no poetic significance.

There cannot be any intentional reference to $\pi i \tau \nu \alpha \nu v .11$ in $\pi i \tau \nu \omega \nu$ v. 42 , as in origin and meaning the two verbs are quite distinct, while the neighbourhood of iotia to $\Theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \tau \iota o \nu v v .50,51$, is a mere coincidence; but
 $\tau \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ Ai千iva...Niкas $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \kappa \omega \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \sigma t$. The three verses which close the ode echo the last three verses of the first strophe with viк- тaүкрario$\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha \nu o^{-}-a \nu \theta \alpha-$ recurring, and the blooms of the Graces' songs recall song proclaiming the victor's bloom of youth; while the fame celebrated in songs of victory is contrasted with $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \imath \gamma \hat{a} \nu$, rendered wise by crime, of the end of the first epode, and moreover the bliss of dwelling near $\pi \rho \circ \theta$ úpot $\quad \iota$ Aiácou (cf. vv. 8, 9) is contrasted with the doom of baushment from Oenône ( $v, 16$ ). Hence we detect an allusion to youth reared in Oenône in oiváv $\alpha$ as $\dot{o} \pi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu v$. 6. The end of the second strophe recalls the end of the first, and connects the epinician songs of Pytheas with the transcendent privilege granted to Pêleus and Telamon (vv. 23 ff.). The alliteration with initial $\pi$ is noteworthy, especially $v r .21,22$, cf. N. 4. $54-56$. The ode is instinct with the idea of song wafted on sea-breezes.

This ode is particularly easy of general comprehension. From mention of the victor the poet passes rapidly to the myth of Pêleus, which illustrates inter alia the saw that 'truth is not always to be told'; a maxim which applies more or less to every family and to most individuals. Still there might be a reference to the discredit attaching to the family from the notoriety of the àvoriotatos $\lambda$ óyos of Lampon, son of Pytheas, or to some other specific family skeleton. The last fifteen lines are devoted to the illustration of the poet's favorite theory that excellence is bereditary, in this case through the mother chiefly. This victory is also celebrated by Bacchylides, 13 , who deals with the prowess of Ajax.

The compounds $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} a \gamma \kappa \eta \dot{s}, \dot{\delta} \rho \sigma \omega \nu \in \phi$ 识 are only found in this ode.
The mode is Lydo-Dorian, the metre dactylo-epitritic. The metrical phrases, represented as in N. 1, are arranged as follows, the strophe forming an antithetic mesodic period. The mesode is $B . \mid C . B^{\prime}$ of $v v .2,3$.

1. $-C^{\prime \prime} . C . A . B^{\prime}$.
2. $A \cdot A^{*} \cdot B$.
3. $C . B^{\prime}$.
4. $-\vdots B . B+. B^{\prime}\left(=A A^{\prime}\right.$ of $\left.v .2\right)$.
5. $-\vdots B . A^{\prime}\left(=A B^{\prime}\right.$ of $\left.v .1\right)$.
6. $-\vdots \subset . C$.

The epode is probably not intentionally symmetrical, though two symmetrical periods can be assumed. The first three verses contain the same number of feet as the last three, viz. 21.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. }=: A \| . C \text {. } \\
& \text { 2. } A \| \cdot C \text {. } B^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \text { 3. }-\vdots A \| \cdot C^{\prime \prime} \text {. } \\
& \text { 4. }-\vdots B .-\sim-\left.\right|_{\text {- }} \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \text { 5. }-\vdots . B^{\prime} \text {. } \\
& \text { 6. B.~~.A.B. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ANALYSIS.

vo.
1-6. The poet is not a maker of motionless statues, but his song travels by every craft to tell of Pytheas' victory.

## 7, 8. He did honor to the Aeakidae and Aegina.

$9-13$. For which Pêleus, Telamon and Phôkos effectually prayed to Zeus Hellênios.

* Caesura before the last (short) syllable. In $v .10$ the thesis of the fourth foot is resolved.
+ Caesura before last syllable.
$\ddagger$ Incisio answering to the syncope of the fourth foot of $v .1$.
|| Incisio.
$\S$ Incisio in the middle. The thesis of the second foot of $v v .6,12$ is resolved.
vv.
14-17. The poet hesitates to say why Pêleus and Telamon left Aegina. Truth is not always to be told.

18. And silence is often the truest wisdom.

19-21. The poet is equal to uttering the high praises of the Aeakidae for wealth, athletics and war.
$22-39$. For them the Muses sang of the temptation of Pêleus and his marriage with Thetis.

40-42. Family destiny decides as to achievements.
43-47. The victor's maternal uncle was a victor.
48, 49. Acknowledgment of the services of the trainer Menandros.
50 -end. The victor's maternal grandfather was a victor at Epidauros in both boxing and the pankration.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

 à $\gamma a ́ \lambda \mu a \tau$ ' є’ $\pi$ ' av’тâs $\beta a \theta \mu i ́ \delta o \varsigma$
 ào $\delta a^{\prime}$,

5


1 From this passage Horace is said to have got his exegi monumentum aere perennius (Od.3.30.1). énııv́vovta Cf. I. 2. 46. Inferior mss. read é $\bar{\lambda} \iota \nu \dot{\prime} \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau a$. Editors needlessly insert $\mu$, after it. But $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \in \theta$ ac properly has an initial $f$. An allusion to statuary was peculiarly appropriate in Aegina at this period, as Mezger remarks, quoting Schelling. Then Onâtas was flourishing. aủâs According to
 Il. 12. 225 аủtク̀े $\begin{gathered}\text { óbiv, Od. 10. } 263 .\end{gathered}$ Add Od.8.107, 16.138. The sense is rather 'on the base and nowhere else,' cf. the use of ipse, Ter. And. 5.6. 10 in tempore ipso mi aduenis, 'at the exact time.' The idiom is confined to time in Latin and,
generally at least, has reference to space in (non-Attic) Greek. Perhaps $\theta \epsilon d{ }^{2}$ aúròs $\mid$ ös, Od. 4. 181, is an instance of the use of auros=idem, as Cookesley suggests; but-' that god (and none other) who '-is a more forcible rendering.

2 èri...'v Just as we say on a ship but in a boat. ó $\lambda \kappa \alpha ́ \delta o s$ From $\sqrt{ }$ of $\epsilon \lambda \kappa \omega$; orig. a towed raft, afterwards, as here, a vessel of burden, a merchant ship. ákáre A vessel of light draught for carrying passengers, troops, \&c.

3 areix' Only used of a voyage, I believe, here and 10. 2. 287
 but of. I. 5. $21 \tau \alpha \nu \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau e \ell \chi$ оעт $\alpha$ $\nu a ̂ \sigma o \nu$. Pindar means that travellers from Aegina will mention or even

## ${ }_{4} \Lambda a ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu o s$ viòs $\Pi \nu \theta \in ́ a s ~ \epsilon u ̉ \rho v \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta ̀ s$

## 55 עíкך $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ioıs таукратiov бтéфадо⿱亠䒑

 ＇A $1 \nu$ т．$a$＇．
 каì àтò хрибєầ $\mathrm{N} \eta \rho \eta i ̂ ̀ \omega \nu$
 Note the preposition－＇in divers directions，＇＇abroad．＇
4 evjpua $\theta$ єvท่s Broad and strong， cf．N．3． 36.

5 viкn＇Was winner of．＇mss． $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\eta},-\hat{\eta}$ ．The present $\nu \ell \kappa \eta \mu \iota$ of which this form is the 3rd sing． imp．occurs Theok．7．40．Cf．
 The form $\nu$ ik $\eta$ occurs Theok．6． 45

 by Curtius in his excursus on the Verba contracta §§ 358－363 Greek Verb（Trans．）pp． 246 ff．As we find $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu$ os in Alkaeos the forms in－$\eta \mu$ are probably from stems in $-\varepsilon_{\text {．Cf }}$ ．
 The impf，is used where we might expect the aorist，in speaking of victories in games．Cf．$v .43$ infra． Simonides 153 ［211］， 154 ［212］， 155 ［213］；but the aorist is also used frequently．So we often have $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ for $\nu \iota \kappa \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma a s$. On Thuk．5． 49
 $\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu$ evick，Arnold confounds that imperf．with the＇contempora－ neous＇（？）imperf．є̇тe入eúra（ $\theta$＇́pos， Éros），where the action of the verb is continuous，＇drew to a close，＇ and renders＇$A$ ．was winning his prize．＇Now in chronological re－ cords the imp．Evica＇was vietor＇ （for the Olympiad，Pythiad，\＆c．）is， as natural as $\eta \rho \rho \chi \in$＇was archon．＇ The use of the official tense may have spread，but on the other hand the non－official use，as here，may mean＇began to be victor．＇For
the accusative of reference $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \alpha \nu 0 \nu$ ， of．Simon．Frag． 147 ［203］עıкầ трітодa，vv．52， 53 infra．

6 yévvar mss．yévus，Herm． révuc．＇Not yet displaying on cheeks and chin down the daughter of（life＇s）ripening time．＇（Lit． ＇fruit－season，tender mother of vine－blossom．＇）Bergk thinks $\mu a \tau \epsilon^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ is for $\mu a \tau \epsilon \in \rho$ and cites $\mu_{0} \lambda \dot{\sigma} \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ P．8．85，though an elementary knowledge of grammar and refer－
 might have prevented the blunder． Mr Bury seems to think that one can only фaivelv signs，not that which is signified．For the meta－ phorical use of $\delta \pi \omega \dot{\rho} \alpha$ ，ef．I．2．5， Aesch．Suppl． 996 i $\mu a ̂ s \delta^{\prime}$＇̇̃alv $\omega$


 where we have perhaps a，reminis－ cence of this passage．＂ $0 \pi$ t $\dot{\rho} \rho a$ is strictly speaking that part of the year which falls between the rising of the dog－star and the rising of Arcturus－the hottest season of the year，while the sun is in Leo． The ancient Greeks divided the year into seven seasons－ěap，$\theta$＇́pos，
 $\phi u \tau a \lambda i a$. ＇$O \pi$ t＇$\rho a$ sometimes means ＇fruit：＇thus Alcman calls honey： $\kappa \eta \rho i \nu \eta \quad \delta \pi \omega \dot{\rho} \alpha$ ，＇waxen fruit．＇Hence， metaphorically，the most blooming time of youth：I．2．5．See Schnei－ der，s．v．＂Don．
7 dimo Pindaric variety of con－ struction．The following scheme shows the relationship ：
 $\rho a \nu$ ．

15
3 тáv $\pi о \tau$＇єưavסрóv тє каi vavбıк $\lambda \nu \tau a ̀ \nu$
$10{ }_{4}$ Ө＇́б $\sigma a \nu \tau о, \pi a ̀ \rho ~ \beta \omega \mu o ̀ \nu ~ т а т є ́ \rho o s ~ ‘ E \lambda \lambda a \nu i o v ~$ 5 бтávtєs，mitvav t＇єis aiӨध́pa रєipas á $\mu \hat{a}$



8 ф $\lambda \lambda a v \xi^{\xi} v \omega v$ äpovpav Cf．P． $3.5 \nu \delta o \nu \alpha \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \phi i \lambda o \nu$ ．Here $\phi \lambda$ ． d．$\rho$ ．go together to make up one idea，＇favorite－resort．＇

9 vava．Cf．O．6．9 àкiр $\delta$ voo $\delta^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau a i \mid$ ойтє $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$ ойт＇${ }^{\prime} \nu$ vavoi kol入ais｜timal，where as here ä $\nu \delta \rho \in s$ seem to be warrior lands－ men．For עavaıkגut $\dot{d} \nu$ cf．N．3． 2 $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \xi^{\xi} \dot{\prime} a \nu, 7.83 \dot{\alpha} \mu \notin \rho q$ ．

10 日évóavto＇Prayed effectu－ ally．＇For construction of．P． 8. $71 \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ถ̈ $\pi \iota \nu \mid$ ă $\phi \theta \iota \tau \circ \nu$ aité $\omega$ ．［The Schol．here translates it：$\eta \ddot{\xi \xi a \nu \tau o . ~}$ It bears the same sense in Apoll． Rhod．1． 824 oi $\delta$＇äpa $\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$
 the Schol．says：$\dot{\epsilon} \xi$ al $\eta \eta \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ diva－ $\lambda a \beta b \nu \tau \epsilon s, \quad$ ait $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s . \quad \Theta \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$
 ＇Holodos：$\quad \theta \in \sigma \sigma a \mu \in \nu o s \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\pi 0 \lambda \iota \hat{\eta} s \dot{a} \lambda \lambda{ }^{2} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \lambda d \gamma \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \quad \theta \in \sigma$ ． $\sigma \alpha \mu \in \nu 0<\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \partial े \nu \nu \delta \sigma \tau 0 \nu$. Don．］ As far as usage goes $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota=$ impetrasse，＇to get ordained（ap－ pointed）for one＇（ $\theta \in \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，＇to be for getting ordained（appointed） for one ${ }^{3}$ ）．Kallimachos＇$\pi$ o ${ }^{2} \dot{\prime} \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$

 coined correlative to á $\pi \delta \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o s$ ，or as if it meant multa impetrans． For חaбı日ं̇n，not＇die allbegehrte，＇ but＇ordaining（ordering）for all，＇
 P．3．78，4． 74 （ $\mu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a) \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o \nu$
 Cf．Madv．§ 75．With acc．rapà denotes not only motion beside， but extension beside．＇E入入avlov There was a temple of Zeus Hel－ lânios in Aegina said to have been built by the Myrmidons．

11 mitvav For ėmitvacav．From $\pi i \tau \nu \eta \mu \iota^{*}$ ，an assumed by－form of $\pi \epsilon \tau \dot{d} \nu v \mu$ ．Homer has the forms Il．$\pi i \neq \nu \alpha \nu \tau 0, O d .11 .391 \pi \iota \tau \nu$ dेs $\epsilon$ is $\bar{\epsilon} \mu$ è $\chi$ єîpas．

12 dipıyv．vi．Pêleus and Te－ lamon；＇mighty prince Phôkos，＇ their half－brother whom they slew， being the son of the Nereid Psa－ matheia．Endâis，Aeakos＇wife， was daughter of Cheiron．For the slaughter of Phôkos cf．Apollodôros 3．12．6，Paus．2．29．7．Bacchyl． uses the Homerio dai ${ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \tau$ os four times．



 $\kappa \in \rho \delta i \omega \nu$

 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.
 то́дє $\mu$ оу $\delta \in \delta o ́ к \eta т а \iota, ~ \mu а к \rho а ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~$

35
 ó $\rho \mu a ́ \nu$ ．

14 हv Sikq Cf．O．6． 12 for this adverbial phrase．$\mu$ ทे The generic $\mu$ ì has been too much for Hermann，Hartung，Bergk，and Bury，＇a great venture，and such as is not undertaken upon just grounds．＇The $\delta$ of of the next verse introduces as much of the parti－ cular case as the poet is disposed to treat．
 old editions．$\kappa \in \rho \delta i \omega \nu$ Cf．I． 7. 14．$\quad$ ттáбоцal Cf．O．1． 52. ove rot，k．т．入．＇Verily it is not in every case（cf．O．9． 100 Tò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi u \hat{q}$ кра́тเбтоע äта⿱亠䒑，O．11．82）better that exact truth should unveil her face．＇For construction cf．O． 9.
 бкаьо́тєроу хр $\hat{\eta} \mu$＇єєкабтоע－and my note．

18 voฑ̂नal For inf．cf．O．7． 25

 N．3． 30.
19 ठєठókทtai Rare form for $\delta$ ह̇октаи．Cf．Curtius，Greek Verb （T＇rans．），p．262，Hêrod．7． 16.

20 av́vó $\theta \in \mathrm{E}$ Interpolated mss． $\delta^{\prime}$ aứ $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ ，Böckh，Bergk $\delta \grave{\eta}$ aủt $\delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．
＇From this point，＇－as $\beta a r \dot{\eta} \rho(\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$
 sych．）．From this notice and our $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho \dot{a} \dot{a} \lambda \mu a \tau \alpha(=\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau a)$ we may infer that the trench was dug along the length of the leap for the leapers to jump into．It was said to have been originally fifty feet long，and Phayllos of Krotôna was said to have jumped nearly five feet beyond it at Delphi．In the North of Eng－ land a hop－two－steps－and－a－jump is called＇a jump．＇Eustathios cites the inscription on his statue，$\pi \in \ell \tau^{\prime}$

 $\lambda \in \iota \pi о \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ ．Cf．Schol．on Lucian
 $\tau \omega \nu \nu^{\prime} \pi$ тódas каi тои́тous $\pi \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 Whether the бкdщцата was an actual trench or only a strip of soil loosened with the spade，as in the English long jump，it is hard to say．Flavius Philostratus speaks of the danger of hurting the limbs in the leaping match． It is obvious that the distance of the leap was measured along a given direction；but that there

## 3 каi тє́рау то́vтоно тá入入оעт’ aíєтoí．

#  

 $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ a j \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a \iota ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̀ \nu ~ \Theta є ́ т \iota \nu ~$was a maximum limit of length is incredible．See my note on $\mathbf{P}$ ． 1． 44 ，which applies as well to the leap as to the discus or javelin throwing．As for Hor．Od．1．8． 12 saepe disco，｜saepe trans finem iaculo nobilis expedito，the exercises of the campus are referred to，not regular games；again，the passing of the finis is a credit，not a disqualifica－ tion．Phayllos and Chiônis are said to have leaped beyond the бка́мцата（which Eustathios calls collectively $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ Є̇ $\sigma \kappa \alpha \mu \mu \hat{\nu} \alpha$, misunder－ stood by Philipp to mean marks of the several leaps，by Dissen to mean a transverse trench bounding the end of the leaping－ground）．Their achievement does not appear to have been a disadvantage．Any official mark of distance would be for a warning to spectators and a guide to competitors，not a check on their performances，or else merely the boundary of the space which under ordinary circumstances was sufficient for the particular exercise．The Schol．on this pas－ sage of Pindar says $\dot{\eta}$ ठè $\mu є \tau а ф о р \grave{\alpha}$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\partial} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \omega \nu^{\circ} \epsilon \kappa \in \mathcal{L} \nu \omega \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \delta \nu$ á $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} \alpha \pi \eta \delta \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ن́ $\pi о \sigma \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ $\beta 6 \theta \rho o s$, éка́ $\sigma \tau о \cup$ тд̀ ä $\lambda \mu \alpha$ ठєєкขús．It is not correct to make a distinction between this $\beta b \theta \rho o s$ and $\sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \mu a$ ． The Schol．seems wrong in saying סєєvús．é入aфpóv Metri causa． Mss．è $\lambda a \phi \rho \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ ．For termination of．

N．2．14．ópuáv＇A spring．＇
$21 \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o r \tau$＇Shoot．＇The con－ text shows that the poet is thinking of $\%$ spring．The swift straight flight of the eagle may well be described as if it were the result of one impulee，like the flight of a stone or a javelin．Note the al－ literation with $\pi$ ，cf．N．4．54－56．

22 SE Introduces the subjects just announced，beginning with ठ $\lambda$ ßos，v．19．кal кelvous Böckh． mss．ка́кєіроьs ảєlঠєь I．，cf，0．11．41， P．3． 55 ，also $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \notin \kappa \epsilon l \nu \omega \nu \tau \epsilon$（Mss．）， O．6．102．In O．2． 99 каi кeìos ought to be read from late uss． The only case in Pindar where the ms．Є̇кєLע－occurs without crasis of kal or elision of $\epsilon$ before it is in a corrupt fragment，No． 114 ［102］， from Clemens Alex．＇To them too，＇ as well as to Kadmos；of．P． 3. 89，90．Dr Sandys on Eur．Bacch． 877－881 quotes Theognis 15 Mô－ бai каi Xápıtєs коираı $\Delta i o ́ s, ~ a \ell ~ т о т є ~$


 Plat．Lysis 216 о кєข $\delta v \nu \in v ́ \in \iota ~ к а т \grave{\alpha}$
 єโvar．This saying might well be introduced into the account of Pêleus＇honorable repulse of Hip－ polytê．đ̋́t $\delta$ ，Graphic imperf．， as also á $\boldsymbol{\text { citico }}$ below．

24 Cf．P．1． 1.
25 பtòs dipX．Cf．N．2． 3.
 $\pi \in \delta \hat{a} \sigma a \iota$



 'Ет. $\beta^{\prime}$.

 aìmєєขoì 入óyou.

 oủpavoû
35 e Zєìs à̉avát
 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \gamma^{\prime}$.
1 $\gamma а \mu \beta \rho o ̀ \nu ~ П о \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta a ́ \omega \nu a ~ \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \iota \varsigma, ~ o ̂ s ~ A i ̂ \gamma a ̂ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \pi о \tau i ̀ ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau a ̀ ̀ \nu ~$ $\theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \nu i ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ ' I \sigma \theta \mu o ̀ \nu \Delta \omega p i a v$.

27 छvvâva For گ̧vpáova cf. P. 3. 48. 'Having beguiled by cunningly devised tales her husband, the king of the Magnêtes, to be her accomplice,' not 'his friend.' Of.

 $\tau \rho о \nu$. For $\sigma \kappa о \pi д \nu$ cf. O. 1. 54, 6. 59, P. 3. 27.
$28 \pi \sigma \kappa(\lambda$. Cf. v. 42 for echo.
29 'For she concocted a lying fiction.'

30 âpa 'Forsooth,' 'as she said.' Cf. for $\tilde{a} \rho a=a ̈ \rho a$ Soph. $E l$. 1179 and Jebb's note.

31 єv̉vâs 'Union,' cf. 0. 7. 6, 9. 44, I. 7.30.

32 тарфац́́va 'Trying to beguile him.' Cf. O. 7. 65, $66 \theta \in \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \delta^{3}$
 an oath guilefully.' $\delta^{\prime}$ á $^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ Rauchenstein. mss. $\delta \epsilon$. aitetvol
'Bold,' 'wanton'; uttered under influence of stupendous (aimús, q.v.) passion. The combination of blameworthiness and loftiness occurs in Bacchyl. 13. 11 نß $\beta$ pos $\dot{\cup} \psi \iota \nu b o v$, Aesch. Prom. 18 रोई $\delta \rho \theta o \beta o u ́ \lambda o u$ $\theta \epsilon \mu \mu \delta o s ~ a l \pi \nu \mu \hat{\eta} \tau a \quad \pi a \hat{\imath}$, where the epithets are nearly correlatives. Lat. praeceps.

34 ópotveфท's Epithet of Zeus the thunderer, cf. 0.4.1.
$35 \dot{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ Cf. Thuk. 8. $86 \epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma$ -
 M. and T. §588. Render, 'to the effect that.'

36 тоvтtầ Heyne. mss. mov-
 would be requited with.' Cf. P. 2. 40. Of course $\pi \epsilon$ loals refers back to Zeus. Cf. I. 7. 27 for the myth.

37 रaцßpóv As husband of




 'А $\nu \tau$. $\gamma^{\prime}$.
 о́до́бттороу є̌рขоя, Пขөє́a.

80
 'А $\pi o ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.

Amphitritê Poseidon was connected by marriage with the Nereids. Alyâ̈ev Probably the Achaean Aegae, of. 1l. 8. 203.

38 ยข้фpoves [ial 'Festive throngs.' Cf. N. 4. 1. Dissen thinks Poseidon and the Isthmos are mentioned because Phylakidas was preparing to compete at the Isthmian games. For $\mu \nu \ldots \theta \in \delta \dot{\nu}$
 $\epsilon ข ้ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda o \nu$.

39 Especially in the pankration.
 40, P. 5. 16. 'The destiny that attends a man's race.'

41 Cookesley points out the exception to Monk's rule that $\theta \in \delta$ s is not fem. with a proper name added, and compares Soph. Antig. 800 $\theta \in$ ès 'Aфpoòtca. mss. $\theta \in a ̂ s$. Note the position of EưQúueves.
$42 \pi$ окк $\lambda$. An echo from $v .28$. Ëquvares 'Thou didst hansel.' Euthymenes was a pankratiast; see I. 5. 60-62. Cf. I. 2. 26.

43 mss, read $\hat{\eta}_{0} \mu_{0}$ к. $\nu$. тès
 $\theta$ éas, which is unintelligible. As Euthymenes is the principal theme of the preceding and succeeding sentences, he is presumably the subject of this parenthesis, and кelvov (or keivos), if sound, must
refer to him and not, as Mommsen suggests, to Pêleus. Eathymenes, like Pêleus and Telamon, has won victory and song, and so illustrates
 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ mávtav. Through his victorious uncle Pytheas is brought into kinship at once with victory, and with Pêleus and Telamon. Render the text ' Verily for having hastened in the track of thy maternal uncle he is doing honor to thee, a scion sprung from the same seed, 'i.e. from Themistios, another link between Pytheas and Pêleus and Telamon. The superfluous indication of the meaning of $\mu$ á $\tau \rho \omega$ is an impressive reference to $v .40$. Cf. $\pi$ атрота́тороs ópaцциоv N. 6. 16. Donaldson's view that ${ }^{6} \theta \nu$ os $=$ 'blood relation' is untenable. The reading of $\mu d \tau \rho \omega \sigma^{\prime}$ as $\mu$ átp $\omega$ s would at once tend to the change of $\tau \epsilon \partial \nu$ and кeívos. The change of epvos may be independent, for if the $\rho$ were illegible $\epsilon \theta \nu$ os would be a natural guess.

44 àpapєv Cf. N. 3. 64. In Pindar $\alpha \rho \bar{\alpha} \rho \epsilon$ means 'is connected with ' in some way, 'fits,' 'suits.' Here Nemea 'comes next,' i.e. 'follows Ægina's lead' in being the scene of the second victory won by Euthymenes. Note the


45 з ӓ $\lambda \iota к а \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\lambda \theta$ о́ขтая оїкоь т’ є่кра́тєє

85
5 є่ $\sigma \lambda о i ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \mu a ́ \rho \nu a t a \iota ~ \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota s . ~$
6 i้ $\sigma \theta \iota, \gamma \lambda v \kappa \epsilon i ́ a ́ \nu ~ т о \iota ~ M \epsilon \nu a ́ \nu \delta \rho o v ~ \sigma u ̀ \nu ~ т u ́ \chi a ~ \mu o ́ \chi \theta \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \mu о \iota ß a ̀ \nu ~$ ' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 є $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu$.

90


 $\delta ı \pi \lambda$ óav

 Xápıббıv.

Delphinios, April or May, when the Жginetan Delphinia or Hydrophoria and perhaps the Pythia at Megara were celebrated. $\mu \in$ l's $\tau^{\prime}$ For $\mu e ̀ \nu \ldots \tau \varepsilon$ of. O. 4. 15. The instances collected by Mr Bury tend to establish my explanation.
48 नìv rúxă Cf. N. 4. 7, 6. 25. Menandros' aid was somehow secured by public effort.

50 Themistios was Euthymenes' father, the victor's maternal grandfather, according to the best explanations. For lkes with acc. of.
 'Wax warm' in his praise. Dissen cites frigeo Cic. ad fam. 11. 13, Verr. 4. 25. $\delta i \delta a t$ For this imperative of. O. and P. p. xxx ; for the phrase of. Eur. Iph. in Taur.

51 'Set thy sails full.' For the metaphor cf.P.1.91 $\epsilon^{\xi} \epsilon \epsilon \iota \delta^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \sigma$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \nu \beta \epsilon \rho \nu$ á $\tau a s$ à $\nu \grave{\eta} \rho \mid i \sigma \tau i o \nu$ à $\nu \epsilon \mu \delta \epsilon \nu$. Dissen cites Plat. Protag. 338 A.
53 dpetáv For the acc. of. v. 5 supra. For the meaning ' victory,' 'glory,' cf. I. 1. 41. For the connexion of the Graces with victory cf. P. 6. 2, N. 9. 54, 10.1. $\pi$ pobúp.
Alak. Themistios had been victor at the Aeakeia, and his statue in the pronaos of the Aeakeion still bore 'crowns of grass and flowers.' Probably crowns of flowers bound with grass are intended. O. 7. 80 $\mu \hat{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ к $\nu \nu \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \pi о \mu \pi \alpha \dot{c}$ is obviously irrelevant. Note the present tense $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \in \nu$, but the aorist $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \in i \hat{\nu}$.

## NEMEA VI.

## ON THE VICTORY OF ALKIMIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' WRESTLING MATCH.

## INTRODUCTION.

Alkimidas, son of Theon, one of the clan of the Bassidae ( $v .32$ ), was trained by Melêsias of Athens, and therefore probably won before Ol. 80.3 , B.c. 458 , about the same period as the victory celelrated in O. 8, gained by another pupil of Melêsias. The poet appears to have been engaged by the clan or Melêsias rather than by the victor himself. According to K. A. Miiller the Bassidae were Heracleids. That the poet composed the ode at Aegina has beeu inferred from távó vâ $\sigma o v$ (v. 48); but this is not conclusive, cf. P. 9. 91, O. 8. 25.

This ode, like N. 5 , insists on hereditary excellence, $\tau$ ò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in \dot{\epsilon} s v .8$, and, like 0.8 and N. 4, celebrates the Athenian aleipta Melêsias. I ${ }^{\top} v .48$, 49 clearly echo-with aioav heterometrically recurrent-vv, 13, 14.

The reinforced tautometric recurrence $\mu \in \theta \in \pi \pi \nu \nu \tau \tau .59,13$ is significant, suggesting that amid the praises of Aeakidae and Bassidae the poet is mainly concerned with the career of the victor Alkimidas; for $\pi$ ais $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ -

 which one is tautometric. The exact responsion of Побє $\delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \iota o v v .42$, to 'I $\sigma \theta \mu o \hat{\imath} \imath$. 19, may be intentional. The exact responsion of $-\nu \in \sigma \iota v v .38$, 15 is curious.

The last two feet of the sixth verse with the seventh verse of the strophes and antistrophes have met with hard usage from scribes or grammarians. Critics have in most cases altered every place. My latest text, which is more conservative than that of my first edition, alters four places out of the six, viz, all except 'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$ and 'Avt. $\gamma$ '. Boeckh alters all except 'Avt. $\beta^{\prime}$, and Bergk all except $\Sigma \tau \rho$. $a^{\prime}$ (changing $\Sigma \tau \rho$. $\beta^{\prime}$, 'A ${ }^{\prime} \tau$, $\beta^{\prime}$
seriously). It would only bewilder the student to record the various conjectures which have been propounded.

Bergk changes $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \phi a \nu \tau$ ' to $\pi t ́ \phi \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$. 'A $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.



каßßdेs to катаßàs. $\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.

For the resolution of the first syllable of the irrational choree in


The mode is Жolian; the metre logacedic.

## Strophe.



Epode.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { ひu|vuv|-v|L] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \sim \cup|\sim \cup| \sim u|-\cup|-\wedge] \\
& 5,6>\vdots \sim \cup \mid\llcorner|\sim \cup| \sim u|-\uparrow \varpi ు||-\cup|-\wedge] \\
& 7,8 \smile \vdots-v|L||-\dagger \simeq| L|\sim u|-v \mid-\wedge] \\
& 9 \quad\llcorner|\sim u|-\cup \cup|\sim u|-\wedge]
\end{aligned}
$$

Vv. 1-4 and $v v .5-7$ of the strophe form two periods, the first antithetic, of 7.8.8.7 feet, the second perhaps palinodic, of 4.6.4.6 feet. Vv. 1-3 and 4-9 of the epode form two periods, the first antithetic mesodic of 7.4.7 feet, the second perhaps antithetic of 5.7.7.5 feet. The ratio of the periods is thus 3 to 2 in the strophe, 2 to 3 in the epode. The strophe is mainly composed of second and third Glyconics.

* Incisio, or else end of verse.
+ Caesura.

ANALYSIS.

vo.
1-7. Men and gods are of common origin but have diverse powers, yet men, for all their ignorance of the future, are a little like immortals.
8-11. The victor's family illustrates this. For its powers are shown in alternate generations.
11-25. Celebration of the success of the rictor and his ancestors.
25-27. No other family has won more boxing matches.
27-29. The poet's high praises are true and proper.
29, 30. He invokes the Muse to glorify the victor.
30,31 . Bards and chroniclers revive the memory of great deeds.
32-46. Such as those of the Bassidae which the poet enumerates.
47-56. Praise of older Aeakidae, especially of Achilles.
57-59. But the present achievement is ever most interesting.
59-63. The poet willingly undertakes the double duty of proclaiming the twenty-fifth victory of the clan.
63--65. The lot disappointed them of two Olympian victories.
66 -end. Melêsias as a trainer is as pre-eminent as a dolphin is for swiftness among creatures of the deep.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime} .
$$



1 'The race of men (and) of gods is one and the same, for we have our life from one and the same mother (Гaia). But difference of faculties distinguishes us, inasmuch as the one \&c.' Commonly read after the mss. $\bar{\varepsilon} \nu \dot{a} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, है $\nu$ $\theta \in \omega \bar{\nu} \gamma^{\text {E }}$ vos. Most commentators render in effect, with Cookesley, 'The race of man is one, the race of gods is another, though both are created of one mother. But a totally different power distinguishes (the two races), since the one is worthless, but the firm heaven eternally remains an imperishable mansion (for the other). Yet we resemble them to a certain degree.'

My version is admissible even without the insertion of $\kappa$ al. The presumed êv- $\varepsilon \nu=$ 'one'-' another' demands illustration. If, on the other hand, there is a metrical division after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \nu$, the likelihood of which can be seen at a glance, the order is equivalent to ${ }_{\varepsilon} \nu, \varepsilon^{i} \nu \dot{d}$. o. $\gamma$. Cf. O. and P. p. Xxv. As the Greek for 'one' occurs thrice in the space of so few words, each and all of the three must be intended to emphasise the idea of unity. The asyndeton is not inappropriate in a solemn conjunction of opposed ideas. Cf. P. 3. 30 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \in \mu \nu \mid$ oủ $\theta$ єd̀s oủ $\beta$ คотòs


 Є゙ $\delta$ os


Cf．Soph．Trach． $1160 \pi \rho \partial{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{\tau}$ ．$\nu$ （？$\beta \rho \circ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu) \pi \nu \epsilon \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu \partial s$ बaveî
 lowing stemma exhibits the com－ mon descent of gods and men from Gaea．

> Gaea by Uranos


Pandôra Promêtheus
Human race

 ＇Wholly，＇cf．Madv．§ $86 a$ ；or ＇in every case，＇of．N．5． 16. кєкрьнéva Cf．Hes．Scut．Herc． 55 ＇I $\phi \iota \kappa \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \ldots \kappa \in \kappa \rho \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \in \eta$ ク̀ $\nu$ ，i．e．dis－ tinguished from Hêrakles．Schol． $\dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta \tau о s{ }_{\eta}^{\eta} \dot{\eta} \kappa є \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\epsilon} \eta \eta$ ，the latter is clearly right．For the construction of the participle and substantive ef．O．9．103，I．7． 12 ঠєî $\mu \alpha \pi \alpha \rho \circ \iota \chi \not{ }^{\circ} \mu \in \nu \nu$, N．9． 6.

3 ©s The Schol．explains by тoбov̂tov $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ，a Herodotean use found in Xenophon and Attic poets with antecedent expressed（Madv． $\S 166 c$ Rem．2）．This then is open to question．In the cases where $\dot{\omega} s=$＇for＇or＇since＇it introduces a cause，not，as here，an illustration which comes nearer to effect than to cause．The closest parallel I know of is Eur．Hippol． 651 v仑̂v $\delta^{\prime}$ ai $\mu$ èv êvঠov $\delta \rho \omega \bar{\omega} \iota \nu$ al какаl какд
 то入o८｜$\dot{\omega}$（＇just $a s^{\prime}$ ）каi $\sigma \dot{v} \gamma^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu}$

 dorf takes the $\dot{\omega}$ s as exclamatory with a full stop before it，＇How manifest it is that．．．＇$X$ á $\lambda_{\kappa \in o s}$ Cf．I．6．44，P．10．27，Il．5．504， 17．425．So Milton Par．L． 7 ． 199 ＇chariots winged｜From th＇ armoury of God，where stand of old｜Myriads between two brazen mountains lodged｜Against a so－ lemn day．＇dö，ai．\％\％．Cf， Hes．Theog． 126 「aĩa $\delta$ é $\tau 0$ u tpêtov $\mu$ èv èvelvato Toov éautn̂｜Ờpav̀̀̀

 ä $\sigma \phi a \lambda e ̀ s{ }^{2}$ alel．

4 тробфе́ $\rho \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v}$＇We resemble．＇ Of．Frag． 19 өทрòs $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i o v ~ \chi \rho \omega \tau i$ $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha, \nu 6 o \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \hat{\ell} \rho \omega \nu$ ．The tragic fragment quoted by the Schol，on N． 3.127 каl $\pi a \iota \delta \ell$ каl $\gamma^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho о \sigma-$ $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \quad \tau \rho \dot{\sigma} \pi$ ous，interpreted $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta$
 тov $\mu \hat{f} \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\eta} \lambda \lambda$ ckias，does not seem to the point．If $\nu 60 \nu, \phi \dot{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ or any part or aspect of self be expressed the middle is not required to further indicate self．Still Dissen＇s obser－ vation remains true that com－ pounds of $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \omega$ are not seldom used intransitively，e．g．${ }^{2} \nu a \phi \varepsilon{ }^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ， éкфє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu, \sigma \nu \mu \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \tau$, which bear the same sense in active and middle （while $\delta \iota a \phi$ 白 $\rho \epsilon \nu=$＇to be different，＇
 ${ }^{\prime} \mu \pi a v$ Refers back，though followed by каilтєр．Cf．N．4．36．The poet seems to regard a knowledge of the future as the most distinctive characteristic of divinity．For man＇s lack thereof ef．O．12．7－9， I．7．14，Bacchyl．10． 46 тд $\mu \in \bar{\lambda} \lambda \lambda_{o \nu}$｜ $\delta^{\prime}$ àкрітоиs $\tau i \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota$ тє $\lambda \epsilon v \tau a ́ s$.

55 ขóov グтoı фúбuv ảӨavátoıs，


то́т $\mu$ оя
10
7 oĭav тuv’ єै犭рачє $\delta \rho a \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi о т i ̀ ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \theta \mu a \nu$.
A $\nu$ т．$a^{\prime}$ ．

$5 \ddot{\eta} \ldots \geqslant$ ．．${ }^{2}$ roc Rare or unique order：
 The ror shows that the godlike physique is more common than the godlike mind．Cf．Thuk．6．34， 2，40．1．фи́бเv＇Physique．＇Cf． I．3． 67 oủ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ фv́olv＇నapt $\omega \nu$ cial En $\lambda a \chi \epsilon v, i b .5 .47$ ．Pindar in these places includes beauty and strength as well as＇stature＇for which Soph． Oed．Rex 740 is quoted．Note that $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \gamma a \nu$ is emphatic．Only the finest specimens of humanity，which show likeness to divinity，are $\theta \in 0 \epsilon \delta \delta \eta$ s， $\theta$ єоєікє доs．
 For adj．used adverbially of．v．39， O．13．17，7．31，Eur．El．860， Hippol． 1219 каi $\delta \in \sigma \pi o ́ t \eta s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ i \pi \pi \iota-$

 by night．＇Critics have altered to кãà $\nu$ ．（Pauw），$\nu u \chi$ la $\tau l s$（Hartung），

 $\dot{\eta}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\prime} p a \nu$ gives enough support．

7 olay rtv＇So Böckh after Hermann for mss．äv $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime}$ and ä $^{2} \nu \tau u \nu^{\prime}$ ． ${ }^{\prime \prime}$＇үраұє Cookesley renders＇marks out，＇the $\sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{\prime} \theta \mu a$ being the $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ， the line marking the end of the course．Cf．P．9．118．Dissen translates jussit proprie，legem scripsit．For $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \theta \mu a y$ of．Eur．Ion
 Both these constructions need $\alpha \mu \mu$, and also $\delta \rho a \mu \in i ̂ v ~ \pi \delta \dot{c} t$ ，for which， however，see P．9． 123 бiкov｜фи́入入’ ${ }^{2} \pi \iota$ ．Mezger quotes P．6．45，wrong－ ly both there and here，explaining $\sigma \tau \dot{d}^{\theta} \mu a \nu$ as＇die Messschnur，die Schmitze，welche durch den Röthel
mit dem sie gefärbt ist die Linie bezeichnet，nach welcher man sich zu richten hat．＇But it is precisely the lack of guiding lines which the poet asserts．Render＇to run to what goal Destiny（as á $\gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta \in \dot{\tau} \eta s$ ） enters our names．＇The goal is a crisis of success or failure in a man＇s struggles and hopes of $\tau 亠$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \hat{\rho} \rho \in \iota \nu \tau \iota \dot{\alpha} \theta a v a ́ \tau o l s$ ．The issue of such struggles is ever unknown， and their direction may have to be changed unexpectedly．Note that $\pi 0 \tau i$ with the accus．cannot possibly mean＇by＇either here or P．6．45，or anywhere else．Note that ${ }^{\text {č }}$ रpa $\psi \in \nu$ is a gnômic aorist（so too édooav v．10，émapчav v．11）and should not be translated＇has marked out， has prescribed，vorgezeichnet hat．＇ This passage is recalled by Eu－ ripides，Orest．981，$\beta$ pot $\hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime}$＇ $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \pi \text { âs }\end{aligned}$ à $\sigma \tau \dot{d} \theta \mu \eta$ خоя aíúv．

8 kal vv̂v So best mss．，but so too P．9．71．＇So in the case before us Alkimidas gives proof to be seen that the genius of his race is like that of corn－bearing tilth．＇$\tau \dot{\text { o }}$ $\sigma v \gamma \in v e ́ s$ Dissen＇s interpretation must be right，as the alternation in successive generations extends over the victor＇s family and is peculiar to it．For the phrase cf． P．10．12，where the sense is limited to the manifestation in one indi－ vidual of hereditary qualities．In fact tò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu$＇s in its widest sense is whatever is derived from $\pi \dot{\sigma} \tau \mu \mathrm{os}$
 Schol．interprets $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi$ пpoेs $\tau \grave{\partial} \theta \in i ̂ o \nu$
 ov $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathrm{vei}$ ，which is included in my


 то८

20

 тє́фаутаъ

25

'Е $\pi \cdot \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.

b татрота́тороs ó $\mu a \iota \mu$ íov.

30

interpretation. I think the word 'genius' may include the idea of $\pi \dot{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$ оs.

9 For general sentiment of. N. 11. 40. Mezger is unhappy in torturing this simile into a suggestion of the nature of Earth, the common mother of all. The family peculiarity is an instance of the mutability of human affairs which prevents men knowing their future.
$10 \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}} \pi \epsilon \delta \delta \omega \nu$ The Triclinian mss. omit $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$, but $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \bar{\eta} \epsilon \tau \alpha \nu \partial \nu$ is supported by Hếs. W. and D. 607 (605).
 fallow again (aû̃ $\epsilon$ ) attain strength.'

12 '́parஸ̂̀ 'Delightful,' beoause he had been victorious.

13 taúrav...al̃av'This career (the athletic, just referred to in $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \omega L o s)$ allotted by Zeus'-cf. v. 7 -either as $\pi 6 \tau \mu$ os or a divine minister of $\pi \sigma \tau \mu \mathrm{os}$ (cf. Bacchyl. 17. 26 f. $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \hat{e} \nu \alpha \nu \mid$ alбav $\epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ). Cf. O. 9. 42, $\Delta \iota \partial s$ al̆ $\sigma \alpha$; P. 11. $50, \theta \in 6 \theta \in \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu$, and for the exact sense of aloa, cf. N. 3. 15, v. 49 infra. $\mu \in \theta \in ́ \in \tau \omega v$ 'taking kindly to.' Leaf 'making his own.' Dissen wrongly cps. 0 . 3. 31.

14 äццороs Not altered from
 $\alpha \nu-\mu o \rho o s$, the original sense of $\mu b \rho o s$ being preserved in the compound. ci $\mu \phi$ C Cf. P. 5. 111; N. 1. 29; I. 4. 55.

15 тó $\delta$ a $\downarrow \notin \mu \nu$ Cf. Soph. $A j$.
 which I explain, lit. 'Will you not move off this pasturage as to your (with) returning foot?' The $\pi \sigma \delta \alpha$ would not be added to the middle but for the ${ }^{2} \%$ oppov, which however Jebb takes as an adverb. Rather compare Aesch. Ag. $684 \pi$ povolaıб
 $\nu \dot{e} \mu \omega \nu$, 'guiding his tongue-.' For metaph. of. N. 5, 43.

16 б $\quad$ аццiov 'Of the self-same strain.' It is not superfluous to indicate identity of blood between grandsire and grandson à propos
 insists upon tò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \in \nu$ ès causing the grandfather's qualities to be exhibited in the grandson. Hermann needlessly $\dot{\text { juačulov. }}$

18 éттápкє $\sigma^{\circ}$ mss. have lost
 Kayser ėve $\gamma \kappa \omega ́ \nu$, Hermann ėippoov, Mommsen émei $\delta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu$. For the


$g$ ëт



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$




fact cf．Plus．6．18．5．Praxidamas won，$\pi v \gamma \mu \hat{\eta}, 01.59$ ．It seems to me evident that a verb is missing． Of course a lacuna is assumed． Experts in ductus litterarum seem to ignore the possibility of complete defacement．

22 ＇He put an end to the ob－ livion of Sôkleidas，＇by causing him to be proclaimed as a victor＇s father．Or was he grandfather， $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \tau$ ．being＇best＇？

22， 23 The comma mitigates the hiatus before os，but perhaps $\Sigma \omega \kappa \lambda_{\epsilon}\left(\delta a^{\prime}\right.$ should be read．，vi тєрт． ＇Most distinguished．＇＇Aүクб⿱宀 Note the almost possessive dative． Cf．O．9．15．үéveto＇proved to be．The implication is that Al－ kimidas＇father is the most dis－ tinguished son of Praxidamas．As Agêsimachos does not seem to have been a victor，the alternative of $v v .8-11$ is limited to three generations．

24 intel Most editors except Bergk and Mommsen read $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ oi． But one Schol．makes of the pro－ noun，referring it to Sôkleidas． Fou Cf．Bacchyl．1．17，т $̂$ y（ $\pi a l-$ $\delta \omega \nu)$ eva of $\mathrm{K}[\rho o] \nu\left(\delta a s \mid \ldots{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{T} \sigma[\theta \mu] \iota \delta \nu\right.$－

 Simon．Frag． 58 ［32］． 6 ＇＇s גккрор $\dot{\alpha}^{2} \nu \delta \rho \in l a s$, Theokr．1． 20.

25 Eौєv́баขто Cf．P．10．7， $\gamma \in \mathfrak{c} \epsilon \tau a l$ रàp deco $\theta \lambda \omega$, I．4．20．Túxq Cf．N．4．7，5．48，P．2． 56 т $\pi \lambda$ गutềv Guv тúxa $\pi$ óт $\mu$ vv бофlas apıotov（which should perhaps be rendered，＇to be wealthy with the kindly aid of fate is far better than cleverness＇；in my first volume I followed Dissen．For ooplas ap l－ $\sigma \tau o \nu$ cf．Theognis $173 \not \approx \nu \delta \rho^{\prime} \dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \partial \nu$

 also 0．8．67，P．8．53．In Pindar Túxa means（1）whatever man en－ counters or attains by the over－ ruling guidance and influence of higher powers，（2）such guidance and influence，when the power is mentioned．The only point of contact between this rúx $\alpha$ and our chance is its ad ávela to mortals．

26 aimeф́́varo Gnômic aorist and causal middle（see on N． 9. 43）；＇is wont to cause to give account as steward of more crowns in its penetralia than all Hellas（besides can number in one family）．＇The voice and tense are generally lg－ noted，with the rendering＇Boxing has ordained，＇and $\mu \nu \chi \hat{\omega}$ is taken

及oтог ；but the phrase is intoler－ able．The use of Foîkov for＇family＇ is like our use of＇house．＇


 ô̂pò é $\pi$＇́ $\omega \nu$
307 єن̉к $\lambda \epsilon i ̂ a \cdot \pi a \rho o \imath \chi o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ خà $\rho$ à $\nu \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ ＇Avт．${ }^{50} \beta^{5}$.

2 $\mathrm{B} a \sigma \sigma i \delta a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ä $\tau^{\text {’ }}$ ov $\sigma \pi a \nu i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ тадаíфатоs $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon a ́$,
 55


${ }^{6} \chi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \varsigma ~ i \mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota ~ \delta \epsilon \theta \epsilon i \varsigma ~ \Pi \nu \theta \hat{\omega \nu \iota ~ к р а ́ т \eta \sigma є \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau а v ́ т а \varsigma ~}$ aî $\mu a \quad \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \varsigma$
7 Хриба入ака́то⿱ тотє̀ $\mathrm{K} a \lambda \lambda i ́ a s ~ a ̉ \delta \grave{̀ \nu} \nu$
E $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．
a ${ }^{\text {Ép }} \rho \in \sigma \iota$ \atov̂s，$\pi a \rho \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{Ka} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\tau a} \mathrm{\lambda íą} \tau \in \mathrm{X} a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad 65$

27 For metaphor cf．O．1．112， 2． 89, N．1．18， 9.55.

28 бкотоиิ ä้ $\nu \tau$ Mingarelli for Mss．äעтa бкотои．Probably a gloss supplying the omission of one of these words was incorporated into later mss．out of place．B． reads $\tau \epsilon \tau v \chi \in i v$ ，and a Schol．records
 Soph．Phil． $629{ }_{\text {a }}{ }^{\nu}$ is with，not after，é $\lambda \pi i \neq \alpha \iota$ ，Thuk．vii． $61 \tau \delta \tau \hat{\eta} S$
 gives some support to ă $\nu \tau \epsilon$ ．But the less positive construction，even were it amply supported，would be out of place here．

29， 30 व̈үєк．т．入．So мss．See Introd．

29 $\hat{\omega} \tau^{\prime}$ Cf．P．10． 54 ．$i \in$＇／s Cf．Soph．$\Delta j$ ．154，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{d} \lambda \omega \nu$
 tov Sc．oTкоу．oûpov Cf．O．9．47， P．4． 3.
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \in a$ ，regularly contracted into
$\epsilon \dot{\kappa} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{a}$ or shortened into $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \kappa \lambda \in ́ a$ ．
31 入óyto So mss．Böckh $\lambda$ droc．Cf．P．1．93，94，v． 47 infra． тd к．$\sigma \phi$ ．＇Their noble needs．＇ For quasi－possessive dat．ef．$v .23$.

33 dpótaıs Cf．P．6．1－3，N． 10． 26.
$34 \forall \mu \nu \ldots$ ．$£ \mathrm{p} \gamma \mu$ ．Cf．N．4． 83.
35 dya日éa Its meaning as shown by its usage should prevent connexion with dya日ós．Bacchyl． 3． 52 देs á $\gamma \alpha \theta \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu . . . \Pi v \theta \dot{\omega}$.
36 alua In apposition with Ka入入las．So Hor．Od．2．20．6，non ego pauperum sanguis parentum．

37 ả8óv＇Having found favour with．＇Artemis and Apollo were with Lêto patrons of the Pythian games．For ${ }^{\ell} \rho \nu \in \sigma \iota$ cf．I．3．63， Soph．Oed．Col． 1108 末 ${ }^{*}$ ф $\left(\lambda \tau a \tau^{\prime}\right.$ ${ }^{t} \rho \rho \eta$ ，and the use of $\theta d \lambda o s$, ösos． Cf．Bacchyl．5． 86 ff．$\tau i s \dot{d} \theta a \nu \dot{d} \tau \omega \nu \mid \eta{ }_{\eta}^{n}$
 $\chi$ ${ }^{\theta o \nu i}$ ；




f Boтáva тé vív
$g \pi o \theta^{\prime}$ á $\lambda$ éo $\frac{1}{}$






39 'Was lauded with loud chorus of songs, ${ }^{\text {' }} i . e$. in the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu \circ$. In P. 5. $42 \phi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \omega$ is used thus, but transitively; intransitively but literally 0.2 .72 . غंणा. For adverbial adj. cf. v. 6, Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 6, 72, 80, 81, Il. 17. 384 тоїь
 For light-words applied to sound cf. O. 1. 23,93, N. 3. 84, 9. 41, Aesch. Sept. c. Th. 100 ктútov ঠєঠорка, Soph. Oed. R. 126, Phil. 201.

40 The 'impregnable causeway through the sea' is the Isthmos of Corinth.

41 Kpeovt. Of course this may be the name of an individual, but probably the Schol, is right in saying it is Kallias' patronymic, as the $\sigma \epsilon$ shows a close connexion between the two names, and Pindar generally specifies relationships.

43, 44 'The lion's herb' is the parsley of Nemea.

45, 46 For the two adjectives
$\dot{a} \sigma \kappa$., $\dot{\omega} \gamma$, of, O. and P. p. xxiv.
 Schmid. Hermann vtк $\omega \boldsymbol{\omega} \tau^{\prime}$ ク̈ $\rho \in \phi \epsilon$ jarkloss. Relative to Nemea the Phliasian mountains to the South and West are shadowless.

47 Cf. I. 2. 33, 3. 19 and 20. The notion of bringing classifies the inf, $\kappa о \sigma \mu \in \hat{v}$ as an inf. of purpose, of. Soph. Oed. R. 198 and Jebb's note.

48 тávסє For the demonstrative cf. P. 9. $91 \pi \pi^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu$ ráv $\delta^{\prime}$.

49 If al $\sigma \alpha \nu=$ 'lot,' $\sigma \phi \nu \nu=T o i ̂ s$ $\nu \eta \sigma \omega \dot{T} a \iota s$. If aifav='prescribed path, career,' $\sigma \phi i \nu=\lambda o \gamma$ loc $\sigma \nu$. . See note on aifap N. 3. 15, 16.
 swoop.' Darbishire, Relliq. Philol.
 Pindar neglects the digamma (as in áтоккєiv, áтонк(a) following Epic usage. The Homeric poems retain the digamma twice $\epsilon \pi c a ́ \lambda \mu \in \nu o s$, cf. Curt. Greek Verb (Trans.) p. 26.


＇А $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ r．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．

 90






100
＇Е $\pi$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．
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65 е к $\lambda \hat{\alpha} \rho o s ~ \pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ s ~ a ̆ \nu \theta \epsilon ' ~ ' O \lambda v \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta o s . ~$
${ }^{\prime} A \chi c \lambda(\lambda) \epsilon \dot{s} \chi^{\alpha \mu \alpha}$ ка $\beta \beta$ às（ка́ $\left.\mu \beta \alpha s\right)$ $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha}$ ．The corruption of ${ }^{\epsilon} \mu \pi \alpha \iota \epsilon$ （graphic impf．）to ．$\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma^{\prime}$ ，after the misplacement of＇A $\chi$ incus，was easy．

54 For theme cf．Nem．3．61－ 63，I．4．41， 7.54.

55， 56 kal．．．kal Cf．N．2． 1.
 not expressed，but the adj．is used as a substantive，cf．v． 47.
$57 \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \pi 0$ oi vaós＇By the sheet of a vessel，＇i．e．close to the $\pi \rho \omega \rho \epsilon$ uss． Others understand＇the keel＇or ＇the steering paddle．＇Mr Cecil Torr has shown，Ancient Ships，pp． 95,96 ，that here and Od．10．32， Soph．Ant．715－717，Eur．Or． 706 f．\＆c．the moves means the corner of the sail terminating in and held by the leeward sheet；so that the rendering＇sheet＇is correct enough，but required explanation．

58 入є́ $\gamma \in \tau a l$＇It is a proverb that．＂For кขца́тыע $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ of． Theogn． 173 quoted v． 25 supra．

Soveivv Our．Cf．Bacchyl．1．40，41，
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \mu \nu \alpha \iota$, P．6． 36 боข $\theta \epsilon \epsilon і \sigma a$ фрŋ่ข．

59 The＇double burden＇is the praise of the clan and the praise of the victor．$\mu \in \theta \in ́ \pi \tau \omega v$ Cf．v．13， ar $\mu ф \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota$ P．4．268．そ̇ßav See 0 ． 9． $83,13.97$, P．4． 268.
$60 \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau 0 v$ For scansion of． P．9． 114.

62 Mss．＇A入кєцi adas тó $\gamma^{\prime}$ є̇тápкє－ $\sigma \epsilon \mid \kappa \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{Q} \hat{\gamma} \gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \hat{Q}$ ．That twenty－five victories were counted to the clan， not to Alkimidas，is proved by the succeeding $\delta \dot{v}$ ，of which only one refers to Alkimidas．émápk．intrans．

65 adv $\theta$ e＇＇Crowns，＇of．O． 2. 50，＇ $\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu$ oi $\tau \epsilon$ коเข al Xápıтєs ar $\nu \theta \epsilon \alpha$ $\tau \epsilon \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega \nu \quad \delta \nu \omega \delta \in к а \delta \rho o ́ \mu \omega \nu \mid$ ar үаүov． It seems hardly probable that the ＇random lot＇can refer to the pairing of the competitors；for to be drawn with antagonists who were too strong for them was to be de－ fated on their merits，and the poet
$f \delta \in \lambda \phi i v i i^{\prime} \kappa \in \nu$


ITO

was hardly likely to recall such unpleasant reminiscences. But if one of their antagonists drew one or two byes, they might well be too much exhausted to throw an acknowledged inferior, who was comparatively fresh. It is therefore manifestly quite possible that the honors of the wrestling match, especially of that for boys, did not always rest with the technical victor. Perhaps however the number of competitors sent from Aegina was limited by lot. Of course it is possible that A may be able to throw B by a particular trick by which B is baffled, and that similarly B can throw $\mathbf{C}$ and C can throw A ; so that if $B$ and $O$ drew together $A$ would throw D and B and win, whereas if A draws with $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ wins; but still, if A be defeated, it is a poor consolation to hint that he might have won had he been differently paired. But one Schol. seems to have had aurous $\pi \rho \circ \pi \epsilon \tau \eta ̀ s \kappa \lambda a ̂ \rho o s$, and explains that premature growth of hair exeluded them from the boys' wrestling match!

66 For the simile cf. P. 2.51, Frag. 1, 6. 'I will say of Melêsias as a trainer eliciting skill and strength that he is equal to a dolphin as to speed through the brine': i.e. as the dolphin is unsurpassed in speed, so is he unsurpassed in his profession. For áyioxoy of. Simon, Frag. 149 [206] $\boldsymbol{\nu} \hat{\omega} \theta_{\iota}$ Өєठ-




 Bury too v $\sigma \pi o \hat{\imath} \mu$, giving the exact metre of the two corresponding lines. A Schol. says àrì rout io op
 $\delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\imath} \nu \iota \tau \hat{\eta} l \sigma \chi u ̛ i ̆ ́ ~ к a l ~ \tau \hat{n} \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta$. The spaced words, however, do not seem to be commented upon, but only transcribed. Pindar uses the Epic iso in l $\sigma o \delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ N. 4. 84, i $\sigma \delta \delta \epsilon \nu \delta \rho o s$ Frag. 142, but always (12 times) $\grave{\iota} \sigma o-$ when not part of a compound. In this epode $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi-v .66$ caresponds to two short syllables. For mention of the aleipta at the end of the ode cf. N. 4.

## NEMEA VII.

## ON THE VICTORY OF SÔGENES OF AEGINA IN THE BOYS' PENTATHLON.

## INTRODUCTION.

Sôcenes, son of Theârion, of the family of the Euxenidae, of Aegina, won the victory commemorated in this ode in Ol. 79. 4, B.c. 461 , according to Hermann's alteration of the impossible date Nem. $\iota \delta^{\prime \prime}$ in the Schol. to Nem. $\nu \delta^{\prime}$, the 54th Nemead. The Schol. goes on to state that in the Nemead 17 the pentathlon was introduced at Nemea. I do not think it right to alter this date, as it is possible that to it the date of Sôgenes' victory was erroneously assimilated*. The competitors all contested at the same time and were placed in each kind of trial, only being paired for the wrestling, which came last; the order being-1. leaping, 2. munning, 3. discus-hurling, 4. spear-throwing. The victor only had to beat his rivals in three contests out of the five. Generally the winner in the discus-throwing would not win in the running. The wrestling took place in the heat of the afternoon ( $v v .72,73$ ). It may have been permissible for the judges to omit the wrestling, if a competitor who was known to be a good wrestler and in wrestling condition had already secured the victory. Or again, such a victor might have been excused from wrestling contested to settle second and third places. In the 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th contests there was a line which must not be aver-stepped before throwing or starting (v. 71). I think that Sôgenes had over-stepped this line and so lost the spear-throwing after winning in the leaping and discus-throwing. According to the Schol. (v. 94 [65]) the poet had given offence to

* That the pentathlon was introduced before Nem. $\nu \gamma^{\prime}$ is proved by Hêrod. 6. 92, 9. 75 (Bergk).
.Eginêtans in a Dithyramb sung at Delphi by his version of Neoptolemos' death. The Schol. tells us that Aristarchos' pupil Aristodêmos explained the invocation of Eileithyia as referring to Nôgenes being the child of Theârion's old age, which view is said to be confirmed by an epigram by Simonides. The name Sôgenes suggests that the hope of offspring was small until he was born. Aristodêmos also says that Pindar had seemed to represent Neoptolemos as having gone to Delphi є́ $\pi i \quad i \in \rho \circ \sigma \imath \lambda i a$, , Schol. r. 150 [103].

The various suppositions proposed by myself and others to invest sundry phrases with special appropriateness are superfluous and vexatious. Holmes is particularly unhappy in inferring from vv. 90 ff . that Sôgenes had not been duly filial. If an elderly man tells a lad to be good, he need not be supposed to imply that he had been bad. It is not necessary to accept even the story of the offensive Dithyramb, and it is idle to assume that Theârion was unpopular or needed any consolation, or that there are political allusions in the poem, or that Theârion had a relative afflicted with feeble health or was himself an invalid or had contended in games and failed. The following simple account of the connexion of thought is amply sufficient. The rapid transition through $\tau \%$. 17,18 from youth enjoying the favor of Eileithyia, the Fates, and Potmos to Death is perfectly natural to the moralist and poet and is from an artistic point of view startlingly effective, but it may well have sounded to Sôgenes and his friends harsh and ill-omened as the scream of a vulture. To this harsh note the poet-after repeating it with slight variations $v v .30,31$ and 42 -refers $v v$. $75,76 \epsilon_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \iota \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a v$ áє $\theta$ єis \àvéкра foreboding of evil by the prayer of $v o .98-101$. Moreover the theme of Death leads up to the mythical portion of the ode which treats of the triumphs, wanderings, ignominious death and consequent apotheosis of Neoptolemos. The selection of this topic is relevant for more than one reason. Neoptolemos was more of a victor than any other of the Aeakidae and was the nearest approach to a Boy Victor presented by the Mythic cycles of Hellas. He was also the victim of inhospitality, and his posthumous honors vindicated the principles of hospitality, which the poet naturally has much in mind when celebrating an Euxenid (as Mr Bury has observed), see vv. 43, 48, 61, 70, 86. Yet again as a $\tau \in \theta_{\nu a k \omega ' s} \beta$ oá $\theta_{o o s}$ he was a permanent $\theta$ eapòs at Delphi and so connected in idea with
the son of Theirion, even if Theârion had nothing to do with the theôri of N. 3. 69, and his resting-place by Apollo's temple is a parallel to Sôgenes' home by the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ of Hêrakles. After asserting ( $v v .11-16$ ) that noble deeds can only be rescued from oblivion by song-a topic which, recurring vv. 20-23, 31, 32,51-$53,61-63$, forms one of the leading motives of the ode-the poet takes occasion to vindicate and illustrate his method, namely that of tempering encomiums with warning, advice, and even censure. He is a moralist and teacher whose inspirations are not held in
 form an apposite parenthesis, illustrating the nature of the highest kinds of fame ( $\kappa \lambda \dot{\epsilon}$ os $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \eta \dot{\tau} v \mu o \nu v .63$ ) and song. Second-rate heroes like Odysseus depend for fame on false praise, while an Ajax or a Neoptolemos can afford to have their failures and faults mentioned. It is further implied, $v v .20-27$, that success is often undeserved and that unmerited failure or humiliation is but a stepping stone to higher honor than ever. Thus we are prepared to recognise the wanderings and the ignominious death of Neoptolemos as bridges connecting triumph with triumph. Whatever Pindar may have retracted about Neoptolemos, the notice of his death (v. 42) would without the context have been brutally offensive. Sôgenes' failure in the spear-throwing, $v v .71-73$, entailed toil and danger, but made his victory after all more praiseworthy; so that he is brought into line with Ajax and Neoptolemos, and the allusion to his mishap is rendered a source of gratification, and gives extra zest to the praise which, if kept up indiscriminately, might cloy ( $v v .52$, 53 ) and prove monotonous (vv. 104, 105).

This ode is full of repetition-with variations-of sentiments and of verbal echoes (with some phonetic echoes), as may be expected in a poem in which several motives are artistically blended into a harmonious though diversified whole. Some of the echoes are effective. For instance $\tau$ d $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu$ b $\nu$ v. 74 (the syllable $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi$ - constituting a whole choree) is tautometric with $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \pi v^{\prime} a^{\prime} \nu \nu-v .55$, and we understand that part of Sôgenes' delight is the honey and roses of song ( $\mu \in \lambda \iota v .55$ recalling $\mu \in \lambda i \phi \rho o \nu$ ' airiav $v$. 11) with due ává $\pi \alpha v \sigma \iota s$; the negative particles are tautometric in $v v .18$ and 60 in which occur $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \epsilon \nu$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \epsilon \iota$ respectively, so that, taking these verses with $v v .25,32,52$ f., 63 and 68 f., we see that Theârion's $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ is that of a $\mu a \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ capable of appreciating the poet's method. The exact echo $\pi 0 \lambda_{l}-(v .85)$ of $\pi 6 \lambda_{l \nu}(v .35)$ shows that the echo
of $\pi 6 \lambda_{c \nu}$ v. 9 is not intended to be significant, any more than that of
 or of $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu l-v .10$, -ároı $\sigma a v .23$, or of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in i v v .93,22, \epsilon l v v .74,11, \tau \iota v .87$, ris $r$. 11, 'Eфúp- $r .37$, Z $\varepsilon \phi \dot{\prime} \rho-r .29$. The middle syllables of $\pi$ aii $\delta \omega \nu \tau$ $\pi a \hat{\imath ิ \delta \epsilon s} v .100$ are tautometric with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu v$. 50 , and $\pi a \tau \rho i \Sigma \omega \gamma t \nu \eta s \quad \tau .91$ nearly so with $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho a \theta \in \Sigma \dot{\alpha} \gamma \in \nu \in s, 70$.

The heterometric recurrences are very frequent. Some naturally express the dominance of ideas, as the five instances of hospitality noticed above, five of Zeus $v v .50,80,83,95,105$, three of $\sigma \theta \in \dot{\varepsilon} v o s v v .2,73,98$, three of youth $v v .4,96,99$. Also three of $\tau v \chi$-eì $v v .11,42,55$, तo yo$v v .21,32,51, \epsilon \pi \epsilon-v v .16,21,48,104, \pi о \mu \pi-, \pi \epsilon \mu \pi . v v .29,46,72$. Also
 $\dot{d} \mu \phi \in \pi \omega \nu v .10$, and suggests that Sôgenes' cheering, sprightly affection for his father is to be in part a reward for his father's having helped him to become a victor, and $\pi o \lambda v-\ldots \dot{\nu} \mu \nu \omega \nu \quad r .81$ recalls $\pi \dot{6} \lambda \nu \nu \quad \ddot{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu v .13$, and $\alpha i \epsilon i \mid \gamma \epsilon \in \rho a s ~ v v .100 \mathrm{f}$. recalls aici... $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s v v .40 \mathrm{f}$. and emphasises the parallel between Sôgenes and Neoptolemos, and $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \delta 0-v .98$ is an effective echo of $\epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu v .34$, and so too joa- $v z .12,61, \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau a-v z .7,51, \psi \in v \delta-$ $v v .22,49$, єंठ $\delta \alpha \mu \mu \nu-v v .56,100$.

Lastly we find $\lambda a \chi-4,54, \mu \in \lambda \iota 11,53$, бкото-13, 61, кало-14, 59, $\tau \rho \circ \pi о-14,103, \lambda \iota \pi a \rho o-15,99$, өоа- 28, 72, батє $\delta 0-34,83, \pi о \nu-36,74$,
 $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu-$ or $-\phi \rho o \nu-1,3,11,26,60,67$, and other repeated radicals.

The mode is Æolian, the metres are logaocdic and choreic.

## Strophe.



* Incisio except v. 94.
+ Incisio in 5 verses out of 10 .
$\ddagger$ Caesura in 7 verses out of 10 .

Epode.


Vv. 1-4 and 5-8 of the strophe form two periods, the first antithetic mesodic of 43.434 .34 .34 feet with a choreic mesode; the second palinodic of 6.7.6.7. The Epode is an antithetic period of 5.5.6.6.55 feet.

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-8. Invocation of Eileithyia, to whom men owe life and glorious youth. Men are destined to divers careers, but she has given Sôgenes glory as a Pentathlete.
9, 10. (No wonder.) For he dwells in the city of the Æacids.
11-16. Victory gives a pleasing theme to poets without whom achievements are covered in oblivion.
17,18 . Wise mariners wait for a good wind, and do not suffer loss through impatience for gain.
19,20 . Rich and poor must equally die (and be forgotten unless the rich be immortalised by song).
20-23. Homer by his art gave Odysseus too high fame.
23, 24. Most men are blind of heart.
24-30. Had men known the truth, mighty Aias would not have slain himself.
30,31 . Death is the common lot.
31-34. But the honor of those whose fame God cherishes lives.
34, 35. Who visit Delphi (as perhaps Sôgenes intended).
35-48. There lies Neoptolemos after noble exploits slain there, that an Eacid might preside over Pythian rites.
48, 49. Three words suffice; that witness presides over games with perfect honesty.
50-52. Aegina furnishes examples of glory.

[^2]F. 11.
vข．
52，53．But too much praise is distasteful．
54－58．Our lives naturally differ，no one attains prosperity in every respect．
58－60．Theîriôn enjoys a reasonable amount－a reputation for bravery and unimpaired intelligence（so that he can do the poet justice）．
61－69．The poet＇s defence against the charge of having calum－ niated Neoptolemos．
70－79．Praise of Sôgenes with
80－84．Adoration of Zeus．
84－86．Who became the father of Aeakos that he might rule over Aegina and be a comrade to Hêrakles．
87－89．Now a good neighbour is a supreme blessing．
89－94．Such is Hêrakles to Sôgenes．
94－101．Prayer to Hêrakles for Sôgenes and Theâriôn．
102－104．The poet resumes his protest that he has not spoken disrespectfully of Neoptolemos．
104，105．To repeat the same thing three or four times is foolish．
$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

1＇E入єílvıa，тápє $\delta \rho \in$ Moıpâv ßaӨuфрóv$\nu \nu$ ，
 aै $\nu \epsilon v \sigma^{\prime} \theta \epsilon \nu$
3 oủ фáos，oủ $\mu$ é $\lambda a \imath v a \nu$ Spaкévtes ev̉фpóvav


1 EnciOula Also El入el日via and ＇E入єvө For their attendance at births of． O．1． 26 є่ $\pi \epsilon \hat{\ell} \nu L \nu$（ $\Pi \epsilon \wedge о \pi \alpha) ~ к а \theta a \rho о \hat{v}$

 тapé $\sigma \tau a \sigma \epsilon \in \nu \quad \tau \in$ Molpas．

2 Cf．Hês．Theog． $922 \dot{\eta} \delta^{\prime}\left({ }^{*} \mathrm{H} \rho a\right)$
 $\kappa \tau \epsilon \nu$ ．Hêra（of．v．95）and Hêbê prepare the way for the invocation of Hêrakles，vv． 86 ff ．The four opening verses are suggested by the name and age of the victor．
$\mu \in \gamma a \lambda o \sigma \theta$ ．Applied to Zeus by Bacchylides 17． 52.

3 Spakévtes Cf．P．2．20．This is the participle of the gnomic sorist，cf．N．1．62．The contrasted $\mu$ é $\alpha u \nu \alpha \nu . . . \epsilon \dot{u} \phi \rho$ ．leads up to the contrast of death and obscurity （vv．19，13）with youthful life and fame（ $\phi a \epsilon \nu \nu a l$ a $\rho \in \tau a l v .51$ ）．For the asyndeton cf．N．6．1，Frag． 217 $\sigma \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \in \iota$ тò $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu$ évov oủ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ ，oủ $\sigma \iota \delta a ́ \rho \in o v$ reî̃os，P．3． 30 oủ $\theta$ còs ou $\beta$ potós．

4 è $\lambda a ́ \chi о \mu є \nu$ Echoed by $\lambda a \chi$ bуtes

 7 каì таîs ó $\Theta e a \rho i ́ \omega \nu o s ~ \dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{a}$ к кı $\theta є i ̀$ 10

＇А $\nu \tau, a^{\prime}$.

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$.

15

ข．54．áү入aóर．Cf．Bacchyl． 17. 103 ff．ả $\gamma \lambda a \omega \bar{\nu} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \varepsilon \quad \gamma v i \omega \nu \quad \sigma \in \lambda a s$ $\dot{\omega} \sigma[\tau \epsilon]$ rupós．The radiant limbs of the youthful athlete are sug－ gested quite as much as those of the goddess．The suggestion that Pindar had a work of art before his mind＂transports us from the realm of poetry to the realm of prose．＂I erred in suggesting that ardaó $\gamma$ ．may be causative through not seeing that the personification shades off into abstraction at the end of the verse．Or we might say＂The force of the adjective is that the＂áy入ata＂may be com－ municated＂；but then we get perilously near the realm of prose． Cf．Tyrtaeos 10． 27 f．עéo九б $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau^{\prime}$


 note）．

5 àvaтvє́онєv Rendered＇live，＇ or＇aspire，＇but is it a metaphor from running and other exercises， ＇gather breath for equal efforts＇ （cf．N．8．19）？For＇live＇Cookes－ ley quotes Soph．Aj． 415 á $\mu \pi \nu 0 \alpha$ Exovta，＇while alive．＇

6 elpyє Schol．סıaкш入úєє，＇re－ strain，＇check．＇＇For we beneath the yoke of Destiny by divers checks are severally held．＇Cf．N． 6．2．For $\S \cup \gamma^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ，MSS．§uरóv $\theta^{\prime}$ ，of． Eur．Hel． 255 тiv $\pi \delta \dot{\tau} \mu \omega$ бuvє $\check{\sim}$ テv̀v 8 é $\tau<v$ Refers back to $d \nu \in v$
$\sigma \epsilon \theta_{\epsilon \nu}$ v．2．Eileithyia cooperates with the Moirae and Potmos．

7 kal＇Even so，＇in spite of lets and hindrances．Note the respon－ sion of $\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime} v .7 \mathrm{E} \dot{u} \xi \in \nu \ell \delta \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho a \theta \epsilon$
 virtute distinctus，comparing Soph．
 отратєúpaтоs．Don．compares the use of крitos，P．4．50，I．7． 65. The Schol．interprets by éккрьтds $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu$ os．Cf．N．4．1，note on кєкрцце́v $\omega \nu$ ．
 $\theta \rho \in ́ \psi \alpha \iota \sigma \alpha$ ，Bacchyl．4． 5 Пvөєбขıкоs $\dot{\alpha} \in \ell \delta \in \tau \alpha$ ．

9 фи入ó $\mu$ олтоv Cf．Frag．1． 6 f． （of the Dorians of Aegina）taula $\tau \epsilon$


10 Alak．The Dorian citizens of Aegina ；of．N．3． $28 . \quad \mu a ́ \lambda \alpha$ ， к．т．入．＇And right glad are they to foster a spirit conversant in contests．＇For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \in \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$ cf．P． 9. $70,3.51,108$ ，where the object is a person，while $v, 91$ infra，here and I．3． 77 the object is an at－ tribute of the subject．The Schol． is wrong in suggesting that the reason for their zeal is because Pêleus had invented the pentathlon， as dywviq refers to all kinds of contests．For the dative with $\sigma \dot{u} \mu$－ reıpov Dissen quotes Od．3． 23 oủ $\delta$ é
 and explains the dative as giving the force of＇making trial of one＇s



6 Emp $\operatorname{c}$


self in an occupation,' not merely, 'trial of the occupation,' cf. Lat. jure peritus. The preposition in $\sigma \dot{\mu} \pi \pi \varepsilon \rho \frac{\rho}{}$ accounts for the dative $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu \dot{q} \dot{q}$, the sense being 'essaying trial in connexion with contests.'

11 тúxn For $\epsilon l$ with subj. cf. my note on P. 8. 13. For turxáve


 тทүха́vovт' $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \pi \alpha \sigma \chi \notin \mu \in \nu, v .55$ infra. Pindar uses ${ }^{*} \rho \delta \omega \nu$, $\epsilon_{\rho} \rho \xi \alpha, s$ with referonce to contests four times out of nine instances (eight participles), Éprua always so, éprop often so. $\mu \in \lambda$ (фроv' altiav 'A motive for honeyed thoughts.' For poaĩı cf. v. 62 infra, and I. 6. 19 к $\lambda u$ tais


12 évéßa入є For the gnômic aorist in hypothetical constructions of. Goodwin M. and T. § 466. The metaphor seems to be from throwing some herb or other object of worth into a scanty spring with an incantation to procure an abundent flow of water. The idea is recalled, vv. 61,62 infra. $\quad d \lambda_{k a l}$ Distributive-'feats of endurance.' Pindar uses $\dot{d} \lambda \kappa \alpha ́$ in reference to the pentathlon, pankration, wrestling and boxing.

13 'Dwell in thick darkness if they lack the light of song.' Here $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \cdot \sigma \kappa \delta \quad{ }^{2} \nu \nu$ is a construction similar to è $\chi$. $\chi \hat{\omega} p o \nu$, 'be in a place.' One is reminded of "He maketh darkness his secret place." There is no more connexion between $\sigma \kappa \dot{\text { to }}$

is between $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\gamma} \omega \nu$ do $\delta \alpha i \hat{s}$ and кâтov O. 9. 22-27. The foal nourish $\not \approx \nu \theta \in \alpha \quad \ddot{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu$, cf. O. 6. 105, 9. 48. Cf. also N. 6. 65, 10. 26. Note the involved order, $v \mu \nu \omega \nu$ and EXovtı being transposed. Dissen quotes Eur. Frag. 875. 8 ' ${ }^{\prime} \delta^{\prime}$ e ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha^{\prime}-$
 phrase.

14 *́гortгov Even the victor himself cannot appreciate his own exploit without the poet's aid. The spread of his fame reacts on his own mind, and poetic treatment reveals to him an elevated and idealised representation of his achievements and position. Cookesley aptly quotes Hamlet 3. 2 'Anything so overdone is from the purpose of playing, whose end both at the first and now, was, and is, to hold, as 't were, the mirror up to nature; to show virtue her own feature ; \&c.' Elsewhere Pindar speaks of the inmortality conferred by verse; here he speaks of immediate distinction.
 only,' lit. 'in connexion with one way.'

15 Of. O. 14. 18 for éкать 'by favor of.' Mnêmosynế was a Titanid, daughter of Uranos and Gaea, mother of the Muses by Zeus. Hêsiod, Theog. 915, calls her daughters $\chi \rho \cup \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi v к \epsilon s$, cf. P. 3. 89. Bacchyl. 1. 19 f. has $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \hat{\omega} \nu . .$. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha{ }^{\nu} \omega \nu$ and 5. 13 хрvба́ $\mu \pi v к о$ s Oipavias.

16 єט̋p $\eta \tau a \downarrow$ Mss. ev̋p $\eta \tau a l$ т is the pronoun being clearly an incorprorated gloss intended to show that
a $\sigma o \phi o i ̀ ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \tau a ~ \tau \rho \iota \tau a i ̂ o \nu ~ a ̆ \nu є \mu o \nu ~$


the verb was the subj. mid., not the perf. pass. For ris understood of. Soph. EEd. Rex 314 дע $\delta \rho a \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̃ \nu$
 $\pi \sigma_{\nu} \nu \nu$, O. 6.4. к $\lambda \nu \tau \operatorname{taiss~'Through~}$ glorifying strains of verse.' For causative use of adjective of. O. 1. $26,105,6.76,11.4$, P. 4. 81, 216 , 9. 11, N. 8. 40. The implication is that Sôgenes has justified his name by delivering his father and himself from all $\sigma \kappa 6 \tau o s$, even that which death-as is immediately hinted-brings except to those $\omega^{\sim}$
 not fully revealed until we have the whole ode in our minds. étré.



17 'Wise poets know that a wind is due in three days, nor are they hampered by considerations of gain': vinò кє́pठєь Cf. Hês. Theog. 862-866 $\tau \in \notin \nu \eta$ טึ $\pi^{\prime}$ al§ $\eta \omega ิ \nu$ and тйкєтає ن̇ф' 'Нфаlбтоv $\pi а \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$. бoфol The meaning of this passage has been obscured hitherto by interpreting 'skilled mariners' instead of poets; the metaphor ought to be confined to the phrase $\tau \rho \iota \tau a \hat{o} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ä̀ $\nu \epsilon о \nu$. The ship of Sôgenes' fortunes is sailing before a gentle breeze over a smiling sea under a bright sky; but the poet, soaring far above the present, has a vision of imminent storm and gloom (only to be averted by divine favor) which reminds him of the fate of Aias and Neoptolemos, and draws forth the warning cry of $v v .19,20$. His employers may prefer that he should reiterate flattering praise and confine himself to what is radiant and delightful in the past and present, but he must confer the
immortality of song ( $\kappa \lambda \in \epsilon_{0}$ ' $\tau \tau \dot{\eta}$ rvuov) in his own way as deity inspires him. $\quad \beta \lambda a ́ \beta \in \nu$ Don.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} . . . \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda o \nu$. But the Vatican $\dot{v} \pi \boldsymbol{\partial}$ ... $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \boldsymbol{}$ gives the best sense 'trammelled,' i.e. prevented from the free pursuit of their craft. Cf. Theogn. 387 ( $\pi \epsilon \nu(\eta) \beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma^{\prime}$ év
 $\kappa \eta$. We might understand with
 Theârion can appreciate this free utterance, as we learn from $v .60$ that his understanding is untrammelled, Moî $\rho \ldots \ldots \dot{\nu} \nu \in \sigma \iota \nu$ oủk $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \beta \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \quad \phi \rho \in \nu \omega \hat{\omega}$, where two sounds are echoed, ovं-, tautometrically. If there is any significance in this responsion, the subject of ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \theta_{0} \nu$ and $\beta \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \varepsilon \nu$ must be poets, for oúveaty cannot mean merely readiness to pay for an ode. Moreover interpretations on the line proposed by Dissen and others, namely that it is wise to pay a poet and chorus, assume that there is a complete break between $v v .18$ and 19 , whereas I make death an instance of d $\nu \in \mu$ os, which means 'storm-wind' several times in Pindar. Dissen and others also assume that $\kappa \epsilon \in \rho \delta \varepsilon \iota$ is equivalent to $\epsilon \dot{u} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i q$ or $\phi \epsilon \iota \delta 0 \hat{\imath}$, which is an illegitimate application of the saw "a penny saved is a penny gained."

19 Өavárov тépas ắ ua uss. Өavátov тapd $\sigma \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha$, against the metre. Böckh $\theta$ ávarov $\pi$ ápa $\mid \theta a \mu a ̀$ ( $=\ddot{\alpha} \mu a$ ). Wieseler, Schneidewin and Mommsen give the text. 'Wend their way together (cf. Il. 7. 335) to the bourn of death.' For $\theta a \nu$. gen. of definition with $\pi \in$ épas cf. O. 2. 30 f. ท̂тоぃ $\beta \rho \circ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \kappa \kappa \kappa\llcorner\iota \tau \alpha$

 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$.

 $\tau \cup \phi \lambda o ̀ \nu \delta^{\prime}$ er $\chi \in \iota$
 35

｜$\pi$ ti pas or $\tau \iota$ tavárou（the rest of the sentence supporting my view of äve $\mu \circ s$ ），$I l$ ．16．502，Mimnerm．


 dar often uses verbs of motion tran－ sitively，without a prep．Bury＇s tavátov $\pi \alpha$ ápos has not any support； as after a verb of motion，Soph．Aj． 73，Eur．Or．111，$\pi$ dápos $=$＇forth from，＇＇to the front from the in－ terior．＇Of course the wrong division $\pi \hat{\varepsilon} \rho a \quad \sigma \hat{a} \mu a$ would be changed by a corrector to napa $\sigma \hat{a} \mu$ ．

20 徍аи＇I believe that the renown of Odysseus came to tran－ scend the reality，＇$\hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu(\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \in \nu)$ being equivalent to $\hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ ar $\frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \pi a \theta \in \nu$ ． Old mss，read $\pi \dot{d} \theta a \nu$ ，new $\pi \dot{d} \theta \epsilon \nu$ ． For $\epsilon \lambda \pi \cdot=$＇believe＇cf．Frag．39． 1 $\tau \ell \delta^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \pi \epsilon a \iota$ бофiav $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$, Theogn．
 ধ̈тv $\mu \alpha$ ，Asch．Sept．c．Th． 76 दuvà $\delta$＇$\hat{\epsilon} \pi \pi i \xi \omega \quad \lambda \in \gamma \epsilon t \nu$ ，where Vernal quotes Plato Rep．p． 45 a $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \pi l \delta \omega . .$. Er $\lambda a \tau \tau o \nu$ á $\mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \mu \alpha$［ $\epsilon \tau \nu \alpha]$ ］．Cf．also N．4． 92.

21 ＂Oипpor Probably the Lesser Iliad or the Aethiopis is meant． Cf．on N．8．23－32．

22 廿ev́ర．Fol＇His characteristic falsehood．＇Cf．0．9． 15 Ө $\hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s} \quad \theta v$－ үátクp $T \hat{E}$ Fol．．．Eủvoula．Of course for＇her daughter＇Fou is not
wanted，but in such cases a posses－ side or quasi－possessive pronoun of the third person suggests that the relationship or connexion is pe－ culiarly close or appropriate，egg． that the daughter closely resembles or is peculiarly dear to the mother． In these two instances and N， 10. 29 Fo is equivalent to a case of os， Frs，or $\ell \in \delta s$ ．Cf．also $\sigma \phi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ P．9． 82. motavậ $\mu$ axavą̣＇Power of making winged．＇Cf．note on P．1． 41 and P．8．34，$\chi$ péos（＇debt of praise＇），
 $\sigma \iota \gamma a \lambda \grave{\nu}$ dj $\mu a \chi a \nu l a \nu$, ＇Lack of poetic power that bringeth silence．＇For sentiment cf．O．1．28，29，Thus． 1. 21．1．$\tau \in$ Supplied by Hermann． Bury＇$\mu \phi$ from P．8． 34.

23 $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \delta^{v} \tau t$＇An air of solem－ nity＇which induces belief．For er $\pi \in \sigma \pi \iota$ Dissent quotes Aristoph．

 ＇Poetic skill．＇Cf．P．1．42．$k \lambda \epsilon \in-$ ттєь тарáyoloa．For suppression of object cf．P．2．17，＇Beguiles us by the seduction of epic naira－ lives．＇

25 § tad $\nu$ Böckh．mss．$\epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu$ or t́àn，Bergk Écà $\nu . \quad$ E Refers to ${ }_{\delta} \mu \nu \lambda$ os．$\tau \dot{d} v \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \theta$ ．That to him
 fud which no human decision could impair，since for him $\theta \in d s$ $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \partial \nu$ avis ct $\lambda 6$ gov．For $\hat{\eta}^{2} \nu$ with

## 




' $A \nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.

 ठє̀ үíveтаь,


accusative pronoun cf. $\epsilon^{\prime l} \eta$ with acc. pron. O. 1. 115, P. 2. 96, I. 1. 64. Xo $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \omega \theta$ els 'Have been infuriated at the loss of the arms and so....' For causal gen. cf. Il. 1. 65 c ${ }^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}$ ' ${ }^{\prime} \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$
 Soph. FEd. R. 698, Philoct. 1308, Ant. 1177 тatpl $\mu \eta \nu / \sigma a s$ ф $\dot{\nu} о v$, Aesoh. Eum. 504 кб́тоs ́ $^{2} \gamma \mu \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu$.

26 б карт. A久. 'Aias the stout champion.'

27 ถ̊v кра́тьттоу...коц(баь 'For he was the nublest... whom the waftings of...Zephyros conveyed in swift ships to recover....' For inf. cf. Gdwn. M. and T. § 758. For subject cf. Il. 2. 768 div $\delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ â̂

 $\phi{ }^{\prime} \rho \tau a \tau o s ~ \hat{\eta} \in \nu$.

30 ḋ $\lambda \lambda$ d.... $\mathbf{y}$ áp 'But (his might and bravery did not save him from a sad fate) for....' For комlis relating to Helene cf. O. 13. 59.

 0. 1. 99 f.

31 кขิ $\mu$ ' 'Atioa For metaphor cf. passages quoted on N. 4. 36. dंסók $\eta$ rov 'Ingloriously even on a glorious hero.' Cf. O. 2. 28 غ̇v кal
 $\epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$ кal $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau \hat{q}$ shows that кal= ' and' can intervene between a preposition and its noun. P. 2. 10, 11
 illustrates the position which is assumed for the preposition by Dissen and others who render ' on the inglorious and the glorious.' Paley renders ' unexpected, as well as on him (one?) who is looking for it' (so too Mezger). It is questionable whether $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \omega \nu$, used absolutely, would bear this sense; and the rendering certainly is inappropriate to a suicide. My version suits the cases both of Aias and Neoptolemos, Note the change of tense; ${ }^{\text {ép }} \boldsymbol{2}$ єтac covers all time, $\pi \epsilon \in \epsilon$ refers to many points of time. tumá Dissen points out that this 'honor' is higher than mere $\lambda$ d́yos, 'fame,' which latter only was enjoyed by Odysseus, while Aias and Neoptolemos gained the former also.

32 aßpóv Extension of the predicate. 'Rears to dainty (or 'luxuriant') growth.' Pindar twice uses $\kappa \hat{v} \delta$ os $\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho \sigma \nu$. For the metaphor cf. N. 8. 40, 9. 48. Note the contrast with $v v .20-23$, av̌ $\xi \eta$ Schol.,
 cf. N. 9. 44, Goodwin M. and T. 540.

33 ßoa日ówv, rol mapà $\mu$. Text Didymos, mss. $\beta$., (or full stop) rol $\gamma$ da $\rho$. Hermann, Dissen and others read $\beta$ oai $\theta$ boy, tol $\pi a \rho \alpha \grave{c} \mu$.

356 кєі̂тає，Пр८а́ноv тó $\lambda \iota \nu$ Nєoттó $\lambda \epsilon \mu \circ$ є́ $\pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \pi \rho a ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ ， 7 т 人̂ каi $\Delta \alpha \nu a o i ̀ ~ \pi o ́ v \eta \sigma a \nu \cdot ~ o ́ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \pi o \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~$
 $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$.

55
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.




 $\mu a \chi a i p a$.
－Namely of champions who would come，＇\＆c．The Schol．on $v .68$（46） tells us that the god used to invite certain heroes to $\xi \in \nu i a$ at Delphi； perhaps $\beta$ oatboc was a frequent title of such guests．Dissen＇s reading gives us＇to succour them when dead．＇
 gular being ascribed to Didymos by the Schol．Vet．Mezger reads $\tau \hat{\psi}$ for toi，$v .33$（comparing P．5．21），
 סols．It is needless to alter further than to replace the recorded $v, l$ ． $\mu \delta \lambda o \nu . \quad-\quad \tau \epsilon$ Mss．$-\iota \delta \epsilon$ ．

37 इкúpou The home of Neopto－ lemos＇mother Dêidamia，daughter of Lykomêdes．Böckh needlessly transposes $\imath^{\prime} о \nu \tau 0$ and $\pi \lambda a \gamma \chi \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ ． For－av $\pi \lambda a \gamma \chi$－，the only instance of an irrational long syllable in this foot，ef．oix $\epsilon \omega \nu$（with synizesis）$v .65$ ． ＇Eqúpav In Thesprôtia，afterwards called Kixupos（Strabo 7．324）．

38 Cf．N．4． 51.
$39 \quad \phi \quad{ }^{\prime} \rho \in$ For the verb $=$ habuit Dissen compares N．3．18，I．6． 21. The tense takes us up to the aboli－ tion of kingly rule in Epeiros．

40 Fou＇This dignity in his honor．＇Cf．$\pi \dot{d} \tau \rho q$ v．85．The order
prevents us taking of with $\gamma$ fevos，as in 0．9．15，v． 22 supra．$\quad \pi \rho o ̀ s$日eóv To Delphi，to the Pythian Apollo．

41 ктє́ay＇＇Precious objects．＇ Cf．O．6．1， 4 （Ф（á入av）корифふ̀̀ $\kappa \tau \epsilon d \nu \omega \nu$ ．All mss．except the two Vatican give $\kappa \tau \in \dot{e} a \tau^{\prime}$ d $\nu \dot{d} \gamma \omega \nu$ ，a false correction of $\kappa \tau \epsilon \alpha \nu a ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ．For $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho о-$ $\theta \iota \nu i \omega \nu$ of．O．2．4．The sense is here almost proleptic，prime spoils set apart for offerings being indicated by the term for＇offerings of prime spoils．＇dкpoө．Gen．of definition， cf．$v, 19$.

42 ＇Where he met with a brawl about flesh in return（for his offerings）and a man（Maxaıpev́s） smote him with a knife．＇The posi－ tion of $\nu L \nu$ should have been quoted by Dissen for his insertion of $\sigma \epsilon$ between $\pi 0 \tau \ell$ and $\pi$ áv $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ 入óyov， P．2．66，rather than the Homeric
 фढ́vєє Il．3．389，cf．Madv．§ 80. Such an order as we have here is rare except with $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ ，and even in this case the preposition is gene－ rally at the end of the clause or else close to the verb．Compare also Kallinos 1． 20 ẅ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \nu$ $\pi \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma 0 \nu$ \＆̀ $\nu \dot{\delta} \phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu 0 i \sigma \tau \nu \dot{\partial} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma t \nu$ ．For
${ }^{1} \beta a ́ \rho v \nu \theta \epsilon \nu$ סè $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma a ̀ \quad \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o \grave{ }$ §єvayє́тal．
 талаוта́тф

65
 4 Өєov̂ тар’ єủтєєХє́a סó


the preposition $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \in \rho$ Dissen quotes Eur．Phoen． 1326 クัкоибє тє́кขа
 グ $\xi \in \iota \nu \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \delta \delta \mu \omega \nu$ vi $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ．The slaughter suggests one of the various uses of the Delphic knife， cf．Aristot．Pol．1．2，which was very likely a broad two－edged knife， with a point and a hook at the end，Reject Dissen＇s explanation of $\mathfrak{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau \chi \chi \in \hat{L} \nu=$ forte incidere like the Homeric ávtıáбel（de industria adire）$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \iota, \& c$ ．The Schol． says that Neoptolemos was various－ ly said to have gone to Delphi to consult the oracle about Hermione＇s barrenness，or to sack the temple， or to demand satisfaction from the god for Achillês＇death（so Euri－ pidês），and that he was slain by the Delphians or by Machaereus．There is another version that he was slain by the machinations of Orestes， Eur．Orest．1654－6，Andr．1085－ 1165，who persuaded the Delphians that he intended sacrilege．Pindar＇s account of the visit is not incon－ sistent with Euripidês＇，but their accounts of the reason for the attack upon him differ substan－ tially．

43 The mss．reading $\beta . \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o l$ is an interesting case of dittography wrongly corrected by leaving out the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ in the proper place．

44 According to Pausanias（1． 14）the Pythia herself ordered his slaughter．

45 ＇Funeral sacrifices were offered up to him（Neoptolemos）at Delphi every year（Paus．10．24．5）， and he was commemorated first of all the heroes，whose names were recited at the Delphic $\xi \in{ }^{\prime} v i a^{\prime}$（Don．）． The Schol．，quoting Asklêpiades＇ Tragoedumena，tells us that he was at first buried under the threshold， but that Menelâos had the body removed to the $\tau$ fervos on the right of the entrance to the temple （Paus．10．24．5）．He was honored with yearly $\varepsilon v a \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o l$ and by the Aeniânes with a $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho l a$ every fourth year．

46 тоцлаîs Processions and offerings in connexion with the above－mentioned $\xi \in \in \nu a$ ．For the two adjectives of．P．9．8，10． 6.
$48{ }^{\text {＇With a view to（upholding）}}$ fair－named justice，$=$ the shrine＇s good name for justice．The victim of a dispute about a sacrifice was an appropriate guardian of fair－ dealing with respect to the sacred rites at Delphi．Mommsen，after the Schol．（citing Aristarchos）， places the full stop after $\pi$ ro入vөúrots， not after $\delta<\kappa a \nu$ ．The word єúcuvv $\mu$ ov recalls Aesch．Choeph． 948 є̇т $\eta$ тú $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ $\Delta$ ддs кбра，$\Delta і к а \nu ~ \delta є ́ ~ \nu \iota \nu \mid \pi \rho о \sigma а ~ ү о р є и ́ о-~$
 （Not．Crit．p．540）says－＇Eúẃvvuos Pindaro est bonus，prosper．Notat Eustathius（ad Il．$\mu$. p．859，5）： $\mu o i ̂ \rho a ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \delta v \sigma \alpha ́ v v \mu o s ~ \pi \rho d े s ~ \delta \iota a \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta े \nu ~$
 $\pi o \iota$ ，єủ $\omega \nu u u^{\prime} \mu v_{0}$ ．I take it Eustathios

7 oủ $\psi \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \iota \varsigma$ on $\mu a ́ \rho \tau v \varsigma \epsilon_{\varphi} \rho \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ émıбтaтє̂̂.
 'А $\nu \tau$. $\gamma^{\prime}$.

 ко́роу $\delta^{\circ}$ er $\neq \chi$
3 каì $\mu$ é $\lambda \iota$ каі̀ тà тє́ $\rho \pi \nu$ ’ ä $\nu \theta \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime}$ 'Aфроסíбıa.


simply meant to express, what is the fact, that Pindar uses the word єย่ ©́v live of $\delta u \sigma \dot{\omega} v \nu_{\mu}$ os which when quasidying $\mu 0 \hat{p} a$ has another correlative, $\dot{a} \gamma \alpha \theta \dot{\eta}$. $\quad$ трía Three words suffice to indicate the special import of Neoptolemos' cult at Delphi to Sôgenes. 'The witness who eresides at the games is perfectly fair.' The witness is Neoptolemos (according to Rauchenstein, Apollo, to Mommsen, Pindar). Cf. vv. 23, 63 for the superior truth of records of athletic prowess compared with epic fame. Merger puts a colon after $\mu$ de prus, a comma only after $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, a full stop after $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$, but $\epsilon \kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$ is wanted in the next sentence.

50 'Aegina, with respect to the descendants of thyself and Zeus I am bold to affirm this, that by their brilliant distinctions there is a high road (for poets) of noble themes derived from their home.' For the genitive ex $\kappa \gamma \delta \nu \omega \nu$ cf. Madv. § 53 Rem. Aegina and Zeus were parents of Aeakos. Cf. N. 8. 6. The meaning of kupla, is not 'own peculiar,' 'legitimate,' Germ. 'echt,' 'rightful,' but ' of regulation width,' ie. a regular temple-road with the Delphic gauge of 5 ft .4 in ., cf. Curt. Hist. of Greece, Ward's Trans. Vol. 2. p. 36 ; in short $\dot{\delta} \delta$. $\kappa v \rho,=\dot{\delta} \delta \partial \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha$,$\xi \iota \tau 6 v$, N. 6. 56. For the metaphor
cf. also O. 1. 110 ÉTikovpoy ev́pìv
 $\sigma \phi \iota \nu$ oi $\mu$ av $\lambda \iota \gamma$ ơv, N. 6. 47-49,
 $\theta \in \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma$ las oj óov какоррйнорая, where Paley (1123) quotes $\lambda 0 \gamma i \omega \nu$ oj $\delta 6 \nu$, Aristoph. Equites 1015, $\theta \in \sigma \phi \dot{i} \omega \omega$ ódov, Eur. Phoen. 911. Aristo-
 $\lambda \sigma \gamma \omega \nu$ ell $\pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, seems to have had this passage of Pindar in mind. For oik. cf. O. 3, 44.

51 фaєvvaîs Opposed to $v v, 12$, 13 d $\lambda \kappa \alpha$ | $\sigma к о т о \nu, к . \tau . \lambda$. and to v. 61.

52 d̉入入à yáp 'But enough! for.' kópov Cf. N. 10. 20.

53 " $\nu \theta \epsilon_{\text {' ' }}$ 'Aфpooírta 'Aphroditeblossoms,' ie. roses, as I suggested on P. 5. 21; 'those other delights, roses,' songs of praise are suggested. The verse sums up the indulgence of the appetites, $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota$ representing generally the gratification of taste. The School. quotes Il, 13, $636 \pi$ adp-




54 For sentiment of. vv. 5, 6. The natural constitution, $\phi$ va, is regarded as the means by which variation is produced, fate as the cause ; hence the aorist $\lambda$ áxovtes.

55 ta For the neuter pronoun referring to $\beta \iota \tau$ add of. the relative oîa, 0. 1. 16. tvXєiv Cf, v 11 supra.



a $\delta i \delta \delta \omega \sigma \iota$ ，тó $\mu \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ apo $\kappa \epsilon ́ v \omega$


90

 oข̂tos．

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.



56 єv̉dalu．For sentiment cf． Bacchyl．5． 53 oz $\mid$ ráp is Ė $\pi \iota-$
 dive $\lambda_{o ́ \mu}^{\mu} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{0}$ v Gerundive，＇by win－ ming，＇cf．N．3． 16.
 $\pi \in \delta o v$ Extension of the predicate． For sentiment cf．P．3．105，7． 20.

58 kaıpóv＇Measure，＇＇proper－ lion．＇Of．P．1． 57 ผ̂̀ $\not ้ \rho a \tau \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \iota \rho \partial े \nu ~$ $\delta i \delta o u ́ s(\theta \epsilon 6$ s）．
59 то́д $\mu \boldsymbol{\alpha} \nu^{\prime}$ A character for courage，＇cf．O．7． $89 \varangle \nu \delta \rho a \quad \tau \epsilon \pi \nu \xi$ ar $\rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu$ є $\dot{v} \rho b \nu \tau a$ ，Eur．Ion $600 \gamma \epsilon \lambda \omega \tau^{\prime}$
 Taut． 676 каі ঠєі入laע $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa а l ~ к \alpha ́ к \eta \nu ~$ кєктグбо $\mu \iota$ ，Thank．1． 33 фє́роиба


60 бúveซtv Cf．O． $2.85 \phi \omega \nu \alpha-$ $\epsilon \nu \tau a$ ovעєтoî $\sigma \nu$ ，of the poet＇s own sayings，which are here also in part at least referred to．Cf．also Bacchyl．3． 85 фроvéovit $\sigma \cup v \in \tau a ̀$
 trammell＇；L．and S．renders＇ruin utterly，＇but to tell a man he is not an utter imbecile would be taken by many people for a serious re－ flection on their mental powers．

61 oкotelvóv Causative，cf．P． 4．81，0．1．26，6．76，11．4，N．8． 40. The syllable before $\sigma \kappa$ ought to be
short，so Böckh proposed котєıขдे $=к о т \eta ं \epsilon \nu \tau a$ ，Rauchenstein крифаîov，
 $\chi \omega \nu$ бкотє̈̈ддे $\psi$ ．Kayser cites Hềs． W．and D． $587 \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i \eta \quad \tau \in \sigma \kappa\llcorner\eta$ ，but the colon seems to make Pindar＇s license worse．The text is sup－ ported by N．4． 40 and $v v .12,13$ supra．


 smoke is not mentioned，and as foal is not the most appropriate word for water employed to quench fire（and as it occurs $v .12$ with бкб́тov $v .13$ ）the poet probably had irrigation in view．Cf．v． 32.

63 étท́tvuov＇True，＇＇genuine．＇ Cf．$v v .23,49$ for the opposite． There is no reference to a name as Dr Verrall imagines with respect

 Cf．$v .16$ supra．

64 Ėむv $8^{\prime}$ éryvis It seems un－ likely that hypothetical proximity would be placed in such a promi－ nett position in verse and sentence． I take it that a Colossian（Achæan） was present with the poet in Aegina when this ode was recited，and
 $\tau \epsilon$ бано́таия

95




${ }_{7} \mathrm{E} v \xi_{\epsilon \nu i ́ \delta a} \pi a ́ т \rho a \theta \epsilon \Sigma \omega ́ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \varsigma$, à $\pi о \mu \nu v ́ \omega$

render- 'Though he be near, an Achæan, a dweller above the Ionian sea, will not blame me.'

65 vité Cf. P. 1. 18. Cookesley rightly objects to Dissen's ad mare and explains $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho=$ 'above,' adding less correctly 'or beyond' in Strabo


 'Iovlov кбл入тov. So again ib. 7. 324
 (it was at least a mile off) K (Xupos,

 $\pi a i \omega \nu, \mu \kappa \kappa \rho \partial \nu \dot{u} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\theta a \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta s$ or (ie. not so far above the sea as Kichyros), Don. thinks Pindar's phrase 'peculiarly applicable to Cichyrus, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ but it was in Thesprôtia, and reference to N. 4. 51-53, compared with the above-quoted passage of Strabo 7. 326, enables us to apply it to Dôdôna with which we know Pindar had friendly intercourse. Cf. Frag. 35. kail
 кal or $\pi \rho o-$, but olké $\omega \nu$ is dissyllabic with an irrational arsis, and we therefore need not alter the ms. reading. For adjectival use of part. of. N. 4. 29. The poet's position as $\pi \rho \delta \bar{\xi} \in \nu$ os (of Dôdôna) would prevent him from disparaging Neoptolemus, while the fact that he was still $\pi \rho \sigma \xi \in \nu 0$ showed that the acids of Epeiros had not taken offence at an objectionable Paean. Sauó.
tais Thebans, cf. N. 8. 38.
$66 \lambda a \mu \pi \rho o ́ v$ For idiom of. P. 2. 20 , N. 4. 39, and for sentiment cf. N. 10. 40. Our 'serenity' comes very close to the meaning of $\lambda a \mu$ -
 of arrogance.'

67 Épv́gals Metaphor from clearing a road by dragging aside obstacles. For the exact meaning of
 the remainder of my days steal on amid kindliness.'

68 тотl... $\%$ ртои Cf. N. 4. 43, and for the compound P. 1. $57 \tau \delta \nu$
 any one understand my meaning.' There is a reference to $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \sigma \tau \nu$, v. 60 . $\quad \mathfrak{\alpha} v$ er pei For $\begin{gathered} \\ \nu \\ \nu \\ \text { with fut. }\end{gathered}$ cf. Goodwin M. and T. $\$ \S$ 196, 197, O. 1. 109, I. 5. 59. Hermann would


69 el Not hypothetical, but $=\pi \delta \tau \epsilon p o \nu$ after $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$. The passage concerns the poet so intimately that I cannot think épooual refers merely to the chorus. For $\pi \dot{d} \rho$ $\mu \in \lambda$ ins, 'untunefully,' of. O. 9. 38

廿áyov So Vatican mss. supported by Hêsychios. The poet recalls vv. 48-53.
 $\mu \nu$ vic Lat. deiero, 'I make oath as defendant,' 'I deny on oath.' Bergk, from the Schol., ย ยто $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \dot{\omega}$.

71 тép $\alpha \pi$ т $\rho \circ$ ßás It would seem

 $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$.


IIO 5 ảעéкратоу，ои̉ траұús єíцє катаӨє́ $\mu \in \nu$.
that Pindar alludes to Sôgenes himself actually having discharged his spear in the pentathlon with his foot advanced beyond the line which marked the beginning of the throw，so that having failed to gain the third victory he was obliged to strive to the utmost in the wrest－ ling．Pindar often likens his verse to arrows and spears，cf．O．1．112， and esp．P．1． 42 ă $\nu \delta \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ é $\gamma \omega े$ кeîvov $\mid \alpha l \nu \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \mu \in \nu o \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ề $\lambda \pi о \mu \alpha \iota \mid \mu \grave{\eta} \chi \alpha \lambda$－

 á $\mu \in \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta^{\prime}$ àv $u$ lous．ठ＇pбaı Refers to the past，cf．O．2．92， 93 ［Don．］．

72 ós，к．т．入．＇Which（if thrown successfully）is wont to dismiss the sturdy neck（hendiadys）from the wrestling unbathed in sweat before the limbs encounter the blazing sun．＇Don．says＇As most of the public games of Greece were cele－ brated in the hottest season of the year，and as the pentathlum in particular was contested in the full blaze of the noonday sun（Paus． 6. 24 §1），when the heat was so op－ pressive that even the spectators could not endure it（see Aristot． Problem．38，太心lian，V．H．14．c．18）； we may fully understand this allu－ sion to the wrestling match，coupled with the $\varepsilon l \pi \delta \nu o s \eta \nu$ which follows．＇ Simonides，Frag． 155.5 f．，says of a pentathlete oủ $\delta^{\prime}$ É $\gamma \in ́ \nu o \nu \tau 0 \mid \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \tau l \nu \omega \nu$
 Gnômic aorist．If Thetis can be told in the first book of the Iliad，


ยттоли́бао $\delta є \sigma \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，although the rebel gods had not bound and did not bind Zeus，Pindar could say $\epsilon \dot{\xi} \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ even if there had been no wrestling． But there must always have been wrestling，if only to prove a minimum of proficiency，for it would give some competitors a most unfair advantage in the earlier contests not to have prac－ tised and trained honestly as wrestlers．But if Sôgenes had won with the spear，his task in the wrestling might have been comparatively light，as after one or two bouts he could retire on the strength of three previous victories．The readings \＆$\sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \xi \epsilon \pi$ ．
 a special assertion on to a simile， which is awkward compared with the general statement presented by mss．The poet playfully alludes to a failure which，so far from being a disgrace，resulted in en－ hancing the merit of the victory． The Schol．wrongly explains $\pi \rho o \beta$ ás as $=\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta \alpha \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}$ ．

74 єl $\pi$ óvos गิv This proves that Sôgenes had been obliged to beat dangerous competitors in the wrest－ ling，and probably also been beaten in the foot race．$\pi \lambda$ éov＇More abundantly．＇

75 हैa $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon}$＇Luet me alone，＇i．e． ＇Fear not．＇

76 àvékpayov Idiomatic aorist referring to the immediate past． The meaning of $\pi \epsilon \in \rho \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon i s$ is



${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \delta^{\prime}$.
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 $\phi \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$,
$\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime}$.
1 єُ $\mu \hat{a} \mu \grave{\iota} \nu$ то入íap $\chi o \nu ~ \epsilon v ̉ \omega \nu v ́ \mu \omega ~ \pi a ́ т \rho a, ~$
simply＇soaring too far＇with the usual metaphor of the fights of poetry．Cf．v． 22 supra，N．5．21， Aristoph．Pax 831．Pindar refers to $v v .19 \mathrm{f}$ ．and the sombre topics introduced thereby．tpaxús ＇Niggardly at paying my debt of praise．＇Cf．P．11．41．For inf．ef． Gdwn．M．and T．§758．ката日е́цєv
 $\pi 0 \hat{\imath} \kappa a \tau a \theta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon s$ ；and Jebb＇s note． The suppressed object is the $\mu \sigma \theta \partial \partial_{s}$ of $v .63$ specialised．

77 àvaßá $\lambda_{\epsilon 0}$＇Strike up．＇Cf． P．1．4．The poet makes as it were a fresh beginning．This one word is addressed to the musicians． Hermann renders impone tibi，Dis－ sen expecta，morare．

78 光 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \in$＇And therewithal．＇Cf． Soph．©Ed．R．27．The Schol，quotes Frag． 160 vi申alv $\omega \delta^{\prime}$＇A $\mu v \theta$ arov $\delta$ aus $\pi о \kappa \kappa \grave{\lambda} \frac{\nu}{}$ ä $\nu \eta \eta \mu$ ．Cf．N．8．15．This early allusion to elaborate gold－ smith＇s work in which gold，ivory and white coral were blended is of great interest．＇For the Muse com－ bines gold and therewithal white ivory and the lily blossom，having culled it from the dews of the sea．＇ Pape takes $\lambda e l \rho \iota o \nu$ here for an adjec－
 $=$＇slender，＇＇pale．＇

80 aj ${ }^{\prime}$（＇With regard to．＇Cf． O．9．13，P．2． 62.

81 Sóvet Dissen observes that the metaphor is from spear－throw－ ing，comparing P．1． 44 ӓкоута $\pi a \lambda \alpha \mu q$ סov $\epsilon \omega \nu$ ：but cf．P．10． 39 $\pi a \nu \tau a ̨$ ठè $\chi$ ороі $\pi a \rho \theta \in \nu \omega \nu \mid \lambda \nu \rho a ̂ \nu$ т $\tau$



82 áouxî Contrast this lan－ guage with reference to an Æolian ode sung to the lyre with that of N． $3(v .67)$ which was sung to flutes．

83 סámeסov So mss．Mezger restores the mistake $\gamma a \pi \in \delta o \nu$ ，which does not scan．Perhaps here and v． $34 \delta \alpha \pi \pi \in \delta \nu=$＇terrace．＇The next verse shows that the Aeakeion is meant．

84 ข̇тó Cf．I．5． 44.
 of my clan，＇the Asôpidae，to which both Thebans and 压gine－ tans belonged，and which was evív－ $\nu u \mu o s$ from its further connexion with Nemea．Of course $\dot{\xi} \mu \underset{\substack{c}}{\text { has }}$ been altered to $\tau \in \hat{q}, ~ \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \hat{q}$ ，$\dot{u} \mu \hat{q}, ~ \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{q}$ （Bury）．
 $\epsilon i$ ठ̀̀ $\gamma \epsilon v \in \epsilon \tau a \iota$
3 ảv $\delta \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ́ \rho ~ \tau \iota, ~ ф а i ̂ \mu e ́ v ~ к є ~ \gamma є i ́ т о \nu ' ~ є ้ \mu \mu є \nu a \iota ~$

130
5 є̇тágıov• єỉ ס' aủtò каì $\theta$ єòs ảvé $\chi o \iota$,



${ }^{135}$
' $A \nu \tau$. $\epsilon^{\prime}$.

 њึ на́кар,

86 б€o $\delta \in$ For this $\delta e ̀$ (following $\mu \grave{v} \nu)$ after vocative of. N. 2. 14. $\mu$ ह̀v...тє Cf. N. 2. 9. үยย́єтаו Delibat. Cf. I. 1.21. There is an old variant $\delta \in v \in \tau a \iota$.

87 үєiтоv', к. т. $\lambda$. Cf. Hês. W. and D. $346 \pi \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$ како̀s $\gamma \epsilon i \tau \omega \nu$, ö $\sigma$ -

 Alkman Frag. 50 [60] $\mu$ є́ $\gamma \alpha$ रєiтoעし $\gamma \in i \tau \omega \nu$.

88 vów Cf. Hês. Th. 661 dं $\tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$
 'Delight,' 'blessing,' as in 0. 2. 19.

89 dé́xol Cf. Soph. Aj. 212 and Jebb's note. 'Should be constant to neighbourly relations.' mss. read ${ }^{2} \nu$ é $\chi$ Øou. The text is due to Thiersch. Holmes puts a full stop after dué $\chi o l$, taking it with $\epsilon l$, 'for the simple expression of a wish,' like the Euripidean $\epsilon$ ll $\mu \circ$ үévouto. I cannot think that a wish could be expressed here just before the wish v. 98.

90 ev $\tau i v$ 'Under thy protection,' 'in dependence on thee.' Cf.
 $\sigma \omega ́ s o \mu a \iota$, and Jebb's note. 'Éé $\lambda$ o Equal to $\mu \hat{e} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$, or should we ren-der-' would be willing to cherish towards his father and so to go on
dwelling happily,' \&c.? I.e. the neighbourhood of Hêrakles' temple is enough to keep Sôgenes contentedly at home tending his father in his old age, rather than ranging in quest of adventures like Hêrakles who subdued the Giants. For the advice to the youthful victor to cheer his father ef. P. 6. 19-27.

91 aradóv 'Tenderly affectionate,' with a suggestion of cheering gaiety. Cf.v. 10. The son is to be cheering to the father, as the ode provided by the father cheers the son.

93, 94 'For that he hath his house between thy precincts close as four-horse chariots may be seen, (having one) on either hand as he goes.' It is a mistake to suppose that four-horse chariots had two poles or two yokes, as art proves the reverse. Mr Bury, though professing to hold fast to Pindar's language, thinks jurois means 'yoke-arms,' and that 'the relation of Sôgenes' home to the temples is compared to that of a chariot-pole to the two arms of the yoke which is attached to its extremity." This view suggests a singularly long and narrow house with semi-detached

 s ả $\mu a \chi a \nu ı a ̂ v ~ \delta v \sigma \beta a ́ t \omega \nu ~ \theta a \mu a ̀ ~ \delta ı \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu . ~$



'Е $\pi . \epsilon^{\prime}$.


150


temples fastened on to the top near one extremity. The preposition ev does not suit Mr Bury's theory. Close contiguity might well be suggested by a reference to racing chariots whether we think of three abreast or one pressing up to pass between two. In $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho$, $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu$. svyois we have a paraphrase of $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ s v \xi ̨ \nu$ á $\rho \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ (cf. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ \zeta \nu \xi$ b $\chi$ оs Eur.) with a dative of mere reference. An episode in the four-horse chariot race which Sôgenes had witnessed at Nemea may be alluded to. Note that é $\chi \in l \ldots l \dot{\nu} \nu$ is a variation of the common construction $\ell ้ \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi . \chi \in \iota \rho d s{ }^{\prime} \delta \nu \tau \iota$.
$95 \delta^{\prime}$ For $\delta \epsilon$ after vocative cf. 0.1. 36.

96 кópav Hêbê, cf. vv. 4, 99. $\delta$ 'For.'

97 From this passage and from $v .60$ it has been inferred that Theârion labored under some bodily ailment or infirmity.

98 This prayer refers to $v v .55-$
 and Theârion. áppóбaıs Participle, 'should'st attach....'

99 SLar入éкols 'Carry on to the end.'

101 'The present victory and a nobler one (at Delphi or Olympia) afterwards.' The notion of Delphi
in apetor brings the poet back to Neoptolemos.

103 aitpóт. 'Ill-mannered,' 'which cannot be turned' from any malicious or sinister acceptation. Theognis, $213-218$, shows plainly that dंтporia means inability to adapt oneself to one's company. €̇кv́бal 'That I have maltreated,' like beasts worrying a corpse. Cf. Il. 17. 394, 558.

104 тaủtá, к.т.入. 'To work over the same ground three or four times argueth lack of inventive power, like the " $\Delta$ ios K $\delta \rho \iota \nu \theta$ os" of a woman sillily prattling to children.' This was probably the burden of a popular nursery ditty. Cf. Aristoph. Ran. 439, Eccl. 828. Müller, Dor. 1. p. 88 (Trans., 2nd ed. p. 96) and von Leutsch, Paroem. Gr. 2. p. 368, give the historical account of the origin recorded by the Schol., namely that ambassadors from the Bakchiadae, sent to invite the Megarians to resume their allegiance, at last said סukaics
 тo $\delta \ell \kappa \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. Whereupon they were pelted, and in an ensuing fight the Megarians urged each other to strike $\tau \delta \nu \Delta \Delta$ còs K $b \rho \iota \nu \theta$ ov. The proverb is said to refer $\epsilon \pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\rho \iota \nu \theta o s$.
$\lambda \alpha \tau \tau 6 \nu \tau \omega \nu$, an explanation which is not supported by Pindar. Some editors seem to take $\mu a \psi u \lambda$ ákas as nom. sing. $=$ ' $a$ silly babbler,' but the construction with $\ddot{\alpha} \tau e$ after $\dot{a} \mu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ would be the accusative, and the only possible construction for the nom. sing. is to make $\mu a \psi v$ $\lambda$ d́kas agree with Kbopıvos. The
 $\nu \eta \pi$ loos roîs $\tau \hat{k} \kappa y$ ous as though the reading had been $\mu a \psi \nu \lambda$ d́xaus, or else the interpretation last given
was intended. The phrase in this case is regarded by the poet as the agent in the vain repetition of itself; for such a form as $\mu a \psi$. could hardly be passive in meaning.

 ${ }^{*} \pi \eta$;

105 ät Equal to $\mathscr{\omega}^{\circ} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$. Cf. 0.1 .2 , note. $\mu a \psi$. Gen. sing. fem. Cf. Sappho Frag. 27 [93] $\mu a \psi v \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa a \nu \quad \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \nu$ (мss. $\mu a \psi v \lambda a ́ k-$ $\tau \alpha \nu$ ).

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are
 $\mu a \tau \rho o ́ \delta o к о s, ~ \epsilon ं \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \partial \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta \prime s, \pi o \lambda l a \rho \chi o s$.

## NEMEA VIII.

## ON THE VICTORY OF DEINIS OF AEGINA IN THE SHORT FOOT-RACE.

## INTRODUCTION.

Deinis, the son of Megas, of the family of the Châriadae ( $v .46$ ), of Aegina, had, like his father, been twice victor in the stadium at Nemea. The ode was sung on the occasion of the dedication of Deinis' crown at the temple of Aeakos ( $\because, 13$ ).--There is more break than usual between the metrical divisions of this ode.

There is nothing to indicate the date except perhaps $i: 20$, from which it may be inferred that the date fell in Ol. 79, either B.c. 63 or B.c. 61 just before N. 7. It is absurd to discern political allusions in $v v .20-22$, for it was at any time natural to remind the Aeginetans of Pindar's day that in the time of Aeakos Aegina was not inferior in influence to Athens and Sparta, which had since become the predominant states of Hellas. We may therefore dismiss Dissen's view that politics subsequent to B.c. 457 are indicated, and Mezger's b.c. 491, when Aegina submitted to Persia, and consequently was compelled by Sparta to send hostages to Athens (Hêrod. 6. 49, 50). If the ancient coöperation of the three states suggested any special political event or situation, it ought to suggest the coöperation of Aegina at Salamis and Plataea. Moreover, if the poet meant to allude to enmity between Athens and Aegina, it is incredible that he should have chosen as a leading motive the myth of Aias, the Æacid whom Athenians delighted to honor. Lastly the third strophe is more likely to be the utterance of an elderly poet than of one about thirty years old. Though the main theme is envious detraction, it does not follow that Deinis or Aegina had any special need for consolation, for ( $\phi \theta_{o ́ v o s) ~ a ̈ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota ~}^{\epsilon} \sigma \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ảєi v. 22,
 commonplace of Epinician compliment to represent the objects of
 Cf. Bacchyl. 13. 169 ß ${ }^{\prime \prime} \rho$ pots, P. 1. 81-84, 11. 29 f ., while the epinician ode is the divine
charm to counteract these malefic influences, and confer on the victor imperishable unimpeachable fame.

The introduction occupies the first system, and the first verse of the second. It is devoted to invocations of Hôra and Aeakos. The central portion, concerned with falsehood, detraction, and Aias, ends with the second system. The conclusion extends over the third system, and is apportioned between the poet and the victor.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are


There are some curious tautometric echoes, $-\epsilon \omega \nu$ ai- v. 39, $-\epsilon \omega \nu$ Ai- v. 27,
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota-v v .23,6,-\hat{\alpha} \nu \phi t-v .1, \dot{v} \pi-v .47, \dot{v} \pi\}^{\prime} v .30,-\phi o \rho o \nu v .48, \phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu v .14$, $\dot{\epsilon \pi \iota-} v v .39,5$. Heterometrically $\ddot{\pi} \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ is found $v v .14,22,36$, än $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ v. 37, $\phi \iota \lambda-v v .1,13,42, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ - repeated $v v .8,20$, $\alpha \nu \tau \epsilon i v \in i v v v .25,34, K u \pi \rho$. $v v .8,18, \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \iota v v .32,51$. The last of these is rhetorically significant. Perhaps ä $\pi \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota v .22$, ä $\pi \tau 0 \mu \alpha \iota v .14$ accentuate a contrast between the supplicatory help of the poet and the attack of the envious.

The mode is Dorian, the metres dactylo-epitritic. They are unsymmetrical in arrangement.

Strophe.

| 1 | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | C.B. - |
| 3 | B.C. + A |
| 4 | -u |
| 5 | C.'C. $\ddagger$ |

Epode.

e B.A.'B.'
$f \quad$ B. $\left|-{ }^{\prime} \cup \cup\right| L \mid$ B.A. $\dagger$
$g \quad B . B \| . C . B .^{\prime}$

* Incisio.
+ Caesura after first syllable.
$\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable of 7 th foot.
|| Caesura after first, incisio after last syllable.

ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-3. Hôra is sometimes kind, sometimes cruel.
4, 5. One nust be content to be moderate and attain one's nobler desires.
6-8. The union of Zeus and Aegina was blest.
8-12. Aeakos was much courted by heroes.
13-16. Dedication of ode and crown to Aeakos.
17, 18. Prosperity granted by the gods is comparatively lasting, such, for instance, as that of Kinyras of Cyprus.
19. I pause like a runner preparing to start.

20,21 . For anything new provokes envious criticism.
22-32. For envy attacks the noble as in the case of Aias and the arms of Achilles.
32-34. Detraction existed of old.
35-39. Far be this from the poet, who hopes to win farne and popularity by straightforward plain-speaking.
40-44. Excellence and the joy of victory are enhanced by song.
44-50. The poet cannot restore Megas to life, but he can rear a monument to father and son and assuage pain.
50,51 . The antidote of song is as old as the poison of detraction.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, a^{\prime} .
$$




1 " $\Omega \rho a$ Goddess of puberty. Cf. Aesch. Suppl. 996, quoted N. 5. 6. For the double genitive cf. P. 9. 39
 í $\rho a ̂ \nu ~ \phi i \lambda o \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu . ~$

2 Cf. Soph. Antig. 795 ขıкर̣̂ $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\nu$ ú $\mu$ фas. Pindar often wavers between a quality and the personification thereof, but here we have an extreme case. How anything which sits in the eyes could carry their proprietor with gentle or
rough hands is a problem insoluble even by a poet. Sophokles proves that the seat of desire is the eyes, though that of sleep might be the eyelids. For ${ }^{\circ} \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ after a vocative clause standing by itself of. Eur. Orest. 317 f. $\widehat{\omega} . .$. $\theta \in a l . . a \ell$ к.т. . 'Thou who...nestling in...dost touch.'

3 ßaot. Cf. Aesch. Ag. 35. The herald leads lovers by the hand to each other, cf. $\Pi$. 1. 320 -323, or by force. diváykas
 $\delta$ §̇тépaıs.


'А 1 т. $a^{\prime}$.
 тó̀ $\eta \sigma a \nu$

10

 עєvov $i \delta \in i ̂ v$.
4 ảßoaтì $\gamma$ à $\hat{\eta} \rho \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ ä $\omega \tau о \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu a \iota \epsilon \tau a o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$

${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime}$.
 b ơ̆ $\tau$ ’ ảjà $\Sigma \pi a ́ \rho \tau a \nu ~ \Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi \eta i a ́ \delta a l . ~$

Xepol Cf. P. 4. 234 àvárкаs
 тatos. Étepars Euphemistic for áyplats. Cf. P. 3. 34, Eur. Herc. Fur. 1238, also the similar use of $d \lambda \lambda o s$. The poet means violent or thwarted passion. There is a zeugma in the construction of Baorá̧els, which first means to carry in fondling fashion and then to enfold in a tight grip. We can render by 'bear along' in both cases, but I do not think árplats $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \quad \beta a \sigma \tau d \zeta$ 'ts would stand alone.

4 ajamatá For plur. cf. P. 1. 34, N. 4. 71. кalpov̀ 'Without having transgressed the bounds of moderation.'

5 ėrıkpartî̀ 'To get secure possession of his nobler objects of desire.'

6 oĩo I.e. apeloves. $\quad \pi о ц \mu e ́ v \epsilon s$,


7 Kขтр. ठஸ́p. Of. Theog. 1293
 $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha$, Bacchyl. 17. 9 іцєра $\mu \pi \cup ́ к о$ s
$\theta \in a ̂ s \mid K v \pi \rho l \delta o s \ldots \delta \omega \hat{\rho} a$, Il. 3. 54. viós Aeakos. Olvévas Old name of the island before the nymph Aegina gave her name to it.
$8 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ Cf. N. 5. 31 and the Homeric $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \ell \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. Dissen interprets $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ dкıs, but Don. rightly observes that 'the secondary idea of frequency' is contained in $\lambda \iota \tau \alpha-$ yevov. Lieîv 'That they might behold him.' Do not take $\nu / \nu$ as primarily the object of $i \delta \epsilon i v$.
9 dißoarl Generally rendered 'unbidden,' 'unsummoned,' but ' without fighting,' $=\dot{\alpha} \mu a \chi \eta \tau l$, seems to be more in accordance with analogy and with the meanings
 O. 2. 7.
$10 y_{\varepsilon}$ 'His, and his alone.'
11 dpr. $\sigma \tau \rho$. As Aeakos was according to the myths in about the same generation as Thêseus, this phrase may refer to the legendary classification of the citizens of Attica by Thêseus.

12 divd The preposition is ap-



 ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a$.
 ноуต́тєроя.

propriate to the scattered quarters of Sparta which Thukydides contrasts with the topographical condition of Athens. According to the known myths the Pelopids of Sparta (Menelâos) were not contemporary with Aeakos, and it is therefore possible that Pindar refers to an unknown myth.

13-15 The crown won by Deinis was being dedicated with the poet's crown of song at the temple of makos.

15 kava $\begin{aligned} & \text { ®á } \\ & \text { Cf. P. 10. } 39\end{aligned}$ каvaxal aủ入ิ̂̀, Soph. Trach. 641
 $\epsilon \in \pi \dot{d} \nu \epsilon \tau \sigma \nu$. Hêsiod uses this adverb. For the metaphor cf. Frag. 160
 $\delta \eta \mu a$, quoted by the Schol. on N. 7. 78 (115). The $\mu i \tau \rho \alpha$ here and in 0. 9. 84 means the whole crown, but was properly the twisted woollen fillet ( $\epsilon \gamma^{\gamma} \mu a \lambda \lambda o \nu \mu i \tau \rho a \nu$, I. 4. 62) by which the leaves or sprays of the wreath were kept together.

16 Sic oŵv Refers both to Deinis and his father, as is proved by
 סooiv, 'the feet illustrious on two occasions of two (Châriadae).' $N$ кцеаiov ä ${ }^{2} \lambda_{\mu}$ a 'An adornment of Nemean victories in two footraces.'

17 Jv $\theta \in \underset{\varphi}{\text { ' }}$ By the aid of a
god,' ie. of Aeakos or of Zeus for Aeakos' sake. For фutev $\theta$ cis of. P. 4. 69 т тиal фи́тєvөєу. The com-
 sentiment of P. 3. 105, 106 ob $\lambda \beta$ os

 For the idea cf. Frag. 111 evidal-
 Render $\pi \alpha \rho \mu{ }^{2}$, ., 'maketh longer stays,' after Sir J. Suckling, 'Love with me hath made no stays' [Mr Fanshawe].

18 öбтєр каі Echoing exactly odor cal $v, 6$, joins such prosperity
 as delighted Zeus and Aegina into a double portion of happiness which Pindar implies that Deinis will enjoy. Kinyras was priest of Aphroditê, which further connects wealth and epoutes. Note that кeivos кal, v. 23, points a contrast between what the poet wishes to avert and what he prays for. This recurrence is reinforced by the tautometric $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi-v v, 23,6$, while the other recurrence is reinforced by the repetition of $\mathrm{K} \dot{\pi} \pi \rho-v v .18,7$. In each case kail emphasises the instance adduced. "O入 os is here personified, though not so in the preceding line. For Kinyras cf. P. 2. 15-17. $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ отє For the position cf. P. 2. 33, 4. 258.

 $\beta a \sigma a ́ v \varphi$
 роїби.

' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma a \iota \varsigma$.

19 ₹бтанal 'I stay on tip-toe,' ie. poising myself and taking breath before the start, in the attitube of the cast of 'A girl starting for a foot race' in the Fitzwilliam Museum. The phrase кой $\phi$ a $\beta_{\iota}$ $\beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau a$, 'with light tread,' 'tripping lightly,' 0.14 .15 , is not quite the same. The body of the ode begins here, the first eighteen verses being dedicatory to Aeakos.

20 'Many tales have several versions; but when one has discovered new points it is utterly hazardous to submit them to the touchstone for assay; for discussions are toothsome to the envious, and envy ever fastens on to the noble, but contends not against the mean. It did rend even the son of Telamon by forcing him on to his sword.' It would appear that Pindar invented himself (or gave currency to an Æginetan version of) the detail of the myth of the крious $\delta \pi \pi \omega \nu$ which attributed the defeat of Alias to unfair means, which version is adopted by Sophokles oj. 1135, where Teukros says to Menelâos $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} s$ $\gamma$ de $\rho$ aúroû $\psi \eta \phi \circ \pi$ oud $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \theta \eta$ s. In the earlier ode, I. 3, in which the fate of Alias is mentioned, Odysseus' re $\chi \sim a$ defeats the better man, but no underhanded proceedings in connexion
with the decision are suggested. In N. 7 the unfair character of the voting is insinuated, and I am therefore disposed to date N. 8 before N. 7. See on v. 12.

21 入óyol 'Discussion.' Dissen, Deliciae vera aunt verba quad dicant invitis quaerentibus quod reprehendant; Don., Cookesley, Paley, 'praise.' Markland goes too far in regarding $\lambda$ doc here and in Eur. Suppl. 565 as $=\psi$ proc. It is rather 'criticism'; the neutral term getting a color from the preceding clause. For the extraction of $\phi \theta b \nu$ os from $\phi \theta$ оуєроїб८v of. Soph. $A j .201$ ע́́óou from $\nu 0 \sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$. Not very unlike is N. 4. $3 \pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$ кєкр८цย̇ע $\omega \nu \ldots \nu \iota \nu$ ( $\tau \partial \nu$ $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha)$. For the sentiment of.

 infra v. 34.

22 ärтєтal 'Attacks.' Cf. Asch. Sept. c. Th. 204, Soph. Ai. 1608. There is no hint of disease or medicine in the ode except $\begin{gathered}\text { matt } \\ \text { - }\end{gathered}$ $\delta a i ̂ s . . . \nu \omega \dot{\delta} \nu \nu 0 \dot{\nu}, v v .49,50$.

23 дјфьки入 (баıs Of. Il. 8. 86 $\kappa \nu \lambda \iota \nu \delta \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 s \pi \epsilon \rho \imath \chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \hat{\varphi}$, 'transfixed by the bronze,' Soph. $4 j$. 828 ( $\mu \epsilon$ )

 slang ' to get outside a glass of beer,' \&c. is a similar idiomatic inversion.

 àvтє́тaтal．
 $\sigma a \nu{ }^{\text {．}}$

45

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．


50



 őveı os．

24 Of．Il．13． 824 ATv $\dot{\alpha} \mu$ нартоє－
 ＇Encompasses，＇＇overwhelms．＇Cf． O．7．10，P．1． 96.

25 aló入．Cf．Bacchyl．15． 57

 àvétarai＇The greatest prize has been held out to，＇conferred upon， not＇held up，＇＇proposed．＇For perfect cf，O．1． $53, \mathrm{~N}, 3.84$.

26 Өєрáт．＇Did service to，＇ ＇truckled to．＇

27 фóv $\omega$＇Invited the grip of violent death．＇Cf．Hes．W．and D．


28 गु $\mu$ áv＇Yet verily．＇avo $\mu$ o七á $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in$ Though Odysseus was perhaps equal to Alias，or almost equal， according to the terms of the con－ test for the arms，in battle＇at least they made far different wounds gape（or＇gush［with blood］＇）on the warm flesh of foemen（dat．in－ commodi）when hard pressed，＇\＆c． That is to say in battle Alias was far superior to Odysseus．
$29 \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \check{\zeta} \dot{\mu} \mu є \nu 0$ So Schol．Vet．

MSs．$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\lambda \epsilon \mu}\}$.
30 vi $\pi^{\prime} \mathrm{Cf}, \mathrm{O}, 11.36, \mathrm{P} .3$. 10．$\mu \grave{v} v$－тє Cf．O．4．15．The poet refers to exploits not related in our Iliad，but probably from the ballads which formed the Aethiopis of Arktinos．From the frequent mention of Memnon this group of legends seems to have been a favorite either with Pindar or with the Eginetans．Of course the fight with Hektor，Il．14．402，may have been in the poet＇s mind among the $a^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mu \delta \chi \theta \omega \nu . \quad \alpha \mu \phi{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ ： Suggests a strong title to Achilles＇ arms for Alias．

31 тaцфӨópotテเv Ėv Text
 Böckh Ėv modvф日ópots．In Bacchyl． Frag． 36 we should read $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \phi \theta$ opos $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$ for $\pi \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \sigma \iota \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota s$ ．

32 éX $Ө \rho{ }^{2}$, к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇Fell detraction then（as may be inferred from the above－mentioned instance）existed even of old．＇äpa．．．ฑ๊v＇Is，as it turns out．＇Cf．O．11．52， 57.

33 סо入офрабท́s，к．т．${ }^{2}$ ．＇Deviser of guile，mischief－making calumny．＇
 ảעтеíveı $\sigma a \theta$ рóv.
 $\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon$ ย́Ooıs

60

 $\delta^{\prime}$ є́т $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$
4 ảmépavtov. Є̀ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ ar $\sigma \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \delta \omega ̀ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \chi ~ Ө o v i ̀ ~ \gamma v i ̂ a ~ \kappa a \lambda u ́-~$ $\psi a c \mu$,

' $1 \nu \tau$. $\gamma$ '.


34 Cf. Apollod. (Brunck, Gnome.) 4. 12 т $\rho$ os $\gamma$ à $\rho$ тд̀ $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \delta ~ ф ~ \phi \theta b \nu o s ~$ $\beta \iota a ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau a \iota \mid \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ Ékelvous outs àv vt $\psi \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ тט́ $\eta \eta$, and for $\beta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ of. Od.
 $\tau$ 'ar $\pi \grave{\partial} \tau \iota \mu \hat{s}$ (Dissent). For ảфávт $\omega \nu$ cf. P. 1. 84, 11, 30. Render 'which doth violence to the illustrious, but sets up a rotten notoriety of the obscure.' Lit. ar $\nu \tau \varepsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nexists \rho \delta \nu=$ rears on a rotten foundation.

35 kt $\lambda \in$ iv os For metaphor cf. 0.1.115, N. 1. 25.

36 द́фатто号ау See O. 1. 86 and note. $\theta a v \omega$ v Death is introduced with reference to
 $\mu_{0}$ 'A reputation-not that disgraceful one.' The $\tau 6$ refers back to $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \phi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \sigma \alpha \theta \rho \dot{\partial} \nu \kappa \hat{v} \delta o s$. For the position of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ of. N. 1. 18. For $\kappa \lambda \bar{\prime} о s$ ( $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi a \mu \circ \nu$ ) of. Thuk. 2. 11. $10 \mu \epsilon \gamma / \sigma \tau \eta \nu \delta \delta \delta \xi a \nu$ oi $\sigma b \mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ тoîs



37 ยูХоขтai For suppression of of $\mu$ et of. Il. 22. $157 \tau \hat{n} \hat{\rho} \alpha \pi \alpha \rho a-$
 Eur. Mph. in Thur. 1350 кoעтoîs $\delta \frac{1}{~}$ $\pi \rho \psi \hat{\rho} \rho a \nu \in \chi \chi a \nu^{\prime}$ oi $\delta^{\prime} \in \pi \omega \omega \tau \delta \omega \nu$ ar $\gamma \kappa \nu \rho a \nu$ $\epsilon \xi a \nu \hat{\eta} \pi т \frac{}{}{ }^{\xi}$. For sentiment cf. Frag.
206.

38 '̇ $\mathrm{y} \omega$, к.т.入. 'But for me , may I even till death prove a favorite with my fellow-citizens for praising the praiseworthy and scattering censure on wrongdoers.' The aorist participle $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} \omega=$ ' as an established favorite'; or is it the participle of the gnomic aorist? of. N. 1, 62, 7. 3. With many misgivings I have not adopted Shilleto's explanation given on Thuk. 1. 20
 something if they must risk their lives' -in effect, ' may I please..., if I die for it.' He compares Asch. Choeph. 438 ërelr' є́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ עooфlбas ठдоіцад, Soph. El. 1079. Here, however, the idea of death is not involved in that of pleasing. Cf.

 Similar is the construction of I. 5.
 àtoav $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a ́ s ~ \tau \in ~ \delta E ́ \xi a \sigma \theta a l ~ \pi o \lambda t o d$.
 (Ed. R. 510, Bias (Dig. Laert. 1. 85) $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau o \hat{\tau} \sigma \iota \nu$ ar $\rho \in \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu$, ̇̀ $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \epsilon \quad$ atкє $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta s$.
$40 \chi^{\lambda \omega \rho}$ ais Cf. O. and P. p. xxiii, Frag. 99. 3. éf.

 à $\mu \phi \grave{\text { ón }}$ тóvos

 'Е $\pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.




Modal dative. $\quad \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Mss. $\dot{\alpha} \neq \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ roфoîs. The text is Böckh's. 'Springs up.' Twice, in compounds, P. uses the uncontracted form.

41 ' When exalted to the buxom air of heaven among men who love song and justice. Many are the uses of friends. Most important is help in regard to toilsome achievements. While the delight (of success and rest) seeks to get evidence set before men's eyes.' I.e. in the first flush of triumph men long for perpetual commemoration of their exploits. Though substantial help is most important as leading to success, yet success cannot be enjoyed unless one gets lasting credit for merit by confirmation or proof of song. Dissen cites
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a l \lambda$ तó $\omega \nu \mid \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota$ каi $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial \nu$
 Pont. 1. 5. 32 sumque fides huius maxima uocis ego. vípoóv Spenser, Milton and Dryden give the phrase 'buxom air.'
 mioctv Tricl. The emphatic position of the word and the stop quite justify the hiatus. Bury's mıoтá Fou, Mé $\gamma a$, gives a perfectly otiose pronoun in a prominent position. Bergk proposes $\pi \iota \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu \varphi \hat{\omega}$ as possible,
but reads with Mommsen $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial{ }^{2}, \tilde{\omega}^{*}$
 $\pi \iota \sigma+\delta \nu . \quad \delta^{\prime}$ For $\delta \bar{\epsilon}$ after vocative cf. O. 1. 36 .

45 Cf. P. 2. 61 रaúvą $\pi \rho a \pi i \delta$ с $\pi a \lambda a<\mu о \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ кєข $\downarrow \dot{\text { d. }}$.

46 XapıáSaıs $\tau \epsilon$ Dissen thinks that the фparpla of the Châriadae inclucled the $\pi a ́ \tau \rho a$ of Deinis; but perhaps $\tau \epsilon$ is explanatory as in Aesch. Ag. 10, 215, 1526, Eum. 107. 入áßpor Cookesley would read $\tau$ ' ${ }^{\text {è }} \lambda a \phi \rho \dot{\rho} \nu=$ 'it is easy,' comparing N. 7. 77. Schneider proposed $\lambda a \mu \pi \rho \sigma \nu$. I think the text should be kept. The order is $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta p o \nu$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ( $s c, \mu 0 \iota$ ) $\sigma \hat{v}$, , $. \tau . \lambda$. . ' I am violently impelled,' 'I have more than enough energy.' The word here takes color from oủ סuvaròv. The poet springs from 'impotence' to an opposite. It is erroneous to render $\lambda \alpha$ 'ßpos 'tall,' 'lofty,' 'huge,' or 'loud,' as the fundamental idea of the word is 'furious motion,' 'boisterousness,' the notion of loudness being often concomitant, and when applied to speech and conduct $\lambda$ áßpos means 'rash,' 'bold,' 'vehement,' 'impetuous,' 'headstrong.'

47 'To uprear a lofty stêlê of song on behalf of the twice illustrious feet of two men.' Cf. v. 16 supra.
d $\delta i$ is $\delta \grave{\eta}$ रvoîv, $\chi a i \rho \omega$ Sè $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \phi o \rho o \nu$

 ${ }^{v} \mu \nu o s$ 85
$g$ ठ̀̀ $\pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \pi \rho i ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau a ̀ \nu ~ ' A \delta \rho a ́ \sigma т o v ~ \tau a ́ \nu ~ т є ~$ Kat $\mu \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu$ єै $\rho \iota \nu$.

48 ס $\quad 1$ Hardly translatable. The particle points out that Deinis' two victories exactly match his father's.

49 Ex $^{\text {Ep }}$ an exploit.'

50 kl ais For the position of is cf. P. 1. 52. The poet is meant; his ode is a proper expression of triumph, while at the same time it is a spell to soothe physical pain.
 Gnomic aorist. $\quad \gamma^{€} \mu a ́ v$ 'Never-
thees,' ie. this is no new thing, for though detraction existed in olden time ( $v .32$ ) there also existed the antidote of laudatory poetry even before the foundation of the Nemean games by Adrastos when his host set out against Thebes. There is a double opposition: the antiquity of his art opposed to present effort of the poet, and the beneficent art itself opposed to coëval detraction.


## NEMEA IX．

ON THE VICTORY OF CHROMIOS OF AETNA WITH THE FOUR－HORSE CHARIOT IN THE PYTHIA AT SIKYON．

## INTRODUCTION．

For Chromios see Introduction to N．1．In this victory Chromios won a crown（ $v v .52,53$ ）and silver bowls（ $v .51$ ）．The ode was com－ posed some time after the victory（ $v .52$ ）soon after the founding of Aetna，i．e．B．c． 474 or 472 （v．2）．As Pindar seems to have been present the latter date is preferable．

Pindar makes effective use of the legend suggested by the games of Adrastos at Sikyon．

In the two passages $v v .21-29$ and $48-54$ the poet has elaborated a striking contrast between the turmoil and disaster of defeat in war on the one hand and the peaceful celebration of a peaceful victory on the other． We have：－


 vv． 22,23 ү入uкùv｜$\nu$ 人бттov（denied） v． 23 入єчкар $\theta \notin \alpha \sigma$ бढ́ $\mu a \tau \alpha$
vv．23， $24 \sigma \omega{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau^{\prime}$＇̇ $\pi$ lavav калvóv•｜
 vєo $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { vious } \phi \hat{\omega} \text { Tas．}\end{aligned}$
v． $48 \dot{\alpha} \sigma v \chi\{a$ ，
v． 49 ขıкафоріа




мatotoa $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ v o l s$
v． $48 \sigma \nu \mu \pi \delta \sigma \iota \nu \nu \quad \nu \epsilon \circ \theta a \lambda \grave{\eta} \varsigma$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．
－

．．．$\mu a x a \tau d \nu^{*}$
vv．26， $27 \pi \rho i \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \tau a \quad \tau v \pi \epsilon ิ \tau \tau \alpha \ldots$ $\alpha i \sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu$
v． $27 \delta \alpha \iota \mu$ оцloเб८ $\phi$ b́ $\beta$ o七s
v． 25 Z $\epsilon$ is
 тav́тav＊
$\pi a i ̂{ }^{\circ}$
vv．48， 49 aひ̉ $\xi \epsilon \tau a \iota \mid \mu a \lambda \theta a \kappa \underline{q} \nu \iota \kappa a \phi \circ \rho i a$

v． 49 өapбa入є́a $\delta$ ह̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ к \rho a \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \iota ~ \phi \omega \nu \grave{a}$ riveтaı
v． $53 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$
 $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$

In the prayers $v v .28-32,53-55$ we find besides this last responsion another contrast and two resemblances ：－

v． $31 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi a \dot{u} \tau \in \rho$（beginning line）
v． 31 aj入ataıб८
v． $53 \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi$ á́ $\epsilon \in \rho$（ending line）
v． 54 Xapitevo兀v

In the 4th verses of the 4th and 5th stanzas Zeus＇lightning is mentioned，first as not giving a favorable omen，then as dealing merciful destruction．

We have also subordinate contrasts between the defeat of Amphiaraos and his friends and Chromios＇victories in righteous war．Thus $v .37$ $\theta \nu \mu \grave{v} v a i^{*} \chi \mu a \tau a ̀ \nu$ echoes $v v .26,27, \mu a \chi a \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \mid \theta v \mu \grave{v} v a i^{*}$－，and $v \epsilon o ́ \tau a \tau \iota v .44$ effectively echoes the nearly tautometric $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in 0 \gamma v i o u s v .24$ ，as does $i \pi \pi i \omega \nu$

 －$\rho 0 \mu \mathrm{l}-v v .8,3,-o \nu t-v v .43,28$ seem to have no significance．

The mode is Dorian and the ode is processional，accompanied both by lyre and flute（ $v .8$ ）；the metres dactylo－epitritic．

Strophe（UNSYMMETRICAL）．

| 1 | A．A． |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | C．A．C． |
| 3 | A．A．B． |
| 4 | B．A．A．.$^{\prime}$ |
| $5-\vdots$ | B．C． |

## ＊Tautometric．

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-5. Invocation to the muses to inspire the chorus to celebrate Chromios' victory in games sacred to Lêtô and her children.
6, 7. Let not a deed of prowess sink into oblivion.
8, 9. Strike up with lyre and flute in honor of the games instituted by Adrastos.
9-27. Myth of the Seven against Thebes concluding with the flight and engulfing of Amphiarâos.
28-32. Prayer that Zeus may grant lasting peace, civil order and glory in games to the Ætnæans.
32-34. They are fond of horses and, strange to say, are above considerations of gain or economy in their ambition.
34-39. Chromios is a mighty warrior such as few besides.
39-43. As Hektor by Skamandros, so Chromios by Helôros got glory, and elsewhere too by land and sea.
44. He has earned a peaceful old age.

45-47. He has been blessed with riches and honor.
48, 49. The banquet loves peace; fresh victory is enhanced by song, which is inspired by the bowl.
$50-53$. Let the prize bowls be filled with wine.
53 -end. Zeus is invoked to note the excellence of the poet's minstrelsy.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$


 $\nu \in \nu i ́ \kappa a \nu t a \iota ~ \theta \dot{\rho} \rho a \iota$,

1 K $\omega \mu$ á $\sigma \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\nu}$ For the future referring to the time of recitation cf. v. 10, P. 9. 89, 11. 10. Böckh and others make this and $\varnothing \rho \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$ conjunctives, Don, on $v .43 \mathrm{de}-$ liberative futures, a needless distinction.

2 dvamєптацévai 'Flung back.' $\xi_{\epsilon} \in \nu \omega \nu$ For the gen. of. Soph. $A j$. 1353 кратє̂̂s тol $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \mid \lambda \omega \nu \nu \iota \kappa \omega ́ \mu \in \nu$ оs,

Eur. Med. 315 б $\iota \gamma \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$, крєเ -
 the hospitality of Aetna of. P. 1. 38 ; of Chromios cf. N. 1. 19-25. The Schol, on Aristoph. Acharn.
 $\theta$ úpa, has come down to us with the strange misquotation of this
 $\nu \omega \nu$ éveккє $\tau \alpha i ̂ \nu ~ \theta \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \iota \nu$.
 $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
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 $\chi$ аıs ảoıठ̀̀ $\pi$ ро́бфороs.

I 5
 aủтà̀ on $\rho \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu$



20

3 тра́ббєтal Of. O. 3, 7, 10, 30 for the phrase, in which the ode is regarded as a debt. The majority of mss. and editors read $\pi \rho a \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, but it is not easy to see who is meant, as the Muses do not claim songs but inspire, and so forth. For the addition of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$ of. v. 7 infra and N. 7. 16. The $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ' favors the reading $\pi \rho \alpha \alpha_{\sigma} \epsilon \tau a l$. The chorus must discharge their obligation of minstrelsy before partaking of Chromios ${ }^{7}$ hospitality.

4 кратท́бוттоv "Team-vanquishing, according to analogy probably, but cf. P. 9. 86. $\mu a \tau \epsilon \rho$, к. т. $\lambda$. In honor of Lêto and Apollo and Artemis. Cf. P. 4. 3. aủסàv $\mu a v v ́ \epsilon \iota$ 'He gives the signal for a strain.' The seeming interpretstimon of aud $\delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ by the Schol., $\tau \delta$ $\theta a v \mu a ́ \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, may have been due to a false reading ${ }_{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \nu$ or to a true $\pi a \delta \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ áracoal. Anyhow the meaning of $\mu$ avv́є is singular.

6 For sentiment of. P. 9.93-
 'Hide not by silence in abasement the achievement of a deed of prowess.' For the infinitive clause cf. P. 2. 24. For the participle of.
O. 9. 103, 工. 7. 12, N. 6. 2. є̇๘ $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ óv Used in this sense, N. 5. 47, and almost $=$ ' victories,' 'prizes,' P. 8. 73. Cf. the poet's use of a per ${ }^{\prime}$, N. 5. 53.

7 ért́ $\omega v$ Paley rightly takes this

 Rauchenstein and $v$. Leutsch alter '̇тé $\omega \nu$. кav́Xals $\pi$ ро́бфороs 'Is well adapted for loud acclaim.' Cf. O. 9. 38, and for sentiment O. 11. 91-96. mss. give кav́ $\alpha$ as, which Mommsen reads. The genifive кaú mas might be like àvaүкas, N. 8. 3, and qualify é $\pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ do áóa, but $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \phi o \rho o s$ without a dative is awkward.
$8 d^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ Resumptive, going back to vv. 4, 5. Cf. O. 4.6. $\beta p o \mu i \alpha v$ 'Pealing' (Myers). Cf. N. 11.7 入úpa $\delta \epsilon \in \sigma \iota \beta \rho \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \tau a \iota$ каl doıঠá. The root $\beta \rho \in \mu$ properly denotes deep sound or great body of sound. dvà...avá Tmesis. For repetition cf. I. 3. 8.
 very prime of contests with horses.' The phrase refers to four-horse cha riot races generally. Cf. N. 10. 32.

9 'A $A \omega \pi$ rv For this stream, on the east of Sikyon, cf. N. 3. 4.


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho \cdot \gamma^{\prime}
$$


 वै $\mu \phi а \iota \nu \epsilon \kappa v \delta a i v \omega \nu \pi о ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

 Та入aov̂ тaîठєऽ，ßıaбӨє́עтєऽ 入úą．
 $\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}$.

 $\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \iota ~ \delta \eta ̀ ~ \tau o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$.
$11 \mu \hat{v} v$ Without $\delta \varepsilon$ E．Cf．O．3． 19.
12 atp $\mu \alpha \sigma$ Böckh and Dissen take this dative os s dependent on $\dot{\alpha} \mu$ l $\lambda \lambda$ ats，comparing 0.5 .6 ．Cf， also P．6．17．vरaфupoîs＇Carved．＇ Böckh．äцфаıvє кvסaivшv Both these words are elsewhere（Р．9．73， 0．11．66）used of victors；but here
 conferred distinction upon Sikyon， the city whither he fled from Argos when expelled by Amphiarâos＇ faction，by instituting sacred games there．Pindar ignores the fact that Kleisthenes had suppressed Adras－ to＇games and substituted the Pythia in which Chromios gained his victory．

13 фєvิyє＇Had fled．＇Lit．＇was in flight from．＇

14 Ta入aov̂ $\pi a \imath ̂ \delta \epsilon s$ Adrastos and his brothers Parthenopaeos，Pro－ nay，Mêkisteus and Aristomachos； these were descendants of Bias，Am－ phiarâos of Melampus，Kapaneus of Proetos．$\lambda$ úq．Cf．Hêsych．$\lambda u ́ a$ ． $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota s$ ．Meaning at first，no doubt， a struggle for deliverance from oligarchy or monarchy．

15 kpéの $\sigma \omega \nu$＇Of superior in－
telligence．＇Cf．Zen．Mem．1． 2. §§ 16 and 47，and perhaps P．5． 102
 A Schol．interprets $\kappa \rho \in\{\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ фaveis каl бvขєт $\omega$ тєроs． kamтav́et For катataúє，＇annuls．＇For the as－ simulation of．O．8，38．．Slav －The previous award＇（given against him）．The line must refer to what follows on account of the asyndeton（or $\tau^{\prime}$ ）in the next line and the fact that $\delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ refers to the same subject $8.8 \beta \downarrow a \sigma \theta \epsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \epsilon$ ． One Schol．，Thiersch and Mezger explain＇For a stronger man put－ teth an end to the former right （of sovereignty）＇；ie．might goes before right．The shade of mean－ ing given to $\delta \ell_{\kappa \eta}$ is at least unusual： and why should Pindar say＇might is right＇just before telling of a compromise by which the former right was recovered？

16 MSs．give adp $\nu \rho o \delta a ́ \mu a \nu \quad \tau^{\prime}$ and an $\nu \delta \rho o \mu \epsilon \delta \alpha \nu \quad \tau$ ．Pindar uses the epithet in reference to her bringing about Amphiarâos＇death．For termination－avt－fem．cf．I，5， 73. ผंs öte Of．O．6． 2.

17 Sóvtєs etc lav＇It was after
 aiolầ

+ oủ кат' ó $\rho \nu i ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ódóv. oủסè Kpovímv ả $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \pi a ̀ \nu$



$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \epsilon^{\prime} .
$$


 $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi$ ’ o้ $\chi$ Өa८б८ $\gamma \lambda v \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$
 ขóv.

55




$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . s^{\prime} .
$$


they had given...that they were,'
 The mss. have here a lacuna. Böckh got the text from the $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \varepsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \bar{\eta}$ of the Schol.; literally'In consequence of just this.'

18 Cf. Aesch. Ag. 104-120,
 770 тapbpvitas ódoús, Note that alocâv $\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{p} i \chi \omega \nu$ is a genitive of quality after óoov.

21 Cf. Archil. Frag. 98 [65],

$\delta^{\prime}$ áp Cf. P. 4. 78, 'And accordingly it was with open eyes that' \&c.

22 oúv For position cf. P. 2. 59, N. 10. 38.

23 épєเซápєvol Böckh and others read єpvoनdi $\mu \in \nu 0$, , 'having stayed delightsome return.' Cf. Od. 23. 244 ; but the phrase is not quite parallel, $\grave{\eta} \omega \hat{\rho} \dot{\rho} \dot{\sigma} a \tau^{\prime}$. So too in 1. 7. 53 the object of pंovio, ' were wont to check,' is a person. Text Mommsen mss. Épuбduevot
 $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota$ (ef. Hês. Scut. Herc. 173), Benedict $\delta \lambda \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu 0$ ot. Rauchenstein proposes $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu 0 \iota$ or $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \nu \delta$ $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 u$. But the ms. reading '̇ $\rho \in \epsilon \sigma$ d$\mu$ evoc ('having set fast on Ismênos' banks delightsome return ') is to be retained. It is supported by the Schol,, aúrobl $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oưko dava-
 б由́цат' 'As pale corpses.' Old mss. give $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota(\nu) ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi l a \nu a \nu$; some editors read $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \sigma \iota \pi l a \nu a \nu$, taking入evkav $\theta \in a$ 'white-wreathing' with катуbv. As white myrtle was sacred to the dead (I. 3. 88) $\lambda \in v$ кav $\theta$ éa may mean 'decked with myrtle.' The text is Böckh's.

24 Saloavto Carries on the metaphor of Ėilavay. Cf. O. 6. 15.
'A $\mu \phi$ ф́áp $\eta$ The nom. and acc. of this name in Pindar end in - $\rho \eta$ os, -p pov. This dative, as if from a contracted form in -p $\overline{\text { s }}$, changes its declension by analogy.
 үоขт८ каі̀ таî̀ठє $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.

65
 $\sigma$ тó $\lambda \omega \nu$
 ळ́s $\pi o ́ \rho \sigma \iota \sigma \tau a, \mu о i ̂ \rho a \nu \delta^{\prime} \in ข ้ \nu о \mu о \nu$

70


$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \zeta
$$


 є’ $\chi о \nu \tau є \varsigma ~ к \rho є ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu а я ~$
 $\kappa \lambda є ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau а \iota$,
 ßóaıs ítттоıs te vaผ̂̀ т’ ẻv $\mu a ́ \chi a \iota s$ 80
355 є̋крıva؟ àv кívסขvov on $\xi \in i a s$ ảüтâs,

28 'If it be possible, son of Kronos, I am for putting off as long as possible the talked-of gallant struggle for life and death with the host of Carthaginian spearmen.'

 Aegina was famous for Eủvo pula, cf. 1. 4. 22, Bacchyl. 13, 149 ff. kail




30 on $\pi$ ḑ́ยL Cf. N. 3. 9.
31 dy入ataıoเv 'Celebrations of victories.' Cf. O. 13. 14 note, P. 6. 46, N. 1. 13. do duvó ${ }^{2}$ os Lit. 'In the city' (cf. arpobouos, 'rural') ie. 'enjoyed by the citizens,' generally rendered - 'public.' Dissen renders $\dot{a} \gamma \lambda$. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau$. 'decora ludicra (0.9.99) quay ad urbem pertineant.' I prefer the more usual sense of a d rata, as it involves a commover meaning of dotúvous than the other, and compare I. 5. 69, 0.
7. 21, 11. 11. It is not necessary to assume that the victor gave a public feast, but the festivities in commemoration of a victory would be general. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau \mu \hat{\imath} \xi a \iota$ Cf, O. 1. 22, 91.

32 èvti Cf. O.1.35. ктeávตv, к.т.ג. 'With souls too lofty to grudge their hoards.' Cf. P. 8. 92


33 ai8ẃs, к.т.入. 'Due love of honor (cf. O. 7. 44) which bringeth renown is steadily sapped by greed.' Mss. give $\dot{\imath} \pi \delta \kappa \rho \cup \phi a$, but the School. suggests by íroк $\lambda \in \pi \tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ that there is a tmesis of the preposition.

35 'You might have formed an idea of the danger of keen fight'; because Chromios was ever in the thickest of the fray. For $\kappa \in \nu-a ̈ \nu$ cf. Goodwin §§ 224, 225. For âv Bergk reads $\dot{d} \nu$ (for $\alpha \nu \dot{\alpha})$ taking oṽyekey as 'that.' The unchanged text gives the perfectly satisfactory sense " only one who kept close to

2 $\theta u \mu o ̀ \nu ~ a i \chi \mu a \tau a ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \mu u ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \lambda o \iota \gamma o ̀ v ~ ' E \nu v a \lambda i o v . ~ \pi a v ̂ \rho o \iota ~$ סè $\beta$ oùєv̂ซal фóvov
 $\sigma$ тixas

90
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ o s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota ~ \Sigma \kappa a \mu a ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \chi є u ́ \mu a \sigma \iota \nu ~$

 $\delta^{\prime}$ ä入入aıs á $\mu$＇́paıs

Chromios could have discerned the maximum of danger to be met with in battle．＂

36 kelva $\theta$ cós Alס́śs，who is personified by Hêsiod，W．and D． 198.

37 Perhaps the similarity of phrase to $\mu a \chi a \tau a ̀ \nu$｜$\theta v \mu \partial े \nu ~ a l-, ~ v v . ~$ 26，27，is intended to mark the contrast between Amphiarâos＇lot and Chromios＇．

38 тартовlov＇Imminent．＇Cf．
 For the metaphor of．I．6．27，4．49， 50，3．35，Verg．Aen．9． 667 ff．
 phrase of．P．1． 66.
40 See Introduction．
41 ＇Apelas Sc．$\pi \eta \gamma \eta$ ท̂s or ко ${ }^{\prime}$－ $\nu \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，cf．K $\rho^{6} \nu \iota o \nu(\lambda 6 \phi 0 \nu)$ ，O．1． 111.
 ＇Pelas．For the compendious con－ struction for＇where is the ford which men name from Arês＇ spring，＇of．1l．11． 757 кal＇А $\lambda \epsilon t$－



 oย์тоร， $8 \nu \kappa_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ．，Eur．Ion 11－13
ëv日a $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta o ́ \rho \rho o u s ~ \pi \epsilon \in \tau \rho a s \mid \Pi a \lambda \lambda a ́ \delta o s$

 I．5．47．Dr Postgate takes＇Apeias as acc．plur．，supplying adk $\kappa$ d̀s from above，as he does not see why a ford should be named from a spring．The alteration $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \theta a^{\prime}$ Pelas （ ${ }^{( }$P＇tas）has been suggested by Beck， Hartung and Bergk，＇Pelas móoov meaning＇Iovlov tópo（N．4．53）．


 $\kappa \lambda \eta$ бєта．It is，however，open to question whether $\pi \delta \delta \rho o y$ would be used of the sea when a river had just been mentioned，and whether it would apply to the open sea off the Helôros．Western Crete lay at the entrance to the Ionian sea from the Archipelago，and so the Cretan cult of Rhea probably gave rise to the old name recorded by Aeschylos． ס́＇סopkev Cf．O．1．94，＇shone forth and still shines．＇This perfect is like $\lambda \in \neq \lambda o \gamma \chi \in \nu, 0.1 .53$ ．

42 тov̂т＇＇Such．＇Cf．O．4． 24.
 prime＇；i．e．when first he became
 фа́боная.
d є́к $\pi о ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \delta ’, ~ o ̂ ̀ ~ \sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \nu є о ́ т а т \iota ~ \gamma є ́ \nu \omega \nu т а \iota ~ \sigma u ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta і к к а, ~$


105
distinguished. Böckh and others render wrongly ' in his first youth.' The battle of Helôros (Herod. 7. 154) was fought at least before B.c. 491, when Hippokratês the victor died, but at the date of this ode Chromios was probably more than forty years of age, for from $v .44$ we might gather that his old age was not very distant, as indeed from the general tone of both the odes to Chromios. $\tau \mathrm{d}^{\text {' }} \delta^{\prime}$ Perhaps cf. N. 2. 17.

43 Cf. O. 12. $6 \pi \lambda^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ar $\nu \omega \ldots \tau \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ $\delta$ ' $a \hat{v}$ रá к $\omega$. Render, 'And his honours won at other times, many mid the dry land's dust, others again on the neighbouring sea, will I proclaim.' The idea to be supplied with the neat. plur. pron. must surely be suggested by $\kappa \lambda$ eos
 jos (vv. 41, 42). Dissen understands $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \epsilon \varepsilon \nu \tau \alpha$ or $\pi \rho a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ and compares Aristoph. Ran. 278 is oûtos ó тótos éatlv, ova rà $\theta \eta p l a \mid \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 five verb is obviously suppressed, or at least a participle. But фá $\sigma o \mu a \iota$ can take an accusative like кeiva




 The sea off Cumae. For the battle cf. P. 1. 71-75. ф́áбоцаı Pindar also uses the middle forms фáтo, фáa $\theta a l$, which may in all five instances be well rendered 'in the second and more definite sense of $\phi \eta \mu l$, to affirm, declare, \&e. ' (Don.). He uses $\phi а \mu \hat{\nu} \varphi$, I. 5. 49, of the utterance of a wish. This фd $\sigma$ o $\mu$ a then has a different shade of mean-
ing from фáo $\omega$, and has no proper connexion with the following theory which Don. propounds in this place. 'Pindar uses a middle form for the future of active verbs signifying "to utter a sound" " as aid $\alpha$ ab-
 lemnly swear," O. 2. 92 кє $\lambda \alpha \delta \eta$ $\sigma \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \theta a \mid \beta$ роутáv, " we will sing of the thunder," О.11. 79 кшцд́бо $\mu \iota$, "I will raise the comus-song," $P$. 9. 89: and here ф́́боцаи, "I will affirm." In all these cases of fusure assertions he uses the middle form of this tense, for the reason which I have given in the passages above referred to-namely, because "when we speak of something which will make an impression upon our senses or feelings, or, in general, befall us, as future, we consider ourselves as merely the object of these outward impressions or accidents; but when we speak of their present effect we consider ourselves as an agent or inchoative in respect to them." If, however, we use the future in a deliberate or prohibitory sense, the idea of agency is not lost; and thus we find that Pindar not merely writes aủdádoual, "I will speak" (O. 2. 92), but also $\mu \grave{\eta}$ aud $\delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma o \mu \in \nu$, "let us not speak" (0.1.7) ; and not only $\kappa \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \quad \mu \alpha \iota$, "I will raise the comussong" (P. 9. 89), but also $\kappa \omega \mu \dot{\alpha} \sigma o-$ $\mu \in \nu$, "let us sing the comus-song" (supra, v. 1). Similarly, although Bojoouat is the regular Attic future of $\beta$ od $\omega$, we have in Aeschyl. Pers.
 I to go on proclaiming my woes?", Now av̉óáooual, O. 2. 92, is distinctly reflexive, as the utterance of an oath binds the utterer. к $\epsilon \lambda \alpha-$

45 e ï $\sigma \tau \omega \lambda a \chi \omega \grave{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ ̀ ~ o ̈ \lambda ß o \nu . ~$ $\Sigma \tau \rho . \iota^{\prime}$.
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$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \iota a^{\prime}$.
I ảpزvpéaıб८ ס̀̀ $\nu \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega$ фıá入aıб८ ßıa⿱à $\nu$
$\delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \circ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ is neutralised by $\kappa \in \lambda a-$ $\delta \dot{\gamma} \sigma$, O．10．［11．］14．Don．should refer кшма́бодає to his кшца́乞оцає， I．3．90．This mid．is used cau－ satively，＇I cause to be celebrated in（or＇by＇）a kômos，＇only used in the first person sing．in reference to the poet．Cf．also N．3．12，27， 6．26．Perhaps $\kappa \epsilon \lambda \alpha \delta$ ．，O．11．79， is causative．Thus there is no in－ stance in Pindar to which Donald－ son＇s ingenious explanation of middle futures to active verbs will fairly apply．
 natural outcome of toil．＇of $\gamma$ é－ vшytar See Goodwin § 540, N． 7. 32．vєótatı The classical youth includes our middle age．Pindar speaks，P．2．63，of Hieron＇s $\nu$ vótas in connexion with the battle of Himera（b．c．480），and yet of his Bou入al $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \dot{\prime} \tau \varepsilon \rho a l$ ，though the date of the ode is b．c． 477 ．$\pi \rho$ ds Cf． 0．1．67．$\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \alpha$ For alív fem． cf．P．4． 186.

45 ขбтш 入ax由́v Cf．O．6．8， N．11．15，I．1．68，6． 27.

46 For sentiment cf．P．1．99， N．1．32，I．1． 50.
 For metaphor cf．O．1．115，N． 1. 25，8．35，I．4．23．For eैtı cf．Eur． Med． 1077.

48 avjetal Cf．P．10， 10. Render＇a victor＇s honor（the status of a $\nu$ ıкпфо́pos）putteth forth fresh growth by aid of soothing minstrelsy．＇For metaphor cf．N． 8． 40.

50 É $^{2} \kappa \iota \rho \nu a ́ \tau \omega \mu \nu \nu$ For constr．
 ＇To mix into the cup＇＝to pour in and mix．Cf．I．4．25．трофа́－ rav The bowl is the interpreter of the $\kappa \hat{\omega} \mu$ os because it adds vigor to the performers and stimulates the faculties of the audience，raising both nearer to the level of the poet＇s inspired genius．It would appear that another ode was to be sung at or after the feast．The Schol．indicates a variant $\pi \rho o \eta-$ $\gamma \eta \tau \eta \eta^{2}$ ，which does not scan．

51 Of．N．10．43．Silver cups were also prizes at Marathon，cf． 0．9．90．Probably the wreath was universally given as a symbol of victory in games．
 $\pi$ т́ $\mu \psi а \nu$ өє $\mu \iota \pi \lambda$ е́ктоьऽ ä $\mu a$ 125
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52 aj $\mu \pi$ ' $\lambda$ ov $\pi a i ̂ \delta^{\prime}$ 'The masterful child of the vine.' Conversely (Aesch. Pers. 616), áкそ́paтóv $\tau \epsilon$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ lou $\gamma$ ápos tóde. In the Schol. the quotation from N. 5. $6 \mu a \tau \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$ olváveas $\delta \pi \omega^{\prime} \rho a \nu$ is misplaced and put under $v .48$. It appears that Chromios did not himself attend these games. $\theta \in \mu$ IT $\lambda_{\text {ék }}$ cots Schol.
 vous, 'twined with due ceremonial'; not 'fairly-twined,' 'twined in justice to him,' i.e. 'fairly won.' For crowns won by horses cf. O. 2. $50,6.26$, P. 3. 73,74 . But the plural is used for the victor's crown for a single victory, e.g. I. 3. 11.

53 iepâs The Schol. refers this epithet to the partition of the victims between gods and men at Mêkônê close to Sikyon. Cf. Hês. Theog. 535, but the fact of Pythian games being held there is perhaps sufficient ground for the attribute.
 ' Glory (in games)'; of. I. 1. 41, 4.
17. Gìv Xapíteartv For the association of the Graces with Epinician poetry and with Pythia cf. P. 6. 2, N. 10. 1. For - $\sigma a \iota$ бín
 'And that more than many (bards) I may make victory of great account by my verses.' Notice the aorist $\kappa \in \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ referring the poet's celebration of the particular achievement, the present $\tau, \mu a \lambda \phi \in \hat{\imath} \nu$ referring to his general habit. A Schol. gives an unhappy v. l. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{a} \nu \ldots \nu \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$, which Christ appropriates. For $\dot{\iota} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ cf. I. 2. 36.

55 dкоvтl $\xi_{\omega \nu}$ For the hurling of the javelin, one of the contests of the quinquertium, of. O. 13.93, P. 1. 44. For the metaphor of. 0 . 1.112. бкотоí' mss. give $\sigma к о \pi о \hat{\text {, }}$ but of. P. 11.41 (where I find Christ had anticipated my suggestion of $\mu \tau \sigma \theta o i ̂ o), 0,13.35 \pi \alpha \tau \rho d s ~ \delta \hat{\varepsilon} \theta \varepsilon \sigma-$

 of Bacchylides supports -ot', e.g. 5. 62 vid̀ $\mathfrak{a} \pi \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \tau o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \chi(\delta \nu \alpha s$.

## [NEMEA X.]

## ON TWO VICTORIES OF THEIAEOS OF ARGOS IN THE Wrestling match (in THE HEKATOMBAEA at argos).

## INTRODUCTION.

This fine ode is proved by $v v .22,23$ and the thrice-repeated mention of Hêra to be composed for an anniversary of the Heka. tombaea at Argos, in which Theiaeos son of Ulias of Argos had won the wrestling match twice. He had also won thrice at Nemea, thrice at the Isthmos, once at Pytho, but not yet at Olympia. Dissen argues from Amphitryon being called an Argive that the date falls after the destruction of Mykênae by the Argives, Kleônaeans and Tegeaeans b.c. 468; he also tixes the later limit, B.c. 458 , by the consideration that Argos joined in an invasion of Boeôtia in that year, after which Pindar would hardly compose an ode for an Argive.

It is probable from $v v .29-36$ that an Olympian contest was at hand, that is that the date was either b.c. 464 or 460 , as Mykênae was probably not taken till late in the year and the poet would hardly be likely to transfer the myths of Mykênae to Argos immediately after the destruction of the former. For such transference in the Tragedians cf. Aesch. $A g$. 24, Porson on Eur. Heracl. 188 (Elmsley and Barnes). The confusion was made easy by the larger meaning of Argos=Argolis (see on v. 42).

Mezger points out that vv. 37 f . form the middle point of the ode, referring the victories of the family to the Graces, who are invoked $v .1$, and the Tyndaridae, who form the subject of the close of the ode.

Polydeukes is viridly presented as the ideal exemplar of brotherly love, and it is hard to believe that the poet wished a beautiful picture to be blurred by any occult references to Theiaeos. Dissen sees that the exaltation of Polydeukes' brotherly love is the point of the myth, but gratuitously proceeds to infer that Theiaeos' unselfish brotherly love is indirectly celebrated. The poet implies, $v .54$, that he is just ; but beyond that no indication of his character can be traced.

All the heroes and heroines mentioned in this ode are children or descendants (or both) of Zeus. Talaos, and Adrastos and Diomêdes, three Aeolidae, through Pêro wife of Bias are descended from both Zeus and Poseidon. Amphiarâos is not only-through his ancestress, the daughter of Proetos, wife of Melampus-a link between the Argive Aeolidae and the line of Epaphos, but through his mother Hypermnêstra, sister of Lêda, the seer is cousin to the Dioskuri and descendant of Zeus again through Thestios and Ares. From Zeus through Epaphos descend Danaos, Lynkeus, Hypermnêstra, Danaê, Perseus, Alkmênê, Hêrakles, Kastor (through Perseus), the Apharêtidae, and Amphiarâos.

According to Pausanias, Lysianassa the wife of Talaos was daughter of Polyhos, son of Hermes, son of Zeus. The whole ode is radiant. We find á $\gamma \lambda a 0-v .1, \phi \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a \iota c .2$, र $\rho v \sigma \sigma-$ suggested $v .11$,
 40, Панфáךs v. 49.

There is much recurrence. Zeus is mentioned by name or title $v v .8$, 11, 16 (Zє̂̂ tautometric with Z $\in$ ìs $v .11$ ), 29, 56, 65, 71, 76, 79. Vv. 7984 naturally contain several echoes from $v v .15-18$ as the themes present several points of similarity. Heredity is noticed vv. 37, 40, 51, 54. Hêra is mentioned $v v .18,23,36$, and Hêrakles $v v .17,33,53$. Vv. 45, 46 echo $v v .3,4$, with $\mu v \rho t-$ and $\mu a k \rho-$ in corresponding verses. The echo $\pi a \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu u s v .65$ seems unmeaning, as do the exact echoes $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} v .45, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ $\dot{\delta}-v .21, \pi \circ \lambda-v v .59,46, \pi \epsilon \in \rho \iota v .85, \pi \epsilon \rho i$ v. 31, По入vסєúкєоs vv. 68, 50, -є $\gamma \chi \epsilon i \quad v .84$, 入órरas $v .60$. The nearly exact echo $\theta \alpha \dot{\text { vato }} v v .83,77$ is natural. The echo $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o i v .78$ of $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial \nu v .54$ is effective.

As Zeus enters so largely into the ode and as the Dioskuri are his offspring and évarćviol $\theta \in o i$ as well as $\zeta \in \iota \nu o i$ of Theiaeos' ancestor, it is quite superfluous to look for parallels between Theiaeos and the Dioskuri, which indeed would savour of impious presumption. How indifferent to, or careless about simple echoes Pindar was, is shown by the exact
responsion of the solemn 'E $\sigma \sigma i \quad v .80$ with the $-\epsilon \sigma \sigma t$ of Xapire $\sigma \sigma \iota \geqslant .38$. The five systems are distributed in the unusual scheme, $1+2+2$, devoted respectively to Argive worthies, Theiaeos' victories and aspirations, and the myth of the apotheosis of the Dioskuri.

The mode is Dôrian with a few Lydian characters. The metres are dactylo-epitric.

## Strophe (unsymmetrical).

1. $\smile \cup \vdots-\cup \cup \mid \boldsymbol{C} . \boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}$.
2. B.A.A'.
3. B.A .
4. B*.A.B.
5. B.A.A'.
6. B.C. . $^{\prime} \cdot B^{\prime}$.

From $v .2$ to end we get the symmetrical numbers


Efode (unsymmetrical).

| 1. | $B \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $B \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime}$. |
| 3. | $A \cdot A^{\prime}$. |
| 4. | $A \cdot B$. |
| 5. | $C \cdot A$. |
| 6. | $B^{\prime}+\cdot B^{\prime} \ddagger \cdot A \cdot C^{\prime \prime}$. |

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-3. Invocation of the Graces to laud Hêra's Argos.
4-18. Mention of mythic worthies of Argos (see note on $v .12$ ).
19, 20. The poet must refrain from reciting the blessings of Argos.
21-23. Still he will turn his mind to wrestlings under the influence of the festival of the Hêraea (Hekatombaea).

* Either Incisio or caesura in the next foot.
+ First syllable resolved.
$\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable.
vv.
24-28. Enumeration of victories of Theiaeos.
29, 30. Invocation of Zeus to grant Theiaeos' prayer.
$31-36$. What it is, is well known. His Panathênaic victory is an omen that it will be answered.
37,38 . His successes are due to hereditary worth and to the favour of the Graces and the Dioskuri.
39-48. Mention of victories of Theiaeos' maternal ancestors.
49-54. No wonder, since Pamphaês (a remote ancestor) entertained the Dioskuri, the faithful patrons of games.
55 -end. The death of Kastor and self-sacrifice of Pulydeukes,

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}
$$

, $\Delta a \nu a o \hat{v} \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ ả $\gamma \lambda a o \theta \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ́ \kappa о \nu \tau a ~ к о р a ̂ \nu, ~$ Xápıтєऽ,
 àpetaîs
 5

+ $\mu а к \rho a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \tau a ̀ ~ M є \rho \sigma є ́ o s ~ a ̀ \mu ф i ~ M є \delta o i \sigma a s ~ \Gamma o p \gamma o ́ v o s . ~$
 Triclinian $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha o \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$. For the meaning of the epithet of. O. 2 . 22, P. 3. 94, N. 4. 65, from which last line we may gather that at Aegina the Nereids were represented enthroned. In Eur. Ipho in Aul. 239, golden statues of the Nereids stand on the sterns of the ships of Achilles. Bacchylides applies the epithet to the Nereids XVII. 124 f . So also no doubt at Thebes and Argos were the local heroines thus sculptured in some public building. The Fates, Seasons, Nereids and Danaiads would scarcely suggest this attribute without the intervention of plastic representation demanded by solemn cults. With the great deities the case is different. See Addenda. Xápites For the Graces as patronesses of Epinician minstrelsy cf. O. 14, 12-14, P. 6.

2, 9. 89, N. 9. 54. The Seasons and the Graces had been sculptured by Polykleitos on the crown of his colossal statue of Hêra in the Hêraeon at Argos. This fact may have influenced the poet in his invocation, but it is rash to be positive on the point.

 $\mu \mathrm{e} 00 \mathrm{~V}$. The Argives constitute a family of which Hêra is mistress and foundress. $\phi \lambda$ е́ $ү \in \tau a l$ For metaphor, cf. P. 5. 42, 11. 45, I. 6. 23. diperaîs Dat. of manner. 'Distinctions,' of. O.13. 15, N. 7. 51.

4 Maкрá One ms., Medic. B., gives какр́, an interesting error; this vox nihili is corrected in the lemma of the same ms. to kaӨapá. In I. $5.56 \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a c$ is expressed with $\mu$ ккро́v. ${ }^{\mu} \mu \phi$ ( 'The tale of
 тала́ $\mu a \iota$ ．
 катаб $\chi$ о̂́ба $\begin{aligned} & \text { í申оऽ．}\end{aligned}$
${ }^{\circ}$
＇А $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.
 $\theta$ єóv．
 $\lambda \in \sigma \iota \nu$
$3 \mu a ́ \nu t \iota \nu$ Oíк $\lambda \epsilon i ́ \delta a \nu, \pi o \lambda$ é $\mu о \iota o$ véфоs．

Perseus with respect to the Gorgon Medusa．＇There is no need to render tà Пєp $\sigma$ éos Persei res gestae， and to strain the force of the pre－ position to certamen circa Med．with Dissen．
 r．＇E．$\pi$ ．Mommsen $\tau \dot{a}$ кат $\epsilon \kappa \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \nu$ ， Böckh $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ кат $\kappa \kappa \iota \sigma \epsilon$ ，with the sub－ ject Argos understood as in vv．10， 13．The latter alteration is the best，as－$\psi^{\prime} \kappa \iota \sigma \in \nu$ is easily deduced from－$\psi^{\prime \prime}$ เซ $\sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．Mr Bury reads the metrically impossible＇T $\dot{\omega} \kappa \tau / \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ． His examples of resolution are irrelevant．The plural da $\sigma 7 \eta$ is dis－ tributive，hence the passive verb would be plural．тa入á $\mu a$ ．s Merely ＇agency．＇
 phatic meiosis ；＇trod the path of honor．＇For $\pi \alpha \rho \in \pi \lambda$ ．cf．0． 7.31 al
 бoфóv，N．1． 25 év cỉधlaus óoois $\sigma \tau \epsilon l-$ $\chi$ оута．＇$\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \nu \eta$ ́ $\sigma \tau \rho$ Mommsen with the Vatican old ms．omits the $\nu$. Cf．Hor．Od．3．11．33，Aesch． Prom．865，O7．Her．14．for the story．$\mu$ ovóчaфov So mss．After the Schol．Vet．Mommsen－$\phi$ s． The mss．reading should be pre－ ferred as the less easy．For the transference of the attribute of the person to the instrument of．the Homeric $\nu \eta \lambda \not \subset \iota \iota \chi^{a \lambda \kappa \kappa \hat{\varphi} \text { ．Here the }}$
adjective should be taken adver－
 where again the attribution of the quality of the whole to the part is on a similar principle to the trans－ ference to the instrument．kaтa－ oxoíva The aorist would be ap－ propriate to her sudden resolve not to draw her sword，if we rendered ＇because she retained，＇but it is simpler to render＇when she re－ tained．＇
$7 \Delta$ เoun $\delta \delta_{\epsilon \alpha}$ A Schol．tells us that Diomêdes was endowed with the immortality forfeited by Tydeus when he ate some of Melanippos＇ head．Diomêdes，the reputed founder of Argyripa or Arpi in Apulia，was deified as a hero of Hellenic colonisation of Southern and Eastern Italy．

8 ＇tv＇Near，＇of．O．6．16， where the fate of Oeklês＇son Am－ phiarâos is being celebrated．As Amphiarâos was running away when engulphed，the addition of
 cession to Argive feeling．L．and
 aútóv，the grave，＇for the earth res－ cued him from death．

9 то入є́भоо vé́фos Cf．Il． 17.
 ＂Eктшр．Vergil＇s nubes belli，Aen． 10．809，is differently applied，to

入ójov．

20


＇Ел． $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ ．

a shower of missiles．For more general application of the metaphor ef．I．3．35．Lucretius＇Scipiadas belli fulmen $(3,1034)$ is quoted．

10 ajpıनтєv́є Argos is the im－ plied subject．The wrong punc－ tuation before má $\lambda a c$ is due to Leporinus．For present including perfect cf．Dem．Meid．§59．

11 For Alkmênê and Amphi－ tryon being reckoned as Argives， see Introduction．tov̂tov Mss． $\tau \grave{\nu}$ ，Bergk and Mezger є̇тд̀ ，com－ paring Schol，on Il．1．133．Text Schmid from Schol．Vet．

12 тaтрі Talaos．фрєvติv картóv＇Experience，＇ef．P．2．73， Aesch．Sept．c．Th． $593 \beta a \theta$ ciav
 193．The order in which the worthies of Argos are mentioned is not so confused as appears at first sight． First come two sons of Zeus，the younger first ；then an example of feminine courage and rectitude； thirdly，two immortal heroes，the younger first；fourthly，two wo－ men；fifthly，two wise and just heroes，the younger first；and lastly，Amphitryon and Hêrakles， who through Hêbê is connected with Hêra of Argos，whose cella contained a silver altar on which their marriage was represented．

13 Opéqe Argos is again the implied subject most probably， though Zeus might be．Note al $\chi$－ $\mu \dot{a} \nu$＇$A \mu \phi$ ．$=\alpha i \chi \mu \eta \tau \grave{a} \nu$＇$A \mu \phi \iota \tau \rho \dot{v} \omega \nu \alpha$ ． Cf．I．4．33．$\delta \delta^{\prime}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．Partly owing to the corrupt condition of
v． 15 this passage has caused much difficulty．Commentators have ex－ plained $\delta \delta$ as Zeus and $\kappa \in l v o u$ as Amphitryon or vice versâ．The objections to $\delta \delta^{\prime}$ being Zeus are obvious．Again Amphitryon was Zeus＇descendant，therefore he could only enter his generation by birth， not，as Mezger supposes，by virtue of Zeus＇connexion with Alkmênê． It seems more appropriate to refer keivou to the first word of the ode， $\Delta a \nu a o v$ ．The Schol．àvaıpoûvtos av－ то仑́ $\mathrm{T}_{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \beta$ óas suggested to Mommsen $\epsilon \nu \alpha \rho o \nu \tau o s$ for the ms．$\epsilon \nu \alpha \rho \in \nu . r i$ oi，the alteration being fairly easy，first to evápovtl by assimilation to the case of an interpolated of and then from ONTI to－ENTI，－EN TI． The rhythm however rather points to Evap＇（see the corresponding lines），so I suggest $\varepsilon_{\nu} \nu \rho^{\prime}, \epsilon \hat{v} \theta^{\prime}$ ol，or都 $\theta^{\prime}$ oi（cf．N，7，78）．Goram pro－
 cf．O．4．9，P．4．105，N．8． 10. Böckh read évapev kal of with crasis of the diphthongs．Hermann gave teva $\rho \epsilon \nu$ ，of．The scansion presented by the MSs．is inadmissible；the resolution of the third syllable of an epitrite，N．כ．10，is irrelevant． The expedition against the Taphioi or Têleboae was an integral part of Amphitryon＇s bliss，as it was the condition of his union with Alk－ mênê，so that a parathetic structure of the clauses after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l(5.14)$ is not inappropriate．Kayser＇s altera－ tion of oै $\bar{\lambda} \beta \omega$ to $\delta \lambda \beta$ os（supported by O．2．22，P．3．105，5．51）is needless．



 тоע
$3^{\circ}$
 $\lambda i \sigma \tau a \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ$.
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.
 є́ $\chi$ є $\tau$ тє́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs
 àvтıá $\sigma a l$.



 $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu \pi \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$.

17 бт．${ }^{2} \mathrm{H} \rho$ ．Cf．I．6．7，${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H} \rho a-$ $\kappa$ кelous rovaîs．
 $\mu \eta \lambda l a$ or suyla was the Goddess of Marriage，Iuno Pronuba．The
 Od．20． 74 ；cf．Soph．Antig． 1241. On the sceptre of her statue in the Hêraeon was a cuckoó．Near this statue stood a chryselephantine statue of Hêbê（Paus．2．17）． ßa（volo＇érot＇Walks for ever＇ （Holmes）．The participle is per－ haps adjectival in such construc－ tions，cf．Madv．§ 180 d ．Most edd． read $\epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$ ．
 The idea is elaborated by Vergil， Aen．6． 625.

20 8＇kal＇And besides．＇For кópos cf．P．1．82，8．32，O．2． 95. dytıáaar For inf．of．v． 72 infra．

21 This may be the original of Gray＇s＇Awake，Aeolian lyre，awake．＇

Cf．，however，the Psalmist＇s＂Awake， lute and harp．＂Suscito musam， crepitum are different and much less artificial．The poet addresses himself．

22 Xá入кєоs Cf．O．7． $83 \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau^{\prime}$
 brazen shield given as a prize at the Hêraea or Hekatombaea（see next line，$\beta$ ovevotav）．

23 крlolv Cf．O．3．21，0． 7. 80, P．4．253．The line recalls 0 ．
 таرépoıs á $\mu l \lambda \lambda a \iota s$.

24 E＇$\sigma X \in V$＇Gat，＇cf，O．2． 9. єủфópwv＇Bravely－borne．＇The Schol．interprets＇profitable，＇which seems to be a comparatively late sense，and would here be proleptic． The Scholl．record a variant $\epsilon$ U－ $\phi \rho \delta \nu \omega \nu$ explained as eủфрavтьк $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ．入á⿴av Cf．O．2． 18 （ $\pi \eta$ ク̆цатоs）入á $\theta a$

 $\tau \in \mu о \lambda \omega \nu$
 єै $\delta \omega \kappa$＇á $\rho о ́ \sigma a \ell$,


${ }_{5} \mathrm{Z} \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon \rho, \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \mu a ̀ \nu$ čpataı $\phi \rho \epsilon v i ́, \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a}$ fo九 $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu a \cdot \pi a ̂ \nu$ סè $\tau$ édos
 тараєтєîтаı $\chi$ а́рıข．

25 Eкра́тŋৃणє For this sort of zeugma where the verb is taken in another of its own meanings with a second object cf．O．1． $88{ }_{\varepsilon} \lambda_{\epsilon} \in \nu \quad \delta^{3}$ Olvouáov $\beta$ là $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ évo $\tau \epsilon \sigma$ бúvevขov， Eur．Ion 666 ن́ $\mu i ̂ \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \sigma ~ \tau \gamma \hat{\alpha} \nu, \delta \mu \omega i \delta \epsilon s$ ， $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon, \geqslant ゙ \geqslant \alpha ́ v a \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i \pi o u ́ \sigma a \iota \sigma \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\delta \alpha \mu a \rho \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \not \epsilon \dot{\eta} \nu$, Aesch．Prom． 665


 $\sigma \tau \xi \phi a v o y$ cf．N．5．5，v． 47 infra． ＂Ei入ava oтparóv Cf．P．11． 50 ＇E入入avlסa бтратí⿱亠䒑，12． 6 ＇E入入á $\delta a$ vıќ́⿱㇒日幺十a．тúXa Equals єủтvхía， cf．7．11，P．3．104，O．2． 51.

26 For omission of $\tau \delta \nu$ before N $\epsilon \mu$ ．cf．Mad＞．$\S 16$ b．dóóval For metaphor cf．P．6．2．For omission of object cf．L．and S．$\delta l \delta \omega \mu, 4$.
 this repetition N．11．6，I．3． 8.
 $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \iota$ ．$\lambda a \times \omega \dot{\omega}$ Supply $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a \nu o \nu$ from above．

28 бєцv．$\delta a \pi$ ．The plain of Nemea．Locative，cf．v． 35 infra． Ev＇A．עó $\mu \varphi_{\text {＇}}$＇According to Adrastos＇ institution＇（cf．N．8．50，51）．For the preposition cf．P．4．59；Dêm． 496 ad fin．The alteration to $\nu$ o $\mu \hat{\omega}$ is worse than needless．For the use of $\nu \delta \mu \omega$ Cookesley compares
the use of $\tau \varepsilon \theta \mu$ ós，$v .33$ infra，0． 6. 69，13． 40.

29 Theiaeos aspires to win at Olympia，cf．v． 33 infra．Fot For this dative cf． $0.9 .15, \mathrm{~N}, 7.22$ ，
 issue of deed is in thy hands．＇I．e． $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha \sigma \sigma o \mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu$ हैं $\rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ．We have $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu{ }^{\xi} \rho \gamma \omega \nu \tau \notin \lambda o s, 0.2$. 15－17．There＇the effect，＇here ＇the completion＇is meant by ré入os． For sentiment，cf．O．13． 104 － 106．For ėv $\tau i \nu$, cf．Soph．Phil．
 little different is N．7．90．Cf． Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 118 ผَ Zє̂̂ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho$ таутє入є́s．

30 ov่ठ＇，к．т．$\lambda$ ．＇But adding a spirit of daring to a resolution that shrinks from no toil he makes an indirect request for favor．＇He hints at a wish which he is too modest to express openly，or rather he mentions incidentally in his prayer the petition which he really has most at heart，but is too diffi－ dent to lay stress upon in words． Mezger renders тараıтєíбӨai＇eine neben hinausgehende Bitte thun，＇ comparing the use of $\pi \alpha \rho a$ in $\pi \alpha \rho$－ $\phi a ́ \mu \in \nu, 0.7 .66$ ，\＆c．тара́үєเv，P． 11．25，N．7．23．Other commenta－ tors have rendered the verb＇obtain，＇



 тантоькі́入оья.
'supplicate for,' 'decline' (L. and S.). In support of 'request indirectly,' 'request by the way,' not given in L. and S., cf. the use of тарєүүvám Soph. Oed. Col. 94 (Campbell), and of $\pi a \rho a \phi \theta \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma$ о $\mu a$ and $\pi a \rho a \phi \omega \nu \epsilon \omega$, and perhaps Aristoph. Equites 37.

31 The older mss. give кal öवтьs, the rest $\chi^{\prime}$ ws $\tau t$. Dissen follows Hermann's more than needless
 Kayser with almost equal temerity
 The poet says that he need not tell more precisely to Zeus or any athlete who aspires to Olympian victory what Theiaeos prayed for.
 contests at Olympia, each of which is a supreme contest. The superlative is reinforced by корифаîs, the genitive not being partitive but ' of definition.' Pindar twice uses Éroaros in a good sense, I. 3. 29, with a reference to sailing to the Pillars of Hêrakles, and 0.1. 113
 тal $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \in \hat{\sigma} \tau$, a metaphor from a mountain height as here. The Schol. quotes Sophokles Frag. ${ }^{\text {ñ }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$
 ข̛Tarov This sentence explains the last somewhat vague phrase. Note the order, and render 'Hêrakles' ordinance which Pisa received is highest. ${ }^{9}$ For sentiment cf. O.1. 7. For 'Jx. ef. v. 24.

33 For $\tau \in \theta_{\mu}$. cf. $v .28, N$.
11. 27. $\alpha \in \epsilon i a l ~ \gamma \epsilon \mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ 'Yet right sweetly.' Though the not having won an Olympian victory was bitter, yet the Panathenaic victory was especially sweet as being an omen of an Olympian victory. This $\mu \grave{y} \nu=\mu_{a ́ v, ~ o f . ~ P . ~ 4 . ~ 50, ~ N . ~ 3 . ~}^{23 .}$ á $\mu$ ßo $\lambda$ á ${ }^{2}$ av 'By way of prelude.' Cf. P. 1. 4, N. 7. 77. [Don.]

34 te $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha i ̂ s$ The Panathênaea, at which the prize was oil, from the Moplà or sacred olives, contained in a vase of burnt earth, see the next verse. Dr Jackson has sug. gested to me that Mopla means 'belonging to a tribe, division.' The winners on the Panathenaic vases are represented with crowns of olive. Athênaeos, 5. 11, tells us that Panathenaic victors were crowned, and Suidas, s.v. Пavatrivaca, and Pliny, N. H. 15.5 (4), specify the olive crown. Hence Pindar has drawn an augury of success in winning the olive crown at Olympia. órфai Cf. Frag. 129.

35 yala Dative for locative, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ у $\varphi$ рк. being in apposition. Cf. I. 4. 18, 7. 4, оúpavஸ̂ v. 58 infra. $\delta$ ' 'For.'

36 тацлток$\lambda_{\text {lous 'Richly paint- }}$ ed.' For Panathenaic Amphorae (our Schol. speaks of vioplac) see Brit. Mus., First Vase Room, tableease A, 24 (The Burgon Vase, 5th cent. b.c.) ; Second Vase Room, table-cases $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{G}$. Though the six amphorae there displayed belong
，ย̈тєтal $\delta \in ́, ~ \Theta \epsilon \iota a i ̂ \epsilon, ~ \mu a \tau p \omega ́ \omega \nu ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ \gamma \nu \omega \tau o \nu ~ \gamma e ́ v o s ~ v i \mu \epsilon \tau e ́-~$
$\rho \omega \nu$
70
 ма́кıs．


 т $о$ óфо⿱
to the fourth century，the archaism traditionally kept up imitates the ceramic style of Pindar＇s time，the designs being chiefly in black and white with incised lines．On such vases＂Athênê stands between two columns which are usually sur－ mounted by cocks．＂They are in－ scribed TON A日ENE日EN A日AON or T $\Omega$ N A $\theta$ HNH $\theta E N$ A $\Theta \Lambda \Omega N$ ，the former of course in Pindar＇s time． Cf．P．O．Brönsted，On Panathenaic in Mon．dell＇Inst．di Corrisp．Arch．， 10．Tav．47，Annali，1877，pp． 294 ff．， 1878，pp． 276 ff．；O．Jahn，Kurze Beschreib．d．Vasensamml．in der Pinakoth．zu München，no． 445 （and eleven others there enume－ rated）．

37 धiteral Here governs an accusative as in late poets．To suppose the ellipse of a preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ or $\epsilon$ is is merely shifting the difficulty．Cookesley＇s ellipse of ＂${ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}$ ，throughout the whole line of your maternal ancestry＂is not ad－ missible，especially with $\theta$ ajáкıs， ＇repeatedly．＇He seems right in objecting to Kühner＇s explanation that ${ }^{\text {En }} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{a}$ implies or expresses motion to a place．In this case it may imply，extension beside，if it be not the ordinary accusative of the direct object as with sequor．
 $\pi ⿰ \nu \pi \iota l$ as a third echo of this passage in $v v .51-53$ ．

38 єv̉áywv тцца́＇Honor from successful contests．＇For the com－ pounded adjective instead of its substantive with an epithet in the genitive Matthiae compares P．5． 28 ápıб才ápматоу $\begin{array}{r}\text { épas，6．5，Eur．Hip－}\end{array}$ pol．67，1092．Cf．also Soph．CEd．
 Giv For the position of the pre－ position cf．P．2．59，N．9．14，22， $v v .53,84$ infra．It is omitted in the mss，before the following tuv－． The position of $\theta a \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ cs seems to show that it and the prepositional phrase are to be taken more closely with $\epsilon \dot{d}$ á $\gamma . \tau \tau \mu$ ．than with the verb． Don．，Dissen and Böckh take $\theta a \mu \alpha^{-}$－ кıs as $=\alpha \mu a$ ，but it is＇oftentimes．＇ For the Charites cf．v． 1 supra．The mention of the Tyndaridae leads up to the coming myth．

39 Not＇I should not think fit to veil，＇but＇I should think myself justified in not veiling＇\＆c．，i．e．＇in feeling and showing pride．＇Cf． N． 7.66 for the form of expression． kév I．q．el el $\eta \nu$ ．Thrasyklos and Antias were two of the maternal relatives of Theiaeos．

41 厄̈бaus Exclamatory，though the idea of ou $\delta v \nu a \tau \partial \nu \quad \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \in \gamma \chi \in L \nu$ may have originally governed it in the poet＇s mind．The text，which is Böckh＇s（except the stop after $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ），is unsatisfactory，as the list of victories is much too small for Argos，of which Proetos was
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \omega ̄ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a ́ к \iota \iota . ~$
' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 $\beta a \nu$,
 каıs.
3 ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ रa入кòv $\mu \nu \rho i ́ o \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \delta v v a т o ̀ \nu ~$ 4 є' $\xi \in \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \chi є \iota \nu \cdot \mu а к \rho о т \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̉ \rho \iota \theta \mu \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \sigma \chi o \lambda \hat{a} \varsigma^{*}$.
perhaps joint king before his expulsion by his twin brother Akrisios. In Frag. 284 [141] the Schol. on Il. 14. 319 states that Pindar said that Proetos slew Danaê. Perhaps he regained the kingdom when Akrisios fled from Perseus, who subsequently to avenge Danaê dethroned and slew Proetos. This form of the legend is not incompatible with Apollodôros' (2.2.6) tradition that Proetos gave Bias and Melampus each a third of his kingdom, but differs from Ovid (Met.5. 238, 239), who makes Perseus kill Proetos in Argos in revenge for the expulsion of Akrisios. Talaos, son of Bias, was king of Argos, which seems to tell against the Ovidian version.
 $\tau \grave{\text { ò (or } \tau b .) ~ П \rho о і т о ь o ~} \theta a ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \epsilon(\nu), \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.
 $\tau \rho \delta \phi o \nu \mid \alpha \ddot{\sigma} \tau v \theta a \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha l$, as $\in$ for $\alpha \iota$ is a common error (cf. v. 72 infra) and חPOITOTOECAN easily passes into -TOIO OCAN, and then the last four letters are cut out as a partial repetition of OCAIC. The omission would lead to rearrangement to suit the metre.

42 KopivӨov $\tau^{\prime}$ év $\mu$. At the Isthmian games. The phrase is precisely equivalent to $\epsilon \nu \beta a \sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ 'I $\sigma \theta \mu 00$, I. 3, 11. Not "in the recess in which Corinth stands," "Corinth which lies in the recess of the Isthmus"; for Corinth is
not in a recess, but in 'a corner' of Argolis, $\mu \nu \chi \hat{\omega}$ "Apyєos $i \pi \pi \circ \beta\langle\tau 0 \%$. Corinth might be said to stand on the gulf ( $\mu \nu \chi \chi^{s}$ ) of Corinth, but the Isthmian games were held on the opposite side of the Isthmos. This clause begins an answer to the halfquestion of the preceding clause. $K \lambda \epsilon \omega v, \pi \rho$. ${ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho$. 'At the hand of Cleonæans.' Cf. N. 4. 17.

43 इıkvшvó日e For the Pythia founded by Adrastos at Sikyon, of. N. 9. Introduction. ảpyup. Cf. I. 2.8, 'with gleam of silver shining on them,' perhaps. For ofiv cf. L. and S. s.v. (1. 7), v. 48 infra. átéßav So uss.; Aldine and other edd. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \beta a \nu$. Schol. Vet. interprets $\dot{d} \nu \in \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$, and a gloss (Tricl.) $\dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \circ \nu$.

44 Cf. O. 9. 97, 98 for the prize of a large woollen cloak, chlamys, at the (Apolline) Theoxenia or the Hermaea, or the Diaea celebrated at Pellênê.

45 Xadk. $\mu v \rho$. 'The vast number of prizes of bronze it is impossible to ascertain.' This included no doubt bronze shields won at Argos
 83.
$46 \mu$ акр. $\sigma \times 0 \lambda$. For this descriptive genitive cf. Madv. § 53 b, Rem. 1 ; the act of counting is measured or valued in terms of the time required.

 $\tau є \nu \iota \kappa \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \sigma \theta \in \in \nu \epsilon \iota$.



a єủpu才ópov танíaı $\Sigma_{\text {тáptas à } \gamma \omega ̀ \nu \omega \nu}$

 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu}$ Үヒ́vos．
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47 б̈ขтє Sc．$\chi a \lambda \kappa \delta \nu$ governed both by $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ and by ข८каิбal，cf． N．5．5，v． 25 supra．The games at Kleitor were Koreia in honor of Persephonê and Dêmêtêr，and at Tegea Aleaia in honor of Athênê Alea．vi $\psi$ i $\beta$ arou＇Upland．＇

48 诲є＇Set by the racecourse of Zeus as prize for men to win，＇ \＆c．L．and S．wrongly class it with Frag． 154 ＇made to win．＇Join $\pi$ à $\rho$ $\Delta c \partial s \quad \delta \rho b \mu \psi$ ．For the Lykaeon of． O．13．108，Paus．8．38，5．The prize at the Lykaea was a bronze tripod．The singular verb is an instance of the so－called schema Alcmanicum．Some editors wanton－ ly alter $\delta \rho б \mu \varphi$ ．$\sigma u ́ v$＇By means of，＇＇by dint of．＇

49 Maцфá $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ Probably a ma－ ternal ancestor of Theiaeos．

51 翟 $\mu \boldsymbol{}$ ，Taken twice（Mezger），
＇that it is innate in them to be，＇\＆c． Cf．N．11． 33.

52 тарíar＇Kings，＇cf．P．5． 58. ảүஸ́v．цоі̂р．Cf，O．6． 79.

53 бv์v Cf．v． 38 supra．
54 Hév Cf．O．3．19，N．9． 11. тเซтóv Cf，v． 78 infra．

55 They both together live in Olympos every other day and lie together in the tomb on the alter－ nate days，of．Od．11．303．For the temple of the Dioskuroi at Therapnae of．Paus．3． 20.

56 yuai 1 oss The Schol．ex－ plains by $\dot{v} \pi \delta 6 \epsilon \epsilon a$ ，＇an underground vault，＇but this seems tautological． Therapnae lay in the valley of cold $\eta$ $\Lambda а к \in \delta a l \mu \omega \nu$ ．

58 ทै For suppression of $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$ cf．Madv．§ 93 c；Il．1． 117 ßoú ${ }^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}$
 oủpavê Locat．，ef．Soph，Ed．R． 20.
 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \varphi$.
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＇А $1 /$ т．$\delta^{\prime}$.
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota$

II 5
 з оै $\mu \mu a$ ．$\lambda a \iota \psi \eta \rho o i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̈ ф а \rho ~$

59 Note the position of Пo入u－ $\delta \in u ́ \kappa \eta s$.

60 ad $\mu \phi$ ß ßovolv $\pi \omega s$ Xe $\omega \theta \epsilon i ́ s$ Cf．Hês．Scut．Herc． $12 \chi$ б $\sigma$ aa $\mu$ avos $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ oval．The further recital of the cause of quarrel is dismissed by $\pi \omega s$ ，＇as some say．＇The Schol． mentions another account of the feud，namely that the Dioskuroi had carried off the brides of the Apharêtidae，Phoebe and Elaeira， daughters of Leukippos．Apollo－ dôros，3．2．3，tells us that Ideas and Lynkeus，sons of Aphareus， whose tomb was at Sparta（Paus． 3．11．8，13．1），dwelt in Arênê in Messênia．They had been cattle－ lifting with the Dioskuroi and cheated the latter of their share of booty．The Dioskuroi in re－ venge made a raid and drove off into Lakônia all the cattle they found in the possession of the sons of Aphareus，for whom they lay in wait，expecting to be followed home by their foes．They were espied by the miraculous eyesight of Lynkeus，and Ias was thus enabled to kill Kastor．
$61 \pi \in \delta a v \gamma{ }^{2} \xi \omega \nu$＇Sending pane－ trating glances after them．＇But old Mss．give $\pi o ́ \delta \delta^{\prime}, \pi \epsilon \delta^{\prime}$ ，and so suggest a doubt as to the original reading；as $\pi$ ot $^{\delta}$＇ávyás ${ }^{\circ} \nu \nu$ ，＇seeing its foot clearly＇（cf．P．11．36）， gives a sense nearer to the ordinary usage of au $\boldsymbol{d} \grave{j} \omega$ ．The quotation
from Stasinos＇（？）Kypria is as follows ：
aîqa $\delta$ et $\Lambda \nu \gamma к \in \dot{\jmath} s$
 $\pi \epsilon \pi о 九 \theta$ iss．
 äтaбaノ
 ки́ठ́cuos ท̈р $\omega$ s
 ＂$\mu \phi \omega$＊коі $\lambda \eta s^{*}$
 По入ибеย́кєа．
 Hence one is prompted to suggest $\pi \in \rho a v \gamma d j \omega v$ ．The meaning of avi－ raj cal，Hês．W．and D．476，is not ＇see distinctly，＇but＇look longingly， keenly，＇the middle denoting the mental emotion accompanying the keen glance．Lynkeus was said to be able to see through all material barriers to sight，stone，earth，sea， \＆o．Cf．Apoll．Rood．1． 153.

62 in $\mu$ évous So Böckh；but some of the old Grammarians corrected $\eta{ }^{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ os（old mss．）to $\eta{ }^{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ v．．Perhaps Didymos＇$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu_{0}$ os is right．They seem to have thought that Pindar meant to imply that Polydeukes was not with Kastor when he was espied and attacked，but was con－ ceived to be hiding somewhere near，probably west of Kastor，as the Apharêtidae did not flee back into Messênia．But they may have attacked from the East or have

 $\gamma{ }^{\text {à }} \rho$
 $\sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ \nu \pi a \tau \rho \omega i \neq$.
'Е $\pi . \delta^{\prime}$.




 ขóv.
 ó $\mu \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к \rho \epsilon \sigma \sigma o ́ \nu \omega \nu$. 135

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \epsilon^{\prime} .
$$

 סapídas,
had to double back in the flight. The Apharêtidae were a spear's throw off when Polydeukes left the tree. Aristarchos cited the Kypria, according to the Schol., surely to prove that Lynkeus was not in the tree ( $\eta \mu \mu \operatorname{vos}$ ): Didymos cited the words to defend the Doric acc. plur. $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 s,-\omega s$. There is no ground for impugning with Bergk the accuracy of the Schol, as to the readings of the Grammarians.
$64{ }^{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ Mss. $\epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau^{\prime}$, -avt'. The insertion and omission of $\nu$ are common errors. Cf. Od. 3. 261.

65 kal 'And accordingly'; cf.
 єоккєу.

66 पท́das mais Polydeukes. They stood face to face hard by the tomb of Aphareus.

67 äץ. 'Ait A sa sepulchral
column, stêlê, consecrated to "A $1 \delta \eta s$. For the genitive Dissen quotes Eur. El. $143 \mu \epsilon$ ' os 'Atōa, Suppl. $773^{*}$ Aı $\delta$ ov $\mu 0 \lambda \pi \alpha$.

71 The tmesis $\epsilon \pi i-\pi \lambda a \hat{\xi} \epsilon$ has caused corruption. mss. give $\epsilon \pi \pi^{\text {" }} 1 \delta \alpha$
 Edd. with the Schol. Vet. alter "I $\delta a$ to "I $\delta q . \quad$ Matthiae's citation of maictl $\xi$ छl申os only half-supports ${ }^{\epsilon} \pi$
 $\xi \pi \lambda \eta \xi \in \nu$ " $1 \delta a \nu$.

72 ékalovt' mSS. ठè kéovt', є for $\alpha \iota$ and vice versa are common errors. Ép $\hat{\mu}$ o With none to aid or avenge them. $\quad X^{a} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \pi \alpha$, к.т.入. Cf. v. 20 supra; 'a strife with higher beings is dangerous for men to encounter.' Eris is half personified. The order is involved. For inf. cf. O. 8. 19, 7. 25, I. 2. 37.
 ěкı $\chi \in \nu$.
${ }_{3}$ Өє $\rho \mu a ̀$ ठ̀̀ $\tau \in ́ \gamma \gamma \omega \nu$ Sáкрva $\sigma \tau o v a \chi a i ̂ s$

 ä $\nu a \xi$.

145
 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о i ̉ \beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu$
'A 1 т. $\epsilon^{\prime}$.


 то́б七s
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 Өо́ $\mu$ єขò

I 55
 $\kappa \in \lambda a \iota \nu \in \gamma \chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau$ ' "A $\rho \in \iota$,

74 d̈ $\sigma \theta \mu a \tau \iota$ ' Drawing his breath convulsively in suffocation,' i.e. with the death rattle (ruckle) in his throat. Cf. N. 3. 47,$48 ; \mathfrak{a} \sigma \theta \mu a$ is 'labored breathing.' The mss. give
 not scan; ' ruffled as to breaths' is a strong but intelligible metaphor, which is helped by the accompanying shuddering of the whole frame often observed in deaths from mortal wounds. Mommsen's $\gamma$ t́vvas for $\pi \nu$ ods is not happy.

75 тé $\gamma \gamma \omega v$ Cf. Soph. Trach. 847
 For $\delta \dot{\eta}$ mss. give $\delta \epsilon$. $\quad \sigma$ tovaxaîs Dative of accompanying action, of. Madv. § 42, Il. 24. 696, Od. 24. 416.

78 Apparently adapted by Euripides, Hel. 274 каl $\phi \grave{\lambda} \omega \nu \tau \eta \tau \omega \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \eta$
$\delta o u ́ \lambda \eta$ кaөtorqк', though loss of friends to a Greek woman was a very different case to that of a man. The faithlessness of mortals is contrasted with the trustworthiness of deities; cf. v. 54 supra and Introd. Cf. Theogn. 79 mav́pous



79 нета入aцßávetv 'So as to share.' For inf. cf. Madv. § 149. $\eta ้ \nu \in \pi \epsilon$ So mss. Elsewhere êvv.

80 ' $E \sigma \sigma$ ¢ $\mu$ or viós And therefore immortal. móvıs Tyndareus.

84 Between Oঠ̈̀v $\mu \pi$ тov and $\sigma$ óv $^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$
 defect in both sense and rhythm. Pindar elsewhere has $\epsilon \theta \in \lambda \omega$, which will not scan here, in verbal forms, except 0. 8. 85, though he uses the present participle of $\theta \in \lambda \omega$ (P. 2.
 ${ }^{\text {b }} \mu \alpha ́ \rho \nu a \sigma a \iota, \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} ~ \nu o \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \pi o \delta a ́ \sigma \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ f i ́ \sigma o \nu, ~$

 ${ }^{165}$

 коні́тра Káбтороя.

69, 10. 5, I. 5. 43, and O. 2. 97. The mss. give $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ for $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\omega} \nu$ at the end of P. 2. 69). The Schol. interprets... $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ oủpavòv oik $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$
 $v .86$, by $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon$, , whence Kayser gets $\nu 0 \epsilon \hat{i}$ olkєîv $\dot{\epsilon} \mu 0$ ( for the position of preposition of, v. 38 supra). The letters - ONNOEICOIKEINEMOI would be peculiarly liable to corruption, as $€, 0, C$ were often confused, and also IC and $K$, and IN and M. Thus $\theta \in \lambda \in t s$ or $\epsilon \theta \in \lambda \epsilon t s$ would be a gloss on lois. Moms-
 $\theta \in \lambda e t s \nu a t \in \iota \nu$ ' $\mu \mathrm{ol}$. The word $\mu \in \hat{\lambda} \lambda \in t s$ is so utterly inappropriate that Mommsen's suggestion may be at once rejected. Against the considerations in favor of the text (for which of. $v .58$ supra) the only point to be urged is the assumed repetition of $\nu 0$ cis. For the position of $\sigma \dot{\nu}$ of.
P. 2. 59, N. 9. 14, v. 38 supra.

86 Fifo Note the emphatic position. For Flory $=$ 'an equal share' cf. Soph. Oed. Rex 579, Eur. Ion 818, Eph. in Taut. 1009.

87 rvéors Observe the phrase: he is to live in the tomb.

89 จv̉, к.т.入. Polydeukes 'offared not a double plan to his jugment.' That is, he decided without the least hesitation.

90 Note the change of subject back to Zeus: unless with Merger we regard Polydeukes as the author of the release. $\quad \chi а \lambda к о \mu i \tau р а$ The $\mu$ ipa of a warrior was a broad woollen girdle faced with plates of bronze, worn below the $\zeta \omega \mu a$. Perhaps $\chi a \lambda \kappa \in о \mu i \tau \rho a s$ is used by Sacchylides 13. 76 "Ектора $\chi a \lambda[\sim-]$, as Kenyon suggests with the indmiscible alternative $\chi a \lambda \kappa є о \chi a ́ \rho \mu a \nu$, P. 5. $77 \chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa о \chi . ~}$

## [NEMEA XI.]

FOR THE єlб८rท́pıa OFFERED BY ARISTAGORAS OF TENEDOS, PRYTANIS.

## INTRODUCTION.

This ode (enkômion) was performed before the altar and shrine of Hestia Prytanitis in the Prytaneion of Tenedos upon the occasion of the installation as $\pi \rho v^{\tau} \tau a \nu \iota s$, or president of the $\beta o u \lambda \eta^{\prime}$, of Aristagoras, a wrestler and pancratiast of great strength and beauty, son of Arkesilas ( $v .11$ ). It has nothing to do with the Nemean games.

The date is probably later than B.c. 470 ; but there is no clue except the style. The subject of the poem belonged to the clan of the Peisandridae who claimed kinship with both Amyklae and Thebes (vv. 34-37). Short though the poem is there is much of lasting interest in it. The doctrine of the mean is propounded with reference to excess or defect in honorable ambition, and mention is made of the uncertainty of the future and the inevitable approach of death ( $v v .13-16$ ), natural topics in connexion with the installation of a constitutional dignitary. The theory that hereditary excellence displays itself in alternate generations is introduced in a way that reflects rather severely on Aristagoras' father, who supplies an instance of $\dot{a} \tau о \lambda \mu i a$.

There are exact echoes in $v .38 \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ of $v .33-\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho o v$, in $v .18$ áoo $\delta a i ̂ s$ (dissyllabic) of aov $\delta \dot{\alpha} v .7$, in $v .36$ of кai $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' introducing mention of a locality v. 25. Are aûxaı v. 29 contrasted with $\mu \in \gamma a v \chi \in \hat{\imath} v .21$, as Bury suggests?

The three divisions of the poem roughly coincide with the metrical systems. The first strophe and antistrophe are an introductory invocation of Hestiâ on behalf of the victor. The first epode and the second system deal with the victor and his father; the last system with his ancestry and hereditary worth.

The compounds which seem to have been used for this ode are $\dot{\partial} \mu \delta \theta \rho o \nu o s, \mu \in \lambda i \gamma \delta o u \pi o s, \mu \in \gamma a \lambda a \nu o p l a \iota$, à $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \iota \kappa \tau o s$.

The mode is Dorian, the metres are dactylo-epitritic.
Strophe (unsymmetrical).

1. $B^{*} \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime}$.
2. C.A.
3. $A . A^{\prime}$.
4. $C+. B^{\prime}$.
5. $-\cup \smile|\sqcup| B^{\prime} \cdot B$. $|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$

Efode (palinodic).

1. $A \cdot A^{\prime}$.
2. B.A. $B^{\prime}$.
3. $A . A \ddagger$.
4. $A$. $|-\S \cup \cup| \sqcup \mid B^{\prime}$.
5. $C \| \cdot B^{\prime}$.
6. $B^{\prime} \cdot B^{\circledR} \cdot A^{\prime}$.

The numbers are 6.7.6.7.6.7.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-10. Invocation of Hestia Prytanitis.
11, 12. Praise of Aristagoras.
13-16. He is reminded that excellence gives no exemption from death.
17-21. He deserves praise for his athletic victories in local games.
22-29. The timidity of his parents debarred him from chance of distinction in the great games.
29-32. Over-diffidence and over-confidence are alike detrimental.
33-37. The noble ancestry of Aristagoras.
37-43. Hereditary excellences develope themselves in alternate generations only.
43-46. In spite of the future being beyond our foresight we embark on ambitious schemes.
47, 48. Insane yearnings for things unattainable hinder our setting due limits to our desires.

* Caesura in second foot.
$\ddagger$ Caesura after first syllable. || Caesura in third foot.
+ Caesura in fourth foot.
§ Caesura.
बT Incisio.










1 mputaveia $\lambda$ édoyxas As the goddess of the family altar and hearth generally, Hestia was naturally the deity worshipped at the common altar and hearth of the state under the title חрuтavicts. It would appear from Thuk. 2. 15 that a Прútavis, whether $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \in u ́ s$, túpavpos, or one of a numerous body of officials, held the title as president of the $\beta$ oun $\eta$. For $\lambda \epsilon \lambda$ orxas of. O. 7. 55 ff., 9. 15, Aesch. Prom. 228





3 $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \mathrm{ov}$ A sanctuary or shrine within the rpuraveiov. For $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \dot{\xi}$ with repeated word, epanaphora, cf. v. 6, N. 10. 27, I. 37.

4 étalpous Members of the ßou入\%. бкdттч This allusion shows that there was a statue of Hestia in the $\pi \rho u t a \nu \varepsilon i o \nu$ at Tenedos, as there also was at Athens (Paus. 1. 18. 3). The sceptre is an usual attribute of Hestia in art (Dissen quoting Welcker).

5 óp日áv Extension of predicate; 'in welfare.'
$6 \pi$ тр́тar 'The eldest'; ef. Hês. Theog. 453 ' $\mathrm{Peîa} \delta^{\prime}$ ' vimo $\alpha \mu \eta \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a$


 Z $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \nu \dot{\alpha} \\ & \tau \epsilon \text {, whereon Paley shows that }\end{aligned}$ Homer makes Hêra the eldest child of Kronos, and Zeus the eldest son.

7 नфt 'At their bidding.' Bря́яєтаи 'Peals.' Cf. N. 9. 8


8 Guests of the state were entertained at the $\pi \rho u \tau a \nu \in \hat{i} o \nu$, and suppliants to the state sought sanctuary at its altar. For Themis being associated with Zeus Xenios of. the similarly worded passage, 0. 8. 21

 where Aegina's just dealing with strangers is intended. The connexion of the goddess of right and order with hospitality is illustrated by the phrases $\delta a \iota \tau \delta \mathrm{~s}$ éto $\eta s, \dot{\alpha}, \rho \mu \delta \delta \iota o \nu$ беітиov.
9 èv 'With'; cf. v. 17 infra. Rumpel and Bury prefer the locative sense. For the perpetual public hospitality of Tenedos \&c. edd. quote the трáтєऽal $\xi \in \nu u \kappa a l$ of the Cretan $\sigma v \sigma \sigma$ itia, Athển. 4. 22 (p. 143 c ). ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ Cf. O. 2. 12, 4. 6. $\sigma \dot{v} v$ The repetition of $\sigma \dot{v} v$ in the next line has troubled critics greatly; so that $\sigma \dot{v} \delta \delta \xi \xi$ has been


$$
\text { ' } \mathrm{E} \pi . a^{\prime} \text {. }
$$





15 e $\theta \nu a \tau a ̀ ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ८ \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu ~ \mu ' ́ \lambda \eta, ~$
20

altered to $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ and $\sigma o l ~ \delta \delta \xi \xi u$ ，Guv－ $\delta \delta \xi \alpha \nu$ ，नò $\nu$ and $\nu \iota \nu \delta \delta \xi \alpha$（with $\pi \epsilon$－ pâoat）．L．and S．give Böckh＇s $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota s(\xi \nu)$ ．Others alter $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu$ into $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ or $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{\sigma} \sigma a l \nu \nu \nu$ ． Meager rightly defends mss．and Scholia．For－$\sigma a t$ Jive cf．I．3， 17.

10 а่тра́т世 For the metaphor cf．N．1．48．＇Without annoy．＇ He might have discharged the du－ ties of his office＇with credit＇amid continual dangers and anxieties．
11 div pa Emphatic，cf．P． 2. 29．The meaning is almost＇So far as I may congratulate a man－ I deem his father Arkesilas blessed， and I laud his（Aristagoras＇）ad－ mixable form and innate hardi－ hood．＇I agree with Merger in making ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a$ refer to Aristagoras， but I take $\alpha \nu \delta \rho a$ as an accusatives pendens；though $\mu$ aкар $\upharpoonright \zeta \omega$ takes a double accusative．See Aristoph．

 shave）．The sense might be the same．＇On the man do I congratu－ late his father，aye on his（the man＇s）form．＇I cannot see the point of congratulating the son upon his father，who kept him from winning the Olympian and Pythian games（v．22）．Again if adv $\partial \rho \alpha$ is
 $\delta \epsilon \mu a s$ к．T．$\lambda$ ．No doubt the passage is unsatisfactory．I think rekos ＇Аркєбโ入a may have got corrupted through the incorporation of a
marginal note．Dissen is probably right in recognising the zeugma， the sense of div ${ }^{\prime} \omega$ being drawn from
 Note that $\mu \epsilon \nu$ is answered by $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ， v．13；＇though I praise，let him remember．＇Otherwise Meager and Dissen citing 0．5． 10.
 aorist．The future $\pi а \rho а \mu \in \dot{\sigma} \sigma \in \tau \alpha \iota$ refers to moments of future self－ satisfaction on the part of Axis－ tagoras，as well as to the future generally．

15 ＇Let him remember that the frame which he bedecks is mortal and that at the last of all he shall don a vesture of earth．＇Cf．＂muddy vesture of decay．＂For construction cf．O．6．8，I．1． 68 ．$\pi \in \rho \iota \sigma \tau \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf．Eur．Ale． 663 （ $\pi$ aî̃as oil）$\theta a \nu \dot{\nu} \nu \tau a$ $\sigma \epsilon \mid \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ каi $\pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ $\nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \dot{\nu}$ ．The verb $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \sigma \tau \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ is the regular word for arraying a body for the funeral．For the participle with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota$ cf．Soph．Oed．R． 49 f．$\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \sigma \hat{\eta} s \mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \hat{\omega} s$ $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta a \mid$ бтád $\bar{\tau} \epsilon s$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．

16 тe入єutáv Accusative in ap－ position with the sentence ；cf．O． 2．4，7．16，I．3．7，Asch．Ag． 225. Here the use is similar to that of the＂adverbial＂ápरخ́v．yâv ${ }_{\epsilon}^{2} \pi\llcorner$ Fer．For the phrase Don．cites Asch．Ag． 869 el $\hat{\delta}^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega ́ s$, és








 30 $25+\kappa a \grave{~ \pi} \pi a \rho$ ' єن̉ $\delta \in ́ v \delta \rho \omega \mu 0 \lambda \omega ̀ \nu$ ö $\chi \theta \omega$ K $\rho o ́ v o v$
 38. Hemsterhuis (on Hesych. 1. p. 1352) besides the references in L. and S. gives Simonides, apud Athen. 3. p. 125 D, 168 [227] aủ $\frac{1}{} \rho$ ( $\chi \grave{\omega} \nu$ ) $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \dot{a} \mu \phi \theta \eta$ (Porson $\epsilon \in \dot{a} \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta$ ) |
 Troad. $1148 \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta^{\prime}$ єं $\pi \alpha \mu \pi \iota \sigma-$ Xóvtєs. This warning to avoid excessive pride is peculiarly appropriate in an official ode composed. for a civic magistrate.

17 入óyots 'Friendly (fair) discussions. Cf. N. 8.21. For $\epsilon \nu$ cf. P. 5. 97, v. 9 supra. ả $\gamma$ aӨô̂б $v เ v$ Mommsen. Mss. -oîs $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$.

18 For sentiment ef. O. 6.6,7. $\mu \in \lambda_{l} \xi \in \mu \in \nu \quad$ The infinitive goes with $\mu \in \lambda \iota \gamma \delta 0$ úrotб८ (Madv. § 150), and кal couples èv 入óyots $\delta a \iota \delta a \lambda \theta$ évтa. There is a kind of etymological play on $\mu \epsilon \lambda_{l}$-. With Pindar 'song' is 'honey.' The exceptional synizesis áoı $\delta a i ̂$ is does not warrant alteration. Mezger supposes that there is a change of subject. The papyrus of Bacchylides supports Pauw's $\mu \epsilon$ $\lambda i \xi \in \nu$, which avoids the unusual synizesis. Hermann alters to $\mu \epsilon$ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu:$ Mommsen to $\mu \epsilon \mu i \chi \theta^{\prime} \in \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$.

20 єủळ́vขนov Perhaps an extension of the predicate. As Niкך is often represented in Art holding out a wreath, there is probably a
half personification of the Victories here. Note that $\epsilon \kappa \pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \kappa \tau$. should not be taken with viккac but with the verb, meaning ' on the authority of, at the instance of the neighbouring peoples.' The $\delta \epsilon=$ 'for.'
$21 \mu \in \boldsymbol{q}^{2} \chi \chi \in \AA$ Schmid for Mss. $\mu \in \gamma \alpha \lambda a v \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
 great diffidence.' Literally 'Too shrinking apprehensions.' We can speak of "confident hopes" but hardly of 'diffident or timid hopes.' For é $\lambda \pi$ ts cf. N. 1. 32.

23 है ${ }^{\prime}$ Xov For the inf. without $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, cf. Soph. Aj. 70 aủ $\dot{\alpha} \mathrm{\alpha}$ aं $\pi \in i \rho \xi \omega$ $\sigma \eta े \nu \pi \rho о ́ \sigma о \psi \iota \nu \in l \sigma \iota \delta \epsilon i v$, I. 1. 60.

24 үáp 'For else.' Ėpàv Sógav Cf. Aristoph. Pax 232 кal
 These are accusatives of 'extent, range, sphere,' like $\tau \grave{\partial} \notin \mu \partial \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho o s$, $\tau \dot{\delta} \kappa a \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́:$ but instead of qualifying the action or state predicated, they qualify (make conditional) the predication, like an infinitive,
 without ís. rapá Here and in the next line to be taken after $\delta \eta \rho \iota \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$, while $\mu 0 \lambda \omega \dot{\nu}={ }^{6}$ had he gone (thither).' For the victor's return of. N. 2. 24, 0. 8. 67-71, P. 8. 81-87.

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．







І $\sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ن ̉ \mu a \rho \epsilon ̀ \varsigma ~ \eta ᄁ \nu ~ \tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \Pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a ́ v \delta \rho o v ~ \pi a ́ \lambda a \iota ~$

 4 каі̀ тар＇＇I $\sigma \mu \eta \nu о \hat{v}$ คооаิ кєкран́́vov

＇A $\nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.



26 Medicean Mss．$\epsilon^{\dagger} \nu \delta(\epsilon) \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \tau^{\prime}$ by dittography．

27 Cf．N．10．33，0．11．57，58， 13． 40.

28 торфvpéols Cf．Hor．Od． 4．1． 10 purpureis oloribus．Like $\chi \rho \cup ́ \sigma \epsilon o s, 0.8 .1, ~ N . ~ 1 . ~ 17, ~ a n d ~ e l s e-~-~$ where，$\pi$ о $\phi \phi .=$＇gleaming，＇＇glisten－ ing，＇＇rich－colored．＇

29 кєvєóфр．Cf．Theogn． 233 $\kappa \in \nu \epsilon \delta \phi \rho \circ \nu \iota \delta \eta \dot{\prime} \mu \varphi$ ．

30 धg－Eßalov Tmesis．Fre－ quentative aorist；so $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \phi \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ， and é $\delta \omega \kappa$ ．v．39．Render＇cast down from．＇ảja日قิv＇Blessings of victory＇（cf．O．8，13）are of course included under the general
 ing．＇

31 olkє（ $\omega \nu$＇Proper，＇＇within his reach．＇

33 бvцßa入єiv＇Infer，＇＇gather．＇ тє Taken up by кal（v，36），from ＇A $\mu u ́ k \lambda \alpha \theta \epsilon \nu$ to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\gamma} \omega \nu$ being a paren－
thesis．For $\epsilon^{\notin \mu \mu \epsilon \nu}$ suppressed with
 The Achæan Peisandros was said to have been driven from Sparta on the Migration of the Dorians into Peloponnêsos and to have joined ※olian emigrants from Boeôtia in that country，whence they sailed to Tenedos．

35 Ха入кєขтє́a MSs．$\chi^{\alpha \lambda \kappa \in \nu \tau \epsilon} \omega \nu$ （one $\chi \alpha \lambda \kappa \varepsilon ́ \omega \nu \tau \epsilon,-\omega \nu$ being expressed by a superscribed ${ }^{n}$ ）．

36 In Thebes．
37 ék Me入avíттoьo $\mu$ áтр．＇With the blood of a daughter of Melan－ ippos．＇

38 For sentiment cf．N．6．8－ 11．áцфє́povt’ For the voice of． P．7．ad fin．，$\phi \epsilon \rho \in \sigma \theta a l$ probably transitive．Here the compound means＇return，＇＇yield＇；not＇raise up，＇＇bring with them．＇
$39 \mu$ é $\lambda a t v . . .{ }^{2} \rho$ ．Like the com－ mon raîa $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda a \iota \nu \alpha$ ．


 ' $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
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40 oủk è $\theta$ énel 'Are not wont.' L. and S. only give prose examples of this sense. Don. would render $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$ as = $\delta \dot{v} v a \mu a \iota$ here and N. 7. 90. $\pi \epsilon \rho o ́ \delta o l s ~ F o r ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota б \delta o \iota s, ~ c f . ~$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \pi \tau \omega \nu$, P. 3. 52.

41 тлоvire Floov Dat. of manner; 'in equal abundance.'

42 ev ajucißovtı For the gerundive use, ' in alternation' ( $=\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma$ $\sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha u)$, of the active participle cf. Thuk. 1. 142 द่̇ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$, Madv. 180 b, Rem. 2, Soph. Oed.

 $\pi \epsilon \pi o \nu \theta$ bs. Dểm. Meid. § $59 \tau \delta \sigma v \gamma-$ $\kappa є \chi \omega р \eta к \delta$ s. Compare our English confusion of abstract nouns in -ing with the participle (which originally in Saxon ended in -nd). Pindar's suppression of the article is noteworthy. Perhaps the usual explanation given above is wrong and ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $\tau \epsilon \iota$ is to be supplied in thought from $\begin{gathered}\text { tét } \omega \nu \text {. kai...ovitcos 'Even }\end{gathered}$ so. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mss. ovivt $\sigma \theta$ Evos. Text Heyne.

43 тò $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\mathrm{Ek} \Delta$ lós 'As for what comes from Zeus.' Cf. N. 2. 17 ö $\sigma \sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi^{\prime}$ á $\epsilon \theta \lambda o \iota s$ | T $\tau \mu 0 \delta \eta \mu l \delta \alpha \iota$
 ment of. O. 12. 7, 8, Soph. Oed.
 $\sigma a \phi$ रोs. I. 7. 14, 15, Eur. Herc. Fur. 62. Bacchyl. 10. 45 f. тঠ $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu \mid \delta^{\prime}$ ákpltovs тiктєt $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau d ́ s$ (where akpitous means 'undetermined,' not admitting the effectual exercise of human judgment), Eur.

Orest. $981 \beta \rho o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \pi \hat{a} s \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta-$ Tos al $\hat{\nu} v$, perhaps a reminiscence of N. 6. 6 f., cf. also O. 2.30 ff .

44 е̇ $\mu$ ßaivo $\mu \in \nu$ 'We embark upon.' Metaphor from navigation followed up in $v, 46$.

45 T $\epsilon$ For the coupling of a participial clause to one containing a finite verb ef. Soph. Oed. Rex 740

 Also with the participle preceding 0. 1. 13, 14 $\delta \rho \epsilon \pi \pi \nu \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \bar{\nu} \ldots \mid \dot{a} \gamma \lambda a t-$ §єтat סé, I. 1. 14, Aesch. Ag. 97 тои́т由ע $\lambda \epsilon \xi a \sigma^{\prime}$ ö тє каl $\delta v \nu a \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ | каі $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu s$ alveiv, $\mid \pi \alpha ル \omega ้ \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 \hat{v} \tau \hat{\gamma} \sigma \delta \epsilon$ $\mu \in \rho / \mu \nu \eta$ s: where however, as in Choëph. (557), $\tau \varepsilon$ seems='accordingly' and is hardly copulative. In the present case I think the construction is кađà $\sigma \dot{\nu} \in \sigma \tau \nu$, as though $\mu \in \gamma a \lambda a v o p l a t s$ contained $\mu \epsilon$ raגávopes övtes. $\delta$ é $\delta \in \tau \alpha \downarrow$ 'Constrained.' Perhaps a metaphor from a slave chained to the oar.

 $\kappa \epsilon \in \rho \delta \epsilon \iota \iota a l$ бофধa $\delta \in \delta \epsilon \tau a \iota$ (with which cf. Bacchylides Frag. 43 (4). 2 ©ंs $\delta^{3}$

 ' Improbus,' ' uñconscionable, ' 'unreasonable.'
46 троцaөéas Mezger and Postgate rightly join the genitive with poal. Men strive or drift in a variable, uncertain course, but foreknowledge, if they only had it,


would bear them along steadily like a current. No doubt the mariners of Tenedos were familiar with and often grateful to the strong Hellespontine current. For the metaphor cf. O. 2, 33 foal $\delta^{\prime}$
 $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu \dot{\epsilon}^{\prime} s a^{a \prime \nu} \delta \rho a s{ }_{\epsilon}^{*} \beta a \nu$. For the general sentiment cf. Solon Frag.

 $\sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \tau o s \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \epsilon \nu \quad v$. Theognis 585.
47 For a more general statemont of the doctrine of a $\mu \hat{k} \tau \rho o \nu$

 Also Hês. W. and D. 692, P. 2. 34,
I. 5. 71 .

48 For general sentiment of. N. 3. 30. $\delta^{\prime}$ Equivalent to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ad. Cf. Soph. dj. 12.
ő̧̧úrepal Don. refers to Matth. Gr. Gr. § 457, thus making it doubtful whether he would render the comparative by 'too' or 'somewhat,' ' rather,' or as merely equivalent to a posifive. It clearly means 'too acute' in the medical sense of ' acute.' Cf. O. 8. 85, For $\mu$ gavial cf. Theognis

 classification of "Epos under $\mu$ via, Phaedr. 244, 245, was perhaps auggested by poetic diction.

## ISTHMIA I.

## ON THE VICTORY OF HERODOTOS OF THEBES IN THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT RACE.

## INTRODUOTION.

Hêrodotos, son of Asôpodôros of Thebes, was one of several Theban victors at some Isthmian festival of uncertain date. Some consider that Asôpodôros had been exiled from Thebes (vv. 36-38); but this supposition is not consistent with the most natural interpretation of the passage $v v .34-46$, and seems in particular to involve making his father's exile too prominent a topic introducing the most striking part of the ode. If Hêrodotos himself had been exiled at the time of the Persian war as a young man of about twenty he would not be too old to act as his own charioteer $(v .15)$ in B.c. 458, O. 80.3 , to which date Dissen refers the composition of the ode. He thinks that the alliance between Thebes and Sparta, before the war in which the battles of Tanagra and Oenophyta were fought, is figured in the association of Kastor and Iolâos vv. 16, 17 (but cf. P. 9.59 ff . composed b.c. 478); that war is suggested by the allusion to Gêryon's Aparєíaє кúves (v. 12) (but Prof. Seymour justly remarks, agreeing with Don.-"This was the most distant point reached by Heracles, hence this clause means 'whose mighty deeds reached even to the ends of the world '"); and by ${ }^{\hat{\eta}} \pi \sigma \lambda \epsilon \mu i \zeta \omega \nu$ v. 50 (but see my note), so that the premises can hardly be said to be strong enough to carry Dissen's conclusion. Leopold Schmidt on altogether insufficient grounds places the date between the third Isthmian and the fifth Nemean, that is, in the first period of Pindar's poetic activity. Pindar may merely intend to apologise for the slightness of the composition and the thinness of the
sentiments when he mentions his engagement for the men of Keos. It is to be safely inferred from $v v .39,40$ that Hêrodotos was an aristocrat. (Mezger thinks the father was obliged to retire to Orchomenos through loss of property by actual shipwrecks, reading є́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \pi \iota_{\mu}^{\mu \epsilon \nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ v. 16, a view which I cannot at all admit.) The main thread of the ode is the enforcement and illustration of the glory conferred on the $\pi a \tau \rho i s$ by a successful pursuit of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau a ́$ and the consequent reward of praise and remembrance due from fellowcitizens (cp. vv. $12 ; 17 ; 30,31 ; 35 ; 40 ; 66,67 ; 1-6 ; 43-46$; 50,$51 ; 67,68$ ). This train of thought is peculiarly appropriate if Hêrodotos was re-established at Thebes in consequence of this Isthmian victory, which may be inferred from $c \because .39,40$.

Exact echoes are tò $\tau \epsilon o ́ v v v, 58.1^{*}, \dot{a} \lambda o ́ s ~ v .37, \dot{a} \lambda t-v .9, \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ p o-$
 $\chi \in \rho \sigma i v .15$. (The last epode echoes $v v .14-16$ of the first epode generally, but it is unsafe to enter into particulars, with Mr Bury, as to the several responsions being specially significant.) The first responsion is obviously ineffective, and the rest are superfluous as keys, signals, or aids towards interpretation. The introduction of the ode extends to $v .17$, that is, over all the first system except the last verse; and the conclusion occupies the last system. The central portion has marked breaks at $v v .32 \epsilon \in \omega \dot{\omega} \delta$, and $40 \dot{\delta} \pi o \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha s \delta \epsilon$. The compounds which seem to be coined for this ode are $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \delta \delta \delta o v \pi o s, \dot{\psi} \psi i \pi \epsilon \delta \delta s, \dot{\delta} \rho \nu \iota \chi 0 \lambda \phi \chi o s$.

The mode is Dorian, the metres are dactylo-epitritic.
The strophe is antithetic-mesodic, the epode antithetic with both mesode and epode.

StROPHE.

2. $A B^{\prime}$.
3. $B A$.
4. $A^{\prime}$.
5. $\simeq: B A^{\prime}$.
6. $B A^{\prime}|-\cup \cup| \operatorname{Ld} \mid C^{\prime}$.

The numbers are respectively $6 \cdot 5 \cdot 5.3 .5 .56$ and 8.8.7.8.8 4 .

* Not noticed by Bury or Mezger.
+ Incisio after the epitrite. $\ddagger$ Caesura after the first syllable.


## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-10. Invocation of Thêbâ, with an apology for laying aside a poems for the men of Keos to compose an Athenian ode.
10-12. Since six prizes have fallen to Thebes.
12, 13. The birthplace of Hêrakles.
14-16. In honour of Hêrodotos' victory in the four-horse chariot race the poet is ready to compose a Kastoreion or ode of Iolâos.
$17-31$. The athletic prowess of Kastor and Iolâos.
$32-40$. Allusion to the victor's family and to his exile and return to good fortune.
40-52. General sentiments in praise of prowess and enterprise glancing at Hêrodotos.
53-59. Enumeration of some of his victories.
60-63. The scope of the ode prevents him proclaiming all.
63. Often what is not mentioned gives the greater satisfaction.

64-67. A hope that encouraged by poetic praises Hêrodotos may win at the Pythian and Olympian games.
67, 68. If any one hoards and finds fault with those who are lavish in pursuit of honor, he does not consider that he will die 'unhonored and unsung.'

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} a^{\prime} .
$$

1 Mâtєp є̇ $\mu a ́, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon o ́ v, ~ \chi р v ́ \sigma a \sigma \pi \iota \iota ~ \Theta \grave{\eta} \beta a$,


$4 \Delta \hat{a} \lambda o s$, èv $\stackrel{\text { at }}{ }$ кé $\chi \nu \mu a \iota$.

1 Xpúrafat This epithet refers to a statue of Thêbê, perhaps that which is mentioned in Frag. 177
 $a \lambda \mu a, \theta \dot{\eta} \beta a$. For the prominence given here to the shield compare the shield on coins of Thebes. The hypothesis that the epithet has reference to a state of war is baseless (see Introd.). For Thêbê, laughter of Asôpos and Metôpê, cf. O. 6. 84, 85 .
$2 \pi \rho a \hat{\gamma \mu \mu}$ 'Thy interests,' the re-
quirements arising from the recent Isthmian victories of her children.
 Plato, Phaedr. 227 B, refers to this
 каl (even) da $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda$ ias $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \in \rho \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \pi \rho a ̂ \gamma-$

 de genio Socrates 575 d er $\mu$ kt каі

 $\delta \iota \eta \quad \gamma \eta \sigma \nu$.
$4 \Delta \hat{\lambda}{ }^{2}$ os Dêlos, as the birth-

 $\zeta \in \cup ́ \xi \omega$ те́ $\lambda o s$,

1 каì тòv а́кєєрєкó $\mu a \nu$ Фоîßov Хорєv́шข


place of Apollo, was interested in the paeân which was being composed for the Isle of Keos. kéxu$\mu \mathrm{ar}$ ' On which I have been pouring forth my soul.' Cf. Cic. Att. 1. 18. 2 in qua...omnes profudi uires unimi atque ingenii mei. Dissen points out that $x \in \chi u \mu$ évos ( $\epsilon$ 's $\tau \ell$, $\pi \rho \dot{s} \tau \iota$ ) is generally used in a bad sense.

5 The claims of parents, i.e. in this case of $\pi a \tau \rho / s$, are paramount. A respectful apology is needful to excuse his taking up a human theme, when engaged on a paeân. The poet does not ask leave to postpone the paeân, but prays to be excused for diverting his attention for a time from it.

6 § ' $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Lás 'Beloved of Apollo.' The tutelary deity of Dêlos is entreated to respect the poet's devotion to Thêbê even as she appreciates the devotion of Apollo to herself. For the personification cf. P. 6. 6, 12. 2, O. 7. 13, 14, N. 1. 4, Frag. 64. Others explain Apollinea urbs, quoting Ov . 3let. 13. 631. Xapit ${ }^{2}$ 'I shall combine the completing of both songs,' i.e. by finishing one very soon after the other. Mr Bury, altering кai to кג่ $\nu=\kappa \alpha l$ à $\nu \grave{\alpha}$ v. 9 , renders "shall yoke together the particular ends of both gracious works," explaining that "The praise of Apollo is a $\tau \epsilon$ ' $\mathrm{\lambda os}$ common to both." Mr Bury's pair, the incidental and the essential, is
badly matched. Cf. P. 3. 72. The
 different application of the metaphor.

7 d̉kєtp. "Milton's 'unshorn Apollo '" (Prof. Seymour). peviev 'Composing a choral ode for'-is what is meant, but he represents himself as the $\chi$ op $\quad$ yos, корифаios. The Schol. says that Pindar was asked by the men of Keos to compose a paeân to be sung at Dêlos, inferring the place of recitation from $v .3, \epsilon \kappa$ тои́тоט $\delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$, ${ }_{8}{ }^{2} \tau \iota$ els $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu$ है $\gamma \rho a \phi \in$ Kelols, but from v. 8 it is clear that the ode was to be recited in Keos, perhaps in the principal temple of Apollo at Kartheia, in the xopク $\begin{aligned} & \text { êon } \\ & \text { of which }\end{aligned}$ Athênaeos tells us ( 456 F) that Simonides, who was perhaps dead at the date of this ode, was for some time $\chi$ оробь $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma$ калоs.

8 a $\mu \phi$ р. This form of the fem. is Epic. The word is only found here in Pindar's extant works.

9 kal Bury needlessly alters to $\kappa \dot{d} \nu$ (for $\kappa$ al $\mathfrak{d} \nu \grave{a})$, as he demurs to making 'I $\sigma \theta \mu o \hat{v}$ ' $\delta \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ ' paralle] to $\Phi o \hat{\imath} \beta o \nu$ and assumes a reference to Apollo being praised at the Isthmos as well as at Keos. í $\lambda_{\iota}$ Fєpkéa Cf. P. 1. 18 ; here 'barring sea from sea.' The epithet seems to be in contrast to ${ }^{\dot{\beta} \mu \phi \iota \rho u ́ t q \text {. But }}$ 'sea-fenced ' may be right. Observe the hiatus, $-\epsilon a$ ' $I \sigma \theta \mu \circ \hat{v}$, cf. infra, vv. 16, 32, O. 7. 74, also Bacchyl. 2. 7 aú $\chi \in \nu \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{0}$.


 'А入кцй $\nu а$ тє́кє

'Е $\pi . a^{\prime}$.


 д́́ pas,
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11 бтрatథิ Taken up by $\pi \alpha$ $\tau \rho l \delta c$ as $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \nu 0$ us is by $\kappa a \lambda \lambda \lambda \nu$.
 means 'the democracy,' in P. 1. 86, 2. 58, Heron, in N. 1. 61 Amphitron is excluded. But no such exclusion is intended here or in 0 . 9. $95,10.17$, N. 10. 25 . de $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ Obviously only means the recent Isthmian games. Hêrodotos was clearly one of several (from four to six) Thebans who had won prizes.

13 kúvєs It must remain a question whether Orthros is made plural in consideration of his two heads or whether Pindar is following an unknown version of the myth.

14 ad $\lambda \lambda$ ' The poet checks himself in the praise of Herakles. Prof. Seymour points out that here, and N. 1. 33, I. 5. 16, є̇ $\gamma \omega$ introduces the transition to a myth. Cf. also O. 9. 21, 13. 49, P. 1. 42, 9. 103. For $\tau \in \dot{\prime} \chi \omega \nu . . \varepsilon^{2} \theta \in \lambda \omega \tau \in c \mathrm{cf}$. 0 .
 3. 12, Asch. $A g .97 \lambda \epsilon \xi a \sigma a \ldots \pi \alpha l \omega \nu$ $\tau \in \gamma \in \nu \circ \hat{v}$, and with the verb before the participle N. 11. 44, 45. For $\mu \dot{\mathrm{e}} \boldsymbol{\nu}-\tau \epsilon$ cf. N. 2. 9, 0. 4. 15. Prof. Seymour takes $\tau \delta$ ' $\mu \epsilon \nu=$ ' partky.' Of course editors, esp. Germans, alter. atp $\mu$. For instrumental dat. with noun cf. Soph. Oed. Col. $1026 \tau \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \delta \delta\langle\lambda| \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\eta}$ ঠькаlџ ктймата, "so often, esp. in

Plato" (Jebb), and O. and P. p. xxvi. It matters not to the construction whether the $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$ be the crown as in P. 5. 31 or the ode. Dissen and others, regardless of the order, compare double datives such as those at O. 2.14 sq ., P. 7. 2, vv.
 'making (-the-ode-on)-the victory'; the theme is pat in the place of the composition, as occasionally with $\pi$ ot e $\omega$. This reasonable assumption saves the passage from the charge of corruption. For $\tau \epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \nu$ cf. $\tau \in \dot{\text { u }}$ $\chi$ оעт' v. 67 infra, 'working,' 'causing (honor).' Or is it 'making for Hêrodotos the gift due to the chariot'?
$15 \nu \omega \mu \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \nu \tau^{\prime}$ Acc. agreeing with $\mu \nu \nu$. 'For that he managed the reins with no alien hands.' Note that $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho$. out $\chi \in \rho \sigma \ell=$ oủk $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda о \tau \rho$. $\chi \in \rho \sigma$.
16 'Io入áor' Mss. -dou, but cf. 0. 13. 35, N. 9. 55. This conjecture is confirmed by the Bacchylides papyrus, egg. 5. 62 ai $\pi \lambda$ dárol' 'Eх(ঠvas. ėvapuóğar Cf. I. 6. 19, N. 3. 11, 12, for the kind of phrase. The strain of Iolâos was probably a variety (perhaps Theban) of the $i \pi \pi$ los $\nu b \mu o s$, the Kacrbpecov being another variety of the same, cf. P. 2, 69. As Iolâos was charioteer to Hêrakles (Schol.), perhaps an 'T on. ${ }^{u} \mu \nu$.
 є́тє́кขшӨєข кра́тьтто८ ${ }^{25}$
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta$.


203 каì $\lambda \epsilon \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ фıá̀alбi $\tau є \chi \rho \cup \sigma \circ \hat{v}$,
4 уєvó $\mu \in \nu o \iota ~ \sigma \tau \epsilon ф a ́ v \omega \nu$

 отлітаєя $\delta \rho о ́ \mu о \iota$.
'A $\nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.

was sung in honor of an actual charioteer, as $v .15$ suggests. Iolâos and Kastor are mentioned together in a Theban ode, P. 11. 59-61. Were Hêrakles and Iolâos Theban Dioskuroi?

17 Éтékv. кра́тเбтоt 'Were the best that were born.' For the predicative adjective containing the most emphatic idea cf. I. 2. 12, N. 10. 32.
 13. 51, N. 1. $34,3.32$, vv. 34,57 infra. Mezger, quoting Friese, Pind. p. 28, wrongly joins $\epsilon \nu$ with the dat. to $\theta$ iyov here; to Ėтוкúpoals (so Dissen), O.6.7; to $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \phi о \rho o \nu$, N. 8. 48 ; to ${ }^{2} \rho \alpha \rho \dot{\tau} \tau, 0,11.82$. For the prizes mentioned Dissen compares Il. 23. 264 ff. Elsewhere Pindar has a dat. after $\theta \iota \gamma$, cf. the
 22. Notwithstanding the accent of the participle $\theta$ l $\gamma o v$ is a frequentative aorist.

20 кal... $\tau \epsilon$ The class of vessels is coupled to tripods by кal, the subclasses of vessels are coupled by $\tau \varepsilon$. xpuoov For gen. of material cf. P. 4. 71, 206.

21 үєvó $\mu \in v 02$ So two (the old Vatican and Munich) Mss. The
 20.

22 vtкaфópov • Brought by victory.' Cf. O. 13. 14, note. Not "'bearing victory, that is, symbols of victory" (Bury), but possibly ' of victory' with the second element of the compound quiescent. $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ Cf. P. 11. 45, O. 1. 23,
 $\mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ ald $\in \rho$ ' ảє $\rho \theta \in \nu \tau a$.

23 ó $\pi \lambda$ itals Cf. Eur. Ell. 444 $\mu \dot{\delta} \chi \theta$ ol $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \iota \sigma \tau$ d́s. For $\gamma \cup \mu \nu 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$, which is in contrast to $\alpha \sigma \pi \iota \delta$., cf. Thuk. 1. 6, $\S \S 5,6$, P. 11. 49 ; for the causative use of. N. 7.61. For the two adjectives of. P. 9. 8.

24 Mezger takes le $\nu$ also in this line as well as in the next without inserting with Dissen after otd $\tau \epsilon-$
 ing ota ='How' exclamatory (cf. O. $9.89,93$, I. 5.62 ); but I prefer 'and as to the casts they made'; кaí coupling aixuaîs to $\delta \pi \delta \sigma^{\prime} \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \nu$ סiokols iev. The construction is oiá
 סlбкols ( $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \nu \tau$.) itev. Cf. I. 2. 35
 for variety of construction infra $v$. 50. For the dat. alxuaîs 'with javelins' cf. N. 1. 18, 0. 11. 72.






'Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
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$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}{ }^{50} \gamma^{\prime}$.


25 iv Síroos ' In hurlings of the discus.' Dissen quotes Yen.


 'in chariot-races.' mss. give ómóтe without $\varepsilon$ e $\nu$.
$26 \pi \epsilon ข \tau a \in \theta \lambda$ nov For form cf. P. 8. 66. The first invention of the pentathlon was said to have occurred during the Argonautic expedition. Cf. Schorl. Apoll. Rood. 4. 1091. But Prof. Seymour quotes Od. 8. 123 sq., where the contests in the special exercises of the pentathlon are still separate.

27 кєíтo Pass. of $\tau i \theta \eta \mu$, cf. 0. 1. 85. For a corresponding use of the active of. N. 10. 48 . Tiरोos 'Prize.' Cf. 0. 11. 67, P. 9. 118.
28 Tิิ้ Sc. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \omega \omega$, from $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ to кeîro $\tau$ eidos being a parenthesis.

29 そ́фаvev For '̇фávךбay. For position of prep. of. P. 2. 11, N. 9. 14.

30 ' $\mathrm{I} \phi$. tais Iolâos. $\Sigma$ map-

T $\hat{\nu} \mathrm{v}$ The 'sown' men who sprang from the dragon's teeth.

31 Tvvסaplסas Kastor, son of Zeus, putative son of Tyndareus. viభíte ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ov}$ 'Highland,' lit. 'loftysited.' Cf. N. 10. 47. oiкє́ $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ A spondee. For adjectival use of participle cf. N. 4. 29, 7. 66, I. 3. 5, 37.

32 xalper' Addressed to the heroes, the topic of whose praise is thus dismissed. ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sigma \theta \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ For hiatus cf. supra v. 9, Bacchyl. 2. 7 aủXé $\nu$ ' 'I $\sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{0}$. Dat. commode, 'in honor of.'

33 At Onchêstos on the shore of Lake Kôpâis was a temple of Poseidon. Cf. I. 3. 37. $\pi \in \rho \mathrm{p}$ $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ Metaphor from the oilite, of. N. 11. 15.

34 yapúrouar $A$ true case of a middle fut. of a verb of sound. dyak $\lambda$ éa Part of predicate, ' as right glorious.' airav 'Career,' of. N. 6. 49 .

35 'Opхонєvoîo Gen. after äpoupav, $\pi a \tau \rho \psi \dot{\omega}$ b being predicative.

2 ä $\nu \iota \nu$ є́ $\rho є \iota \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \nu a v a y i ́ a \iota ร$

4 סékato $\sigma v \nu \tau v \chi i a$.

 $\theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$ фє́ $\rho є$ є.
'A $\nu \tau . \gamma$.


36 vt Asôpodôros (Mezger). Dissen is clearly wrong in referring
 tong èpeır. needlessly. Don. renders 'driven ashore.' But as 'driving people ashore alive' is not a specific or an usual function of shipwreck, it would hardly be attribated thereto in a metaphor. Bender 'hard pressed.' He was shipwrecked in the 'fathomless brine,' ie. serious troubles at Thebes, and swam to shore, i.e. fled to Orchomenes. The plural vavariais expresses more than Asôpodôros' own misfortune, including those of his friends. Meager takes vavariais literally.

37 dj $\epsilon \tau р \eta$ rms Lit. 'of liquid content too vast to measure.' Merger supposes this epithet to indicate the sea beyond the Pillars of Herakles, but there is an obvious allusion to the oracle delivered to the messengers of Kroesos, Herod.
 кal $\mu$ érpa $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda ., ~ a s ~ a l s o ~$ in O. 2. $98 \psi$ व́ $\mu \mu$ os á $\rho \iota \theta \mu \partial \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \epsilon$ $\phi \in u$ nev. Leaf on II. 23. 264 tells us that Hultsch identifies the Epic definite fluid $\mu$ et poo v with the Phœenician standard, the saton. For fem. termination of. N. 5. 9.

39 énéßa.नє Supply $\nu L \nu$ from v. 36. Cf. Il. 8. 285, for metaphot from horses or chariots, $\tau \boldsymbol{\partial} \nu$
 The aorist is apparently idiomatic,
referring to the time of the lately won chariot victory. The poet cannot mean 'set him on board the ship of good weather.' то́тноs бuүүєขท's Cf. N. 5. 40, P. 5. 16 18, note.

40 ठ movท̇бals A variation on $\pi \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon l ~ \mu a ́ \theta o s, ~ A e s c h . ~ A g a m . ~ 177, ~$ Schol. of räcùv каl т仑̂ $\nu \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta \dot{\eta} s$ रiүvєтal. Hes. W. and D. $218 \pi \alpha-$
 hath endured, brings accordingly fore-knowledge to his mind,' ie. adds fore-knowledge to his other mental powers ; cal is rightly placed to emphasise the connexion between róvos and $\pi \rho о \mu a ́ \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$. Perhaps the poet means that Hêrodotos has learnt wisdom by experience. фépel The past experience is a store whence at any time he can draw the basis of fore-knowledge; thus the present tense is appropriate. Here $\pi \rho о \mu \dot{\text { af }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\iota}$ means ' the faculty of fore-knowledge' so that, as in N. 3. 18 , the present $\phi \hat{f} \in \varepsilon$ contains a reference to the past, 'hath won for and adds to.' Dissen's $\phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota$, E $\chi \in \iota$ is only partly right, while in N. 3. 30 фépecv does not $=$ ex $\chi \in \iota \nu$ but 'to bear,' 'endure.' The active $\phi$ dep $\omega$ is used indifferently with $\phi \notin \rho о \mu \alpha$, but here, vó $\varphi$ being reflexive, the active is natural. Cf. N. 6. 15.

41 ката́кєเтаь Zen. de Venatione 10. 8 ils тои̂тоע тท้̀ ठ̊ $\rho \gamma \eta ̀ \nu$ катє́ $\theta \epsilon \tau о$, effundere solet, seems the nearest




 op $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota \kappa а \lambda o ́ v$.
parallel, de $\rho \in \tau \underline{a ̂}$ being a dat. termini. 'If he ( $\delta \pi$ тovíraus) be expended, every impulse of him, on distinclion.' Hermann's da $\rho \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. I. 4. 17) cannot stand with $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \nu$. Hartung's кaтaө̂̂ $\tau$ ts removes none of the real difficulty. Dissen explains the verb as nearly equivalent
 Kayser proposed ar $\rho \in \tau d \grave{a} \ldots \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \delta \rho-$ $\gamma \hat{a} \nu$. It is clear that $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu \delta \rho \gamma \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ is an acc. of reference, not $=\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\tau \rho \delta \pi 0 \nu$ (Schol.). For sentiment cf. I. 4. 22. There is no need to supply $\tau \iota$ (cf. O. 6. 4, N. 7. 16). Prof. Seymour's rendering of d $\rho \in \tau \hat{\alpha}$, 'excellence and the praise for excellance,' only errs in being too precise. The word cannot convey at one time with equal vividness the subjective and objective phases of an idea or a fact and its consequence. He quotes Theognis 29, 30, where dperd́s is 'credit for virtues' rather than 'rewards for virtue'; Soph. El. 626
 causal genitive gives 'the punishmont of,'so that the citation is irrelevant; O. 7. 89, Eur. Med. 297, where the notion of reputation for bravery, idleness is predominant;
 'eat the fruit of (our) toil,' which is only remotely relevant.

42 á $\mu$ ф́тєрог Cf. O. 1. 104, 6.17. Sandivals Dat. of reference, or sphere of action (state). Cf. 0 . 5. $15 \pi$ tb os $\delta a \pi a ́ v a \tau \epsilon$.

43 eípovi. For the change to plur. cf. P. 3. 60, Soph. Antiq. 709, Phil. 307. áyávopa кómıтоу 'Praise for thorough-manliness.'

Cf. I. 4. 24.
44 Dissen explains rightly; those who differ from him have got wild. The key to the passage is to recognise Pindar's (and others') irregularity in giving a general apodosis to a particular protasis (cf. P. 11. 41-44, N. 4. 79-84) or vice versâ (P. 11. 54, 55, Eur. Crest. 566-570). Here indeed the protasis is not exactly particular but only less general than the apodosis. The construction is $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$
 mote object after $\phi \hat{\rho} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu) \nu \nu \nu(\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \nu$, direct object after eúpóvTe $\sigma \sigma \iota$, cf.
 фӨоvєpaî́t Cf. Bacchylides' quotatimon from Hêsiod 5. 187 ff . र $\rho \grave{\eta}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$
 $\tau \epsilon \in \alpha \iota \sigma \omega \nu|\chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \Delta \nu.| \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \tau \tau$ є $\hat{v} \pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o l \beta \rho o \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. The negative is to be taken with the adjective as in N. 8. 37 .

45 кov́фa סórıs Cf. Asch. Sept. Th. 246, Eur. Batch. 893 кои́фа $\gamma$ àp

 Fou@ 'For a poet,' as usual in Pindar.

46 ảvti Cf. I. 4.25. єimóvt' Accusative. For the change of case cf. I. 5. 21, L. and S. s.v. $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi\end{gathered} \in \sigma \tau \iota ;$ Math. Gr. Gr. § 536 obs.; and without an infinitive Soph. Oed. R.
 к入úouoav, on which Jebb quotes Asch. Pers. 913 ie $\lambda \nu \tau a \iota \notin \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu v i \omega \nu$
 $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \ldots \pi \dot{d} \sigma \chi$ ova d. We have another slight variation in Asch. Ag. 1588

 જ $\lambda$ vкús, 65
 трє́фєє.


 $\xi \in ้ \nu \omega \nu$ र $\lambda \omega \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \varsigma$ ä $\omega \tau \% \nu$.

75

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . \delta^{\prime}
$$



3 ar $\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ іт $\pi о \delta \rho о ́ \mu \iota о \nu \kappa є \lambda a \delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$,
554 каі̀ $\sigma \in \in \epsilon \nu$, 'А $\mu \phi \iota \tau \rho v ́ \omega \nu$,
5 Taî́as тробєıтєî̀, тòv Mıvv́a to $\mu v \chi$ òv
80

 $\beta a ̆ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau a \iota \mid \sigma о \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \eta \tau i \in \sigma \sigma \iota,{ }_{2} \in \lambda a \delta \in \imath ̂ \nu$ ...iкouťvous, is slightly different from the two cases in the Isthmians, as the infinitive is that of result and comes between the substantive and the participle. See also note on I. 3. $11 \delta \epsilon \grave{\xi} a \mu \in ́ v \varphi_{0}$ áya0óv Exactly our 'good word.' छvvóv Cf. P. 9. 93. ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma a l$ Cf. P. 4. 60, O. 3. 3 ,
$47 \mu$ uroós Observe the position. ' Remuneration, differing for differint employments.'

49 86 'For.' The poet seems not to think of hunting as a regular means of livelihood.
alavๆ 'Teasing,' 'galling.' This epithet suggests a persistent annoyance. Cf. P. 1. 83, I. 3. 2, epith, of $\kappa b \rho o s$. тéraral 'Is intent upon keeping off.'

50 Prowess in games and war are appropriately coupled as the chief riццає $\alpha \rho \in \tau \alpha l$, cf. O.6.9 sqq. For sentiment and atp $\quad$ Tat кû dos cf .
N. 9. 46. Here substantial $\kappa \notin \rho \delta o s$ is implied by calling кû os, \&c. 'the highest gain.' os äрŋтai Cf. Goodwin M. and T. 540.

51 đ̋ $\omega \tau$ © Cf. O. 2. 7, P. 10. 53, I. 6. 18 .

52 Poseidon of Onchêstos the neighbour of the Thebans. Cf. I. 3. 37.

53 'Our neighbour, in return for his beneficence,' ie, in granting the victory.

54 'To celebrate... as lord of the horse-race with chariots.'

55 kail Couples the games of Isthmos to Boeotian games which are coupled by $\tau \epsilon$ to each other, and again by cai to the games of Eleusis and Euboea. Cf. O. and P. p. xxvii. Hêrakles and Iolâos were patrons of the great Theban games, the former the putative son, the latter the grandson of Amphibryon ( $\sigma \in \theta \in \nu$ rail $\delta a s$ ).

56 Does this mean the famous Treasury of Minyas at Orchomenos (cf. N. 6. 27) or i.q. $\mu \nu \chi$ oils, N. 10.
 Є่้ $\gamma \nu a \mu \pi \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta \rho o ́ \mu o l s . ~$



4 ＇Нроסо́тч єै є̈торєу

 $\mu \epsilon і \zeta \omega$ фє́ $\rho є$ є．
＇$A \nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.

$$
10,1
$$

rector

$$
\text { 'Е } \pi . \delta^{\prime} .
$$



42？Certainly Orchomenos was in neither a corner nor a recess． Funeral games in honor of Minyas were held near his tomb．Paus． 9. 38． 3.

57 दُv $\gamma v a \mu \pi \tau$ тîs $\delta$ pó $\mu$ ors To be taken with $\pi p o \sigma \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ，＇in the sphere of，＇＇à propos of bent race－courses＇； cf．v． 18 supra．The epithet has especial reference to the frequent turns in the chariot race，of．O．6． 75．Similarly Eur．Iph．in Taur．
 кант $/ \mu \mathrm{ovs}$ ．

58 ＇＇Also．＇Not quite the usual $\delta \epsilon$ after a vocative．The poet adds（ $\sigma v \mu \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ．）the shrine by which， at Phylakê on the Pegasean gulf， the sepulchral games in honor of the hero Prôtesilas were held．
 absence of $\mu \eta$ after á $\phi$ a peî̃al of．
 6． 79.

62 inтrous Additional dative of closer specification，cf．O．2．14，
 $\pi \tau \eta \eta^{\prime}$＇̇vapubбas $\beta \in \lambda \eta$ ，Aristoph． Equites 503 ن́ $\mu \in i ̂ s ~ \delta^{\prime} \eta \dot{\eta} \mu i ̃ \nu \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ т $̀ \nu$ עoû̀ roîs àpanalatoss，Od． 12.
 áфaıpeitar In this sense，＇pre－ vents，＇takes $\mu \dot{\eta}$ in Trag．For
sentiment cf．P．4． 247 巛̈ $\rho a$ रà $\rho$ б $v \nu a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon$ ．
$63 \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \nu$－kai＇Verily oft－times that which is wrapped in silence actually brings more satisfaction．＇ For $\tilde{\eta}^{\mu}$ áv cf．P．4，40，Soph．Phil．$^{2}$ 593．mo入入ákı According to the prevailing theory not a case of loss of final $\sigma(s)$ ，but a form without the casual s of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ ákts．Tò $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \pi a \mu \hat{\ell}$ 91．The poet means that often it is politic to say least about the very success which is most pleasing and satisfactory．Some edd．alter to $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma a \mu \in ́ v o \nu$.

64 єiँ $\mu$ Lv mss．$\mu \nu \nu$ ，edd．$\nu \nu v$. For phrase cf．O．1．115，P．2．96， N．7．24， 25 ，Aristoph．Acharn． 1079，Od．2．310，16．243；and with pronoun suppressed P．1．29．For sentiment ef．P．5．107，8．25，N． 7. 22，Theognis 237 бol $\mu$ èv $\overline{\text { É } \gamma \grave{\omega}} \pi \tau \epsilon \epsilon \rho^{\prime}$

 p $\eta$ iotws．The wings of the Muses are songs；there is no need to suppose that Pindar regarded the Pierian goddesses as winged．I． 3. 27，29，щaptúpia $\delta 6 \xi$ gas are borne on the air，a $\eta$ qua．Theognis gives wings to the subject of his verse．


 крифаîov,



65 'Єть каі 'Besides also.' $\Pi$ v-
 Cf. N. 10. 32; it only qualifies 'О $\lambda v \mu \pi$. ${ }^{\prime} \rho \nu$.

66 фpá gar Perhaps ' fill to the full,' cf. farcio.

67 тєข́Xovт’ Cf. v. 14 supra тєú $\chi \omega \nu$ रépas. vé $\mu \in L$ Schol. arno$\tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu \circ$ s, 'lay up, an uncommon sense of $\nu \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega$. Perhaps 'lords it over' is what was meant. kpuфaiov For sentiment of. N. 1. 31. Cf. Bacchyl. 3. 13 oi $\delta \pi \pi \nu \rho$ $\gamma \omega \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau a \quad \pi \lambda о u ̂ \tau o \nu \quad \mu \eta \quad \mu \epsilon \lambda a \mu \phi a \rho \in ́ \imath$ кри́лтєєข бко́тџ.

68 'But inveighs against and
jeers at others (who, like Hêrodotos, do not do so), he considereth not that he will render up his soul to Hades without honor. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. P. 11. 57, N. 8. 36, Theognis 243 öтаע $\delta \nu 0 \phi \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} s$ úrò кєú $\theta \in \sigma \iota$ gains ' $\beta \hat{\eta} s \pi 0 \lambda v$ -


 буома. тє $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime} \omega v$ Perhaps future, in spite of $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \in \iota$ N. 4. 43, and Prof. Seymour. For the debt of nature Cookesley quotes Hor. A. P. 63 debemur morti nos nostraque. For the participle cf. O. 6. 8 l $\sigma \tau \omega .$. ย $\chi \omega \nu$, N. 11. 15.

## ISTHMIA II.

## ON THE VICTORIES OF XENOKRATES OF AKRAGAS WITH THE FOUR-HORSE CHARIOT.

## INTRODUCTION.

The position of the Isthmian victory, $v v .13-16$, before the Pythian victory justifies the classification of this ode among the Isthmia. But we cannot determine whether the celebration of Xenokrates' three victories by his son Thrasybulos had any special connexion with an Isthmian festival, as Pindar had already composed an ode, P. 6, in honor of the Pythian victory, and may merely for this reason have given prominence to the Isthmian. For the victor's family and the chronology cf. O. 2. Introd. O1. 75. 4, B.c. 477 , is probably the date of this Isthmian victory. It is mentioned in O. 2. 50, which was composed B.c. 476. The ode was probably composed before Thêron's death in B.C. 473 , certainly after Xenokrates' death. Donaldson and Cookesley both say that Thêron is spoken of as dead, which is inaccurate.

Mr Bury assumes (a) that the ode was composed after the fall of the Emmenidae,
(b) that Pindar composed the ode and also P. 6 without payment,
(c) that Thrasybulos was a poet capable of producing an Epinician ode.

Now the first assumption makes far too much of $v v .43-45$ (see my note), and the general tone of the ode, if it be as sombre as some think, is amply accounted for by the death of Xenokrates. The second assumption mainly depends on the first. The third assumption rests on wrong interpretations of P. 6. 49 and I. 2. 12. In the former passage Thrasybulos' cultivation of minstrelsy is
mentioned in connexion with his wealth, so that it is clear that he culled the flowers of other people's poetry not of his own. In the latter passage it would obviously not require a poet to understand that Pindar is celebrating in song a famous Isthmian victory. In Pindar's works $\sigma 0 \phi$ ós does not alucays mean a 'poet,' 'poetical,' 'skilled in minstrelsy,' for example see P. 8. 74.

Mr Bury adduces several parallelisms of thought and diction to prove that Pindar "not only echoes but alludes to the earlier hymn," P. 6. The coincidences with perhaps two exceptions are just what might be expected in two perfectly distinct poems dealing with the same persons and similar things. Of course it is quite likely that the diction of the later ode was influenced by that of the earlier without any deliberate intention of making either echo or allusion. It is worth remark that $v .34$ of I .2 might be taken for an allusion to I. 3. 14, if there were any connexion between the odes.

 vv. 35, $3 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \circ \nu \tau\{\sigma \sigma \alpha \iota \mu, \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \delta \xi \in v o \nu$. Perhaps the recurrence of oúk $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \tau$-, vv. 30,12 is meant to be effective. Compounds of $\mu_{\epsilon} \lambda_{l}$ are applied to
 v.31. Hospitality is mentioned $v v .24,39,48$, as is natural in celebrating hospitable $\Xi \epsilon \nu$ ккра́т $\eta$ ( $v v .14,36$ ). The introduction of the ode occupies $v v .1-9$. The central portion, $v v, 10-34$, encroaches both on the first and on the last system.

The compounds apparently coined for this ode are $\mu a \lambda \theta \alpha \kappa \delta \phi \omega \nu 0$,


The mode is Dorian; the metres dactylo-epitritic.

## Strophe.

| 1. | $-\vdots A^{*} \cdot C^{\prime}$. | 1. | $A \cdot A \cdot B^{\prime}$. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | $C^{\prime} \cdot B+. A^{\prime}$. | 2. | $A \cdot B$. |
| 3. | $C \pm . A$. | 3. | $C$. |
| 4. | A.B. | 4. | $C \cdot-\cup \cup\|\amalg\|$ |
| 5. | $C \cdot B$. | 5. | $B \cdot A^{\prime}$. |
|  |  | 6. | $-\vdots B^{\prime} B$. |

Epode.

[^3]
## ANALYSIS.

vo.
1-5. Poets of old freely sang of their favorites.
6-8. For the Muse was not yet an artisan.
9-11. But now we must regard the saying of the Argive'Money makes the man.'
12-22. Verbum sap. Famous are the victories of Xenokrates won by Nikomachos,
23-28. Whom the Elean truce-bearers welcomed to Olympia,
28, 29. Where the immortals gave honor to Aenêsidâmos' sons.
$30-32$. Thus their homes are familiar with songs of triumph.
33,34 . It is easy to utter praises of men of high renown.
35-42. Praise of Xenokrates' popular disposition, his horsebreeding, and his hospitality.
43--48. Nikâsippos is enjoined to tell Thrasybulos not to be deterred by the envy of the commonalty from rehearsing his father's distinction and the odes he (Nikâsippos) has charge of, for they were not composed to lie idle.

$$
\Sigma \tau \rho . a^{\prime}
$$


 то́ $\mu \in \nu \circ$,

4 ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ є̉ळ̀ ка入òs єî $\chi \in \nu$ 'Афроסíтаs
55 єv่Ө $\frac{1}{\nu} \nu o v ~ \mu \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu ~ a ́ \delta i ́ \sigma \tau a \nu ~ o ̉ \pi \omega ́ \rho a \nu . ~$
 Cf. P. 3. 89.
2 §iфpov Motoầ Cf. O. 9. 81, P. 10. 65, I. 7. 62, and for the identification of the Muses' car with a victor's chariot ef. O.6.23, ouvavтó $\mu \in v$ о Cf. O. 2. 96. Lit. 'coming into contact with,' i.e. 'taking up.'
3 ¢८́uфa 'Freely.' Metaphor from the regular unrestrained motion of a body flying through the air. For the two adjectives cf.
P. 9. 8. $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon$ lovs 'Addressed to youths.' Cf. Bacchyl. Frag.
 érógevov Cf. O. 1. 112, N. 3. 65, 6. $28,9.55$.

4 " $\sigma$ ovıs The antecedent is contained in $\pi a \iota \delta \epsilon l o u s$.

5 «vá $\nu o ́ \mu о \nu, \mid \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \hat{a} \quad \lambda \alpha o \sigma \sigma o ́ \omega \nu \quad \mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho$
 Alkaeos Frag. 61 tepévas ằ $\theta$ os ї $\pi$ ẃpas.

 3 ảpyvp $\omega \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi a ~ \mu а \lambda \theta а к о ́ \phi \omega \nu o \iota ~ c i o \iota \delta a i ́ . ~$

 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$.


6 a Moî́a Not Terpsichorê, muse of lyric poetry (Mezger), but Erato (von Leutsch). épyátıs 'A hireling.' The Schol. says that Simonides was the first poet to take pay, quoting Kallimachos, ou
 ó Keíos 'rı入íxou détous.

8 dipyupwetioal 'With silvered brow, ${ }^{\text {i }}$.e. with meretricious adornment such as a slave-dealer would dress out females with for sale. Dissen's explanation is rendered by Don. 'With hire in their looks.' For the participle of. N. 10. 43.
 Terpsichorê is the subject. Tడंpyєiov Aristodêmos. Mezger thinks he was an Argive who migrated to Sparta, but the Schol. says that he was a Spartan, quoting Andron of Ephesos as enumerating Aristodêmos of Sparta among the seven wise men, and also Alkaeos, Frag.



 This I read thus from elmeîv (which was probably $\epsilon l \pi \eta \nu$ as also "̈s was


 Perhaps we may restore oúdétot' at the beginning of $v .4$ from Suidas,
who s.v. रpض́ $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ quotes apparently another form of the proverb $\chi$ pri-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \lambda \delta$ s. Bergk alters the order, to accommodate the metre to that of the Stasiotica, Frag. 15 [1], thus ${ }^{\text {wis }}$



 explains 'Apreiou as being used in the Epic sense $=$ 'Peloponnesian.'

 iobv, of. 'the way of truth,' Psalm 119. 30. Hermann filled up a lacuna presented by the mss. with $\dot{\delta} \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$, Bergk by '̇ं $\tau \hat{\alpha}$ (adj.) =' real' from a Schol. on Il. 1. 133, which
 $=\dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \delta s$, à $\lambda \eta \theta \eta$ 'rs. $\quad$ ßaivov 'Because it goeth.'

11 os Demonstrative, as in Attic os $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \phi \eta$. For sentiment Cookesley quotes Horace, nil satis est, inquit, quia tanti quantum habeas sis. Oapá Böckh; Mss. $\theta^{7}$ äua. Of. O. 1. 17, note. $\lambda \in \iota \phi \theta \in$ ís Cf. Soph. Antig. 548 кai tis $\beta$ los $\mu \mathrm{ol}$


12 évol yàp ${ }^{2} v$ бoфós Verbum sapienti sat. 'I need not say more explicitly that my engagements for pay have prevented my sending you this ode before.' The

## 


15 е $\Delta \omega \rho i \omega \nu$ аủт $\hat{\omega}$ бтєфа́vш $\mu a$ ко́ $\mu a$
f $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ ả้ $\nu \alpha \delta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \quad \sigma \epsilon \lambda i \nu \omega \nu$,
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.

poet does not mean that Thrasybulos would not pay him, but that if he had been composing for love, Thrasybulos would have come high on Pindar's list; whereas under existing conditions his commission has had to a wait its tarn for execution. $\gamma d \rho \bar{\rho} \nu$ These particles have almost the force of $\alpha \hat{\lambda} \lambda \hat{\alpha} \gamma \alpha^{\rho} \rho$ : but whereas $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda a ́$ is, as usual, adversative, oû $\nu$ is half continuative, half dismissive. 'Well then as you are a man of understanding (I declare without more ado that) right famous is the Isthmian victory-in-the-chariot-race that I sing.' He implies that though his praise is bought, it is genuine beyond dispute (cf. O. and P.). Cf. vv. 33, 34 infra. For the dative $\$ \pi \pi \pi \iota \sigma$ of. P. 6. 17, I. 3. 16 . ooфós 'wise.' Not poetical, cf. P. 8. 74. ov̉k ${ }^{d} \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \tau^{\prime}$ So Mommsen after the oldest Vatican ws. Vulg. al $\gamma \nu \omega \tau$ ', which some edd. read. For the predicative adjective cf. I. 1.17. Note the recurrence of oủk $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \tau-v .30$.

15 кómq The dative after $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$ $\phi \alpha \nu \omega \mu a$. The verb $\dot{\alpha \nu \alpha \delta \in \in i \sigma \theta a c ~ t a k e s ~}$ the accusative. Cf. N. 11. 28. Bergk's alteration of av̉r $\hat{\varphi}$ to $\alpha \hat{\imath} \hat{\nu} \nu$ is due to the Schol. roîs oivy $\tau \dot{\alpha}$

 Cf. a Schol. prefatory to the Nem-


 $\sigma \in \lambda, \nu a$ (Heyne inserted $\xi \eta \rho a \grave{)}$. But of. N. 4. 88, where I have followed the prevalent idea. A prefatory

Schol. on the Isthmians says $\sigma \tau \epsilon-$

 $\sigma \tau$ '́ $\phi$ avos. Another Schol. tells us that the crown was of parsley, because it was sacred to the infernal deities (cf. O. 13. 33 note), and that when the games, which were originally funeral games in honor of Melikertes (cf. Frag. 2), were restored in honor of Poseidon by Thêseus, the pine was substituted for parsley. Cf. Paus. 8. 48. 2. However Pindar seems to know nothing of the dry parsley or the pine. Cookesley remarks, "It is singular that Pindar should call it 'Dorian' parsley ; for the Isthmian games appear to have been a ravírupes of the Ionians of Peloponnesus and Attica; and they were dedicated to Neptune, an Ionian god." But N. 4. 88 shows that Dorian meant Corinthian. That the Dorians appropriated preDorian traditions we have seen on 0. 7. 75. In Bacchyl. 10.26-28
 $\beta o v \dot{\mid} \mid \lambda \omega \nu[\ldots] \pi \rho o \phi a ̂ \tau a l$, I fill up the gap with Kopıvөi $\omega \nu$ (cf. O. 13. 5-8).
$16 \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \nu$ For the imperf. where one might expect an aorist, cf. Thuk. 1. 26 (Shilleto), Soph. El. 680. For the infinitive cf. Goodwin M. and T. 772.

17 фáos Cf. for similar use of $\delta \phi \theta a \lambda \mu \delta s$ O. 2. 10, 6. 16; of $\delta_{\mu \mu a}$ ef. P. 5. 52. The meaning in P. 3. 75, where Pindar would be $\phi$ dos to Hieron, is not quite the same.
 à $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { aliàav. }\end{aligned}$

4 тaîs $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ ' A \theta a ́ v a \iota s, ~ o v ่ \kappa ~ \epsilon ่ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \theta \eta ~$

'A $\nu \tau$. $\beta^{\prime}$.



18 èv Kploą Near Krisa, cf. P. 5. 35 and my note on P. 6. 9, and for $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu={ }^{6}$ near ' O. and P. p. $x X V$,


 O. 14. 14, Frag. 53. 1. ár入atav Cf. O. 9.99, 13. 14, P. 10. 28, for the meaning 'victory,' 'glory of victory.' mss. separate $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda$. from кai $\tau \delta \theta_{\iota}$ by a full stop, and give кal $\tau \delta \theta_{l}$ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a i ̂ s$ ' $E \rho$, к.т. $\lambda_{0}$ Some edd,
 к.т. $\lambda$. Mommsen alters the full stop to a colon, wrongly, I think, as Thrasybulos was charioteer at the Pythian games, cf. P. 6.

19 kal тóध "And so elsewhere ...to wit, in glistening Athens.' The demonstrative adverb, as it were, introduces a fresh charioteer. The viotory at Athens was probably in the Panathengea. Mr Bury's $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a i ̂ s r^{\prime}$ involves the impossible каl... $\tau \epsilon=$ 'both... and.' харітєббเข Not 'victories' as in 0.7.93 'Epa$\tau \iota \delta \hat{a} \nu \tau 0 \iota \sigma \nu े \nu \chi a \rho i \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ èxє $\mid \theta a \lambda l a s$ $\kappa$ кal $\pi \delta$ र́ $\iota$ s, but ' favors,' i.e. 'prizes,' or else "songs of victory.' It is not easy to determine whether $\kappa \lambda \in \iota \nu a i ̂ s$ is 'renowned' or 'making renowned,' but as $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho a l$ and $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu a l$ are both applied to Athens in Frag. 54, the former is preferable. dipapós 'Having attained'; lit. 'joined to'; of. O. 1. 22, N. 3. 68, 4. 21, I. 7. 19, infra v. 29. The
subject changes from Apollo to Xenokrates.

20 ov่к 'є $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \theta_{\eta}$ Meiosis, 'he has good cause to thank.?

21 рvol(iıфpov 'Chariot-preserving. For the dangers of the chariot-race, ef. P. 5. 30-32.
 $\nu \omega \mu \hat{a} \pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma a l s$. 'To give the hand to the reins' $=$ manibus omnes eff undere habenas, Verg. Aen. 5. 818, while $\nu \in ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$ implies that the looseness of rein was allowed with judgment, the team, even at full speed, being 'well in hand.' kard kalpóv 'At the right moment,' 'the critical moment.'

23 8vte 'He whom,' i.e. Nikomachos, whom a Schol. states to have been an Athenian, the charioteer of Thêron and Xenokrates; the latter statement being however clearly based on a misconception of the passage. He seems to have been $\pi \rho o ́ \xi \in \nu o s$ of Elis. ка́рикєs ஸீpâv Cf. O. 4. 1 тєal $\gamma$ àp $\hat{\omega} p a \iota \mid$

 $\tau \nu \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \hat{\varepsilon} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$. Here the plural $\dot{\omega} \rho \hat{a} \nu$ may be distributive, "the heralds of successive seasons (of the Olympian festival).' a้ย́́ $\mathbf{v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v}$ 'Recognised.' According to Jebb on Soph. Oed. R, 1348 "the 2nd aor. has that sense in Homer, in Pindar (Isthm. 2. 23), and in Herod. (2. 91)." नтоvסофópor Proclaimers



＇Е $\pi . \beta^{\prime}$ ．
 $\Delta$ ù̀s
${ }^{\text {b ä } \lambda \sigma o s \cdot ~ ' i \nu ' ~ a ̉ \theta a \nu a ́ t o \iota s ~ A i v \eta \sigma \iota \delta a ́ \mu o v ~}$



$f$ ои้тє $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \kappa о ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu$ ảo兀 $\delta$ adv．

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho,} \gamma^{\prime} .
$$



of the solemn truce throughout Greece．Officials not unlike the Roman fetiales．Cf．Pausanias， 5. 15． 6.

24 тaOóvtes，к．т．入．＇Having， I ween，considerable（？）experience of his discharge of the functions of a friendly host．＇The use of prov implies that he was their $\pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \xi \in \nu 0$ s． The conjunction of $\tau \iota \pi o v, 0,1,28$ каl пои́ $\tau \iota$ каl $\beta \rho о \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ ф а ́ \tau \iota \nu ~ ย ̇ \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$


 makes it very doubtful whether or no $\tau \iota$ goes with tpyov．The par－ ticles convey a modest expression of uncertainty or vagueness，as though the proposition were tanta－ five or too wide to be completely grasped；but the appeal to the sympathy of the audience makes them virtually give emphasis，as in this passage；so with $\pi$ rv alone， P．10．11．The old Vatican us． gives $\pi o \hat{u} \tau \ell$ ，the other good mss． $\pi$ ти̂（one $\pi$ out）tot．

25 ḋరuாvó凶 Cf．O．13． 22 ส̀v ઈè Moi ${ }^{\prime}$ ar 0 ónvoos．

26 Xpuréas Cf．N．5．7， 0.

13．8，I．7．5．ėv yoúvaotv Cf． P．1． 74 for construction，and for idea N．5． 42.
28 Includes the＂A $\lambda \tau t s$ ，which was a portion of the $\tau \xi \mu \in \nu$ os planted with trees，but，as Dissen on 0．3． 17 points ont，and $\lambda \sigma$ os does not nieces－ scarily imply trees，but means＇pro－ cinct．＇

29 тaîbes A purposely vague statement，as only Theron won at Olympia．$\quad{ }^{2} . . .{ }^{\prime \prime} \mu \times \chi \theta \in \nu$ Tmesis． Cf．O． $1.90 \mathrm{f}, \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$＇ $\bar{\nu}$ aipaкоvpiacs！ $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha \alpha \hat{i} \sigma \iota \mu^{\prime} \mu \iota \kappa \tau \alpha$ ．For the phrase cf．v． 19 supra，ápapés．

30 kail үáp Meager points out that these particles refer to $\dot{\alpha} \theta a \nu \alpha^{\prime}$－ tots．oủk ảץvติtes Cf．v． 12 supra， where the sense is passive．Here it is active as in P．9． 58 （ $\chi$ Oovoेs


33 ＇For there is no hill to climb，nor does the path even tend to slope upwards．＇For metaph． cf．N．6．47，I．3． 19.


 Cf，also Asch．P．V． 236 є ls＂Azov， Suppl．416．El．．．dүot Cf．P．8．14．



' $\mathrm{A} \nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 2 каì $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ סaîtas mpoбє́ $\pi \tau v \kappa \tau о$ тর́бas ov่ठé тотє $\xi \in \nu i ́ a \nu$
 4 ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a ~ \pi о \tau i ̀ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ Ф a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho є i ́ a \iota s, ~$

35 Dissen thinks that the hurling of the discus only is meant, 'Quare áкоутiббaıц improprie dictum'; Donaldson on the contrary says that ' $\delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma$ as is used in the primitive sense of $\delta$ iбкоs from $\delta \ell$ кєiv.' The latter view is manifestly the best ; $\delta \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \iota s=\dot{\rho} i \psi$ aıs, P. 1. 45, where, and N. 7.71, the same metaphor is found, cf. also 0.1.112, $v .3$, supra. The poet means 'may my praises be adequate to Xenokratês' superiority.' ỏ̉pyáv Cf. P. 1. 89 $\epsilon \cup \cup \alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ है $\quad$ ठ $\rho \gamma \hat{q}$ тappúv $\omega \nu$.
 кєîav Cf. P. 6. 52 रोuкєía $\delta є \frac{1}{\phi} \phi \grave{\nu} \nu$ |
 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \ell \in \tau \alpha \iota \tau \rho \eta \tau d \nu \pi \delta{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu \nu$ - of Thrasybulos himself. Dissen quotes Solon, Frag. 13 [4], (סóт $\epsilon$ єโขaı סє̀ $\gamma \lambda$ икѝข


 Bury, aifocos 'Loved and revered.' According to Mezger it is the correlative of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\eta} s, \dot{v} \beta \rho \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta_{s}$. For such correlation cf. Johann. Damasc. quoted by Bergk at the end of Phocylides, alסف́s тоו $\xi_{v \nu \in \tau о \hat{-}}$

 $\delta a \epsilon i \eta$. Solon however gives us the passive sense of aidoios in opposing it to $\delta \in \iota \nu \delta$ s. Now to his associates a bully is $\delta e \iota \nu \delta s$, is hated and dreaded, while a truly gracious, courteous character inspires affection and respect. We must render
ouc入eiv 'in their converse with him.' Cf. P. 6. 53, where the same kind of infinitive is rendered differently but similarly explained.

38 imтотрофlas The plural is probably distributive, ' divers kinds of horse-breeding.' $\boldsymbol{\tau} \in$ For $\tau \epsilon$ after $\mu \dot{v} \nu$ of. O. 4. 15, N. 2. 9, 8. 30, 31. The formula couples two ideas without adversative force, but draws special attention to the first; it may be rendered 'Indeed...and besides.' vоц(' $\ddagger \omega v$ 'Practising.' Cf.

 Ev 'According to.' Cf. P. 1. 62, 4. 59, N. 10. 28, Dêm. 496 ad fin. חave $\lambda \lambda \alpha^{2} \omega v$ vó $\mu \underset{\text { e }}{ }$ Cf. Eur. Suppl. 526 ròv П $\alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \lambda भ$ भे $\omega \nu$ ข $\delta \mu о \nu \mid \sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega \nu$, I. 3. 47. In the manner of all Greeks who assemble for the great games.

39 Saîtas Mss. and Edd. princ. סıaitas. For the idea cf. O. 3, Introd. тробе́ттчкто 'Used to cherish'; lit. 'had folded to his bosom.' oủ8є тотє 'Nor did the wafting wind which blew around his hospitable table ever induce him to furl his sail.' Cf. on P. 1. 91, where this explanation was in print when Mr Wratislaw commented on the passage before the Cambridge Philological Society; similarly Mezger.

41 Cf. Eur. Andr. 650 ที้ $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$
 ú $\pi \epsilon \rho \rho \quad \tau \epsilon \bar{a} \sigma \iota y$. The Phâsis, the

＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 єं $\lambda \pi i \delta \epsilon \varsigma$,
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Nile，and the Pillars of Hêrakles were the extreme limits of Hellênic （ordinary）navigation．The last had been used metaphorically in praise of Thêron，0．3．44，and could hardly be used again for Xenokrates．Note the chiasmus． $\theta$ epelars Sc．©̈pars．

42 ảктáv School．interprets aưrás．Perhaps a variant dáktás with $\nu$ written over was read as $\dot{\alpha} \kappa т i ̂ v a s$.

43 öть，к．т．入．＇Because envious expectations beset men＇s minds．＇ Cf．0．7．24， 25 db $\mu \phi i \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \omega$
 caveat，1．7．14．The metaphor is from nets．The poet may mean that the democratic party were anxious for the Emmenidae to fall into oblivion，but the mention of $\phi \theta \delta 0$ os is most likely mere common－ place．Of．N．8．21，22，I．1． 44.
$44 \sigma$ бүár $\omega$ The address to Sika－ sippos begins at $v .43$ ，so that Thrasybulos is the subject．
$45 \mu \eta$ ठ́ Cf．oช้тє．．．oủסє P． 8. 83－85，＇neither ．．．nor indeed．＇ űpvous This ode and probably the skolion，of which Athênaeos has preserved a fragment，Frag． 101 ［89］．
46 Cf．N．5． 1.
47 Nıкá $\iota \pi \pi \pi^{\prime}$ The transmitter of the odes to Sicily；cf，O．6．87－ $91, \mathrm{O}$ ．and P．p．xvii．ámóvєt－ nov＇Impart．＇The Schol．wrongly interprets by $\alpha \nu d \gamma \nu \omega \theta l$ ，quoting the ＇Aरaiĉ̀v बט́入入oyos of Sophokles （Frag．150，Dindorf），$\sigma \dot{v} \delta^{\prime}$ द̀v $\theta \rho \dot{\delta}$－
 $\nu \in \epsilon \mu \nu$.

48 no $\begin{aligned} & \text { ion } \\ & \text { Doric for } \dot{\theta} \theta \in i ̂ o \nu . ~\end{aligned}$ See L．and S．${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \theta \eta \mathrm{n}$ s For ${ }^{\hat{2}} \lambda \theta \in \hat{i v}$ with acc．of person cf．Soph．Phil． 141．So $\eta_{\kappa \in \iota \nu}$ P．4． 126 f．，N．5． 50.

## ISTHMIA III. [III. IV.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF MELISSOS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Melissos, one of the noble and wealthy Kleônymidae of Thebes, probably gained this victory in the spring of B.c. 478 , in the year after the Battle of Plataea ( $2 v .34-36$ ). The mention of Hêrakles' conquest of Antacos and his clearance of the sea possibly glances at the Hellênic victory over the $\beta$ ápßapo. The ode was probably recited at a meeting of the clan in a temple or before an altar.

In the mss. and in editions earlier than Bückh's the third Isthmian ode consisted of only one strophic system, ending at v. 19 (30), the rest being the fourth Isthmian. The identity of subject and rhythm, the comexion of thought in the two portions, the obvious incompleteness of the first portion and the abrupt and unique character of the supposed beginning of the old fourth Isthmian, amply justify Hermann in proposing, and Böckh in adopting, the union of the five systems into one ode; but I think that originally there were six systems, of which the second has been lost (see note on $v .19$ ).

Mr Bury regards the first system as a new proeme to an earlier ode.
 $a \lambda \lambda-a \lambda \lambda-a \lambda \lambda-v v .18,23$, also $v v .35,49$ and $v v .42$ and 60 , and the exact responsions d́ $\rho \in \tau$ áv vv. 13, 31, tédos $v v .29,23$ (cf. 32, 45), $\tau \iota \mu \alpha-v v .55$,

 are other repetitions.

The divisions of the ode fall after $v v .18$ and 60 , the central portion, $v v .19-60$, being devoted to the victor's ancestors and to Aias' humiliation and fame. It was especially laudable in a man who could afford to compete in chariot-races to endure the hardships of a pancratiast.

The compounds apparently coined for this ode are $\beta a \theta \dot{\kappa} \kappa \rho \eta \mu \nu o s$, $\chi{ }^{\alpha} \lambda$ кod́pas.

The mode is Dorian; the metres dactylo-epitritic.
The Strophe is unsymmetrical ; the Epode is perhaps antithetic mesodic with epode, thus 6.3.8.38.364, taking $c$ and $d$ together.

## Strophe.

1. C.C.
2. B.A. $B^{\prime}$.
3. A.B.
4. $\quad A^{*} \cdot B$.
5. $C .-\cup \cup A^{\prime} . B$.
6. $C . B$.

Epode.

1. $\sqcup|A|-\cup \cup|L| \quad 6$
2. $A$. 3
3. $C^{\prime}$.
4. $-\vdots C^{\prime \prime}$. 4
5. $-\vdots$ A.C.C ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.
3.4.4
6. $-\vdots A^{\prime} . C . B . C^{\prime}$.
3.6.4

## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-3. One who enjoys good fortune in a moderate spirit is praiseworthy.
4-6. Zeus prolongs the prosperity of the devout.
7,8 . The man of prowess must receive a meed of praise.
0-12. Melissos has won twice, at Isthmos and Nemea.
12-17. His merits are hereditary.
18. But only gods are exempt from vicissitudes.

*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             * 

19-23. By favour of the god Melissos' victory gives the poet ample opportunity for praise of his prosperous family.
23,24 . But the breeze of mortal destiny varies and shifts.
25-33. Praises of the prosperous Kleônymidae :
34,35 . Yet in one day four fell in battle.
36,37 . But their winter of sorrow gives way to spring.
37--42. Poseidon has roused from slumber their ancient fame.
43-47. Their former achievements.

[^4]48. They rejected the obscurity of the unenterprising.

49-53. But in contests the issue is doubtful. C'raft gets the better of sterling worth.
53,54 . Such was the case with Aias.
55-57. But Homer made him famous everywhere for ever.
58-60. For good poetry is immortal, and universal as light.
61-63. May such a beacon-flame be lit for Melissos:
63-69. Who is brave and cumning, though undersized ;
70-73. As compared with Antaeos was Hêrakles;
73-78. Who after a glorious career dwells with the gods.
79-86. In his honor the Thebans celelurate jearly funeral sacrifices and games to his eight sons.
87-end. At which games Melissos, thanks to his trainer Orseas, won three victories.

Mezger sums up the fundamental ideas of the poem as follows. "Melissos and his clan should be highly praised because they are fortunate both in wealth and in victory, and yet keep their pride within bounds. For though they like all mortals are not exempt from vicissitude and have to endure much sorrow, yet still in the victory of Melissos and the consequent reawakening of the fame and the poetic praises of the clan a fresh spring has brought back what the winter had taken." He tells us that Perthes rightly says that the myth of Aias refers tor the unsuccessful efforts of the Kleônymidae to win victories, while Melissos in his success resembles Hêrakles.

No doubt the uncertainty of human affairs is me of the main strands in the thread of song, but another conspicuous strand is the power of song to reward merit (er: 7, 8; 19-21; 27-29; 39, 40; $44,45,55-63 ; 90$ ). A third strand is the ascription of worth, fame, and happiness to the gods (vr. $4-6 ; 19,23 ; 33 ; 37-41 ; 61$; $76-78)$. We may accept the poet's own criticism of this ode. He calls it, $v, 39$, тóv $\delta \in$ Oav $\mu a \sigma \tau \dot{\nu} \nu \tilde{\mu} \mu \nu o v$.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime}
$$



1 Gúv The construction with this preposition is half-way between that of P. 1. 38, бùv Gúф'்้ous $\theta a$ -
$\lambda$ lacs $\delta \nu v \mu a \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu$, and of N. 10. 48 , 'by means of.' Of course the preposition is to be taken with єu'Tvरŋウ'-

3 ä ${ }^{\circ}$ เos єủ入oyíaıs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \mu i \hat{\chi} \theta a \iota$. ${ }_{4}$ Z $\epsilon \hat{v}, \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ \rho є \tau a i ̀ ~ \theta \nu a \tau o i ̂ s ~ є ̈ т о \nu т а \iota ~$

סè фрє́vє

10
$\sigma$ als. For $\epsilon^{\prime} \tau v \chi{ }^{\epsilon} \omega$, in connexion with games, cf. N. 1. 10 . Ẻ̉8ógoos 'Glorious' rather than 'glorifying,'
 In short, in an adjective qualifying a sphere of action the causative phase of meaning is too clearly implied to need special attention. Note five compounds of $\varepsilon \dot{v}-$ in ten verses.

2 ơével. Cf. O. 9. 51 ט̉ $\delta$ atos $\sigma \theta \in \nu o s$, of a flood, Frag. 84, 10 ขıфєтoû oө́vos | útépфatov, in a list of overwhelming calamities; so that in neither passage is the idea of 'might' absent. Here again the rendering 'abundance' is unsatisfactory in view of P. 5. $1 \delta$ § $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{\tau}$ os $\epsilon \cup \rho \cup \sigma \theta \in \nu$ 'ोs, when combined with $\dot{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \hat{\alpha}$ к $\kappa \alpha \theta a \rho \hat{\text { an }}$, cf. also I. 6. 2, 3, Frag. 207. Render 'potent wealth,'
 mules.' кaréxєь 'Keeps down,' cf. Solon Frag. 4 [13]. 7 § $\dot{\eta} \mu$ о $\theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu$ б-



 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi$ l $\eta$, Theognis 321 єl $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ قeòs

 фpariv Locative, cf. N. 10. 28. alav $\hat{\eta}$ This epithet is applied, P. 1. 83 , to к6pos, the 'surfeit' of hearing excessive praise of another. In both places it means 'disgusting,' 'sickening.' For the present use of кbpos ef. N. 1. 65, O. 1. 56 kata-



10, note. The victor's kópos is parent of $\psi \beta \rho \iota s$, which is parent of other people's $\kappa$ bpos at the victor and his praises.
 rous $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{d} \gamma a \theta 0 i ̂ \sigma l \ldots a i \nu \varepsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota . \quad \mu \epsilon-$ $\mu i ̄ x$ Oai Cf. O. 1. 22, N. 3. 68, 4. 21, 9. 31, I. 6. 19. For the perfect cf. O. 1. 53, N. 3. 84, 9. 41, Dêm. Meidias 564 ad .fin. тทрıкаи̂та...ठैтє
 $\pi$ ойкатє $\sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \dot{u} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s$.
4. $\mu \in \gamma$ ádal ápetal 'Signal merits,' such as success in games, proper use of wealth and modesty in prosperity.

5 ék $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ éev Note the emphatic position. $\mu a^{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ For the comparative of. N. 8.17. Not even piety and modesty can prevent great prosperity from being unstable; cf.
 folk revere thee'; cf. P. 8. 43, 1. 26, v. 49 infra, note. $\pi \lambda a \gamma i a, s$ ' Froward.' Cf. N. 1. $64 \pi \lambda a \gamma i \neq$ кó $\rho \varphi$.
6 oủx ópûs rávta 'Scarcely any.' An exaggeration veiled by meiosis. For $\dot{\partial} \mu \bar{\omega} s \pi \alpha ́ v \tau a$, see $\mathbf{L}$. and S. $\dot{\delta} \mu \hat{\omega} s . \quad \theta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf, P. 7. 21.





 $\sigma \kappa a 1 \omega ิ \nu \xi \xi v \nu \dot{\omega} \nu \mid द \bar{\xi} \xi \pi \tau \alpha r^{\prime}$ oik $\kappa \nu \nu$, $\sigma \mu l-$

 غ̇тérav, Hês. W. and D. 324 «aûpov








20
＇Е $\pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$ ．

b oú $\mu \phi \cup \tau о \nu$ ov̉ катєлє́ $\gamma \chi \epsilon$ ．
15 с ï $\sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{a} \nu \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu v ́ \mu o v$
a סógà $\pi a \lambda a \iota a ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ \rho \mu a \sigma \iota \nu . ~$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \backslash \chi$ ข $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a o \rho \iota a ̂ \nu$ móvols．

7 änotva Acc．of general agree－ ment，of．I．7． 4 and 0．7．16．Xpry $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v, \kappa . \tau$ ．入．Cf．Frag． $98 \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta$
 cf．also I．7．59， 60.
e xpr̀ $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ For Dr Kennedy＇s ＇peculiar idiom of Sophocles in sometimes repeating the same word with each（ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu, \delta \epsilon)^{\prime}$ cf．N．11．3，4， $6,7,10.27,28,87,88,6.10,11$ ， 1． $62,63,0.13 .14,16$, P．9．123， 125，I．4．30，32，5．71．X $\alpha \rho \uparrow \tau \in \sigma \sigma เ v$ ＇Songs．＇Cf．I．1．6，7．16，P． 4. 275．For the epithet $\alpha$ yav．cf．N．
 ＇To exalt．＇The object is still $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ è $\sigma \lambda b \nu$ ．Cf．O．12． $19 \quad \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ à $\omega \sigma \sigma^{-}-$
 sels．

9 kal $\delta \iota \delta v{ }^{\mu} \mu \nu \nu$＇Even twain．＇
10 теє́廿al For inf．cf．O．1． 9 $\kappa \in \lambda a \delta \epsilon \hat{v}, v, 61$ infra．
11 ßávoalaty Cf．N．2．21， 10．42．$\delta \in \xi \in \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{v} \omega$ The subject to $\tau \rho \in ́ \psi a r$ is $\mu 0 i p a$ ，as． the dative agree－ ing with $\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda / \sigma \sigma \omega$ shows on com－ parison with iкouévous，O．1．10，for
which cf．I．1．46，5．21．For the meaning＇win＇cf．N．2．4．नтефa＇－ vous For the one victory in the pankration．For the plural cf．P． 10．26．$\tau$ d̀ $\delta \epsilon$ Cf．O．9．95．For the change of construction，here in－ volving a change of subject，cf． O ．


12 हv Note the position．Oń－ ßav The Eponymous heroine stands for the city ；cf．O．6．85．

13 кратє ${ }^{2}$ Cf．O．9．112，N． 5.5 for the tense． $8^{\prime}$＇For．＇There is a sort of hypallage in this sen－ tence，＇the prowess of his worthy kinsfolk．＇oủ катє入．Pindar＇s fa－ vorite meiosis，of．N．4．77，I． 7. $65 a$.

16 For the dative cf．I．2．13， P．6． 17 ．

17 Gúvvouol Mommsen on 0 ． 9． 16 points out the rarity of two consecutive syllables in different words beginning with a single $\sigma$ ，so
 P．4． 217, N．9． $54,11.10$ ，a sug－ gested instance P．1．37．$\delta$ Ĺ́́otel－
 ärрютоí $\gamma \epsilon \mu a ̀ \nu$ таîठєs $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \beta^{\prime}$.



 $\tau \psi$ סté $\sigma \chi$ о̀ каl．．．．Dissen and others take $\pi$ गoútou with סıévetcxov，com－ paring óà Túxŋs toâa $\delta^{\prime}$ léval，Soph． Oed．Rex 773，and similar phrases， but L．and S．（after Thierseh and Cookesley），s．v．бív⿻ouou，rightly takes the genitive with the adjec－ tive．Thus $\pi \delta$ bots is a dative of manner（not as Dissen，commodi， ＇they were wealthy［enough］for，＇ nor as Mezger，of accompanying circumstances）．The object $\pi$ 入ồrov is got out of $\pi \lambda$ oúrov．The phrase is imitated by Euripides，Andr． 1092
 ruada．Render＇they held a wealthy course．＇

18 aićv＇Fate．＇Cf．N．2．8， and perhaps I．7．14．кvגเvס．Loca－ tive，＇as days roll on．＇Cf．I．7． 14
 Verg．Aen．9． 7 volvenda dies， 1. 269，Philistion（Meineke，Com．Ed．



 ＇Is wont to produce divers thorough （ $\epsilon \xi-$－）changes at divers times．＇Note the alliteration with $\lambda$ here and $v v$ ． 22，23．ӓтрштоь Cf．N．11．10，$\gamma є$ $\mu a ́ v ~ ' H o w b e i t . ' ~ T i m e ~ a n d ~ c h a n g e ~$ and fate affect the gods；but，come what may，they are unhurt．maîes $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$ The schol．rightly interprets ＇the gods themselves，＇cf．v． 54 infra $\pi a i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \sigma \nu$＇ $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda a ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ．For senti－ ment cf．P．10． $20 \mu$ ウ̀ $\phi$ Oovepaîs èk
 $\epsilon^{\ell} \eta \eta \mid \dot{a} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$ кє́ap．

19 This verse used to begin I．4， which however is manifestly the same ode as the foregoing eighteen lines．But from the break in the continuity of thought and the simi－ larity of the ideas of the six verses $19-24$ to those immediately pre－ ceding I infer that eighteen verses are lost，and that the gap caused the division into two odes．The general sense of the lost passage may well have been，＇Mortal man cannot hope for the abiding welfare of gods．They are enough blest by precarious prosperity，which indeed may be made more lasting by vir－ tues such as those of the house whose praise I have to sing＇－in short，a variation on and develop－ ment of the theme of $v v .3-6$ ． кé $\lambda$ evolos For phrase of．Bacchyl． 5． 31 т $\mathrm{\omega} \mathrm{~s}$ vîv каl $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ رupla $\pi a \nu \tau \hat{q}$



 $\sigma \hat{a} s \gamma \in \nu \in \hat{\alpha} s$ ．For metaphor of．N． 6. 47，I．5． 22.

20 єขjuaxaviav Cf．P．9． 92
 ＇Thou didst open up abundance of devices for pursuing in song（the theme of）the merits of thy kins－ folk and thine own．＇＇I $\sigma \boldsymbol{\theta}$ loss Locative，cf．N．5． 5 N $\epsilon \mu$ elocs．
21 viцєте́pas＇Of you and yours．＇ठь́кєєข Cf．Xen．Mem．

## 

 à入入oîos oûpos

10
＇$A \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.
 $=\pi \rho o ́ \xi \epsilon \nu o i ́ ~ \tau ’ a ̉ \mu \phi ı \kappa \tau \iota o ́ v \omega \nu ~ к є \lambda a \delta \epsilon \nu \nu a ̂ s ~ \tau ’ ~ o ̉ p \phi a \nu o i ̀ ~$

＋$\mu a \rho \tau$ v́pıa $\phi \theta \iota \mu$ év $\omega \nu$ そे $\omega \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



2．1．34，оঠ゙т $\pi \omega s$ $\delta \iota \omega \kappa \in \iota$ IIp $\delta \delta \iota к о s$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{u} \pi$＇$\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta} s$＇Нраклє́ous $\pi \alpha i \delta \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

22 Death alone has put a period to the prosperity of the Cleonymids．$\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ovtes Note the echo from $v .6$ ，$\dot{\alpha} \in i$ reproducing $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \quad \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu$. For $\delta \iota \epsilon \in \rho \chi$ оутą of． $v, 17 \delta_{\delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \chi o \nu \text { ．The present tense }}$ includes the present generation．

23 ßlov ès Two inferior mss． and Ed．Rom．tò $\beta$ lov，good mss． Biov．Hermann read $\beta \iota \delta$ orov，but $\dot{\epsilon}$ is just as likely to have dropped as－ót－．Cf．I．7．15．тélos Render ＇span of life given to mortals，＇or less literally＇span of mortal life．＇ For $\delta \iota \epsilon \rho \chi$ ．тè $\lambda$ ．of．Aesch．Prom．

 3．104，O．7．95，v． 18 supra．

24 ＇்̇aïनow Not＇making a dash，＇L．and S．on $\epsilon \in \pi a t \xi a s$, Il． 2. 146 ；but＇rushing，sweeping over．＇ For $\epsilon \lambda a u \dot{\nu} v e t \nu$ of the wind cf．Eur．
 $\theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ देs $\pi \delta \nu \tau о \nu$.

25 тol $\mu \hat{\mathrm{e} v} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v}$＇These indeed．＇ The case of the Cleonymids is an illustration of the vicissitude which is the portion of all men．The particle $\tilde{\omega}^{\nu}\binom{$ oiv }{$\nu}$ marks a fresh step in the development of the subject， cf．O．1．111，P．3．47，82，while $\mu \not{ }^{\prime} \nu$
is taken up by $\dot{d} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}, v, 34$ ，cf． 0 ． 9．5，N．2．20，I．4．51，7．57，P．3． 82. I cannot accept Mr Bury＇s view that $\mu$ èv is answered by $\delta^{2} v, 36$ ．For this use of $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ oûv cf．Lysias pro
 Thuk．1．71， $5 \mu \epsilon \chi \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \grave{e ̀ \nu}$ oûv $\tau$ ô̂ $\delta \epsilon \ldots$ $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \epsilon$ ，Jebb on Soph．Oed．R． 483，Oed．Col．664．tuцáevtes＇As dignitaries．＇$\lambda$＇́yovtal Cf．N．2． 18.

26 They displayed both princely and civic virtues．кe $\lambda \alpha \delta$ ．$\quad$ v $\beta$ poos Not＇noisy insult，＇L．and S．，but ＇loud－voiced，hectoring insolence．＇ Dissen compares 0．13． 10 U $\beta \beta \rho \iota \nu$ $\theta$ ${ }^{\circ} a \sigma u \mu v \theta o v$ ，which is rather＇un－ bridled as to the matter of speech＇ than＇blustering，high－toned．＇
 the metaphor of．I．1．64；for the witness of song cf．O．4．3；for $\epsilon \pi^{\prime}$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho$ ．cf．O．3， 10.

28 т $\boldsymbol{6}$ Note the Greek idiom of using a copulative particle where we use a disjunctive，＇or．＇Cf．I． 5． 15.

29 dim入étov For derivation cf． P．3．106，where for Hermann＇s «i $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ tos Bergk（ed．3）reads $\bar{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \dot{\nu}$ s （quoting Solon Frag． 8 tik $\tau \epsilon \tau$ to
 and three instances of $\hat{\eta} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ，one of $\hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda a$ in Pindar．é $\pi$ équavav

а каì иךкє́ть цакротє́рау бтєúdєıд ảрєтáv．



25
 ма́каирау є́ тті́av．

katà $\pi a ̃ v$ ré̉os＇They attained with regard to every kind of per－ fection＇（or＇of dignity＇）．An ex－ aggeration no doubt，cf．P．10． 28.
For $\tau \in$＇ 0 os cf．N．3．70．Dissen renders＂quoquoversum ad finem usque，＂comparing＂катд̀ тóvтa （gänzlich），＂so that Mezger＇s＂$\kappa$ ． $\pi \cdot \tau_{0}=\kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \quad$（gänzlich）－ Dissen，＂is misleading．The exag－ geration is tempered by the use without a case expressed after it of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \psi a \dot{v} \omega$ ，which P．4． 92 with a genitive means＇aspire to，＇＇seek．＇ Mr Bury＇s＇amorous touches＇are due to exuberant fancy，like his ＇embraces＇v．41．divopéaus 8 ＇，к．т．入． Cf．O．3，43， $44 \nu \hat{\nu} \nu \gamma \epsilon \pi \rho \delta{ }^{2} \epsilon \epsilon \chi \chi$－
 oíкоөєу＇Нрак入є́оs $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda a ̂ \nu$ ．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \delta \rho \sigma \omega$
 4．69，I．2．41．Here $\delta$＇$=$＇for，＇and ảvopéaıs is dative of manner．For the dat．$\sigma \tau$ á auı $\tau \nu$ cf．P．9． 120.
 $\hat{\eta}^{\eta} \nu \sigma \pi$ ．$\dot{\alpha} \rho$ ．Christ（Mezger）tâv
 infinitive cf．P．2．24，N．9．6．The imperative address is directed at men generally，not merely at Me－ lissos．Emendation is needless，as $\kappa a l=$＇verily．＇$\mu$ ккротєрау For the adjective with adverbial force cf．
0．13． 17.
32 т＇＇Both＇；not＇and，＇Bury．

Ėyév．＇They proved themselves thorough．＇

33 Fádov＇Found favor with．＇
34 didd ．．．रáp Indicate an ellipse，＇But they have not escaped vicissitude，for，\＆c．＇Probably the great day of Plataea，b．c．479，is meant．

35 vıфàs то入є́poo For the kind of metaphor of．I．4．49， 50 ， 6．27，N．9．37，38．Contrast Sophokles＇more vague $\delta o p \partial s \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ $\chi \in \iota \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．
$36 \nu$ v̂v $\delta^{\prime}$ contrasted with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \rho \rho q$ दो $\mu \mathrm{\mu} \hat{\not \subset} v$ ．34．For adversative $\delta \epsilon$ cf．Soph．Ant．85，N．11． 48. $\pi \mathbf{o} \kappa(\lambda \omega \omega \nu \mu \eta \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$ Generally taken with pobols，or，at any rate，as a genitive of time with $\dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ d $\nu \theta \eta \sigma \in \nu$ ，an order for which＂it would be hard to find a parallel．＂ Render，＇but now once more after （their）wintry gloom of changeful months．（they blossom［â recalls the idea of．$\theta \dot{d} \lambda \lambda o v \tau \epsilon s, v .22]$ ），as the earth blossoms（every Apil） with red roses，by the counsels of the deities．＇For the suppression of the verb in the principal clause and its presence in the simile of． O．1．2．The winter of sorrow（cf． I．6．39）probably lasted from Meta－ geitnion，0．75．2，to Munychion， 0．75．3，i．e．from August to the next April．These months are


35
3 тóvסє $\pi$ opò̀ $\gamma \in \nu \in a ̂ a ~ \theta a v \mu a \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ v ̌ \mu \nu o \nu ~$

 $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau a \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \iota$ ，

40

＇А $1 \nu \tau . \gamma$＇．


decidedly more changeful than those of late spring，summer，and early autumn．Chrysippos makes Yó申ov metaphorical．Schol．X $p u u^{-}$
 каi тд̀ $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \mu \omega ิ \nu a \quad \eta ้ \nu \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．Is not the phrase＇many－colored months＇ too artificial for Greek，at least of such an early period？See Orelli on Auctumnus．．．varins，Hor．Od． 2．5．11．Very likely the coming фог $\nu \kappa$ ко $\iota \sigma \iota \nu$ determined the choice of $\pi$ ouk $\lambda \omega \nu$ ，but still there is the contrast between metaphysical changefulness and chequer and the general，comparatively lasting glow of the natural spring．Paley thinks that by pooocs＂the scarlet ane－ mone is meant，which in Spring is said to fill the woods both in Asia Minor and the Peloponnesus．＂ They are alluded to P．4．64，in a simile not unlike that of this passage．

37 Saıцóvшv ßov入aîs Mezger compares $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu}$ ยีкать in the same place in the preceding strophe and vivv $\theta \epsilon \underset{\text { ê }}{(v .23)}$ similarly placed to $\epsilon \epsilon \kappa \sigma_{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \nu(v, 5)$ ．ó кเขทrท̀̀ $\gamma$ 人as A
 रacos，＇E $\lambda \epsilon \lambda i \chi \theta \omega \nu$ ．＇Oүx $\eta \sigma$ тòv oi－ $k^{E} \epsilon \nu$ Cf．I．1．33．For oike $\omega \nu$ cf． N．7．65，but here the god＇s neigh－
bourhood to Thebes and patronage of the Isthmos may give the cause of the Theban＇s Isthmian victory．
$38 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \notin \phi \nu \rho a \nu$ Cf．N．6． $40 \pi$ тбртоv тє $\gamma^{\epsilon} \phi \nu \rho^{\prime}$＇$\kappa \kappa \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha \nu \tau о s$.

40 Cf．N．1． 34 dं $\rho \chi$ aîov $\partial$ d̀ $\rho \hat{v} \nu \omega \nu$入órov．

41 हv vึ $\pi v \varphi$ Compare the Bibli－ cal＇fell on sleep．＇For the meta－ phor cf．I．6． $16 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi a \lambda a \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma$ àp $\epsilon シ ゙ \delta \epsilon \iota \chi$ đ́pls，and for év cf．P．1． 74

 ＇Now in her awakening her form shows fair and bright．＇Cf．Isaiah 60．3，＇the brightness of thy rising．＇ хри̂та $\lambda a ́ \mu \pi$ ．Cf．Bacchyl．17． 103 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda \alpha-\mid \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \epsilon \gamma v i ́ \omega \nu \sigma \epsilon$－ $\lambda a s \mid \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi v \rho \delta s$ ，of the Nêreids．

42 Cookesley compares Milton， Lycidas，v．168．＇A $\omega \sigma$－is one syl－ lable．

43 ä $\tau \in$（ $k a l$ ）＇She（Fame）who indeed．＇For кijv（Bergk after Me－ dicean mss．）Mommsen，after Vatican B，кєlv，Böckh кḋд．＂A $\theta$－ vâv mss．wrongly＇$A \theta \eta \nu a ̂ \nu$ ．Momm－ sen reads＇A 0 av－except in the Epic form of the goddess＇name＇A $\theta \eta$－ vala，O．7．36，N．10．84．vıка́v For present of．on N．5．5．The subject is a p $p \mu$ ．

44 Cf．N．9．The subject of


 $\chi a i ̂ \rho o \nu ~ i ́ \pi \pi \omega \nu$. 50
$6 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a ̉ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ै \gamma \nu \omega \tau o \iota ~ \sigma \iota \omega \pi a i ́ . ~$
＇Ет．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．


c $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma a ̀ \rho \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \delta \iota \delta o \hat{\imath}$ ．

 фoívıov，тà̀ ỏ $\psi i{ }^{2} a_{a}$
$\omega ゙ \pi a \sigma \epsilon \nu$ and of $\pi \epsilon \sigma \in \nu$ above is $\phi \dot{a} \mu a$ ． The family fame is first personi－ fied in a highly realistic manner and then confused with Fame in the abstract．

45 тои́ $\delta є$ Refers to $\tau 6 \boldsymbol{v} \delta є v .39$. Dr Kenyon quotes this verse to illustrate тotov̂ ${ }^{\prime}$ Bacchyl．9． 37 ＂comparing a past achievement with a present one．＂т $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ то́т＇ยóv－ т $\omega v$＇From the bards of those days．＇doเઠâv V．l．doเঠ̂̂̀．Text Triclinius．фú $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ Metaphor from the $\phi v \lambda \lambda o \beta o \lambda l a, ~ c f . ~ P . ~ 9 . ~ 124, ~ 8 . ~ 57, ~$ N．4．17．For the idea of weaving crowns of song cf．O，6． 86 ．

46 mavay．छsv．The four Great Games．

47 Пave入入ávєテбь Cf．I．2． 38. Epit．For Middle of．O．1．95，I．4． 4.

48 ＇The silence of oblivion is （the portion）of those who make no essay．＇Cf．I．7．70．äүvштoь So Mommsen，for once omitting to notice the v．l．à $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau 0 \iota$（Bergk）．Two good mss．give M．＇s reading á aj $\omega$ ． rov，O．6．67．According to Cobet， Novae Lectiones，p． 191 （ed．Leyden， 1858），＂A＂A $\omega \sigma \tau 0$ bene Graece sig－ nificat eum qui intelligi non potest．＂ For sentiment cf．I．7． 70.

49 ＇But there is uncertainty
about fortune even when men are contending．＇One cannot say that Hermann＇s＂eorum qui certant＂is wrong，for an ancient Greek would grasp the sense without feeling any ambiguity in the grammar，butas we have to analyse，it is perhaps best to choose the true participial use， unless better sense is given by the adjectival use．Cf．v． 5 supra． For certain participial use cf．P． 8． $43 \hat{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ єโाє $\mu \alpha \rho \nu a \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ ．

50 тềosäкрov Cf．N．6． 24. The verse means＇until one has actually secured the prize．＇Here the first place，highest achievement， is meant，of．Theognis 594，but in P．9． 118 the phrase means＇first prize．＇

51 т $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$ кal т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Partitive genitive，＇bad as well as good，＇cf． P．7．22；of mere variety，0．2．53， N．1．30，Dem． 560 mid．， $\boldsymbol{\tau} \ell \quad$ ठ̀̀ тd̀ kal tà $\pi \epsilon \pi \frac{\nu}{\text { àus }}$ ò $\delta \epsilon \imath ̂ \nu a, ~ o u ̉ k$ $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \lambda a ́ \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \delta<\kappa \eta \nu \pi a \rho^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \mu 0$ ，Theognis
 каl тà фє́рєєг．

53 тéXva Here＇guile，＇＇sharp practices，＇see Introd．There is a v．l．$\tau \in \in \chi \nu \alpha$, ，but it is very awkward for rúxa to wrestle by agents． Bacchylides，11． 35 f．，also suggests

 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.



unfairness in connection with the Great Games．катаца́p廿аьба＇Is wont to get a good（кaтa）hold of and throw．＇Metaphor from wrest－ ling．love＇Surely ye know of the valiant heart（ảגкáv）of slaughterous Aias；for his having transfixed which on his own sword he lays the blame on，＇\＆c．фoiviov For the unusual termination，cf．N． 2.
 when，according to the Schol．，the Aethiopis represents him as having slain himself．
$54 \pi \epsilon \rho$ Cf．N．8，23．$\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \phi \alpha \nu$ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Xe Copied by Sophocles Aj． 180. Ci．Aesch．Prom． $445 \mu \varepsilon ́ \mu \psi \iota \nu$ oư $\tau \iota \nu \nu^{\prime}$ ảv日pஸ́toเs Eै $\chi \omega \nu$ ，Eur．Phoen． 773 ஸ゙бтє $\mu \circ \iota \mu о \mu \phi$ às ধै $\chi \in \iota$ ．Thuk．2． 41
 the subject ground for complaint，＇ is not an analogous phrase， while in the passages cited by Bergk，Eur，Heracl． $974 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$
 Isaeos，Or．11．39，the phrase is used in the passive sense abso－ lutely：so that there seems to be no support for the passive sense of $\mu о \mu \phi \dot{a} \nu \quad$ E $\chi \in \iota$ if a dative follow；we should expect $\dot{\forall} \pi \delta$ 就 $\delta \omega \nu$（Cobet， Novae Lect．p．500），though Bergk＇s
 construction．The imperfect how－ ever seems unsuitable，and an alteration，in the face of a possible interpretation of the ms．reading， is objectionable．With the hero＇s death as the result of his loss of the highest honors the poet is here concerned，but not at all with contemporary judgments on the
suicide．Myexplanation gives point to the $\psi$ and to the tense of ${ }^{E} \chi \in c$ ． Mr Bury＇s＇the blood he shed was drops of blame＇is intensely ingeni－ ous，butinadmissible．The present tense is required，for the disgrace of the enemies of Aias is as un－ dying as his fame．$\quad \pi \alpha i \delta .{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda$ ． v． 18 supra．

55 ． $\mathrm{L}^{2}$＇＇Right through the world．＇As certain fanciful critics seem to object to this use of＇right，＇ I may as well quote＇right against Jericho＇for their benefit，For special mention of Aias in the Iliad cf．N．2．14，and add of course Il．7．161－313，where，in the absence of Achilles，the nine champions draw lots for the single combat with Hektor，and $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \delta^{\prime}$
 aútol，｜Ǎ̌avtos－and Il．2．768， 769 quoted on N．7．27．But still it is probable that the poet had especi－ ally in mind his authorities for the latter part of the story of Aias．Cf． notes on N．7．21，8． 30.

56 óp $\theta$ ẃ $a, s$＇Exalted and，＇cf． N．1．15．кatà póß反ov Hês．Theog．

 $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau \rho o \nu$ ．This laurel wand seems to have marked the singer as the suppliant and minister of Apollo and the Muses．Prof．Jebb，how－ ever，Journ．of Hellen．Stud．June 1882，p．15，renders＂＇by the wand of his lays divine＇where кarà $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \beta$－ $\delta о \nu=\kappa a \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta о \sigma \iota \nu$ ，the branch being the symbol of tradition．＂

57 入oımoîs dúv́petv＇For after－ coming bards to celebrate．＇For

4 тov̂to $\gamma$ à $\rho$ ả $\theta a ́ v a \tau o \nu ~ \phi \omega v a ̂ \epsilon \nu ~ є ̃ \rho \pi \epsilon є, ~$
 то́ขтор $\beta$ є́ßакєл
'А $\nu \tau . \delta^{\prime}$.
 v̌ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$



 คó $\mu \beta o \nu$ li $\sigma \chi \in \iota$.

80
inf. cf. Goodw. M. \& T. § 770. For $\dot{\alpha} \theta \dot{\rho} \rho \in \iota \nu$ ef. P. 5.21 and $\pi a i j \omega$, also



58 á̈ávatov Cf. Frag. 98 [86]
 haps best rendered 'it lasts on everliving, never voiceless,' or 'it lives on in vocal immortality.' Jebb on Soph. Oed. R. 786 seems to favor 'spreads,' 'creeps abroad,' but in Pindar poetry generally travels like light or on wings, and my rendering is supported by $0,13,105$ el
 the idea of 'spreading' is given in the next line.

59 єi... Feinn Cf. O. 6. 11, note. кal $\pi$ а́yк. 'And so.'

60 For metaphor of. O. 13. 36, P. 8. 96. Mezger notes єủkतé $\omega \nu$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu, v v .7,41$.

61 ä甘al For inf. of. O. 1. 9, v. 10 supra ( $v .11, \delta \in \xi a \mu \epsilon \nu \varphi$, note). For the metaphor cf. O.9. 21, ${ }^{\epsilon} \gamma \bar{\omega}$ $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \tau o \iota \phi i \lambda a \nu \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \mid \mu a \lambda \epsilon \rho a i ̂ s ~ \epsilon \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \lambda \epsilon-$
 Taútay, P. 5. 42, N. 6. 39, I. 6. 23. keivov' 'Such as Homer kindled for Aias. ${ }^{\prime}$ Cf. O. 6. 7 кєîvos àvip. N. 9. 42 тоиิто...фє́ $\gamma$ ros.

62 बтєфávшu' Cf. v. 45 supra,
 not shrink into a wreath, thanks to $\epsilon \pi \alpha \xi_{1} เ 0 \nu$. For the metaphor of. Frag. 160 úфaiv ${ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\mu v \theta \alpha o \nu i \delta a \iota s ~ \pi o c-$ $\kappa$ к久ov äv $\partial \eta \mu a$.

64 Ovuiv Cf. Aesch. Sept. c.

 $\delta \in \delta о \rho к \delta \tau \omega \nu . \quad \theta \eta \rho \omega \hat{\nu}$ мss. $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu$, obviously from the $-\hat{\alpha} \nu$ of the word before, rather than from a variant ro $\mu \mu \hat{q}, v .63$, as Bergk holds. Böckh and Dissen read $\theta \eta \rho \hat{q}$ after a Schol., which however has oikeîos $̂$ ü for eikčs or whatever was read in its place. Bergk and Mommsen give $\theta \eta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ as the noun in apposition with $\lambda_{\epsilon} \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$, the former quoting Eur. Herc. Fur: $465 \sigma \tau 0 \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \quad \tau \epsilon$ Ө $\eta \rho \partial \mathrm{s} \alpha \mu \phi \epsilon \beta a \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \bar{\omega}$ кd́pa | $\lambda \in ́ o \nu \tau o s$, and Epimenidês ap. ※lian, Hist. Nat. 12. 7 ө̂̀pa $\lambda$ éov $\tau a$.
 sative cf. P. 5. 104 өdpoos $\delta e ̀ \tau a v v_{-}^{-}$
 that laudable cunning is here tacitly contrasted with the 'guile' of $v .53$. aieтov̂ pó $\mu$ ßov 'The circling eagle.' ďvatıтvaцéva 'By sprawling on his back. 'This trick of the fox was not, as Dissen suggests, shamming to be dead, but fighting on its back as
$6 \chi \rho \eta \grave{~} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a ̂ \nu$ er $\rho \delta o \nu \tau ’$ ả $\mu a \nu \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho o ́ v . ~$
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \delta^{\prime}$.


с $\sigma \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̀ к \mu a ̂ ~ \beta a p u ́ s . ~$
70 а каítoи то́т' 'Avтаíou Só $\mu$ оия
 $\pi \tau о \varsigma, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi a \lambda a i ́ \sigma \omega \nu \eta^{\eta} \lambda \theta^{\prime}$ ả̀ท̀ $\rho$

90
 $\sigma \epsilon \iota \delta a ́ \omega \nu o s$ є́ $\rho \in ́ \phi о \nu \tau a \quad \sigma \chi$ є́ $\theta o \iota$,
a Schol. says-ímzia $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi o \sigma i v ~ a j \mu u ́-~$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{v} \sigma \sigma o v \sigma a-a n d$ again that Delissos was кu入ıбтıкठs. What Eusebius (quoted by Dissen from Olearius on Philostratos, p. 818) calls $\tau \grave{\nu}$ $\lambda \in \gamma 6 \mu \in \nu 0 \nu \tau \rho \sigma \pi о \nu \quad \chi \alpha \mu a l$ was perhaps the $\dot{\delta} \pi \tau \iota a \sigma \mu b s$, a variety of the du a$\kappa \lambda \iota \nu \circ \pi a ́ \lambda \eta$, see Dict. of Antiq., s.v. pancratium.
$66 \pi a ̂ v$ 'E pסovr' 'By any means,' cf. $\pi a ̂ \nu, \pi a ́ \nu \tau a$ moteìv. For sentimint cf. P. 2. 84. Verge. Ain. 2. 390 Dolus an virtus ques in host requirat? Oed. R. 145, Xenoph. Hellen. 74. 12, Plato, Apol. 39 A . For sentiment of. Men. 5. 390.
 ${ }^{*} \rho \delta o \nu \tau \alpha \mu a v \rho$. But in all three instances mss. give da $\mu$ avo-. Hêsiod gives $\mu a v \rho$. W. and D. 323 р́єia $\delta \epsilon ́$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \iota \tau \hat{\varphi}$.

67 фv́бเท 'Physique,' of. N. 6. 5. Orion was handsome as well as gigantic.

68 b́votós For bootes of. tau$\mu a \tau$ os, O. 1. 28, àтєіратоs, 0.6. 54.

69 For inf. cf. P. 6. 53, I. 2. 37, O. 7. 26. Take $\sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i v ~ l i t e-~$ rally, 'to fall with,' for a struggle on the ground in the pankration. ákuâ Dissent renders 'robore.' Better 'at the crisis of the struggle.' School. катà тоঠ̀s árต̂vas. Christ
defends the mss. ai $\mu \hat{q}$ by Asch. Ag. 483, Choeph. 630, but here and Prom. Vinct. 405 aixuâ (='temper') has an adjective with it. mss. also give ai $\mu \hat{a}$ for $\dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \hat{q}$ wrongly N. 6. $54,10.60$.

70 Though insignificant to look at, yet he may be compared to glorious Herakles. The salto seems to answer an imaginary disparager of the victor's personal appearance. Mss. give cal col ( $\tau 0$ ) $\pi \circ \tau^{\prime}$. Of course $\pi \delta \tau^{\prime}$ is for $\pi o \tau l$. Note that $\pi \delta \tau^{\prime}$ ' $A \nu \tau$. $\delta \delta \mu$. go with the end of the next verse.

71 ßpaxús 'Short,' relatively to Antaeos and Orion and such giants, and to his own breadth and strength. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi a \lambda a l \sigma \omega v$ The object auth is supplied from 'Aptaiov.

72 Lıßúav For acc. after $\eta$ クौ$\theta \epsilon \nu$ of. P. 4. 52, 118, 134. Antaeos was the mythical king of Iras near Lake Tritônis, who used to wrestle with and kill strangers. In Eusebius the story of his gaining strength from contact with his mother earth is interpreted of his skill in the above-mentioned mode of struggling on the ground. cpa$v$ tors The frieze was anciently adorned with skulls of animals, whence arose the sculptures on the metopes. See Eur. Bach. 1212,


 $\sigma a s$
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5 à $\mu \phi \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \nu \nu a i \epsilon \ell$ ，$\tau \epsilon \tau i ́ \mu a \tau a i ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̀ \theta a \nu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ ф i ́ \lambda o s, ~$ ＂ $\mathrm{H} \beta a \nu$ т＇òтviєє，

100

＇$A \nu \tau .{ }^{\epsilon}$＇．


$\kappa \lambda \iota \mu \alpha ́ \kappa \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \mu \beta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota, \mid$ iss $\pi \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha-$入єúб $\eta$ кра̂та трıу入и́фоьs тódє｜入є́оутоs， Verg．den．9．406－8．Dissent tells us that Scholia on Pindar 0． 11. 19，1． 114 say that Kyknos and Oenomaos each meant to use the skulls of their human victims to build a temple to Ares their father． Note the omission of the pronoun and the rather rare construction of a participle after a verb of hin－ dering．L．and S．render é $\rho \in ́ \phi o \nu \tau \alpha$ ＇wreathing with garlands，＇but it means＇decorating the roof of．＇ Hermann suggested Moo $\quad$ ồvós $\sigma \phi^{\prime}$ є́ $\rho \in \pi \tau$ оעт $\alpha$ ，the syllables cor－ responding to $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \epsilon \phi$－elsewhere，being each one long syllable．Perhaps $\epsilon \in \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \iota \nu$ should be read．（For omission of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ cf．Eur．Orest．263， $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \epsilon \pi \eta \delta \widehat{\alpha} \nu \quad \delta v \sigma \tau \cup \chi \hat{\eta} \pi \eta \delta \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.
$74 \pi 0 \lambda \iota a ̂ s$ I think＇wan，＇rather than＇white with foam．＇Cf．O． 1. 71．This recalls Theognis， $10 \beta a \theta \dot{v} \nu$
 is Epic．$\quad$ Évap＇The hollow bed，＇ here of course especially the shores and shoals．Cf．N．3． 24.

75 vauti入（aıन Dat．commodi， abstract for concrete，vaviidors． mopөpóv．Not＇the sea，＇L．and S． after Dissen；but＇the passage
 a fragment of Sophokles from the Schol．before the Isthmia，of s $\pi \alpha \rho$－ $\alpha \kappa \tau\{\alpha \nu \mid \sigma \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \omega \nu$ ar $\eta \eta \mu \epsilon \in \rho \omega \sigma a \quad \kappa \nu \omega \delta \alpha \dot{-}$ $\lambda \omega \nu$ on $\delta \delta \nu$ ，and Eur．Herc．Fur．20， 847.

76 кá $\lambda \lambda$ ．on $\lambda \beta$ av $\mid$ ar $\mu \notin \epsilon ́ \pi$ ．＇Lord of a supreme happiness＇（Leaf）．Cf．



77 тєтíatal An echo of teri－ $\mu a \kappa \epsilon \nu, v .55$ supra．For the theme cf．the end of N． 1.

79 vt $\pi \epsilon \rho \theta \in y$ The funeral sari－ fires to the sons of Herakles（by Megara daughter of Kreon of The－ bes），whom the hero slew in a heaven－sent frenzy，were celebrated on rising ground outside the gates of Elektra on the road to Plataea．

80 vé́Suata Mommsen al－ ways prints $\delta \mu \eta \tau$－，which he defends unsuccessfully on $0,3,7$ against a great preponderance of Ms，autho－ rity．The last part of the com－ pound is almost quiescent，or means ＇made，＇＇caused，＇as in $\theta$ coo $\delta \mu a \tau o \nu$ रpéos，O．3．7，Frag．159．So a
 Né $\mu \in a$（read $\nu$ éa corrupted through duplication of $\nu \epsilon) \nu \epsilon \delta \delta \mu a r a$ ．Mezger assumes enallage，＇garlands for

4 tov̀s Meүápa téкe for Kpeovtis vioús．






a $\mu$ úpтoıs ö ó ảv̀̀ $\rho$ סıт入óav
 т $\mathfrak{\rho} \rho o s$ оіакобт $о$ óфои
newly built altars，＇but the altars were probably permanent，not like the daîra provided fresh every year． $\beta \omega \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ ，We should say＇for the
 could not have the force of a circle． aű tims．＇Cf．Eur．Hippol． 537 及oútav


81 Xa入koapâv This Pindaric form is distinct from $\chi a \lambda \kappa र \quad \eta \eta s$ ，cf． фpeyodipas Bacchyl．17．118．Is it for $\chi^{\alpha \lambda к о Ғ a ́ p \eta s ~(o f . ~ ' \Omega a p l \omega \nu, ~ N . ~} 2$. 10 ）$=$＇mighty in（or＇with＇）bronze，＇ cf．Lat．＇vir＇？Gen．abs．＇since the eight warrior sons（vioús taken with the relative clause）suffered death．＇

82 тéke Fot mss．of тє́кє．
83 A Schol．says $\epsilon \theta$ os $\pi \rho \partial{ }^{2} s$
 àvarodàs roîs $\theta$ coics．The Schol，on Apoll．Rhod．1． 587 says the same of ol катоtхbuevo and Oupavioat． （Dissen．）тoíctv＇In their honor．＇ av̉ץầ Gen．after $\delta \nu \theta \mu a i ̂ \sigma t \nu . ~ S e-$ veral times aúral stands for＇light．＇ Aesch．seems to have adopted the phrase，Ag．1123，ßlou סóvtos aủ－ raîs．

84 入akтlyorra Has the meta－
phor a reference to the kicking up of the pancratiast when struggling on his back？

85 ठєv́тєpov $\mathfrak{\alpha} \mu a \rho$ For this some－ what unusual accusative cf．I． 5. 46，Aesch．Eum． 10 E̛Өvov ©̈pà oúठevdेs коıv̀̀ $\nu$ 日e $\omega \hat{\nu}$ ，Eur．Bacch．

 Oed．R． 1138 and Jebb＇s note． The notion of＇on＇or＇at＇is joined to that of＇during．＇$\quad \tau \hat{\epsilon} \rho \mu$＇${ }^{\dot{d}} \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \lambda \omega \nu$ arss．dé $\epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \rho \mu a$ ，text Schmid． т $\epsilon$ p $\mu a$＇The end consisting of annual games．＂The＂periphras－ tic＂＂pleonastic＂use of $\tau \in \rho \mu \alpha$ and té $\lambda$ os is an el $\delta \omega \lambda o \nu$ ．The idea of ＇end，＇＇limit，＇＇consummation，＇is indicated in all the alleged cases．

87 Myrtle was sacred（not ex－ clusively）to the dead．Cf．Eur． $E l .323$ ，Alc．172，but I． 7.67.

89 àveфávaro＇Caused a re－ turn to be made of，＇cf．N．6． 26. kal $\pi a(\delta \omega \nu$ mss．do not give kal but $\pi a l \delta \omega \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ）т $\quad$ itrav．Böckh $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \rho i \tau a \nu$ ．The construction $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega \nu$ viкav is exactly paralleled by $\kappa \hat{\nu} \delta o s \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu, 0.9 .88$.



90 $\pi \epsilon \pi i \theta$ óv Hartung $\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta$ ẃs. Hermann $\pi i \sigma u v o s . \pi o \lambda u ́ \beta o u \lambda$ ' 'O $\rho$ -
 note. The meaning 'obeying;' 'guided by,'is clearly needed. There is not sufficient evidence to pronounce upon the isolated intransitive use of the form. $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ "Accordingly,' cf. I. 6. 23. Orseas was his trainer. Trainers are celebrated at the end also of N. 4. 6. кшцágouar Causative middle; 'I
will cause the kômos to celebrate.' Cf. P. 9. 89. Don, with one good Ms. reads кшud́so $\mu a<$. For the future cf. P. 11. 10, N. 9. 1. ยтьनтá\} $\omega$, So Schol. Vet. The best Ms. $a \pi \sigma \sigma \tau a j \omega \nu$ and the other
 phor of. P. 5. $94,8.57$, I. 5. 21, O. 11. 99. Xápıv 'Song,' Cf. 0. 11. 93 тiv $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta v \in \pi \pi \dot{\prime} s \tau \epsilon \lambda u ́ p \alpha \mid$
 v. 8 supra, Frag. 53. 2.

## ISTHMIA IV. [V.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN TEE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Phylakidas of Aegina, youngest (I. 5. 6) son of Lampon, was lrother to Pytheas, for whom N. 5 was composed. Phylakidas had won an Isthmian victory, celebrated in I. 5, before the occassion of this ode (I. 5. 2-7), which was soon after the battle of Salamis, vv. $48-50$, i.e. in the next Isthmian games, B.c. 478, Ol. 75. 3. The ode was performed in Aegina, according to Dissen 'undoubtedly' at Lampon's house; but, as Theia was clearly worshipped in Aegina as a patroness of games, the ode may have been sung at a family gathering before a shrine of that goddess.

There are several reminiscences of the earlier ode, I. 5. Exact


 is no warrant for assuming that Pindar meant to offer consolation for a defeat at Olympia.

The introduction of the poem ends with the 4th verse of $\sigma \tau \rho . \beta^{\prime}$; the centre, devoted to heroes, especially those of Aegina, extends to the third verse of $\sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are


- The mode is Dorian ; the metres are dactylo-epitritic, represented as in N. 1.

Unsymmetrical. Eight rerses are of 5 feet, seven being similar.
Strophe. Epode.

1. B.A.
2. $C .|-\cup \cup|-\wedge=$ Epode 5 .
3. B.A. $=$ Epode 6.
4. $A^{\prime}$.
5. B.A.
6. C.B. $|-\cup \cup|$ ■.C.
7. B.A.
8. $C^{\prime}$.
9. B. $A^{\prime}$.
10. $B^{\prime} .|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$
11. C. $|-\cup \cup|-\wedge$
12. B.A.
13. $B \cdot A^{\prime}$.
14. $-\cup \cup \mid A \cdot B^{\prime}$.
15. A.B.

If $v v .1,2$ be supposed equal to $v .6$, by scanning $v, 1 B . A^{\prime} . \mid \amalg$, the strophe is antithetic and mesodic. But the presumption is that the first verse is really as well as apparently the same in metre as vv. 3, 5 and Ep. 1, 6.

The epode might be regarded as consisting of two periods, I., vv. 1-4, palinodic, II., vv. 5-9, antithetic with epode.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-10. Invocation of Theia, bestower of wealth and victory.
11. For prowess gains distinction by aid of deities.

12, 13. Well-being and good fame are the two things needful to give happiness to the wealthy.
14, 15. Be content with participation in these blessings.
16. Mortal aims become mortal men.

17-19. Mention of victories of Phylakidas and Pytheas.
19-22. The occasion, having brought him (in spirit) to Aegina, demands celebration of the Aeakidae.
22-25. For since the island is devoted to noble deeds the meed of laudatory song must not be grudged.
26-28. Her warriors have been sung of for countless ages.
28-35. Different states revere different heroes-Aegina reveres Aeakos and his seed,

35-38. Who twice took Troy.
38-42. Who performed the mightiest deeds (in the second war)?
43, 44. Achilles of Aegina.
44,45 . The island has long been an example of lofty virtues.
46-50. For instance, Aeginetan sailors won the battle of Salamis.
$51-53$. But enough, Zeus sends vicissitudes.
53,54 . Athletic victories too love to be celebrated in song.
54-58. Praise of the family of Kleonikos for persererance in the labors and expenses demanded by athletics.
59-61. Credit is given to Pytheas for his brother's fighting.
62,63 . The poet sends the ode with a wreath.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} a^{\prime} .
$$


2 бє́о ғє́кать каі̀ $\mu \epsilon \gamma а \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta}$ עо́ $\mu \iota \sigma a \nu$
3 र


1 Ofía A Titanid (Hês. Theog. 126-136), mother of Hêlios, Selênê, and Eos (ib. 371-374) by Hyperion. Welcker, quoted by Böckh, identifies her with a Lemnian goddess Chrysê depicted on a vase found in Magna Graecia, while Böckh takes her for the Euryphaëssa of the Homeric hymn to Hêlios. Pindar's $\tau$ unal of Theia are given by Hêsiod to Hekatê, Theog. 409-443. Note that here Theia is connected with $\chi$ purós and viкa, while we have $\chi \rho \cup \sigma$ '́a Niкa, I. 2. 26 .

For $\pi 0 \lambda \nu \omega ́ \nu \nu \mu \epsilon$ of. Aesch. Prom. 210 Гаîa, $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ठे $\nu о \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \mu о \rho \phi \grave{\eta}$ $\mu l a$ : so, $\pi 0 \lambda v \dot{\omega} \nu \nu \mu \varepsilon$ of Dionysos, Soph. Antig. 1115; and of Aphroditê, Soph. Frag. 856 ทีrot Kúmpıs
 д̀ $\nu \boldsymbol{\mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \dot { \epsilon } \pi \dot { \omega } \nu \nu \mu о \text { . }}$

2 бÉo Fékatı mss. $\sigma \in b$ ( $\sigma \circ \hat{)}$ ) $\gamma^{\prime}$ Éкatl. The Scholl. ignore the $\gamma^{\prime}$. For the digamma of $F \hat{\kappa} \kappa \alpha$, cf. 0 . 14. 18. Cf. $\Delta$ còs ë́K, v. 29 infra. $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \sigma \theta \in \nu \hat{\eta} \quad$ Cf. I. 3. 2. The
order shows that the adjective is an extension of the predicate'men even ( $\kappa a l$ ) esteem gold as potent....' Pindar is explaining why men actually go so far as to esteem gold as more potent than all besides. vó $\boldsymbol{\iota} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{y}$ Gnomic aorist.

3 Xpuбóv Schol, èk Өzias каi




 'Aфродітп халко́s. But Frag. 207 we find $\Delta i \partial s$ atis ó $\chi \rho u \sigma$ obs.

4 kal үáp Elliptical like $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ qáp. 'Aye and I can say more for....' The poet goes on to ascribe the speed of the swift and the strength of the strong to Theia. '́pıyóneval For the Middle of. I. 3. 47. The competition of ships in speed was for commercial objects, like the present competition of tea ships. Vergil's ship-race, Aen. 5, is an anachronism.

5



' $А \nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.



$3 \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i \quad \nu \iota \kappa a ́ \sigma a \nu \tau$ ’ à $\nu \epsilon ́ \delta \eta \sigma a \nu$ धै $\theta \epsilon \iota \rho a \nu$
$4 \hat{\eta} \tau a \chi v \tau a ̂ \tau \iota \pi o \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$.
5 крі́vєтає $\delta^{\prime}$ ả $\lambda \kappa a ̀$ Sıà $\delta a i ́ \mu о \nu a s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta р \omega ̂ \nu . ~$
 $\sigma \tau 0 \nu$ єủav $\theta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$ $\sigma v ̀ \nu$ ő $\lambda \beta \varphi$,

15
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.


5 í $\phi^{\prime}$ So Bergk for $\epsilon \nu$, from the Schol. The old Medicean ms. omits the preposition. Obviously the horses are the costly teams of racing chariots. Dissen thinks that the poet alludes to mythical war-chariots, and quotes I. 5. 19 хрvба́ $\rho \mu a \tau о \iota$ Alakióal. Mezger thinks waggons full of produce and merchandise are meant, which is very improbable. Mr Bury suggests that Punic war-chariots are intended. Why not also Persian? It is very unlikely that Pindar would mention an exclusively foreign method of warfare in this context, and he could hardly say that within Hellenic experience in the fifth century b.c. war-chariots
 classification is natural. Sea vehicles are coupled with land ve-
 might have been classed with the competitors who contended solely in the might or speed of their own limbs.
6 tund. 'Through thy power,' 'prerogative,' rather than tuo beneficio (Dissen), which is 'through the exercise of thy prerogative'-
a different form of expression though the thought is the same. Cf. P. 4. 51 $\sigma \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \mu \hat{q} \theta \varepsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$, 'by-the-aid-of divine power,' 260 бìv $\theta \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ tıuais (distributive), lit. 'by-the-aidof the several powers of divers deities.'
8 \#ँтрa $\xi \in$ 'earn.' Active for middle, of. P. 2. 40 , O. and P. p. Xxviii. In N. 9. 3 the sense of $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \in \tau a l$ is different. $\dot{d} \theta \rho$. 'In abundance.' Properly 'without intermission,' cf. I. 1. 28.

11 крiveтal Cf. N. 4. 1, 7. 7; 'becomes distinguished.'

12 d̀ $\lambda \pi v$ votov Old mss. dंvé $\lambda$ тібтоע. Hartung proposes тосцаi-
 тд̀ oiктрд̀ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu \beta l o \nu$, but this oik $\rho 6$, is clearly a rendering
 yovtc is to be expected because the two ideas are represented individually, of. Kühner, who quotes Xen.
 тєíxŋ. sv̉avéi Causative. Here $\zeta \omega a \mathrm{a}$ ä $\omega$ tov is the choicest of the d$\nu \partial \eta$ produced by $8 \lambda \beta o s$. Cf. Bacchyl. 3. 92 quoted on I. 3. 76.
 $\delta \hat{\varepsilon} \pi \alpha \theta \in \hat{i} \hat{\nu} \in \hat{v} \pi \rho \hat{\omega}$




20


$g$ Пvө́́a $\tau \epsilon \pi а \gamma \kappa \rho a \tau i o v$ ．тò $\delta^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \mu o ̀ \nu$


$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.



 N．1．32．This＇enjoyment＇in－ cludes of course good health，of which Theognis says $\lambda \hat{\varphi} \sigma \tau 0 \nu \delta^{\prime}$ íyalvelv，and which Metrodôros made the summum bonum．Cf．O．



 d⿱㇒日кои́ш（III．2）．

14 For sentiment of．O．5．23， quoted above，and P．3． $61 \mu \dot{\eta}, \phi i \lambda a$ $\psi u \chi a ́, \beta l o v \alpha \dot{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \alpha^{2} a \tau o \nu \mid \sigma \pi \epsilon \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon$ ．

15 єl．．．́ф（когто For constr． cf，P．S． 13.

16 For sentiment of．Soph． Frag．5，Eur．Each．394，P．3．59， 60．Frag． 39 ［33］．

17 Өád入oเ ${ }^{\circ}$ Cf．P．9． 8 note． Here＇luxuriant＇because watered by the dews of song．For meta－ phot cf．N．8．40，I．5．63， 64. ápєтá Cf．N．9．54．Join with таукратіоv．

18 Keîtal Cf．O，13， 36 all $\lambda \lambda \alpha$


N $\epsilon$ ย́́a Dative for locative，of．N．10．35．Note that $\delta \iota \pi \lambda b a$ does not affect this clause，except so far as one victory a－piece is a two－fold victory．The Nemean victory of Phylakidas is
celebrated I．5．3，see the Introd． to that ode．

19 Sc．$\tau\{\nu \tau \epsilon$ before $\Pi \nu \theta \in \notin a \tau \varepsilon$ ． Dissen quotes Asch．Suppl． 480 （474 P．）for the brachylogy，$\sigma \dot{\nu}$
 $\kappa \lambda a ́ \delta o v s ~ \tau \epsilon \ldots \lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu . . . \theta$ és，where to supply aúràs ar $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { before } \kappa \lambda \alpha \delta o u s ~ i s ~\end{aligned}$ easier than Paley＇s explanation． тaүкратiou Genitive of origin， cause，cf．I．6． $22 \nu$ lкау пахккратiov， I．7． 5 de $\theta \lambda \omega \nu$ крá cos．

20 oủk atp＇Full of the praises of the Aeakidae．＇$\gamma$ several ＇Is now sipping the sweets of．＇Cf． N．6．25，I．1． 21.

21 ờv Xápıoıv Cf．P．6．2， 9．3，N．4．7，10．1．Paley＇s＇with my poems＇is not so good．E＇po－ Nov Idiomatic aorist of the in－ mediate past．Whether Pindar was present at the recitation in person is uncertain，as $\tau \alpha{ }^{2} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ does not imply the poet＇s presence，cf． P．9．91，O．5．20，8．25．Cf．also 0．7．13，Frag．53．11．The last verse of this ode makes it probable that he was not present．viois Dat．commodi．

22 єüvopov Because Doric （Merger），though Eunomia is also connected by Pindar with Locrian Opus，O．9．16．For the virtues of Aegina cf．O．8．21－30，Frag． 1.



+ кıруá $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ả้ті̀ то́vшע.

 aủ $\omega \mathrm{\omega} \nu \tau є \pi а \mu \phi \dot{\omega} \nu о \iota$ ó $\mu о к \lambda a i ̂ s$

35
${ }^{\text {r }} \mu v$ píov Xpóvov. $\mu \epsilon \lambda$ étav $\delta$ è $\sigma o \phi \iota \tau \tau a i ̂ s$
2 $\Delta$ ıòs є̈́кать $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \beta a \lambda о \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \beta \iota \zeta о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota$

4 Oìveílaı кратєрoí,
5 є́v סè @ńßaıs iтттoбóas 'Ió入aos 40



т́́траттаи Cf. Thuk. 2. 40. 3 étépoıs $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ É $\rho \gamma a$ тєт $\rho a \mu \mu \in ́ v o \iota s$ (Fanshawe). The subject is $\ddot{\eta} \delta \epsilon \pi \delta \lambda(s$. The voice is middle. In several cases the true passive forms غ̇т $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ $\phi \theta \eta \nu$ and є́трáтךข mean 'was guided, turned, obliged to turn,' rather than 'betook oneself.' See Shilleto's note on Thuk. 1. 76. 2 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau 0$. For the sense to 'roam' L. and S. used to compare Il. 19. 212, where the corpse of Patroklos кєital ảvà $\pi \rho o ́ \theta u \rho o \nu ~ \tau \epsilon-$
 Pindar's preposition ád for ảvá.

23 кé $\lambda \epsilon ข \theta$. d̉v каӨ. Cf. O. 6.
 Өapă| $\beta$ а́бонєע бккхоע, 73 фауєра̀ $\nu$ ó $\delta \dot{\text { v }}$. For metaphor of. N. 9. 47.

24 For sentiment cf. I. 1. 4145. The poet addresses himself. ко́ $\mu \pi$ оу Cf. N. 8, 49, I. 1, 43.

25 кцри́́мєข For metaphor of. I. 5. 2, 3, N. 3. 78 . ávті̀ móv $\omega v$ Cf. I. 1. 46, 3. 7, N. 5. 48, 7. 16.

26 'For indeed in the age of heroes her brave warriors were wont to win fame.'

27 ย̇v Cf. N. 3. 79, O. 7. 12
 av̉ $\lambda \omega \bar{\nu}$. тацфф́voเs Cf. last note and P. 12. 19. óroк $\lambda$ ais 'Blended notes.'

28 uvpiov Xpóvov Cf. Soph. Oed. Col. $617 \mu \nu \rho$ ías ó $\mu v \rho$ los | xpónos
 ©' $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{o \phi}$. 'Now...a theme to poets.' The poet, when supporting the general statement of $v v, 26-28$ र $\rho$ óvov, begins by mentioning the heroes of other States. Virtually vv. $30-34 \dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ constitute a comparison. Cf. P. 1. 42 for $\sigma o \phi$ ббтais.
$29 \Delta$ tòs \&̌. Cf. v. 2 supra. $\sigma \epsilon \beta i \zeta \emptyset \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ seems to agree with $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \tau \sigma \tau \alpha l$, the insertion of $\gamma \epsilon \rho a s$ E $\chi \in \iota$ making an anacoluthon.

30 ėv $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} v$ For $\mu e ̀ \nu . . \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ with a repeated word cf. I, 3. 7, 8.

31 Olvetioa Meleagros and his brothers.

32 i $\pi \pi$ rooóas The two old mss. immoolas.

33 Káбтороs alx $\quad$ Oá Of. N. 10. 13, P. 11. 61 K áбтороs $\beta i \alpha \nu$, I. 7.54 M $\epsilon \mu \nu$ ovos $\beta$ lav.

35 b Аїако̂ $\pi a i ̂ \delta \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \cdot$ то̀̀ каì бùv $\mu a ́ \chi a \iota s ~$
c Sǐs $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ T T $\rho \omega ́ \omega \nu \pi \rho a ́ \theta o \nu$, є́ $\sigma \pi o ́ \mu \in \nu o \iota$
d 'Нрак入ŋ̂̈ $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$,


40 g каì бтра́тарХоv AiӨıót $\omega \nu$ äфоßоv 50


$\Sigma \tau \rho . \gamma^{\prime}$.
 5.


34 dं $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ 'Yea, but' with more reason. This $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' is not correlative with $\mu \in \nu, v, 30$, but extends the $\delta \epsilon \in$ clauses. Oiváva Ancient name of Aegina, cf. N. 5. 16. $\quad \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\gamma}$. ópүal 'The active great-heartedness.' Lit. ' the great-hearted impulses.' Sc. $\gamma \in \hat{p} \rho \mathrm{as}$ E'Xovar from the last verse.

## 35 tol Demonstrative.

oúv Tmesis: ef. Eur. Hel. 106. The order suggests 'joined in wars in which the city of the Trojans was sacked.' Perhaps oìv $\mu$ áxats is a condensed phrase for $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a \chi o u$ b̀tes.

37 Cf. N. 4. 25, Il. 5. 638 d $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$


亿тт

 Laomedon withheld the horses he had promised Hêrakles in return for his saving Hêsionê from the sea-monster of the Troad.
$38 \pi \epsilon \delta o ́ \theta \in \nu$ Not $\xi \xi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \chi \hat{\eta} s$ but penitus. 'Go on from this point categorically.' The adverb would
not suit the literal meaning of ${ }^{\text {en }} \lambda$ ầ. Mezger is bold to render 'over the ground,' comparing $\pi \epsilon$ סioco $\delta i \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$. The old Medicean ms. gives $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \dot{\delta} \theta \in \nu$. M. Schmidt proposes $\sigma \pi<\delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$. Prof. Seymour ingeniously explains 'rise, 0 muse, from the ground to a more lofty height.'

39 Kúkvov Of the Troad, not the Kyknos slain by Hêrakles. For the rhetorical interrogation of. P. 4. 70.

43 'They (i.e. Achilles) whose mouth proclaims as their home the illustrious isle of Aegina.' For dative cf. N. 10.29. It is to be taken both with $\sigma \tau \delta \mu a$ and $\pi$ átpav. For the plural referring to one person cf. N. 1. 58, Frag. 53. 10, 11.

44 тєте́X'бтal'So long since hath a tower been built up with sublime merits for men to climb' (Böckh); cf. Frag. $197 \pi$ тбтєpoע סiкq

 -and 0. 8. 27, where Aegina is called $\xi \in \downarrow 0$ os klova, also N. 9, 47. The virtues of the worthies of Aegina are both a conspicuous
$453 \pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma o s$ if $\ddagger \eta \lambda a i ̂ s$ ảpєтaîs ảvaßaivelv.
$4 \pi 0 \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \grave{\alpha} \nu$ ar $\rho \tau \iota \epsilon \pi \grave{\eta} \varsigma$
$5 \gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a ́ \mu \circ \iota \tau 0 \xi \in v ́ \mu a \tau$ ' er $\chi \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa \epsilon$ ív $\nu \nu$
 Aı̈avtos oj $\theta \omega \theta \in i ̂ \sigma a$ vaútaıs

60
'A $\nu \tau . \gamma^{\prime}$.


$3 \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ ${ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \varsigma$ каú $\chi \eta \mu a$ ката́ß $\rho \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a}$.
${ }_{4}$ Zє̀̀s тá $\tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{\imath} \tau a ̀ \nu$ ขé $\mu \epsilon \iota$,

 $\mu a \rho \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ тוऽ ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \delta \omega \nu$
glory to the isle and an example by following which her sons may reach the height of renown.
$46 \mu \dot{\epsilon} v$ For $\mu^{\prime} \nu \ldots \dot{d} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega s, v$. 51 , cf. I. 3. 25, and note, O. and P. p. xxvii.

47 тoद̧धú par' A similar metaphor follows the mention of Achilles' exploits, O. 2. 83, cf. O. 1. 112. Cf. Asch. Suppl. 455 $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma a \quad \tau 0 \xi \in \dot{\jmath} \sigma \alpha \sigma a \quad \mu \grave{\eta}$ т $\alpha$ каípıa. $\kappa \epsilon i v \omega \nu$ Жginetans-a generalized reference to $v v .43,44$.
$48 \mathrm{k} \in \lambda \alpha \delta$ 'є $\epsilon \nu$ For this infinitive and duaßalvelv of. Madv. § 148 $b$, rem. 3. MSs. кє $\lambda \alpha \delta \tilde{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, Bergk ке $\lambda a p \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha l$. то́dıs Alavtos Cf. N. 4. 48. © $\rho \theta \omega \theta$ दîo A nautical metaphor 'righted by the mariners.' Meager compares v. 44.

49 For $\Delta \iota$ dos $\delta^{\prime} \mu \beta \rho o s$ cf. 17.5 .91 , Hês. W. and D. 488 The nos Zev̀s Bo
 the kind of metaphor N. 9. 38, and for $\chi$ ar. $\phi o \nu .(v .50)$ cf. I. 6. 27 đádasav alpuatos. For order of. I. 5. 18.

51 кaтáßpєұє 'Drown,' rather than 'moisten,' 'steep.' Cf. Frag. $225, \mu \eta े \sigma \iota \gamma \underline{q} \beta \rho \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta \omega$.

52 тá te kail тá Cf. I. 3. 51, P. 7. 22. MSS. $\tau d \dot{d} \delta \epsilon($ and $\tau \alpha \dot{d} \epsilon$ ) kali тá.

53 Cf. Frag. 118 $\theta \in \dot{d} s$ oj $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha^{2} \nu \tau a$
 the sphere of lovely honey (song,
 $\kappa a \tau \alpha \beta \rho \in \chi \omega \nu$, and кı $\rho \nu \dot{d} \mu \in \nu \quad v, 25$ supra), honors also such as this (ie. victories in games) love a joyous song of victory.' For it of. O. and P. p. 37, N. 1. 34. Fd. generally
 خápua (for èv Merger quotes $v .27$, O. 5. 19, N. 11. 17, 0. 1. 15, the last reference being apparently a
 $={ }^{\text {' }}$ to be praised in discussions. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ In the other two cases, as in 0.7 . $12, N .3 .79$ (which last is the closest parallel to the alleged construction), this use of $\varepsilon \in \nu$ occurs in connexion with musical instrumints. Dissen says " $\chi$ ápua tip $\mu e ́ \lambda \iota \tau \ell$, h. e. $\mu \in \lambda \iota \tau \delta \epsilon \nu$, cf. alia ap. Schaefer. ad Longum p. 404."
 bear witness to a reading rot $\hat{\delta} \delta \bar{c}$ $\tau \iota \mu \hat{q}$, which Bergk adopts. ${ }^{\prime} \rho \delta \omega \nu$ Cf. N. 7. 11 note.

55 a ả $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ àé $\theta \lambda о \iota \sigma \iota \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon a ̀ \nu \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon о \nu i \kappa o v$

c $\mu o ́ \chi \theta$ os à $\nu \delta \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$. oủס’ óтóoaı סaтával



55 ај $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ Cf. N. 2. 17, 6. 14. K eqovíkov Cf. N. $^{5}$, Introd.

56 ékruááv We should say 'Let anyone learn well before he strives.' Cf. Thuk. 1. 20. 3 тúpav.
 and Dêm. 530 रop $\eta \gamma \partial{ }^{\circ} s \hat{\omega}^{2} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{0} \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi b \nu \theta \epsilon \tau \nu$. For ris (v. 54) Prof. Seymour wrongly compares I. 7. (8.) 1. тєтúф $\boldsymbol{c}_{\omega \tau \alpha \mathrm{C}}$ 'Hath sunk into obscurity,' cf. Simon. Frag. 4. 4, 5
 ঠ̀ $\pi a \nu \delta \alpha \mu a ́ \tau \omega \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \nu \rho \dot{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \chi \rho b \nu о s$.

57 à $\nu \delta \rho \omega \nu \nu$ 'Its men,' including Lampon with his sons; cf. I. 5. 73.
$58{ }^{\boldsymbol{e}} \lambda \pi(\delta \omega \nu$ Genitive of cause, origin, after $\delta a \pi$ ával, as Aristarchos (so Schol.) explained it. Ekvเの'
 that Aristarchos (reading $\delta \pi i$ ) explained $\epsilon_{\kappa x \nu}$ ing $\delta \pi i v$ gives a much better sense. The frequentative aorist is appropriate to the recurring irritation of expenses. Render 'check by frequent chafing their regard' (for games or for deities as shown most conspicuously in devotion to games). The nom. to $\xi_{\kappa \nu} / \sigma^{\prime}$ is the substantive clause ò $\pi \delta^{\prime} \sigma$. $\delta a \pi$. $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi$.

59 év $\gamma$ viodápats Generally taken, after Hermann, with $\chi \in \rho \sigma \ell$, giving the most flagrant violation of usual order to be found in Pindar. The two old mss. give $\Phi u \lambda a \kappa k-$ $\delta a(-a \nu)$. The Schol, took $\gamma$ yıo $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \iota s$ for $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha i$ is (so too Mommsen), a notion which may have led to the corruption of $\pi \lambda a \gamma a i$ sis to $\pi \lambda a \gamma a \hat{\nu}$ (мss.). The alteration $\pi \lambda \alpha$ रais is Hartung's. Render 'I declare in
praise of Pytheas too (as well as of Phylakidas) that Phylakidas kept on a straight course amid crushing blows, an antagonist skilled in fight by-reason-of-his-intelligence.' For $\chi \in \rho \sigma l=$ ' in boxing and wrestling ${ }^{\prime}$ cf. P. 10. 23, v. 9 supra. I take this difficult and much-disputed passage to mean simply that Phylakidas never got 'wild ' in his fighting, but in spite of 'punishment, persevered in his clever tactics--thanks in part at least to his elder brother Pytheas, who either trained him or practised with him. Those who follow Hermann put a comma after $\chi \in \rho \sigma l$ and take $\delta \in \xi \zeta \partial \nu$ $\nu \delta \psi \dot{d} \nu \tau i \pi a \lambda o \nu$ together, but Mommsen (after the Schol.) puts commas before and after $\chi \in \rho \sigma i \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \delta \nu$, rendering " manibus habilem mente haud indoctiorem." Most Edd. read $v$. 59 sq. d.. к. П. दे. $\gamma$. | Фи $\lambda a \kappa l \delta \alpha \pi \lambda a-$ $\gamma^{\hat{\nu} \nu}$ ס. єv̉Өviropŋ̄бal "Phylacidae plagarum cursum recta praeivisse" (Dissen). Bergk conjectures $\epsilon \nu$
 $\delta \rho \delta \mu о \nu$ єنंधvторŋ̄бal. So Christ, except $\tau o \hat{u}$ for $\epsilon \downarrow$. These readings are open to the grave objection that for ought to refer to ajviliacaov and to Phylakidas. Nothing but apparent necessity could reconcile Dissen and others to referring the last two verses of the ode to Pytheas. The old uss. seem to show that the scribes of Triclinius' mss. found both proper names in the accusative and altered the second to the dative, perhaps partly because my alteration of the first makes a




hiatus (but of an admissible kind, see O. and P. p. 43), and partly because an accusative after alıém seems so natural. Of course $\Pi v \theta \in \epsilon \notin$ is a dat. commodi.

62 The poet bids himself (cf. v. 24 supra) take a crown (in spirit) for Phylakidas and send therewith
a fresh ode. $\quad \mu$ ípar Cf. N. 8. 15, 0. 9. 84. The epithet means ' of fine wool.'
$63 \pi \tau \epsilon \rho \circ ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a$ Cf. P. 8. 34, I. 1. 64, 3. 27. Cf. Theognis 237 ool

 $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu 0$.

## ISTHMIA V. [VI.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF PHYLAKIDAS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

THIs ode is in honor of the same person as the preceding ode. It was probably composed soon after the Isthmian games immediately preceding the battle of Salamis, Ol. 74. 4, B.c. 480, certainly not later than this date. It is clear that it was composed pretty soon after Nem. 5. Prof. Jehı, Jowrn. of Hellen. Stud. June, 1882, p. 35, says: "In the tifth Isthmian ode, Pindar gives a most brilliant treatment to the initial episode of the very theme which occupied the east pediment of the temple at Aegina-Heracles coming to seek the aid of Telamon against Troy, when Telamon gave his guest ' $a$ wine-cup rough with gold,' and Heracles prophesied the birth and prowess of Ajax. Here then is a case in which we can conceive that the poet's immediate theme may have occurred to his mind as he gazed on the sculptor's work in the splendid entablature of the temple; and we recall Pindar's own comparison of an opening song to the front of a stately building," O.6.3, 4. The ode was in all probability sung at a banquet in Lampon's house.

There are reminiscences of N .5 and several recurrences. The exact responsions are-Фu入aкiסa $\cdot v v$. 57, 7 (resolution does not occur in any other position),- $\phi \theta 0 \gamma \gamma \alpha v v .34,9, \delta \dot{d} v, 41,16$.

The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are
 Dorian; the metres are dactylo-epitritic.

The strophe consists of two antithetic mesodic periods, vv. 1-4 and vv. 5-9. The epode is unsymmetrical.

## Strophe.



## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-9. Comparison of this ode and Nem. 5 (composed for Phylakidas' elder brother) to the second and first libations at a banquet, and expression of hope that the third libation may be poured out to Olympian Zeus in honor of a victory gained by one of Lampon's family at Olympia.
10-13. For when a man (as is the case with Lampon) grudges no pains or expense in earning distinctions and the deity gives him renown, he has reached the utmost limits of prosperity.
14-16. Lampon prays that he may feel the satisfaction brought by such success before he is visited by death or old age.
16-18. May Klôthô attend to his entreaties.
19-21. The poet must laud the Aeakidae when visiting Aegina.
22,23 . Broad roads carry their fame all over the world.
24-35. All have heard of Pêleus, Aias, and Telamon, the companion of Hêrakles on his expedition against Troy and the Meropes of Kôs and Alkyoneus.

[^5]35－56．Hêrakles，when he went to summon Telamon to this expedition，found him feasting；and，being invited to pour out the first libation，prayed for strength aud courage for Telamon＇s son．He interprets the good omen sent in answer，the appearance of an eagle，and proposes the name Aias accordingly．
55－58．Pindar can now say no more about the Aeacid heroes，as he has to sing of the victorious brothers and their uncle．
58，59．The ode shall proceed with Argive brevity．
$60-66$ ．Praise of the three victors just mentioned．
66－73．Praise of Lampon for hospitality，moderation，prudence of speech，and patient encouragement of athletes．
74，75．The poet offers the family a draught from the fountain of Dirkê which was raised by Mnêmosynê．

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \cdot a^{\prime} .
$$



 $\mu$ èv $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu, \omega{ }^{\mathfrak{\omega}} \mathrm{Z} \in \hat{v}$,


1 Oá入入ovtos Cf．Hom．סaìтa $\theta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu, I l .7 .475$ ；єi入 $\alpha \pi i \nu \eta \tau \in \theta \alpha \lambda \nu i \eta$ ， Od．11．415．$\dot{\text { ós őtє Cf．O．6．} 2 .}$

2 סєitepor For the three cus－ tomary libations cf．Aesch．Ag． 245 ［237 P．note］，and the following Schol．on our passage，єข้ $\chi \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \tau \partial \nu$



 тavб $\grave{\lambda} v \pi \epsilon$ ，каl $\Delta$ tos $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i o v \mid \sigma \pi o \nu-$



 ＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi \imath \gamma$ obols＂$\lambda$ ot $\beta$ às $\Delta i o ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ \nu \cdot \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \iota \nu$ $\dot{\omega} p a l o u \gamma d \mu o u \mid$＂Hpas тє．＂єiтa．＂тทे $\nu$
 ＂трiтоע $\Delta$ iòs $\Sigma \omega \tau$ ท̂pos єủктаĭà $\lambda i \beta a$ ．＂ Hence Aeschylos calls Z $\epsilon u{ }^{\prime}$ s＂$\sigma \omega \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ тplтоs＂Suppl．27，Eum．759， 760.

Pindar＇s first bowl of song was N．5．For the metaphor of．I． 4. 25．Mo九бalwv Mss．$\mu o \iota \sigma \in \omega \nu$ ．

3 Sá $\mu \pi \omega v o s$ Cf．N．5，Introd． $\mu \in ́ v$ Taken up by aủtє v．5，cf． O．and P．p．Exvii．

4 riv Mss．give text．Many edd．$\tau i \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ ．The particle certainly emphasises the pronoun，for，hav－ ing begun by winning in games sacred to Zeus，they may hope for the third victory under the auspices of Zeus of Olympia．But for the sense $\gamma^{3}$ is not really wanted，and though $\tau i \nu$ is short，P．1． 29, N． 10. 30 ，the form $\tau \varepsilon l \nu$ shows that it may be long．For this dat．，and $\delta \epsilon \sigma \pi \delta-$ $\tau \propto \mathrm{N} \eta \rho \in t \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \ell \tau \epsilon(v .5)$ ，cf．P．4．21， 0．13．29．ג̋штоv，．．отєф́́vตv Cf． O．5．1，9．19．Here the expres－ sion is not quite superlative，＇a choice crown．＇



ı
$8 \sigma \omega \tau \eta ิ \rho \iota \pi о \rho \sigma a i \nu o \nu \tau a \varsigma ~ ' О \lambda \nu \mu \pi i ́ \varphi$ Aíүıvav катà 9 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \in \lambda \iota \phi$ Oó $\gamma \gamma o \iota s$ ảoı $\delta a \imath ̂ \varsigma$.
＇А $\nu \tau . a^{\prime}$.



15
 そ$\delta \eta \eta \pi o ̀ s ~ o ̈ ~ \lambda \beta o v$


20


7 El7 For the acc．ropoal－ עovas cf．O．1．115，P．2．96，N． 7. 25，I．1． 64, Od．2． $310,16.243$ ， Aristoph．Acharn．1079：with dat． Theognis 1153：for suppression of pronoun cf．P．1．29，2．83．трí rov Sc．кратท̂pa．

B торбаivovtas Sc．$\dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$, i．e． the poet alone or with the chorus
 diately＇of Olympos＇but of Olym－ pia．Of course Olympia was named from Zeus of Olympos． кaid $\sigma \pi \in ́ v \delta \epsilon t \nu$ A metrical tmesis． He＇pours over Aegina＇the wine of song（cf．$v .21$ infra），as he pours （in fancy）the material wine on her soil．For the compound and construction ef．Eur．Orest． 1239
 libation over thee（the dead Aga－ memnon）with tears．＇Secondarily the meaning＇to bonor with offer－ ings of tears＇（L．and S．）is right， but катaбтévסे $\Delta$ la would not be likely to occur．

9 忧ıфӨó $\gamma$ yoıs Appropriate， as wine was sweetened with honey． For metaphor of．N．3． 77.

10 Sarávą Cf．I．1．42，4． 57.

11 тра́ббєє＇Achieves，＇ef． I．4．8，P．2． 40 ，O．and P．p．xxvii． ajetás＇Distinctions，＇cf．N． 5. 53，I．1．41．Perhaps $\theta$ єoд $\mu a ́ t o v s$ suggested the metaphor of I． 4. 45．Cf．Bacchyl．17． 125 єن̉もvuia $\nu \in о к \tau i \tau \varphi$ ．

12 бv́v TE＇And if at the same time，＇cf．Eur．Herc．Fur．785，but cf．Soph．Oed．R．347．fou Dat． commodi．фитєv́єi Cf．P．4．69，
 8．17．Évartais So the best Ms．and Schol．Böckh é $\sigma \chi a \tau \iota a ́ s$. Cf．O．3． 43, P．10．28，N．3．21， 22，I．3．29， 30.
$13 \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau^{\prime}$ For $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
14， 15 ópyais＇With such feel－ ings＇i．e．the feelings of restful thankfulness appropriate to the condition just described；dat，of attendant circumstances．divtıá－ oals Ség．＇May encounter and wel－ come．＇Both metre and better sense forbid＇having encountered such feelings＇or my former ver－ sion．For $\delta \in ́ \xi \neq \sigma \theta a \epsilon$ cf．Il．18． 115

 $\theta \epsilon o i$ ä $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ．

7 ó K入єoviкov тaîs. Є̀ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \delta^{\prime}$ ú廿ítpovov


'Ет. $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime}$.

20 b тé $\theta \mu \iota o ̀ \nu \mu o \iota ~ \phi а \mu i ~ \sigma a \phi e ́ \sigma \tau а т о \nu ~ \epsilon i ้ \nu a \iota ~$

 $\kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon v \theta o \iota$,


17 £́ $\sigma \pi \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma \theta$ al MSs. $\sigma \pi \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota$. Edd. after Pauw the late Epic è $\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. Bacchylides' recently recovered odes present many words and forms of which we have had only late examples hitherto. My suggestion ' $\quad \pi \iota \sigma \pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ was due to careless disregard of the metre. к $\lambda$ vtaís 'Loud,' ef. O. 14. 19, $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \ldots a \gamma \gamma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\wedge}(a \nu$, and I. 6. 19.

18 àdópós Lampon. Moípas For position cf. $\Sigma a \lambda a \mu l$, I. 4. 49. '́фєт $\mu \mathrm{ais}$ Here 'entreaties,' 'urgent prayers,' cf. Il. 1. 49ă Q́étis
 The word usually means the 'behests' of a superior.
 r' For кal or $\delta \in$ cf. Soph. Ajax 1182 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon i s \tau \epsilon$ and Jebb's note. The poet lays an injunction on the Moipal and then virtually lays an injunction on himself, so that the continuity admits of $\tau \epsilon$. Moreover there is a continuity of idea between ${ }^{2} \nu \delta \rho o{ }^{2} \phi(\lambda o v$ and the Aeacid heroes.
xpvoápu. Cf. Bacchylides, celebrating Pytheas' Nemean victory,
 Ovuos 'A $\theta$ diva (perhaps earlier than I. 5). But Bacchylides may be mischievously applying to Aegina Pindar's epithet of Thêba and Thebes.

20 тé $\theta$ urov 'A most clear prescription, ' 'most clearly prescribed.' Cf. O. 7. 88, 13. 29, N. 4. 33, 10. 33.

21 тáv(' For this pronoun not implying the poet's presence cf. P. 9. 91, O. 8.25 ; but here the whole tone of the ode suggests that the poet was present. $\dot{\text { entrotel- }}$ Xovia For the change of case from the dat. $\mu$ ot cf. O. 1. 10, I. 1. 46 . paıvé $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v}$ For metaphor cf. vv. 8, 9 supra, I. 3. 90,

 $\beta \rho \epsilon \in \chi \omega \nu$, N. 1. 13.

22 uvplat...ke $\lambda$. Of. I. 3. 19. $\tau \in \tau \mu \eta \nu \theta^{\prime}$ Much of the ancient Greek road-making consisted in cutting rock. For metaphor ef. 0. 6. 73. Cf. Bacchyl. 10. $37 \mu \mathrm{\mu}-$

 $\tau \in \dot{\xi} \epsilon \tau a l$. But the restoration of the participle is doubtful; $\sigma \tau \epsilon \ell \chi \omega \nu$ is as likely as $\tau \alpha \mu \nu \omega \nu$, Cf. I. 2. 33, N. 7. 50,51 , and especially N. 6. 47.
 broad continuously. Ėv $\sigma_{\chi \in \rho}$ Cf. N. 1. 69.

23 Cf. I. 2. 41. This is a stronger expression, meaning beyond the furthest regions known (by name) to the Greek, south and nurth. The slaughter of Memnon

 $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$,
$\Sigma \Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \beta^{\prime}$.


 ทัр $\omega \sigma \iota ~ \mu о ́ \chi \theta о \nu$,





9 Хє $\rho \sigma i ̀ \nu$ ßapvфӨórүoıo vєvрâs
50
' $A \nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$.

by Pêleides spread the fame of Pêleus to the south, perhaps there was a legend that Telamon was with Hêrakles on one or both of his journeys to the Hyperboreans (of. O. 3. 13-34). But the expression does not require this particular interpretation, cf. I. 3. 55.
$24 \pi a \lambda i \gamma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma o s$ Schol. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda-$ $\lambda$ д́котоs.

25 dite mss. duṽel. Schol. Vet. катакои́є. Note the resolved dactyl


26 ätıs Sc. oủk ditte.
27 тóv I.e. Tє ${ }^{2} \mu \mu \hat{\omega} \nu a$. Xa入коXópuav As Telamon was $\delta \pi \lambda i \tau \eta$ s this epithet may be in apposition with $\tau 6 \nu$, not in agreement with $\pi \dot{6} \lambda \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$.

28 Tpotar Mss, tpolav. The phrase $\eta \rho \rho \omega \sigma \iota \mu \delta \chi \theta$ ov (in apposition with Tpoitav) refers to both Trojan wars. $\mu_{0}{ }^{\chi}{ }^{\text {Oov Cf. I. 7. } 11 .}$

29 Mss. give -tiav, -klav. Kayser gives the text after the Schol.

30 For the late position of the subject cf. vv. 35, 40 infra, 0. 11. 30, 34, O. and P. p. xxy.



 $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$. For theme of. N. 4. 25-30. Mєро́тшу Men of Kos. As Hêrakles was worshipped at Kos as Alexis (Mezger), the Meropes whom he conquered may have been Egyptian or Carian or Phoenician oppressors of Greek inhabitants.

32 ßoußórav So called because he had 'lifted' the cattle of Hêlios from Erythia. For the epithets with and without the article of. $O$. and P. p. xxiv. For the simile of. Il. 13.
 Hektor).

33 Ф̀é $\gamma p a \iota \sigma \iota$ In Thrace, cf. N. 1. 67. $\sigma \phi \in \tau \in f \rho a s$ I.q. $\dot{\text { éass, see }}$ L. and S.

35 ка入є́ $\omega \nu$ Is this future?

 $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ă $\rho \xi a \iota$
4 картєраі́ $\mu a \nu$＇А $\mu ф \iota т \rho v \omega \nu \iota a ́ \delta a \nu$,
5 ävठюкє $\delta^{\prime}$ аưтఱ̨ фє́ртатоs

7 ó $\delta^{\prime}$ àvateívaıs oủpavề $\chi \epsilon i p a s ~ a ̉ \mu a ́ \chi o v s ~$
60

${ }_{9} \theta \nu \mu \hat{̣}$ Өé $\lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\rho \hat{a} \nu$ äкоvбаऽ，
＇$E \pi \cdot \beta^{\prime}$ ．
a $\nu \hat{v} \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon$ ，$\nu \hat{v} \nu$ є $\mathfrak{u} \chi a i ̂ s ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma i a ı s ~$
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c ả $\nu \delta \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ，ヨєîvi＇，ả $\mu a \rho \mu o \iota \rho i ́ \delta \iota o \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma a l$.

36 és $\pi \lambda$ óov To the above－ mentioned voyage．The old Vati－ can Ms．reads és $\pi \lambda$ óov кท́pv $\sigma \sigma \epsilon$ $\delta a \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ，leaving a lacuna of a spondee＇s length before the last word；Triclinian mss ès $\pi \lambda$ óov ки́р $\eta \sigma \epsilon \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta a \iota \nu \nu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$. Mommsen from Schol．दُ．$\pi$ ．тои̂тоע ки́pクбєข $\delta \alpha, \nu \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu$ ．Pauw ধ̇．$\pi$ ．
 the Schol．I get é．$\pi$ ．тоиิто⿱ ки́р $\eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ סacpujévou．The roûtov is natural as the account goes back to the beginning of the story of the Tro－ jan expedition．

37 äp $\xi a \iota$ Mezger compares for the construction with dat．N． 2. 25，where $\dot{\alpha} \delta \partial \mu \epsilon \lambda \in \hat{\imath} \quad \phi \omega \nu \hat{q}$ is most likely，as I explain，dative of man－ ner．The Schol．says that this scene is ék $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \omega \nu$＇Hot $\omega \nu \nu$（see L．and S．ทoiosos，II．）．

39， 40 фе́ртатоs ．．．Тє $\lambda а \mu \omega ́ v$ For order of．O．and ．P．p．xxv． $\pi \epsilon \phi \rho \iota к v i ̂ a v ~ ' E m b o s s e d, ' ~ ' r o u g h, ' ~$ cf．Verg，Aen．12． 87 auro squa－ lentem alboque orichalco．．．loricam， 9． 263 aspera signis pocula．Ac－ cording to a Schol．Aristarchos said the metaphor was from a boar，
$\phi \rho i \xi a s \in \hat{u} \lambda_{0} \phi \stackrel{\eta}{\nu}($ Od．19．446）．
41 ov̉pavệ Dat．termini．Cf． O．and P．p．xxvi．

42 тotov̂tov MSS．，old rotoûtóv $\tau \iota$ ，new $\tau$ oloût $\delta \nu \tau^{\prime}$ ．Even without a following $f-o v$ can be long，of． P．9． 114, N．1． $51,69,6.60$.

43 Өغ $\lambda \omega v$ Cf．O．2．97，P． 2. 69 （MSs．$\theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \nu$ ，some edd．غ́к $\dot{\omega} \nu$ ）， 10．5，N．10． 84 note．

44 v่тó For the unusual use of the preposition＝＇by means of＇ cf．O．5． 6.

45 ＇Epıß．Cf．Soph．Ajax 569， Bacchyl．13．69，N．7． 84.

46 Old Vat．Ms．áv $\delta \rho$ t тô̂ $\delta \epsilon \xi \in \hat{\epsilon}$ ． vov á $\mu \dot{b} \nu, \mu$ ．r．Old Medicean ms．
 nian mss．áv $\delta \rho \ell \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$ ，$\xi \epsilon i v o \nu ~ a ̉ \mu \partial े \nu$ $\mu . \tau$ ．Hermann and Böckh follow these last mss．except in reading $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon$ for $\tau \hat{\psi} \delta \epsilon$ ，interpreting＇a son to make my friend perfectly happy．＇ For $\xi$ ．á．Rauchenstein would read $\xi \in \iota \nu 0 r l \mu \varphi$ ，Schnitzer $\xi \in\{\nu$ ，óv $\mu o v$ 。 Bergk $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \alpha \tau \delta \nu \delta \epsilon \xi \in i \nu \nu \nu \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \mu \delta \nu \nu \mu$ ．$\tau$. and also $\alpha \nu \delta \rho i \quad \tau \hat{\delta} \delta \epsilon \xi_{v \nu \delta \delta \delta a \mu}{ }^{2} \nu$, a monstrosity suggested by the abso－ lutely irrelevant $\delta \mu \delta \delta \alpha \mu \circ \nu$, O．9． 44. I propose the text or keîvop $\hat{\alpha} \mu a \rho$
d Tòv $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ä $\rho \rho \eta \kappa \tau о \nu$ фváv，$̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ тóסє $\delta \in ́ \rho \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda a v a ̂ \tau a \iota$


犭ápıs，


 Aїavta，$\lambda a \omega \hat{\nu}$
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$\mu o \iota p l \delta \iota ⿱$ ，comparing P．4． 255 kal èv d̀入入oঠataîs｜$\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \mu$＇ảpoúpals rov－
 $\mu \circ \iota \rho \ell \delta \iota \nu \mid \hat{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \eta^{\eta} \nu \nu ́ \kappa \tau \epsilon s$ ．Cf，also $\mu \delta \rho \sigma t \mu o s$ alćs，of Epaphos＇birth， Aesch．Suppl．47．My proposed temporal acc．auap is amply jus－ tified by $\tau \eta े \nu$ aủviर＇$\eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon \rho a \nu$ Soph． Oed．Col．433，see Jebb on Oed． R．1138．Note that $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$＇́al is to be referred to ZZeus té $\lambda \in l o s$, who was usually invoked before the first libation，a similar use being found in Eur．Bacch． 100 єैтєкєу $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu i к \alpha$


47 тòv $\mu$ év＇To make him．＇ Zeugma with $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$＇бau．The particle $\mu e ́ \nu$ is to be taken with фván，cor－ relative with $\theta \nu \mu \dot{s} s \delta \epsilon$ ，v． 49 ，cf． N．9．39．＂ррұктоv＇Stout，＇＇stal－ wart，＇not＇invulnerable．＇Pindar seems to have told elsewhere of Aias having been wrapped up in Hêrakles＇lion＇s skin and thereby rendered invalnerable，of．Schol． Arg．ad Soph．Ai．фuáv For the meaning＇physique＇cf．I．6．22，

$\omega^{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ For the compendious con－ struction cf．N．9． 41.
$48 \pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi \rho \omega \tau \sigma v{ }^{2} \in \theta \lambda \omega v$ Of． Bacchyl．9． 7 ff．$\mu \eta \lambda о \delta a i \kappa \tau \alpha \nu \mid \theta \rho \varepsilon$－
 $\alpha \epsilon \theta \lambda \omega \nu \mid \pi \rho \omega ิ \tau о \nu$＇Нрак $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \beta a \rho u ́ \phi \theta о \gamma-$ yov $\lambda$ éovta．Accusative of general agreement．Cf，O．2． 4.

49 Oupos $\delta^{\prime}$ é $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \omega$＇And let his spirit correspond．＇Cf．O． 2. 22．So Don．Others，＇let the spirit（of a lion）accompany（the lion＇s strength）．＇фацévఱ Cf．N． 9． 43 фа́бо $\mu$ ц．

50 Observe the expressive sounds of this line．Ékvı $\xi \in v$＇Thrilled him．＇

53 ＇And Zeus calls（idiomatic aorist）him，by a name commemo－ rative of the appearance of the bird，mighty Aias．＇Apollodôros gives the same derivation．In Soph． Ai．430－432 we have，as Prof． Jebb rightly says，a pun，not an etymology．

56 цакро́v Cf．N．10．4， 19. áperás Instances of the worth of the folk of Aegina．This verse re－ fers back to $v .22$ ．



＇$A \nu \tau . \gamma$＇．
60 ェ äpaעтo $\gamma$ à $\rho$ עíкаs ảтò $\pi а \gamma к \rho а т i ́ o v, ~$

 oïa $\mu o i ̂ p a \nu$ v̌ $\mu \nu \omega \nu$ ．
$9^{\circ}$
${ }_{4} \tau a ̀ v \Psi a \lambda v \chi \iota \delta a ̂ \nu$ סè $\pi a ́ t \rho a \nu \mathrm{X} a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu$
5 वैрסоутє кал入їбтє סро́бч，
656 тóv $\tau \epsilon \Theta \epsilon \mu \iota \sigma \tau i o v$ óp $\theta \dot{\omega} \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ оîкоу тáv $\delta \in \epsilon$ тó入ıv
95




100
＇ $\mathrm{E} \pi . \gamma^{\prime}$ ．
a छुv



58 For these names cf．N．5， Introd．тòv＇Aрүєícv тро́тор Cf． Aesch．Suppl．200， 273 макрад $\gamma \epsilon$ $\mu \grave{v} \nu \dot{\delta} \grave{\eta} \rho \hat{\rho} \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ oú $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \iota \pi b \lambda \iota s$ ．Soph． Frag． $411 \mu \nu \theta$ os $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\prime}$＇A $\rho \gamma o \lambda \iota \sigma \tau l$ $\sigma u y-$ $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu \in \iota \nu$ Bpaxús．Dorians of Argolis had colonised Aegina．
$59 \kappa^{\prime}$ For $\kappa \in(\alpha v)$ with the future of．N．7．68．The mss．$\pi \alpha \kappa^{\prime}$ and $\pi o v k$－suggest $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ and $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ as old variants．

61 Tdis $\delta^{\prime}$ Cf．O．12． $6 \pi \delta \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ă $\nu \omega, \tau \grave{d} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ aû $\kappa \alpha \dot{d} \tau \omega$, N．9．43．Bergk is wrong in limiting the victories to three and putting a comma after $\tau \rho \in i$ is，for N．5． 44 and I． 4.18 give each of the trio a Nemean victory， so that $\tau$ ds $\delta$＇means＇and other three．＇A schol．on N． 5 ascribes the third Isthmian victory to Euthymenes，the two others belong－ ing to Phylakidas．

62 Cf．I．3．39－42．olav Ex－ clamatory，cf．O．9．89， 93.
$63 \Psi a \lambda$ ．mss．$\Psi a \lambda u x ı a \delta a ̂ \nu$ ，but the metre does not admit a resolved long syllable at the end of an epitrite．

64 For metaphor ef．N．8． 40.
 1． 46 ．

67 Hês．W．and D． 411 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$

 yov $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon$ ．Pindar of course means athletic exercises by éprous．

69 guvóv Cf．O．7．21，11． 11.
70 єv่єpүєбiaus Dat．of cause． Cf．O．9．83，I．6． 15.

71 For the repetition of a word with $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \epsilon$ cf．I．3．8．For senti－ ment of．Hês．W．and D． $694 \mu$ étpa $\phi u \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota{ }^{*}$ кацроेs $\delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota \nu$ äpıбтos．
 $\dot{\alpha} \in \theta \lambda \eta \tau a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ cf $\mu \mu \epsilon \nu$
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 Kád $\mu o v \pi v ́ \lambda a \iota s$.
 go beyond the bounds of wisdom.' Schol. out $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} s \phi \theta \in \gamma \gamma \epsilon \tau a l$. Mezger, 'does not say one thing and mean another.' фаíns, к.т. $\lambda$. Mss. фаiŋs кé $\nu \iota \nu \quad \alpha \nu \delta \rho^{\prime}(a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \alpha) \notin \nu \dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta-$ $\tau \alpha i ̂ \sigma \nu$. Heyne, Hermann, Böckh, $\phi$. к. ע. $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \iota y ~ \dot{\alpha} \theta$. Mommsen, $\phi$. к. M $\in \nu a \nu \delta \rho o \nu \quad \varepsilon \nu \quad d \in \theta \lambda$., after the Triclinian gloss, $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \epsilon l \pi \tau \eta \nu$ Mévavópov rival ékoxov, which is a wrong interpretation drawn from N. 5. 48. Bergk gives the text. So the Schol. єimou $\delta^{\prime}$ all $\tau t s$ aútò $\nu$ $\tau \partial \nu \Lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi \omega \nu a$, rival то七oûtov adv $\delta \rho a \notin \nu$ roîs $\dot{a} \theta \lambda \eta \tau a \hat{\imath}$, olav, к.т. $\lambda$. The Schol., however, needlessly regards Lampon as a trainer.

73 Nagiav The Schol. says that the best whetstones were those of Naxos in Crêtê. $\quad \quad$ алкоб́á $\mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \tau^{\prime}$

For this termination in the femenine gender of. adv $\delta \rho o \delta \alpha ́ \mu a \nu \tau$ ' 'Ep-
 P. 6. 6.
$74 \pi i \sigma \omega$ I will offer them as my $\xi \in l v i o \nu$ a draught. For the future referring to the time of recitation of. O. 11. 79, 84, P. 9. 89. The causal forms $\pi l \sigma \omega$, $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \pi \tau \sigma \epsilon$ (Frag. 88) are referred to the late $\pi \iota \pi i \sigma \kappa \omega$ by lexicographers. For the double accusative of $\pi o \tau i \zeta \omega$. $\sigma \phi$ The Psalychidae. Pindar's house was near the fountain of Dirkê.

75 Xpuботध́ $\pi$ fou Our phrase 'golden memories' recommends this epithet to us, but very likely it recalled some celebrated picture or piece of sculpture in Pindar's time. єบ่тєเХє́ซเข 'Of the well-built walls.'

## ISTHMIA VI. [VII.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF STREPSLADAS OF THEBES IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Strepsiadas, a Theban, nephew of Strepsiadas son of Diodotos, probably gained the victory celebrated in this ode at the Isthmian festival of 0.81 .2 , April, B.C. 456 , soon after the disastrous defeat of the Thebans by the Athenians at Oenophyta, which threw the government of Thehes into the hands of the democratic party. In this battle Strepsiadas the eleler, maternal uncle of the victor, had fallen (vv. 24-36).

Mezger's theory that the ode was written between the victory of Tanagra and the defeat of Oenophyta is preposterous. Never before or since was a patriot who died in the arms of victory so cheated of his dues, as the senior Strepsiadas would have been, if this theory could hold. And fancy is poet saying of his country shortly after a
 No! the Isthmian victory of i Thelan gives the Theban poet courage to rise de profundis and recall the ancient glories of his country which had been obscured by defeat.

The divisions of the ode fall after $c .22$ and in $\because .39$.
There is an exact responsion ciav $\theta \dot{\epsilon} a ~ v r .51,3.1$, cf. $r .24$ and $\epsilon \xi \iota \kappa \epsilon \in \theta \theta a \iota$ occurs vv. 19, 44.

The compounds which seem to be coined for this ode are cupuxairas,


The mode is Lydo-Acolian ; the metre is logaoedic. The strophe containing two inverted periods, $v v .1-4$, consisting of first glyconics and choreic tripodies, 4.4.3.3.4.4 and $v .5$, with mesode and epode,
=3.4.3.3. The epode also presents two periods; vv. 1, 2 palinodic, $v v .3-7$ unsymmetrical or antithetic with mesode and epode. There are six instances of the form of the second Pherecratic while verses 3 and 6 are first Pherecratics.

## Strophe.

1. $\omega \vdots \sim \cup|-\cup|-\geqq \mid->\rrbracket$ 1st Glyc.
2. $\smile \vdots \sim u|-\cup|-\cup|-\cup||ᄂ|-\cup \mid-\wedge]$

1st Glyc. +3 chor.
3. ᄂ $|-\cup|-\cup \| \sim \cup|-\cup| ᄂ \mid-\wedge]$

3 chor. +1 st Glyc.
4. $>\vdots \backsim \sim|-\cup|-v \mid->\rrbracket$ 1st Glyc.
5. $->|\sim u|-u| |->|\sim u|-\cup|->||-\cup|$ $\sim u|->\|-\cup|-\cup \mid-\wedge \rrbracket$

Epode.

1. $-\cup|\sim u|-v| |-v|-v|-\wedge]$ 2nd Pher. +3 chor.
2. $L|\sim u|-\cup \| L|\backsim u| \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ 2nd Pher. +2 nd Pher.
3. $>\vdots \backsim \cup|-\cup|-\wedge \rrbracket$ lst Pher.
4. $\omega \vdots \sim u|-v|-v \mid->]$ 1st Glyc.
5. $\quad-\simeq|\sim u|-\cup \|-\check{>}|\sim u|-\cup \mid-\wedge]$

2nd Pher. +2 nd Glyc.
6. $\sim \cup|->|-\wedge \rrbracket$ lst Pher.
7. L| $\sim \cup|ட \| \sim| \sim \cup \mid-\wedge \rrbracket$ 2nd Pher. + 2nd Pher.

## ANALYSIS.

$v v$.
1-15. Thêbâ is asked in which of the ancient glories of Thebes she feels most delight.
16-21. But as men forget what is not immortalized in verse, the poet bids the chorus celebrate in song Strepsiadas.
21-23. For he has won the prize in the pankration at Isthmos, and is richly endowed by nature and made illustrious by minstrelsy,
24-36. And has given delight to his namesake and maternal uncle, who had recently died fighting like a hero for his country.

37-39. The poet was bitterly grieved at the defeat and the deaths of his countrymen, but now Poseidon offers him calm after the storm.
39-42. A prayer that divine envy may not disturb his tranquil enjoyment of whatever pleasure presents itself as he awaits age and death.
42, 43. For all must die alike, but are unequal in fortune.
43-47. If a mortal be ambitious, he is too puny to mount to Olympos.
47, 48. Sweets unjustly enjoyed are in the issue most bitter.
49-51. Invocation to Apollo to grant Strepsiadas victory at the Pythian games.
r Tívı т $\omega \mathrm{\nu}$ тápos, ${ }^{\text {® }} \mu a ́ \kappa a \iota \rho a ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a, ~$


$4 \Delta a \mu a ́ t \epsilon \rho о$ à å íc’ єủpu才aítav
 $\delta \epsilon \xi a \mu \in ́ v a$ тò̀ фє́pтатоข $\theta \in \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$,
$\Sigma_{i} \tau \rho . a^{\prime}$.

 glories'; the phrase is used in a rather different sense P. 5. 108.

3 ท̂ po Cf. P. 9. 37, 11. 38. Xaлкокро́тои An epithet of Rhea transferred to Dêmêtêr, ' worshipped with clash of bronze, ' i.e. of cym-
 connexion between Dionysos and Dêmêtêr, wine and corn, is natural : Ter. Eun. 4. 5. 6 sine Cerere et Libero friget Venus. They are represented together on several antique gems. Mariette, Traité des pierres gravées, 2. p. 1, Pl. 32.

5 хрибஸิ...viфоvта 'Snowing gold at midnight.' For the dative cf. I. 4. 50, Nikophon (Athênaeos
6. 269 Е), $\nu \iota \phi \hat{\ell} \tau \omega \mu \dot{\mu} \nu \dot{d} \lambda \phi l \tau o \iota s \mid \psi \alpha-$
 For the adverbial use of adjective of. O. 14. 11, 13. 17. L. and S., regardless of order, joins $\mu \in \sigma . \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha$ $\mu \epsilon \nu a$, but as the legends of Zeus and showers of gold at Argos and Rhodes (0. 7. 34, Philostr. Imag. 2.

 oikias каi $\sigma \tau \in \nu \omega \pi$ oùs $\nu \in \phi \in \AA \eta \nu$ '̀s av̉-
 rested at least partly on a shower of meteors, $\mu$ єбovúктсo is quite appropriately attached to $\nu$ l申ov $\alpha$. It seems as if one of these stories attached to $\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\chi} \chi \rho v \sigma o \iota$, dं $\gamma \lambda a a l$ $\Theta \hat{\eta}$ $\beta a l$.


$4 \hat{\eta}$ ö ơ' ả $\mu \phi^{\prime}$ 'Ió $\lambda a o \nu ~ i \pi \pi т o ́ \mu \eta \tau \iota \nu$;



b $\hat{\eta} \Delta \omega \rho i \delta^{\prime}$ àтоккíà oúvєкєข ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\varphi}$


20
 $f$ à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ т $\pi \alpha \lambda a \iota a ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$
g єüסєı Хápıs, ả $\mu \nu a ́ \mu o \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \beta p o \tau o i ́, ~$

7 yovaîs Cf. N. 10. 17. Dative of purpose; Schol, Vet. $\epsilon \pi i$ тaîs 'H. $\gamma$. Of. Isth. 7. 27. Dissen compares however N. 10. 69 є́фор-


8 Edd., after Heyne, needlessly read $\pi \nu \kappa \nu a i ̂ s ~ T \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \sigma i ́ a o$, but by taking $l$ as $y$ (the accent going back in pronunciation to the preceding syllable) we can keep to the mss. In this line and the next $\hat{\eta}$ ̈ㅜ' scans as one long syllable; Mommsen reads $\eta^{\prime \prime}$ for $\eta \dot{\eta}$ in both places. For $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi l$ Bov $\lambda a i s, \quad$ aj $\mu \phi$ ' 'Ibגaov, 'con-
 mentally supplied from above, cf. O. and P. p. xxvi. The construction of $\dot{d} \mu \phi \bar{l}$ with two different cases but the same sense in consecutive lines is remarkable.
$10 \Sigma \pi$ гapt $\hat{\omega}$ The warriors who sprung from the sown teeth of the dragon slain by Kadmos. The five survivors of their internecine fight (Ov. Met. 3. 126) helped Kadmos to found Thebes and founded five Theban families. The gen. is causal, cf. Madv. § 61 b, rem. 1.
à $\lambda a \lambda \hat{a}$ s Cf. N. 3. 60.
12 For the theme cf.P.5. 6476. For the order $\Delta \omega p i \delta^{\prime}$ d $\pi$ rocklav ... $\Lambda a \kappa \in \delta a \mu \mu \nu i \omega \nu \nu$ of. I. 3. 36, P. 4. 214-216.

12, 13 ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\oplus} \ldots$, èmi $\sigma \phi \nu \rho \hat{̣}$ Cf. Hor. Epp. 2. 1, 176 securus cadat an recto stet fabula talo, where Orelli quotes Pers. 5. 104 recto vivere talo, Eur. Hel. 1449 б́ $\rho \theta \hat{\psi}$
 $\delta^{\prime}$ ' $\epsilon \pi a \lambda \tau^{\prime} \quad \delta \rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ тoঠ̀. Kallim. in
 ठெ $\partial \partial ̀ \nu$ à $\nu \in \sigma \tau \eta$.

15 маขте́́paби Causal dative, cf. I. 5. 70.
16 äd $\lambda \lambda$ d... $\gamma$ óp 'But, since...' $\kappa \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \varsigma^{\prime \prime}$ "' $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \nu$, "then, this being the case, celebrate,' \&c. The $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$ dismisses the topic of the ancient glories of Thebes somewhat sadly, still they are not dead but only asleep, of. I. 3. 41.

17 auvápoves A hit at the Lacedaemonians for not helping Thebes before Oenophyta.

18 бoфlas 'Poetry.' む̈ $\omega \tau 0 \nu$ Cf. I. 1. 51.

2 $\kappa \lambda \nu \tau a i ̂ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ p o a i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi i к \eta \tau a \iota ~ \zeta u \gamma ย ́ v$,


 ä $\gamma \epsilon \iota \tau^{\prime}$ ả $\rho \epsilon \tau a ̀ \nu$ oủ火 al̆ $\sigma \chi \iota \nu$ фvâs．

30
＇A $\nu \tau . \beta^{\prime}$ ．

：$\mu a ́ т \rho \omega t ̀ ~ \theta ’ ~ o ́ \mu \omega \nu v ́ \mu \varphi ~ \delta є ́ \delta \omega к є ~ к о \iota \nu o ̀ v ~ \theta a ́ \lambda о s, ~$
 35
4 тıдà ס’ ả习aӨоїбıv àvтікєıтаı．


${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \pi . \beta^{\prime}$.
a $\lambda o \iota \gamma o ̀ \nu$＊$\dot{a} \mu \dot{\prime} \nu \omega \nu^{*}$＇่̇ $\nu a \nu \tau i ́ \varphi$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \hat{\varphi}$,

19 kגuтaîs＇Sounding＇？Cf． O．14．19，I．5．17．poaĩَเข Dat． of means with $\epsilon \xi \xi \kappa \eta \tau \alpha L$ ，of remote object with $\check{\Omega} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon \nu}$ ．§uy＇v Cf．I． 3．3．The metaphor is here of a tree planted by the water side，only slightly different from that of N． 8. 40．Edd．placed a full stop after this word．

20 к $\omega$ иă̧＇＇Revel in the kô－ mos．＇

21 इtpє廿⿻áSa Dat．commodi， cf．N．2．24．ф＇िре＇He is win－ ner of，＇cf．N．3． 18.

22 vikav таүкратiov Cf．I． 4.
 к．т．入．Cf．N．3．19，O．8．19，9． 94 for sentiment；also I．5．47－49． dayєL ápєтáv＇He holds virtue to be as fair a possession as fair phy－ sique＇（I．5．47）．For ärei cf．
 oux wis $\pi a \rho^{\prime}$ oùdév．Dissen renders
 Od．1．184．al̈́x ov Predicative， as is usual with this construc－ tion．For such an accusative，ef．

 Memor．II．3．1）．Dissen does not take the neuter adjective as predi－ cative，though Matthiae，to whom he refers，gives no parallel case． Mommsen reads ai $\sigma \chi i \omega$ ．
23 ф $\grave{\prime} \gamma \in \tau \alpha \iota$ Cf．O．9．22，I． 3. 61．$\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$＇Accordingly，＇cf．I． 3 ． 90．नіотлок．Text，мss．$\delta^{\prime}$ Іотлока́－ $\mu o t \sigma t$ against scansion，Mommsen． Cf．O．6．30，where mss．give $\pi a \hat{i} \delta^{3}$ іот $\lambda$ бка $\mu \nu$ ，Bergk，rightly $\pi a i ̂ \delta a$ fıóтлокоу．
24 кoเvóv Cf．P．5．96，6． 15. ＇Of interest to him．＇$\theta$ ádos ＇Wreath，＇but used with reference to $v v .18,19$ ．

25 An inversion of the use of $\mu i \sigma \gamma \omega$ found O．1． 22.

26 ávtikeltal＇Is the meed＇ in return for their life．

27 For metaphor cf．I．4．49， Simon．Frag．89．106．For $\begin{gathered}\text { I } \sigma \tau \omega . . . ~\end{gathered}$ aű $\omega_{\nu}$ cf．0．6．8，N．9． 45.

28 ả $\mu v i v$ ．Thiersch áv $\nu \alpha$ ф $\phi \rho \omega \nu$. Mr Bury proposes ă à $\quad \tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega \nu$ ， comparing N．9． 37,38 ，Bergk $\alpha \nu \tau \iota-$ $\phi \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$ ．






$\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \gamma^{\prime}$.



4 Гaıáo ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ єủdíà oैт $\pi a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu$



55
＇$A \nu \tau$ ．$\gamma^{\prime}$ ．


$29 \mu \hat{\gamma} \gamma เ \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ Extension of pre－ dicate，＇to the utmost height．＇

30 乌̧́ wv Participle．
ámò．．．$\theta a v \omega ์ v$ Tmesis．
31 Strepsiadas，the uncle of the victor．

32 alvéفv＇Aemulatus，＇Dissen． Meleagros was brother to Hêrakles＇ wife Deianeira，and is thus con－ netted with Theban legends．Hek－ tor was said to be buried in Thebes by the fountain Oedipodia，Paus． 9．18，pseudo－Aristot．Epigr．Bergk

 $\epsilon \in \pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ้ \nu o l s$ ．These two heroes fell fighting for their country like Strepsiadas，the victor＇s uncle． The allusion to Amphiarâos is not open to reasonable objection． Bergk＇s violent and ungrammatical alteration to $\alpha \nu \nu^{\prime}$＇A $\mu \phi \iota \alpha ́ \rho \epsilon \iota o \nu$ in－ volves alterations of the two cor－ responding verses．Note that kail， v． 32 ，is not＇both＇but＇also．＇

34 á入ıklav＂His manhood＇s prime in its full blossom．＇Cf． Simon．Frag． 114 ［61］ar $\phi^{\prime}$ ใ $\mu \in р \tau \eta े \nu$ є̈ $\pi \nu \in \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa l \eta \nu$.

36 Er $\sigma$ nov то入érото veîkos Ho－ metric，cf．Il．13． $271 . \quad$ ย $\lambda \pi \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ For the sense of．N．1． 32.

38 For metaphor cf．I．3． 36.
39 \＄Oóvos For the envy of the gods cf．P．10． 20.

40 є́фа́ $\mu \in р о v$ Not＇short－lived，＇ L．and S．，but in diem．Cf．Eur．
 K $\omega v$ For the（to us）inversion of participle and verb cf．I．4．56， 5. 15．For sentiment of．P．8． 92 ย $\downarrow$


 quotes lille potens sui｜laetusque deget，sui lice in diem $\mid$ dixisse uixi，Hor．Od．3．29． 41.

41 The poet himself was about sixty－six at the assumed date of this ode，but the prayer is of gene－




＇Е $\pi . \gamma^{\prime}$.

b er $\lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \quad \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ ó $\mu a ́ \gamma v \rho \iota \nu$ B $\epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \rho о$ фóvта⿱



$50 f$ тєaîб८v $\dot{\alpha} \mu i \lambda \lambda \lambda a \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ g єv่aข日ध́a каì ПиӨóï бтє́фavov．
rat application．Here＂́ка入os seems to mean＂in unambitious ease，＂ ie，holding aloof from party strife． He seems to warn his oligarchical hearers not to aim at supremacy in the state，but to rest content in the assurance that democratic license，$\tau \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \dot{\rho} \rho \delta \kappa a \nu \gamma^{\gamma} \lambda \nu \kappa v$ ，will be punished in good time．$\frac{\pi \in ⿺ 𠃊 ⺊}{}$ ＇Approach，＇not＇traverse＇；es governs $\gamma \hat{\eta} p a s$ instead of the more usual ém $\mathfrak{l}$ or robs．

42 aîva＂The span－of－life de－ termined by fate＇$=$＇the fatal limit of my life äfloos MSS．\＆ौotos． The Schol．interprets and so sug－ gents the text．

44 тamtalvet Cf．O．1．114， I．7．13．$\beta$ pax ús＇Too puny to，＇ of．N．10． 19 for construction，and
for sentiment P．10． 27 os $\chi$ d́入ксоs
 To MSS．oft（so Böckh，＂quando－ quidem＂）．Schol．oi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta \eta$ ．

45 Medic．ms．є $\theta \in \lambda$ догтє $\sigma$ ou spa－ $\nu$ xiv $\sigma \tau \alpha \theta \mu$ ои́ $\sigma$ ．

47 Zquós For order cf．O． and P．p．xxv，I．4．19，20，43， $44,5.27,28,39,40,7.28,29,49$ ， 50.

49 Xpüбє́a Lit．＂with luau－ riant golden hair．＂The Pythian games fell about four months after the first Isthmian games in an Olympiad．

51 єv̉av日éa Cf．v． 34 supra． каl＇Even．＇IIuóoi So Choero－ boskos（Baker Anec．Tom．3，p． 1202）．Perhaps aioóoi should be read Il．10． 238.

## ISTHMIA VII. [VIII.]

## ON THE VICTORY OF KLEANDROS OF AEGINA IN THE PANKRATION.

## INTRODUCTION.

Kleandros, son of Telesarchos of Aegina, had been victorious as a pankratiast at Nemea and at the Isthmos. There is much difficulty in determining the date. Mezger would place it between the battles of Salamis and Plataea, but the ode is clearly Isthmian, and as Salamis was fought after the Isthmian games of B.c. 480 , Ol. 74. 4, I do not see that this is possible. Most authorities give the Nemean games next after the battle of Plataea, which would be in the year b.c. 477 according to Unger, according to Böckh in the supposed 'Winter Nemea,' six months after the battle and siege of Thebes. The first Isthmia of Ol. 75 fell in April B.c. 478 (not long after the date of the supposed winter Nemea), when Melissos of Thebes was victor in the pankration. I infer that the ode was composed for the Isthmian festival of B.C. 478, Kleandros' victory having been gained at one of the three consecutive Isthmian festivals immediately preceding the Battle of Salamis (April, B.c. 484, 482, 480), Phylakidas being the successful pankratiast on the other two of these three occasions (cf. I. 5, Introd.). As this ode was a commission for the celebration at a fixed date of a victory gained two or more years before, it was probably composed before I. 3, i.e. before April, B.c. 478 , as might be gathered from the less cheerful tone of I. 7 compared with I. 3.

The vocalulary, which presents an unusual proportion of exclusively elnic words, and the somewhat tame effect produced by frequent demonstrative pronouns at the begiunings of clauses bear evidence to the painful effort made by the poet in ronsing himself from his troubles to compose a triumphal strain. The ode was recited in or before the $\pi \rho \dot{\theta} \theta u p o v$ of Telesarchos' house.

This ode furnishes an admirable specimen of Pindar's adroitness in adapting myth.

The danger which threatened the dynasty of Zeus should Thetis bear offspring by a god is a parallel to the danger to the cult of Zeus which attended the Persian invasion. On each occasion the wisdom of Zeus and Poseidon had averted disaster, and Aegina had played a conspicuous part in the deliverance. On each occasion the representatives of the island had endured toil and sorrow and loss in battle (though the death of Achilles was a somewhat remote consequence), but had won deathless glory.

The 'Apırteia won by the Aeginetans at Salamis are alluded to $v v$. 25,55 , which are metrically corresponding verses. While the divine direction is emphasised by the nearly exact responsion of $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu v .30$ with $\theta \varepsilon 6 s v .10$. As has been already observed, $\pi \alpha v v^{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon, v .35$, recalls the same verb in $v v .7$ and 13. The exact responsions of $\pi \in \delta i o v, v v .50$, $40,-\epsilon \chi-v v .29,69, \epsilon v-v v .2,32$ seem to be without significance. Mr Bury draws attention to the recurrence of forms from the root $\lambda v$, and of words suggesting human mortality and the immortality of Gods.

The divisions of the ode fall after $v v, 16$ and 60 . The compounds which seem to have been coined for this ode are áró $\lambda \mu a r o s$ (Aesch.), ? $\pi \alpha \nu \delta o ́ \lambda \iota o s, ~ \phi \iota \lambda \alpha ́ \rho \mu a \tau o s, \beta a \rho v \sigma \phi a ́ p a \gamma o s$.

The mode is Aeolo-Lydian.
The metre is logaoedic. The strophe constitutes an elaborate antithetic mesodic period, the mesode ( $v v .5 b, 6)$ consisting of 3 second Pherecratics. The first $18, v v, 4,5$, contains 3 or 4 , the second 18, vv. $5 b-7,2$ second Pherecratics.


## ANALYSIS.

vv.
1-13. The poet rouses himself and the chorus from grief, of which the worst is over, to requite Kleandros for his victory with an ode of triumph.
13, 14. It is always best to attend to the immediate future.
14, 15. Treacherous fate disturbs the current of life.
15,16 . But if liberty remain even such troubles as those of Thebes admit of healing.
It is a manly duty to cherish bright hopes, and it is a duty for a Theban to offer a song to Aegina.

* Incisio except v.65. More strictly this $18=3$. 5.1.6.3,v. 4 ending with a rest and $v .5$ having anacrusis. But note the correspondence of the 6 feet marked off in $v .5$ to $v .6$.
$\dagger$ Verse pause and rest in the middle of a word $v .65 b$. Perhaps there is not a rest but syncope with three resolutions of the syncopated foot into $-\zeta^{\cdot} \sigma^{\cdot}=$; cf. Eur. Orest. 1267, where $\delta c \grave{a}$ answers to the foot $-a \sigma \gamma$ - 1247, and in epitrites - o occasionally $=$ L, e.g. I. 3. 72, $^{\text {a }}$ I. 5. 41,66 .
$\ddagger$ Incisio except v. 70.
F. II.
1.7-23. Because she and Thêba are sisters, beloved of Zeus, who made the latter queen of Thebes, while the former bore to him Aeakos.
23, 24. He settled disputes even for immortals.
24, 25. His descendants display bravery and wisdom.
26-47. [Myth] Consequently when Zeus and Poseidon were rivals with respect to Thetis, who was destined to bear a son mightier than his sire, Themis persuaded them to agree to her marriage with Peleus.
47, 48. Of Achilles' prowess accordingly poets have sung.
49-58. The exploits and death of Achilles are mentioned.
59, 60. By mourning for Achilles the immortals showed their approval of celebrating worthy men after their death.

61. This is right now also,

61-63. And the car of the Muse hastens on to raise a memorial of song in honor of Nikokles.
63, 64. Honor him for his Isthmian victory in boxing;
64, 65. Since he had already defeated his neighbours.
65. His cousin Kleandros does him credit.

65-67. Let his compeers weave wreaths in honor of Kleandros;
67, 68. Since he has won at Megusa and Epidauros.
69, 70. He has made it easy for a worthy man to praise him, by winning distinctions in his youth.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . a^{\prime} .
$$

## 

1 tis Cf. v. $65 b$. The indefinite pronoun with the active is often found in Greek where we should use a passive, while in other cases it occasionally refers to a definite person or persons, sometimes with deliberate vagueness, sometimes with solemn mysteriousness, sometimes with sinister or pathetic effect. Cf. N. 8.50, where it means the poet, while here it means the chorus, $\hat{\omega} \nu \neq o ́, v .2$, also being addressed to the chorus. Cf. Bac-
 $\mu \in \lambda \iota \gamma \lambda \dot{\omega} \sigma \sigma \sigma v \tau \iota s \dot{v} \mu \nu \eta \eta_{\sigma} \epsilon \iota \chi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \mid K \eta t a s$
äסbvos. Matthiae, §§ 487, 511, quotes Soph. Aj. 245 ©̈pa $\tau \iota \nu^{\prime}$ (us)
 סoîv клотàv àpé $\sigma \theta a l, 1138$ тô̂ $\tau^{\prime}$ els
 Aristoph. Ran. 552, 554, Dem. Med. § 40. Cookesley's 'every one' (Dissen omnes) is not wrong, as an explanation, if we limit it to 'of you, the chorus,' as $v .65$ infra, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ iк $\omega \nu \tau$ т $s=$ 'every one of his equals in age'; in Il. 17. 227 it means 'every one of you my allies.' Professor Seymour, for 'some one,' 'many a one,' compares Il. 2.

3 тaт $o \grave{\varsigma}$ à $\gamma \lambda a o ̀ \nu \mathrm{~T} \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma a ́ \rho \chi o v \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu ~ i ̀ \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon-~$ $\gamma \in \iota \rho \in ́ \tau \omega$
 5
 $\mu \in \nu o s$
${ }_{5}$ a $\theta \nu \mu o ́ v, ~ a i \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \mu a \iota ~ \chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon ́ a \nu ~ \kappa а \lambda є ́ \sigma a \iota ~$
ı




382, where however $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau t s . . \delta \delta \epsilon \tau$ seem to mean 'some of you, others of you' (Dissen refers to this place to support 'Pronomen ris usitatum in hortationibus ubi omnes intelliguntur '). Cookesley (after Dissen) cites for 'every one' Hêrod. 8. 109, where Matthiae's alternative 'let the houses be rebuilt' is better, for Themistokles cannot have meant literally 'every one' to build and sow. He also cites Il. 21. 126, where ' many a one,' not 'every one, ' is meant. In rendering into English, our own indefinite pronouns should generally be used in such cases, as our idiom somewhat
 Generally taken as a hendiadys (cf. Hor. Od. 3. 4. 43 impios | Titanas immanemque turmam; Mezger's three quotations from Pindar, vv. 46,55 infra, N. 8. 46 , are quite irrelevant) ; but from v. $65 c$ c, cf. $\nu \epsilon 6$ ras $v .68$, I infer that the poet bids the chorus raise the kômossong for Kleandros and his youthful companions in the kômos (cf. P. 2. 74). $\lambda$ र́троข...каца́тшv Cf. P.
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \chi a \rho l \epsilon \nu, ~ O . ~ 7 . ~ 77 ~ \tau o ́ \theta \iota ~ \lambda u ́ \tau \rho o \nu ~$
 $\pi \delta \nu \omega \nu$.

3 тарà $\pi \rho o ́ \theta$ vpov Cf. N. 1. 19

$\phi \iota \lambda 0 \xi \in \ell \nu 0 u$.
4 dтoเva Accusative of general agreement, cf. I. 3. 7, v. 63 infra. $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Dative for locative, cf. N. 10. 35, I. 4. 18.

5 dé $\theta \lambda \omega \nu . . . k \rho \alpha \alpha^{\prime} о s$ 'Victory in games,' cf. O. 11. 82, I. 4. 19, 6. 22, Soph. El. $476 . \quad \tau \hat{\text { C Cf. }}$, v. 65 infra; 'wherefore.' áxvv́ $\mu \in \boldsymbol{v o s}$ Grieving over the troubles of Thebes (see Introd.) and in particular for the death of Nikokles, ef. $v v$. 61- 63 infra. airéоца. For the pass. of persons of. Aesch. Choeph. 480 and Paley's note. This use of the simple verb is almost confined to the participles. Xpvбéal Cf. I. 2. $26 . \quad \kappa а \lambda \in ́ \sigma a, ~$ Moívav Cf. N. 3. 1. $\quad \mu \in \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \nu$ Cf. картєрdı, v. 13. They are still in grief and anxiety which can only be thrown off by an effort, but the worst is over.

6 ह̇v Cf. P. 1. 74. $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ áv $\omega \nu$ 'Festive garlands,' i.e. festivity and song, cf. v. 67 infra, Eur. Herc. F'ur. $676 \mu \grave{\eta} \zeta \dot{\phi} \eta \nu \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu$ ovaias, $\mid \dot{\alpha} \in l$

 'From bootless, idle, sorrow.' Cf.









$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime} .
$$

I ảтó $\mu \mu a \tau o \nu$＇E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta \iota ~ \mu o ́ \chi \theta o \nu . ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \epsilon ’-~$

 àєì＜бкотєìv＞ 25

 є̀ $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{a}_{a}^{a}$
$8 \delta a \mu \omega \sigma$ ó $\epsilon \theta a$＇We will delight the city folk with．＇Cf．Aristoph． Pax 797，ascribed by a Schol．to Stêsichoros＇Oresteia，тodád रрì
 $\sigma о ф \partial \nu \quad \pi о \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \nu \in \imath \nu$ ，the words $\tau \partial \nu$
 stophanes＇．This Schol．interprets
 The Grammarians seem to ascribe the sense $\delta \eta \mu о к о \pi \epsilon i \nu, \pi a l j \epsilon \iota \nu$ to Plato．Cf．Dobson on Plato，Tim． p． 161 （2．1．217）．Perhaps $\delta \eta \mu \omega \mu \alpha$ is rather a＇popular song，＇＇popular phrase，＇than＇a jest＇or＇popular pastime．＇kal $\mu \in \tau \grave{d}$ móvov ＇Though after a painful effort．＇

10 тбv Mss．тє，Böckh $\gamma \epsilon$ ， Mommsen кai，Bergk äre．I pro－ pose $\tau$ òv，which is corrupted $v, 65$ infra．For theme of．O．1．54－58， Bergk，Anacreontea 22 ［20］$\grave{\eta}$ Tav－
 б́xAls．$\quad$ тapó．．．ètp．Tmesis．
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \mu$ Dat．commodi．
11 ＇E入入á8ı $\mu$ óx ${ }^{\prime}$ ov Cf．I．5． 28
 So mss．Böckh ä入入d $\mu o l$ ，Bergk $\alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＇$\mu^{\prime}$＇ở with ка $\rho \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{a} \nu \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \nu \hat{a} \nu$.

12 бєіिนа．．．тароьхбцєขоข mss．$\delta$ ． $\mu$ ．$\pi \alpha \rho o \iota \chi о \mu e ́ v \omega \nu$ ．Mezger $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \dot{\partial} \tau \omega \nu$ $\pi \alpha \rho о \imath \chi \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ with $\theta \in \dot{\sigma}$ for sup－ pressed subject．Mommsen $\chi$ д́pua $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o r \chi o \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ，suggested by the


 the construction of the text，＇the passing by of the terror，＇cf．O． 9. 103 note，P．11．22，23，Thuk． 1.
 ai＇Eıvéa ódol ктi̧ópevov，also N． 6. 2， 9.6 ．

13 The mss．give no infinitive verb．The Scholl．give $\sigma к о \pi \epsilon i ̂ v ~ к a i ~$
 dıv $\uparrow \in \not \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．Thiersch and Böckh give бкотeîv：Bergk now reads òpâv before $\dot{\alpha} \in l$ ．For the inf．cf．O． 7.



 Soph．Ant．1327，Oed．R．130，and for sentiment 0．12． 7.
 ＇in every case，＇cf．N．5．16．mss． $\chi \rho \hat{\eta \mu \alpha \pi a ̂ \nu . ~ B e r g k ~ r e a d s ~ \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu a . ~}$ $\pi a \nu \delta \delta \delta \lambda \iota o s . \quad$ aíur Cf．I．3． 18. For sentiment cf．N．11．43．$\quad k \pi^{\prime}$ ．．．kpépatal Tmesis．Of．Simôn．



 Archil．Frag． 53 ［45］$\mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \delta$ Tav－
 $\mu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ．

15 è $\lambda(\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ Cf．I．3． 18 ．$\beta i o v$ тópov For metaphor cf．O．2． 33.
$15 a$ इа каì тá. $\chi \rho \emptyset ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta a ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̀ \lambda \pi i ́ \delta ' ~ a ̀ \nu \delta \rho i ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$
 6 Aiүiva $\chi$ аріт $\omega \nu$ aैштоv $\pi \rho о \nu є ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu$,





$2^{*}$ коьца̂то, $\delta i ̂ o \nu$ е้ $\nu \theta a$ тє́кєя
45
 ô каi
 50



6 таи̂та каì $\mu а \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu а \nu \tau ’ ~ a ̉ \gamma о р а i ́, ~$

Some mss. give $\beta$ iórou, cf. I. 3. 23. oúv $\boldsymbol{y}^{\prime}$ è $\lambda \in v \theta \in \rho$ ia ' So freedom bat remain.' kal tá 'Even such a fate as ours.' Cf. Od.5. 259 o $\delta^{\prime}$ ev̉ $\tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \tau$ каl $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ [Prof. Seymour]. Xpý Cf. I. 3. 7, 8.

16 Xapitev Cf. I. 3. 8, Frag. 53. 2, 'songs.' троре́цєเข 'T'о give lavishly.' 'For that from her sire were born maidens twain, youngest of Asôpos' daughters.' For the daughters of Asôpos cf. O. 6. 84.

17 Siठu $\mu a t$ Bacchyldes, 3. 78 f., has $\delta \delta \delta \dot{u} \mu$ ovs... $\gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \mathrm{c} s$, P. 4. 209 $\delta \delta \delta \nu \mu o t . ., \zeta \omega a t$.

19 \% Masc. demonstrative, cf. wv. 23, 49. Paley however takes it to be for $\delta c^{\prime}$. 8 . $\tau \alpha^{\prime} v$ Thêba.

20 ф৯入ар . Cf. Frag. 83. 5 ä $\rho \mu \alpha$ Ө ${ }^{2} \beta$ aiov. áyєนóva Only here used in the feminine gender. 'As tutelary deity.' Cf. (of Thêba and Aegina, apparently daughters
of Ares) Bacch. 9. 50 is $\theta$ ool | oùv тúxaus ${ }^{\psi} \kappa \kappa \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \mid \dot{a} \rho \chi \alpha \gamma o u ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi o \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma u l a ̂$.

21 бє Aegina. Oivotiav A variation of Olvévŋ, N. 8. 7, the old name of Aegina. Cf. Ov. Met. 7. 472 latere inde sinistro| Oenopiam Minos petit Aeacideïa regna, Oenopiam ueteres appellauere; sed ipse | Aeacus Aeginam genitricis nomine dixit. ${ }^{2} \nu \in \not \subset \kappa \omega \dot{\nu}$ Bergk

 dative after коз $\mu$ âто is supplied from $\sigma \hat{\varepsilon}$. See L. and S.

25 ápiotevov Cf. Il. 11. 746
 Defines while orovóєעta is descriptive, of. $\sigma$ rovóets $\sigma l \delta a \rho o s$ Soph. Trach. 887, $\sigma \tau о \nu o ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha ~ \pi \lambda a \gamma \alpha ́$ Aesch. Pers. 1053. For the two adjectives ef. O. 1. 9, O. and P. p. xxiv. éyย́vovio 'Proved themselves,' of. N. 3. 71, P. 2. 72 .
 $\gamma^{\alpha} \mu \varphi$,

60


 $\pi i \delta \in \varsigma$,

65
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.


3 єїขєкєข $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu$ е́vov ${ }^{\eta} \nu, \phi \in ́ \rho \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ 耳óvov ävaкта татро̀s $\tau \epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$

 $\mu$ évà

75



so


27 ＇̈ploas mss．and Mommsen， Schol．and Edd．éperav，taking $\Pi \rho \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{a} \nu$ as nominative，$\quad$ үá $\mu$ ＇With a view to wedlock．＇Dative of purpose，cf．I．6． 7.

28 0є́ $\lambda \omega \nu$ So mss．Böckh єủe $\delta \epsilon^{3}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ，but cf．O．2．97，I．5． 43.

29 氏́áv Taken with ä入oxov cu－ $\epsilon \iota \delta \in c^{2}$ ．For order cf．O．and P．p． xxv．${ }^{\epsilon} \mathbf{X} \in \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ Mss．$\epsilon\{\chi \in \nu, \varepsilon \in \lambda \in \nu$ ．For suppression of object cf．O．1． 29.

30 єúváv Cf．O．7．6．
31 éráкovбav Bückh after Medicean mss． $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{к о и \sigma \alpha \nu . ~ S c h o l . ~}^{\tau \omega} \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \mu о \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ кати́коибал．Bergk
 $\nu \epsilon \pi \epsilon \nu \delta$ ह̀，Text Trick，mss．ait $\delta^{\prime}$ So mss．Böckh ait $\epsilon \nu$ ．

33 mss．give text，the last syl－ cable of provo being lung（cf．N． 1. 51，69，6．60）．Fd．have altered variously．$\quad$ €lvєкєv Equivalent to on $\theta$ ov́vєка like oưvєка $=$＇that．＇Don．
would read oűvєкєข．Proteus re－ pats this prophecy to Thetis， $\mathrm{O} \nabla$ ． Met．11．221．Ammôvios，s．v．out－ $\nu \in \kappa$ ，says that Kallimachos wrongly


34 movtlav $\theta$ єóv Thetis．
$35 \Delta i t \gamma \in$ miss．omit $\gamma \epsilon$ ．$\Delta i t$ is one long syllable．Cf．N．1． 72.
 united．＇The particle ar $\nu(\kappa \epsilon)$ ，added by Bergk，is not wanted in the apodosis，as the consequence is certain．For the theme cf．Apoll． Rood．4．797．Asch．Prom． 768 （Paley＇s notes），786， 920 sqq．Bergs
 $\mu \tau \sigma \gamma \rho \mu \dot{\prime} \nu a \nu$ is taken as túva with $\Delta i o ̀ s \pi a \rho^{\prime}$ ad $\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \in 0 \hat{\circ} \sigma \iota$ ．$\tau \alpha$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v$＇This prospect，＇or＇this rival－ ry．＇Note the transition to ratio rect．

37 Note the chiasmus．Miss． dupe ï $\chi \in i \rho a s ~(\chi \in ́ \rho a s) \dot{\epsilon} \nu a \lambda$ ．

8 тò $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ є́ $\mu o ́ \nu, ~ \Pi \eta \lambda \epsilon ́ i ́ ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o v ~ \theta є o ́ \mu о р о \nu ~$

40 го ö $\nu \tau$＇єv̉ $\sigma \epsilon \beta$ é $\sigma \tau a \tau o \nu ~ \phi a ́ \tau \iota \varsigma ~ ' ~ I ~ \omega \lambda \kappa o ̂ ~ \tau \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o \nu . ~$.

$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho}, \epsilon^{\prime} .
$$


${ }_{2}$ Xєípwlos av̉тíc’ ả $\gamma \gamma є \lambda$ ía． 90


 vídaıs 95

$45 b 5^{b b} \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \nu$ à $\theta a \nu a ́ \tau o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \cdot ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \kappa a \rho \pi o ̀ s ~$
100 6 oủ катє́фӨıvє．фаעтì خàp छ̛́v’ à $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$
 $\sigma o \phi \hat{\nu} \nu$
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38 Tò $\mu e ̀ v$ é $\mu$ óv＇It is my coun－
 ojráad．There is here almost a case of hypallage；cf．$O$ ．and $P$ ． p．xxiii，N．3．38，P．4． 255 v่ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho a s$ áктîvos b̋ $\lambda$ ßov．

40 фátis Böckh gives the text． Mss．$\phi a \sigma i \nu(\phi \alpha ́ \sigma$＇）＇Ia $\omega \lambda \kappa 0 \hat{v}$ ．Bergk $\phi \rho a \sigma i \nu$ and $\tau \rho a ́ \phi \in \nu$ ．For Pêleus cf． N．3．33，4．50－68．

42 av่т $\mathbf{K}_{k}$＇At once，＇eủ $\theta$ ús goes with és，＇straight to，＇áyүє入lau Abstract for concrete．

43 vєレќ́ $\omega \nu$ тย́тa入a＇Let not．．． put into our hands votes about quarrels．＇In Athens sometimes， and at Syracuse，the letters indi－ cating ballot－votes were scratched on olive－leaves．See L．and S．s．vv． $\pi \epsilon \tau а \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{s}, \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \phi \nu \lambda \lambda о ф о \rho \epsilon ́ \omega$ ．

44 Sixо $\eta \nu \downarrow \delta \in \sigma \sigma \iota v$ Cf．Eur． Iph．in Aul．716， 717 тivı $\delta^{\prime}$ Ev
 $\epsilon \lambda \theta \eta$ ки́клоs．For the plur．Dissen compares ди́ктєร，P．4．256．Per－
haps the plural covers the six－ teenth day of the month，which is
 783.

45 入v́ol For the active，which generally refers to the bridegroom， cf．Eur．Alc．177，where Alcestis

 Tmesis，є̇ $\pi l \nu \epsilon v \sigma a \nu . ~ к а р \pi о ́ s ~ C f . ~$ Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 618 єl карті̀s

 $\Delta$ còs｜тapßєî̀ кє入єúv $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ áка $\pi \pi \dot{\omega}$－ tous ктíal．

46 छv́v’ MSs．छvva入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．Text Böckh．Cf．Soph．Oed．Col． 1752.

47 kal yápov Explains the cognate acc．$\xi u v^{\prime}$＇．kal＇And accordingly＇（Mezger）．Bergk reads
 with distributive neuter plural． Old mss，$\nu \epsilon{ }^{\prime}$ àdéd．Tricl．$\nu \epsilon ́ a \nu$ é $\delta$. Text Schmidt．бофิิv＇Poets．＇ For the theme cf．N．3．43－58．

 $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} \varsigma^{\prime}$ ．


 ßро́то⿱
4 ёค
 $\Phi_{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi o ́ v a s$
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${ }^{130}$


$$
\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \zeta .
$$



49 \％Cf．vv．19， 23 supra．Cf． I．4． 41 for the subject．

51 The metaphor is perhaps suggested by the famous bridges of the Persians．It occurs again in Polybius 1． 10 éâoal Kap $\eta \eta \delta o v i o u s$ oiovel $\gamma \in \phi \cup \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma a l \tau \eta े \nu \in l_{s}$＇I $\tau a \lambda l a \nu a v$－ $\tau 0 \hat{\text { un }} \delta \iota \alpha ́ \beta a \sigma \iota$.

53 Ivas Cf．Lat．nervi，Plato， Rep． 411 в $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ஸ̈б $\pi \epsilon \rho \nu \in \hat{u} p a \dot{\epsilon} \kappa$
 cf．N．9． 23.

54 корúббovta In the active this verb seems to mean＇to be at the head of，＇＇to make a head （crest）of，＇see references given by L．and S．Mérvovós te Blav For Memnon cf．I．4． 40, N．3． 63 ， O．2．83．For the formula of． O ． 1． 88, P．11．61，I．4． 33.

55 oúpos See L．and S．s．v．（B）．

бфєтépav te Not a case of hen－ diadys（Prof．Seymour），but $={ }^{6}$ and his stock，＇the Achaean Aeakids． For the metaphor cf．O．2．46．For the idea cf．I．4． 43.
$56 \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots$ ．．a $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ Cf．$O$ and $P$ ． p．xxxvii，I．3． $25,34,4.46,51$. The hiatus in this line is of an un－ usual character，ef．O．and P．p．xlii．

57 Cf．Od．24．58－64．Fou Rather dat．commodi than posses－ sive dative（O．and P．p．Xxxvii， N．10．29，I，4．43）．

58 धाil．．．＇tx eav Tmesis．
59 kal Text D；v．l．$\delta^{\prime}$ ；Bury $\pi a \rho^{\circ}$ ．

60 ̇̇б入óv Mss．Є́ $\sigma$ 入ó $\gamma o \nu$ ，per－ haps owing to $\lambda$ boov in the next verse．$\delta i \delta o ́ \mu \in \nu$ Cf．P．4．67，for sentiment of．I．3． 7.

61 ф́́per dóyov＇Is reasonable．＇$^{2}$

## 

 $\mu \iota o \nu$ ầ vátos

 $\nu \in ́ \omega \nu$.
$65 a 5^{a}$ đò̀ $\mu$ èv oủ катє入є́ $\gamma \chi \epsilon \iota$ кр८тои̂ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \grave{a}$
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 т $\mathfrak{x}$ ฉ
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But P．8． $38 \lambda$ रुoy $\phi \hat{f} \rho \epsilon t s$ ，＇thou earnest the praise．＇

62 Cf．I．2．2，O．6．22－27．
$63 \mu \nu \hat{\mu} \mu a$ Acc．of general agree－
 $\tau \in \theta \rho i \pi \pi \omega \nu$ ，also I．3．7，v． 4 supra． кe入a $\delta \hat{\eta} \sigma a$ For inf．cf．Madv． $\S 148 a$ ，rem．$\quad \gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha / \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$ Old MSS．$\gamma \in \rho a i \rho \epsilon \tau a i \mu \nu$ ，new $\gamma \epsilon \rho a i ̂ p a l \tau \epsilon$ $\mu \nu . \quad \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \nu$ vátos Hermann from old mss．$a^{2} \nu a \pi o$ ．New mss．$\alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ ． Cf．I．3． 11 for the idea．
$64 \Delta \omega \rho$ ．$\sigma \in \lambda$ ．Cf．I．2．15．$\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner-$ ktiovas Cf．N．11． 19.

65 kal кєîvos mss．кảкєîvos． So in O．2．99，perhaps read with Mommsen and Bergk kal кeîvos （old mss．кá кeìvos，кథ่̣кєîvos）for éкeivos new mss．，and some Edd． тòv $\mu \in \stackrel{\nu}{\nu} \ldots \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \alpha^{\prime}$ Old mss，against scansion $\tau \delta \quad \mu \bar{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \gamma \in \nu \in d$. timent cf．P．8．36，I．3．14．крıтой ＇Distinguished．＇Cf．P．4．50，N．
 and for $\tau \hat{\varphi} v .5$.

66 K $\lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ v \delta \rho \omega$ Dat．commodi， ＇in honour of．＇

67 avpoivas Cf．I．3．87．The revellers in the kômos were to wear wreaths of myrtle．＇A ${ }^{2}$ ка日óov The games at Megara held in cele－ bration of the death of Alkathoos， son of Pelops．$\quad$ vìv rúxa＇With prosperous issue．＇Cf．N．7．11， 10． 25.

 The text is Bergk＇s and also mine．

69 тapéXè Cf．Eur．El． 1080
 бot［Mezger］．Cf．also Hêrod．1． 9
 $\theta \in \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l, 3.142$. ＇It is easy，＇ ＇opportunity presents itself．＇Note that it requires $\dot{\rho} \rho \in \tau \dot{a}$ to appreciate and duly celebrate $\alpha^{\alpha} \rho \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ．

70 ＇For he did not make his youth a thrall to obscurity for lack of essaying noble deeds．＇Strictly
 no experience（through keeping close）in a nook（hole）－of noble deeds．＇For the general meaning cf．I．3．48．The order is strained．

## I.

## I $\Sigma$ @ MIONIKAI.

1. $[4]=.B^{4} 1$.*

K $\lambda \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ A i ̉ a \kappa o v ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota v a ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~ к а i ̀ ~ \nu a v \sigma \iota \kappa \lambda \nu \tau o ̀ s ~}$







$$
1 \mathrm{~A} .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 2 .
$$

ó סè $\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a \grave{~} \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ s$ áßpà $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$
 $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} \nu$.

1 Given in the Medicean family of mss., apparently the exordium of I. 8.

12 al̃a Cf. N. 6. 49.
1 3, 4 Cf. P. 1. 61-65.
15 Cf. O.8.20-30, P.8.2127.

16 Selфives For their speed cf. P. 2. 50,51, N. 6. 66, Frag. 219. тані́аи Cf. N.6. 27.

17 áé日 $\lambda \omega \nu$ 'Prizes.'
1 a Schol. Lucian, Dial. Mort. 3.

Edited from Vatican ms. (Pal. 73) by E. Rhode, Philologus 35. 199. The Schol. ascribes the above fragment to one of Pindar's Isthmian odes in honor of the Rhodian boxer Kasmylos (cf. Simônides, Epigr. 154 [212] єimò $\tau i s, \tau i \nu o s$ é $\sigma \sigma i_{\text {, }}$ tivos тaiplios, $\tau i \delta^{\prime}$ évlкخs; | Kafuv́入os, Eủarópov, Пúgla $\pi \dot{v} \xi$, 'Pódıos).
 Frag. 24 [5]. 4.

I A 2 Cf. Frag. 31.

2．$[1]=.B^{4} 5$ ．
Aiòíóav סè 之ícuфov kéخovto



$$
\text { 3. }[2 .]=B^{4} 7 \text {. }
$$


4．$[3]=.B^{4} 8$ ．

 трia кра̂та ท้้то九 кра́a $\alpha$ ．

$$
\text { 5. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 9 .
$$

Serv．Virg．Georg．I．31，＂Generum vero pro marito positum multi accipiunt，．．．nam et Pindarus èv toîs＇I $\sigma \theta \mu$ íos $\gamma$ 人 $\mu \beta$ pòs ảvì̀ $\tau 0 \hat{1} v \nu \mu \phi i ́ o v ~ d i x i t . " ~ " ~$

## II．

## TMNOI．

## YMNO：$A^{\prime}$ ©HBAIOIさ．

$$
\text { 6. 7. }[5.6 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 29.30 \text {. }
$$

6．＇І $\sigma \mu \eta \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \grave{\eta} \chi \rho v \sigma a \lambda a ́ \kappa a \tau o \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda i a \nu$,

2 The Isthmian games were originally founded as the funeral games of Melikertes．This frag－ ment is preserved as $\tau \dot{\delta} \epsilon \nu$＇ $\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu \mathrm{o}$－ lkaus Mıvóápov by Apollônios Dys－ kolos，de Synt．2．21，p．156，where he explains that $\hat{\psi}$ is not $\tau \hat{4} \sum เ \sigma u{ }^{-}$ $\phi$ ov，for Pindar calls Melikertes ＇AӨa 1 a 6），but $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aủ $\bar{\eta} s$, i．e．＇I $1 \nu 0 \hat{s}$ ．So the

Introductory Schol．to the Isth－


 वै $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \bar{\alpha}$＂ $\mathrm{I} \sigma \theta \mu \tau a$.
3 Apollôn．Dyskol．de Pron．p． 368 A ，as an instance of $\nu \iota \nu$ plural． ms．$\epsilon \xi \in \kappa \cup \lambda l \sigma \theta \eta$ ．
6 Lucian，Demosth．Encom．c． 19；also（vv．1－5 ที๋ Táv）Plutarch，

ท̂ тà̀ кvаขа́ $\mu \pi т к а ~ \Theta \eta ́ \beta a \nu$,

${ }_{5}$ ท̀ $\tau a ̀ \nu \Delta \iota \omega \nu v ̇ \sigma o v ~ \pi o \lambda v \gamma a \theta \in ́ a ~ \tau \iota \mu a ́ \nu$,

7. Прผ̂tov $\mu$ èv єưßov
 Moîpaı тоті̀ к入íдака $\sigma є \mu \nu а ̀ \nu$


 ${ }^{\prime} \Omega \rho a s$.

$$
\text { * 8. }[7 .]=B^{4} 31 .
$$






de Glor. Athen. c. 14, where is the story of Korinna having criticised Pindar's unsparing use of myths, whereupon he composed this hymn



 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu l a \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\theta} \theta \omega \nu$ d $\Pi l \nu \delta a \rho o s ~ \epsilon i s$ тд $\mu \bar{\epsilon}$ गos $\bar{\xi} \xi \in \chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$. The Schol. on N. 10. 1 tells us by implication that it was composed for the Thebans, and the Schol. Lucian l.c. that this was the beginning of Pindar's Hymns (as collected and published).

61 Mèlav Cf. P. 11. 4. For Me ${ }^{\text {(ala, a kind of nymphs, cf. Hês. }}$ Theog. 187. For the style of, the
opening of I. 6.
7 Clem. Alexandr. Str. 6. 731. Böckh saw the identity of rhythm with Frag. 6, and made slight emendations accordingly.

72 रpv. $\ell_{\pi \pi}$. Of. O. 1. 41, 8. 51, of Poseidôn's horses.

73 Moîpaı Hêsiod, Theog. 901 -904, makes the Moirae daughters of Zeus and Themis. к $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ака
 seems to answer to $\kappa \lambda$., $\Delta i \dot{s} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\delta} \delta \dot{b} \nu$ to $\lambda \iota \pi a \rho \alpha{ }^{\nu} \nu \kappa \alpha \theta$ ' $\delta \delta \delta o ́ v$, the ' milky way,' ef. Ov. Met. 1. 168-171.
$75{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ For inf. cf. I. 7. 63, Frag. 53. 10.

76 di $\lambda \alpha$. " $\Omega$. f . Of. O. 13. 6, 11. $53-55$.
 тov̂ $\Delta$ tòs єis $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi t o v s ~ \phi ı \lambda о т \iota \mu i a s . ~$

$$
\text { 9. [8.] }=B^{4} 32 \text {. }
$$

.............................. * Tô̂ $\theta є o \hat{v}$

10. [133.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 33$.


* 11A. [9.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 34$.

* 11в. [10.] = $B^{4} 35$.

EIS AMMSNA.

12. $[11]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 36$.
"А $\mu \mu \omega \nu$ 'О入и́ $\mu \pi о \nu$ ठ́́бтота.

## Eİ חEPさEФONHN.

13. $[12]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 37$.

Пóтขıа Өєбرофóрє хрvбóvıov......

9 Altered by Böckh from Aristides 2. $383 \alpha^{\prime \lambda} \lambda$ " öть кả้ тоîs " $1 \mu \nu$ ots
 $\sigma v \mu \beta a \iota \nu o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi a \theta \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ тoîs ả ${ }^{2} \theta \rho \hat{L}^{-}$


 Plutarch, de Pyth. Oracl. c. 6. Cf. P. 3. 90.

10 Plutarch, Quaest. Platon. 8. 4. "Avaкта тóv Mss. àva $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$. Text Hermann.

11 \& Hêphaestion 91. An example of the Pindaricus versus not especially ascribed to Pindar.

11 в 10 . An example of the Iambelegus, given just after a verse of Pindar.

12 Schol. P. 9. 89. Of. P. 4. 16. Pausanias 9.16 tells us that Pindar dedicated a statue by Kalamis for a temple of this god at Thebes, and that a hymn to Ammon sent by the poet to his Libyan temple was there preserved in Pausanias' time on a three-sided stêlê. Cf. Frag. 36.

13 Vit. Pind. Cod. Vrat. A, where the hymn is said to be to Dêmêtêr. Pausanias 9. 23. 2 says

## EIS TYXHN.

* 14. $[16]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 38$.
'Е $\nu$ ë $¢ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota$ ठè $\nu \iota \kappa a ̂ ~ \tau u ́ \chi a, ~$ ov̉ $\sigma \theta$ évos.

$$
\text { 15. 16. 17. }[14,15,13 .]=B^{4} 39.40,41 .
$$





 $\kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o v, ~ o v o ́ ̀ e ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \delta v \mu o v ~ \sigma \tau \rho ́ ́ \phi о v \sigma a ~ \pi \eta \delta a ́ \lambda \iota o v .-P a u s a n . ~$

 $\tau \iota i \sigma \chi \dot{v} \epsilon \iota v$.

$$
\text { 18. }[171 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 42 \text {. }
$$



 $\lambda a \hat{\omega}$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { * 19. }[173 .]= & \mathrm{B}^{4} \\
& 43 . \\
& \Omega \text { тéкvov, }
\end{aligned}
$$

тоvтíov Өnрòs $\pi \epsilon \tau \rho a i o v ~ \chi \rho \omega \tau i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \nu o ́ o \nu ~$ $\pi \rho о \sigma \phi \in ́ \rho \omega \nu$ та́ $\sigma a \iota s \pi о \lambda i \in \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu i \lambda \epsilon \iota \cdot \tau \hat{̣}$ тарєо́vть $\delta^{\prime}$

$a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \tau^{\prime} a ̉ \lambda \lambda o i ̂ a ~ \phi \rho o ́ v e \imath . ~$
that Pindar calls "Aı $\delta \eta s$ x $\rho v \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \nu o s$ in a hymn to Persephonê.

14 Aristid. 2. 334. Cf. I. 3. 49-53.

14-17 Cf. O. 12. 2.

18 Stobaeos, Flor. 109. 1. For sentiment of. P. 3. 83.

19 Athênaeos 12.513 c .
192 тоутіои Oทро́s I.e. IIov$\lambda u ́ \pi o \delta o s$. Amphiaráos is advising
20. $[23]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 44$.

Lactant. ad Stat. Theb. in. 85, "Ogygii Thebani ab Ogyge rege aut amne. Sic Pindarus in Somniis"? (Cod. Gud. Frising. Cassell. Somnis, Boeckh Hymnis).

$$
\text { 21. 22. }[20.21 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 45.46 .
$$





$$
\text { 23. }[18 .]=B^{4} 47 .
$$

Et. M. 821. 59, Пív


$$
\text { 24. }[17 .]=B^{4} 48 .
$$








$$
\text { 25. }[19 .]=B^{4} 49 .
$$





Amphilochos, cf. Athênaeos 7, p.




20 The quotation is quite un-
intelligible. Perhaps, as Böckh and others suggest, it begins with ' $\Omega y v \gamma i$ ious $\delta$ ' $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \rho \in \nu$ and ends with és aimú. The letters in five versions run thus:

Text opite TwCDeeyPeNomo NNHTHCTANe CCI $\pi y$ Cod. Gud. opite I $\omega \mathrm{C} \Delta \mathrm{EE} y \mathrm{P} a \mathrm{~N} \omega$ N.NHTHEDa.-NE CCIMI Cod. Frising. opite. $\iota \omega \mathrm{C}$ EeyPE-NONONNH-THF币a.-Ne CCyNy Cod. Cassell. opite I $\omega \mathrm{C} a$ EETPENy NNNtHФaNE CCIMI Cod. Mon. opire $\mathrm{I} \omega \mathrm{CD}$ eeypenoro nnHtHe Ф $\hat{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H} e^{\cdot}$ - CCINHy
[The ranging is mine to exhibit the correspondences and differences as clearly as possible. All the versions have a space after the 5th letter. The other as. spaces are indicated by-.]

Quintil. virl. 6. 71, "Exquisitam vero figuram huius rei (hyperboles crescentis) deprehendisse apud principem Lyricorum Pindarum videor in libro, quem inscripsit ${ }^{7} \Upsilon \mu \nu o v s$. Is namque Herculis impetum adversus Meropas, qui in insula Co dicuntur habitasse, non igni nec ventis nec mari, sed fulmini dicit similem fuisse, ut illa minora, hoc par esset."

$$
\text { 27. 28. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 51 .
$$



 देк T T
 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \pi v \epsilon v \dot{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau o \mathrm{~s}$.

Schol. Aristoph. Plut. 9, каì та̀ $\mu$ èv $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ ̀ ~ \Pi v \theta i ́ o v ~ \tau \rho i ́ t o \delta o s ~$
 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime} \lambda \pi \tau \tau \alpha$.

## III.

## ПAIANE

## Eİ AПOムA』NA חY®ION.

29. $[24]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 52$.
 $\lambda \omega \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu a \iota$.
30. $[25]=.B^{4} 53$.
 a้єєठоข K $\eta \lambda \eta \delta o ́ v \in \varsigma$.

29 Schol. N. 7. 94. From a preân composed for Delphi. The words refer to Neoptolemos.

30 Paus. 10.5.12. The $\kappa \eta \lambda \eta$ $\delta \delta \nu \epsilon s$ (Athên. 7. 290 e, Paus. к $\overline{\lambda \lambda \eta^{-}-}$ $\mu_{0 \nu \epsilon s)}$ were like the Seirens. From

* 31. [26.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 3$.











 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma \alpha$.

$$
\text { * 32. }[27 .]=B^{4} 54 .
$$









$$
\text { * 33. }[28 .]=B^{4} 55 .
$$


 $\dot{\eta} \Gamma \hat{\eta}$.

Galen, T. 18 A, p. 519, Bergk
 Golden figures representing these females were suspended under the roof of the third temple at Delphi [Don.].

31 Bergk now considers that
this passage refers to the Isthmian to which the Frag. 1a belonged.
32 The golden eagles and omphalos are represented on a stater of Kyzikos, Brit. Mus. Educ. Series of coins, Period 2, no. 12. Of. P. 4. 4,74 .

$$
34 .=B^{4} 56 .
$$








 Хо $\rho$ єúбоขта.

## EIS $\Delta I A \quad \Delta \Omega \Delta \Omega N A I O N$. <br> * 35. [29.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 57$.



## 35 A.

Dio Chrys. Or. xif. T. I. 251 Emper. öv тávv ка入ف̂s $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \eta ̀ s$
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau a \tau o s ~ \delta \eta \mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ̀ s ~ \chi о \rho \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ̀ \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ a v i \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \nu \eta s, ~ к . \tau . \lambda . ~$





 Symp. Quaest. 1. 2. 5 et Clem. Alex. Str. v. 710, Euseb. Praep. Ev. xiin. 675 в. Bergk conjectures that $\Delta a \mu \iota є \rho \gamma \bar{\epsilon}$ бiкая $\tau \epsilon$ $\kappa а i є \mathcal{v} \nu о \mu i \alpha s$ should be added to Frag. 35.

$$
36 .[30 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 58 .
$$






35 a Bergk's note on Frag. 35.

$$
\text { * 37. 38. [31. 32.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 59.60 .
$$





 1057．57．－Strabo，viI，328，каì оi траүıкоí $\tau \epsilon$ каi Пívסароs


$$
\text { 39. }[33 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 61 \text {. }
$$



 ßротéa фрєvć• Өvatâs $\delta^{\prime}$ àmò $\mu a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ e ́ \phi v . ~$

$$
\text { 40. [34.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 62 .
$$





$$
\text { 41. }[35 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 63 .
$$


 кaì Пívóapos èv Пaıâбıv．

$$
\text { 42. * 43. }[36.37 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 64.65 .
$$

Plut．de Musica c．15，Пívסapos $\delta^{\circ}$ èv Пalâбıv ẻmì roîs Noóß $\eta$ s
 Aelian．Var．Hist．xiI．36，＇Адкцàv סéка（Niobae liberos），
 xx．7，＂Nam Homerus pueros puellasque eius（Niobae）bis senos dicit fuisse，Euripides bis septenos，Sappho bis novenos， Bacchylides et Pindarus bis denos．＂

[^6]44. 45. 46. 47. 48. $[38,40,41.42 .39]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 66-70$.


 єis 'I $\sigma \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu o v$ iєро́v (emendation for 'I $\left.\sigma \mu \eta \nu o ̀ v ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ т о \nu\right) ~ к . т . \lambda .-~$








 $\alpha \hat{v} \lambda \eta \tau \iota \kappa \eta$ §.

## IV.

## $\Delta I \Theta \Upsilon$ PAMBOI.

$$
\text { 49. }[43 .]=B^{4} 71 .
$$

Schol. Ol. 13. 25, ó חívóapos dè èv $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ тoîs ' $\Upsilon \pi о \rho \chi \eta ̆ \mu a \sigma \iota v$



$$
50 .[44 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 72 .
$$

 ' $\Omega a \rho i ́ \omega \nu$.

44-48 From Didymos' commentary on Pindar's Paeîns.

50 Etym. Magn. p. 460. 35, Cramer, An. Par. 4. 194, 7, An. Ox. 3. 89. 29. 'Once when drunken,

Orion assaulted another's wife.' See L. and S. $\theta \omega \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \omega$, II. The allusion is perhaps to Orion and Plêionê. For $\notin \pi \epsilon \chi$ ' or $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{i} \chi \in \nu$ cf, Schol. N. 2, 16.

$$
\text { 51. }[\text { 52. }]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 73 .
$$



 264. 44). Hygin. Poet. Astron. II. 34, "Aristomachus autem dicit quendam Hyriea fuisse Thebis, Pindarus autem in insula Chio. Hunc autem, cum Iovem et Mercurium hospitio recepisset, petisse ab his, ut sibi aliquid liberorum nasceretur : itaque, quo facilius petitum impetraret, bovem immolasse et his pro epulis apposuisse : quod cum fecisset, poposcisse Iovem et Mercurium quod corium de bove fuisset detractum, et quod fecerant urinae in corium infudisse, et id sub terra poni iussisse : ex quo postea natum puerum, quem Hyrieus e facto Uriona nomine appellaret: sed vetustate et consuetudine factum est ut Orion vocaretur. Hic dicitur Thebis Chium venisse, et Oenopionis filiam Meropen per vinum cupiditate incensus compressisse etc."

$$
\text { 52. }[53 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 74 \text {. }
$$

Tрєұє́тढ ठè $\mu \in \tau \grave{a}$
Плทióvav, ä $\mu a \delta^{\prime}$ av̉т $\omega$ кúvข ( $\left.\lambda є о \nu \tau о \delta a ́ \mu a s.\right)$

$$
\text { 53. }[45 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 75 .
$$


 толи́ßатоу oíт' äбтєоs ỏ $\mu \phi а \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \theta v o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau a ~$

52 Schol. N. 2. 16. Lucian, pro Imag. c. 18, Etym. Magn. p. 675. 33. трєХє́тш Bergk, Böckh $\tau \rho \in \chi$ с $\omega \nu$.

53 Dionysios Halikarn. de Comp. Verb. c. 22, given as an
 The piece was composed for the Athenians.

531 "I $6 \epsilon$ " Böckh with one ms. $\delta \epsilon \hat{\tau} \tau^{\prime}$. Perhaps "I $\tau \epsilon$ ס'. For
$i \delta \epsilon \hat{\nu}=$ ' regard with favor' of. O. 14. 14. For $i \delta \in \imath ̂ \nu \quad \epsilon \nu(\epsilon \in s)$ of. Frag. 100. 9. év Cf. P. 2, 11. For the invocation cf. Aristoph. $N u b$. 563.
 Tdv Xápıv 'A renowned (or 'loud') song. Cf. O. 14. 19, I. 6. 19, 7. 16.

3 óuфa入óv Dissen takes this to be the altar of the Twelve gods in the forum at Athens.

є่ $\nu$ тaîs iepaîs＇A $\theta$ ávats
5 oi $\nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \epsilon \pi a \nu \delta a i \delta a \lambda o ́ \nu \tau^{\prime} \epsilon v ̉ \kappa \lambda$ er ảyopáv．



є่ $\pi \grave{\imath} \kappa \iota \sigma \sigma o \delta e ́ \tau a \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$,
 $\dot{\tau} \pi a ́ \tau \omega \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi a \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \lambda \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu$

ėv＇Aprєią $\mathrm{N} \epsilon \mu$ éa $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu$ oủ $\lambda a \nu \theta a ́ v \epsilon \iota$ ，


 N．6．30．Cf．Soph．Oed．R． 161. ayopáv The old forum below the Pyx，Akropolis and Areopagos．

6 $\lambda \alpha{ }^{2}$ єтє Bergk $\lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．
7 т $\hat{\nu} v$ táap．$\lambda o \imath \beta$ ．Böckh $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \tau^{3}$ Ėapıঠpєттầ $\lambda о \iota \beta a \nu$ ．Bergk têv éapt－
 in apposition with the sentence）．
 Böckh，mss．d $\gamma \lambda$ data．

8 Seúтєpov Perhaps the first occasion was with the dithyramb of which the next fragment is the opening．
 бокбцау．So кıббофброs О．2．27， How．Hymn．26．1，Eur．Phoen． 651．Simon．Frag． 148 ［205］$\pi 9 \lambda-$






10 тóv＇Whom．＇Some place a full stop after v． 9 and a comma after калє́o $\mu \in \nu$ ．$\mu \in ́ v . . . \tau \epsilon$ Cf． 0 ． 4．15．$\pi a \tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ Zeus，while
 For the plural cf．I．4．43，roil $\sigma$ referring only to Achilles，N．1． 58.
$\mu \varepsilon \lambda \pi \varepsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{v}$ For inf．cf．I．7．63， Frag． 7.5.
$11 \%_{\mu}{ }^{2}$ 相 The poet identifies himself with his ode，cf．O，7． 13. Some uss．$\Sigma \varepsilon \mu \epsilon \bar{\lambda} \lambda \eta \nu$ ．

 （ie．the custodian of the sacred palm tree at Nemea，branches from which the victors bore in



 （ $\boldsymbol{\in} \boldsymbol{\in M}$ for ms．ЄM€）．Heyne and his followers suppose Pindar to have been at Nemea in the spring． But if this were so，there is no reason why he should mention it， as the games were in the summer． Of course $\mu$ duty is most naturally the poet．

13 фоเvเкоfeávav Koch from mss．фolvikos éay⿳亠二口丩．Other Bd． before Bergk фoivikos ${ }^{z} \rho p$ os．oi－ X $\theta$ évtos Cf．Luger．1． 10.

14 ésatiooty Cf．N．2． 14 for the meaning＇feel，＇＇feel the influence of＇；and for the number cf．P． 1. 13．Bergk $̇ \pi$ á $\gamma \omega \sigma \iota \nu \notin a \rho$ ．фuTd̀ $\nu \in \kappa-$ $\tau \alpha ́ \rho \in a \mid$ то́тє $\beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha!$ ．





$$
54 .[46 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 76 .
$$

${ }^{3} \Omega$ таì $\lambda \iota \pi т а р а і ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ і о \sigma т є ́ ф а \nu о \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ́ o i ́ \delta ı \mu о \iota, ~$
 $\lambda i \epsilon \theta \rho o \nu$.

$$
\text { * } 55 .[196 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 77 .
$$

＂ $\mathrm{O} \theta_{\iota} \pi a \hat{\iota} \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' А \theta a \nu a i \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \beta a ́ \lambda о \nu \tau о ~ \phi а є \nu \nu a ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa \rho \eta \pi i ̂ \delta^{\prime}$ є̇ $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s$.

$$
\text { * } 56 .[225 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 78 .
$$

$\mathrm{K} \lambda \hat{v} \theta$＇，＇А $\lambda a \lambda a ̀$ Подє́ $\mu$ оv $\theta \dot{\prime} \gamma а т є \rho$, є́ $\gamma \chi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ трооíцьоע，å $\theta$ v́єтає


$$
57 \text { А. } 57 \text { в. }[47.48 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 79 \text { А, в. }
$$

Прі̀ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \rho \pi \epsilon ~ \sigma \chi о \iota \nu о т \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota a ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ a ̉ o \iota \delta a ̀ ~ \delta \iota \theta v \rho a ́ \mu \beta \omega \nu ~$ $\kappa а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma a ̀ \nu ~ к і ́ \beta \delta а \lambda о \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta р ю ́ \pi т о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma \tau о \mu a ́ т \omega \nu . ~$

15 XӨ́sv’Var．lect．хє́ $\rho \sigma$ о⿱亠乂．
$16 \mu$ lyvural The pó $\delta a$ softens the schema Pindaricum（cf．P． 10. 71，O．10．6）．

17 áx 1 ital $\tau$＇Hermann＇s cor－ rection of oix $\nu \epsilon i \tau \epsilon, \dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ ，from Lesbonax，$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ $\sigma \chi \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, p．184， Valcknaer．Bergk $\alpha \chi \in \hat{\imath} \tau^{3}$ after one ms．

54 Schol．Arïstoph．Acharn． 673，Nub．299，Equ．1329，Aristid． 3．341．Cf．Isokr．de Antidosi， 166. Cf．also O．and P．pp．xi，xii．

541 ＇$\Omega$ таட Böckh al＇тe．iooté－ фavor I．e．at the vernal Dionysia， cf，last Frag．v．6．Cf．Aristoph． Acharn． 636 sqq．

55， 56 Plut．de Gl．Athen． c． 7 ．

57 A Strabo 10． 469 （719）， Athênaeos 11． $467 \mathrm{~B}, 10.488 \mathrm{D}$ ， Dionysios Hal．de Comp．Verb．c． 14. Böckh by emendation and combi－ nation gets the text．Dionysos l．c． explains，elot $\delta e ̀$ ot da druous $\psi$ idd̀s
 к．т．$\lambda$ ．Such an ode was ascribed to Lasos of Hermionê，under whom Pindar studied．The Greeks con－ fused the Phoenician sibilants．The sign of $\operatorname{shin} M$ is used for sigma in early Aeolo－Dorian alphabets，while the name od̀y may be borrowed from the Phoenician equivalent for
 Өaîб८ тєv́каия.

$$
57 \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{B}^{4} 80 .
$$

$\mathrm{K} v \beta \dot{\nexists} \lambda a \quad \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$.

$$
\text { 58. }[49 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 81 \text {. }
$$




 5 каї како̀ข ${ }_{\text {є́ }} \mu \mu є \nu а$.

$$
\text { 59. }[50 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 82 .
$$


either shin or sain (the 7th letter, zeta). The sigma of the ordinary Greek alphabet takes the place of shin, while the Greek Xi has the place of samech, but its name sounds as if it might be borrowed from shin. Zeta again has the place of sain but the name of trade. These facts suggest that the ordinary Doric sibilant differed in pronunciation from the Attic and that $\xi<$ sy (Doric future) may have been pronounced more like our $s h$ or German sch than like ks. In this fragment Pindar seems to claim the invention of improvements in the dithyramb. Is $\delta \theta \theta \dot{v} \rho a \mu \beta$ os a dialectic form for $\delta \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \beta o s$, 'skin-chant,' the part - $\alpha \mu \beta$ o- being akin to $\delta \mu \phi \dagger$ ? For Pindar's punming derivation see Frag. 62.

57 в Strabo, lc. Frag. 57 A, whence it appears that this fragmont is from the same dithyramb
as the last.
57 в 1 ката́pXє ty For the aclive see my note on $\dot{d} \pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \in \iota$, N. 4. 46.

57 в 3 atv $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ Cf. O. 7. 5, Soph.
 $\lambda \delta \delta \omega \nu$. Text Hermann.

57 c Philodêmos, $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ єủg $\epsilon \beta$. p. 29 (Gompertz). Bergk's restoratron from a very corrupt passage. Perhaps it is from the same ode as the last two fragments.

58 Aristid. 2. 70.
581 тapá $\mu$ vv So Bergk from two mss. and a Schol. Böckh $\pi a \rho$ ' $a^{a} \mu \mu \nu \nu$, other mss. $\pi a \rho^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu i \nu$, 'between ourselves.'

584 Cf. O. 1. 83.
585 kail kakóv 'And so be a coward.'

59 Schol. P. 2, Inscr. ảyx ${ }^{\text {L- }}$
 cf. Frag. 184.
60. [51.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 83$.


$$
\text { 61. [54.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 84 .
$$


 Phot. 373, 11.

$$
\text { * } 62 .[55 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 85 .
$$

Et. M. 274, 50, $\Delta i \theta \hat{\rho} \rho \alpha \mu \beta o s \ldots \Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o s ~ \delta \grave{e} ~ \phi \eta \sigma i ̀ ~ \lambda v \theta i \rho \alpha \mu-$

 $\pi \lambda є о \nu а \sigma \mu$ о́v.

$$
\text { * } 63 .[56 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 86 .
$$


 $\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Pi \iota v \delta \alpha ́ \rho \varphi$.
V.

## ПРОЕО $\triangle$ IA.

## EIS $\triangle H \Lambda O N$.

64. 65. [58.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 87.88$.
$\Sigma \tau \rho$.


 Bротоі̀

60 Schol. O. 6. 152. Cf. O.6. was shaken by an earthquake, so
90.

64 Philo-Judaeus, de Corrupt. Mundi, 2. p. 511 (Mangey).

643 áкivๆтоv In в.c. 490 Dêlos
unless I. 1 and this 'Prosodiac Paeân' were composed before that date, the epithet means 'unmoved from its place,' as is most likely.
 кvaขéas $\chi$ Өорòs äбтроу.
 ả $\nu$ ย́ $\mu \omega \nu$






## AICINHTAIミ Eİ AФAIAN.

66. [59.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 89$.

 àєîбal;

> EIS $\Delta$ EлФOYミ.
> $* 67 .[60]=.B^{4} 90$.
......Прòs 'O $\lambda v \mu \pi i ́ o v ~ \Delta i o ́ s ~ \sigma \epsilon, ~$



5 ảoíסıдоу Пьєрíסшу трофа́тау.

644 Dêlos was called Asteria and Anaphê.

65 Strabo 10. p. 742 в (485). It is clear from the metre this fragment is from the same poem as the last. The first two verses of 65 answer to the third and fourth of 64 .

652 Kowoyєvís Leto, cf. Hês. Theog. 404-406. Ooaîs mss. Qúots, Bergk $\theta \dot{0} \circ$ и ${ }^{\prime}$.


66 Schol. Aristoph. Equites, 1263, cf. Paus. 2. 30. 3.

662 énárєtpav Artemis Aphaea, a goddess worshipped in Aegina. Cf. imтоб́óa, O. 3. 26.

67 Aristid. 2. 510 (379).
674 Х०р $\widehat{~ S o ~ B e r g k, ~ v u l g . ~}$ $\chi$ 'ै $\rho \psi$, perhaps ' the dancing-place' at Delphi. For the connexion of Aphroditê and the Graces with Delphi cf. P. 6. 2.
68. [61.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 91$.

Porphyr. de Abst. iII. 251, Mívסapos $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ èv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \omega \delta i o o s$

 ling roîs ả̉óroıs) 乌థ̣oเs.

$$
\text { * 69. 70. [93.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 92.93 .
$$

Kєív@ $\mu$ èv Aïтva $\delta \in \sigma \mu o ̀ s ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi i ́ a \lambda o s$ ад $\mu і$ ікєєтаи.

 èv 'A ${ }^{\prime}$ ímoгs тотé.

$$
71 .=B^{4} 94 .
$$

Mє $\epsilon \nu a i ́ a \tau^{\prime}$ ảoı $\delta a ̂ s$.

## VI.

## ПА А $\Theta$ ENIA.

## ПANI 72-77.

72. $[63]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 95$.
' $\Omega$ Пáv, 'Аркабías $\mu \epsilon \delta \epsilon \in \omega \nu$, каì $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu \omega ิ \nu$ ả $\delta u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ ф u ́ \lambda a \xi$, Maтрòs $\mu є \gamma a ́ \lambda a s ~ o ̉ \pi a \delta є ́, ~ \sigma \epsilon \mu \nu a ̂ \nu ~ X a \rho i ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \eta \mu a ~$ $\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu$ ขóv.

69, 70 Strabo 13. 626 ( 930 A). Cf. Julian, Ep. 24. 395.

702 éкатоутака́pavov So Hermann after P. 1. 16 (cf. Schol. Hes. Theog. 311), мss. Tvф $\omega \bar{\nu} \alpha \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta к о \nu-$

такє́ф ${ }^{2} \lambda_{0} \nu$.
71 Cramer, An. Par. 3. 292. 26.

72 Eustath. Prooem, 27. Schol. P. 3. 139 .

$$
\text { * 73. }[66 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 96 .
$$

' $\Omega \mu a ́ \kappa a \rho$, övтє $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda a s$ Өєov̂ кúva таעтoסaтòv $\kappa а \lambda є ́ о \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' О \lambda u ́ \mu \pi \iota o \iota . ~$

$$
\text { * 74. }[65 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 98 .
$$

Schol. Theokr. v. 14, $\tau \grave{v} v \Pi a ̂ v a ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a ̉ \kappa \tau \iota o v-\tau \iota \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$



$$
{ }^{*} 75 .[64 .]=B^{4} 97 .
$$

Tò $\sigma a v \tau o \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \gamma \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \varsigma . ~$

$$
\text { * 76. [67.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 99 .
$$





$$
\text { * 77. }[68 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 100 .
$$

Serv. Virg. Georg. I. 16, "Pana Pindarus ex Apolline et Penelopa in Lyco (Lycaeo) monte editum scribit, qui a Lycaone rege Arcadiae locus (Lycaeus) mons dictus est: alii ex Mercurio et Penelope natum, comitem feras solitum e cubilibus excitare, et ideo capripedem figuratum esse etc."




73 Aristot. Rhet. 2. 24.
731 таvтoסamóv The meaning which $\pi a \nu \tau 0 \delta a \pi \delta s$ obviously bears Aristoph. Ran. 289 is 'taking all kinds of forms'; but for this passage 'universal' is still better. Pindar may however apply Em-pusa-like attributes to the god who was often the author of terror.

The name кúwv is not inappropriate to the god of flocks who was also a hunter, when he is spoken of as an attendant.

75 Schol. Theok. 1. 2, inter-
 $\gamma \lambda a ́ \zeta \epsilon t s$ for $\kappa \lambda a ́ \xi \in \iota s$ cf. $\gamma \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \alpha$ for $\kappa \lambda \omega \kappa у а$.

## A $\Pi$ O $\Lambda \Lambda \Omega \mathrm{NI}$.

* 78. 79. [70.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4}$ 101. 102.

 ひ̈тє $\rho$ ยै $\sigma \tau a$,
$\kappa a i ̀ \mu \nu \chi$ о̀̀s $\delta \iota \nu a ́ \sigma \sigma a \tau o ~ \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~ к \rho \eta \pi i ̂ \delta a s ~ a ̉ \lambda \sigma \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$,

.......עaoтó入ov $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \nu ~ \delta а \pi \epsilon ́ \delta o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ o ́ \mu о к \lambda є ́ a . ~$

$$
80 .[62 .]=B^{4} 103 .
$$

Schol. Arist. Acharn. 720, 'A $\gamma$ opá $\zeta \epsilon \epsilon v$ èv ảyopâ $\delta$ sarpí $\beta \epsilon \iota v$




$$
\text { 81. }[69 .]=B^{4} 104 \text {. }
$$

Schol. Theokr. II. 10, Hívoapós $\phi \eta \sigma \iota v$ èv $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ к є \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu$ évous



## VII.

## $\Upsilon \Pi O P X H M A T A$.


82. [71. 72.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 105$.


78, 79 Strabo 9.412, 413.
783 Sıváббaтo Qy. d̀ $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma-$ $\sigma \epsilon \tau \%$ ? $\quad$ a $\lambda \lambda$ о́ $\mu \epsilon v o s$ Cf. P. 5. 83, 7. 3.

784 Птwtov Bergk, vulg. IIt $\dot{0}$ ov. The ode seems to have
celebrated the foundation of an oracle and shrine near Akraephia, between Mt Ptôon and the Lake Kôpâis.

82 Schol. N. 7. 1; Schol. P. 2. 127; Schol. Aristoph. Aves, 925.

о́ $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu \nu \mu є \pi$ т́́тєр, ктїбтор Aïтvas.


$5 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma \notin \beta a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \ldots . .$.

$$
\text { 83. }[73 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 106 \text {. }
$$



इкúpıaı $\delta^{\prime}$ és ă $\mu \epsilon \lambda \xi \iota \nu$ خ $\lambda a ́ \gamma o v s$

 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \lambda а о к \dot{\rho} \rho \pi о и$

©HBAIOİ Eİ HAION EKAEIUANTA.

$$
\text { * 84. }[74 .]=B^{4} 107 .
$$

 д $\mu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$,



Cf. Plato, Phaedr. 236 d, Meno 76 D.

823 It is not certain that this line followed the last immediately. इrpát $\omega v$ Hieron's charioteer when he won the victory celebrated by P. 2, to whom he had given the mules. Here Pindar hints that a chariot also would be acceptable. Note the absence of any formal indication of the simile.

83 Athênaeos 1.28 A , clearly from the same poem as Frag. 82.

831 Cf. Soph. Aj. 8.
835 \%̈ $\pi \lambda \alpha$ 'Shields,' of. O. 7. 83.

836 Cf. O. 4. 10, note.

84 Dionys. Hal. de adm. vi dic. Demosth. c. 7. Cf. Boetticher, Annal. Antiqu. 1853, p. 184. The various proposed emendations of the text are given in Bergk, ed. 4. Ideler supposes that the eclipse in question occurred at 2 p.m., April 30, в.c. 463 . With this fragment compare Archilochos Frag. 74.

841 द́ $\mu \dot{\alpha}, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$. Mss. $̇ \epsilon \eta \hat{\eta} s \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \mu^{\prime}$ ä $\tau \epsilon \rho$ д $\mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$. Bergk $\tau \ell \pi о \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \kappa о \pi^{3}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega, \dot{\epsilon} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{a} \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\partial} \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$; $\pi 0 \lambda \hat{v}-$ бкот' Cf. Il. 3. 277.

842 dorpor Vocative in apposition with $\dot{\alpha} k \tau$ is. Cf. Philostratos Ep. 53 (72, p. 949), 0.1. 6.

843 ттаvóv Qy. $\pi p a \pi l \delta \omega \nu$ ?



ả入入á $\sigma \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Delta i o ́ s, ~ i \pi т \pi о \sigma o ́ a ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s, ~ i к є \tau \epsilon v ́ \omega, ~$
 та́㇒коьขоข тépas．
$\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \sigma \hat{a} \mu a$ фépets tıvós，$\hat{\eta}$
$10 \kappa a \rho \pi о \hat{v} \phi \theta_{i}^{\prime} \sigma \iota \nu, \hat{\eta} \nu \iota \phi \in \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \theta_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu 0 \varsigma$



ข゙ठатє 乌ако́тш סєєро́v，


ỏ ōoф́́po $\mu a \iota$＊oủ $\delta \grave{\iota} \nu$ ő $\tau \iota$＊
$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ нє́тa тєíбонає．

$$
85 .[75 .]=B^{4} 108 .
$$

Єєô̂ סè $\delta \epsilon i \xi a \nu \tau o s ~ a ̀ \rho \chi a ̀ \nu ~$
 тєлєขтаí $\tau \epsilon \kappa а \lambda \lambda i ́ o \nu \epsilon \varsigma$.

844 oodlas Especially augury and foreknowledge．

846 è̉ầ＇To bring on some－ what more strange－and－dreadful．＇ Cf．P．4． 155.

847 immooóa $\theta$ tós Blass．mss．
 i $\pi \pi$ rous $\tau \in$ Oods ik．

848 тра́ттьเs Vulg．трáтоьo． ＇Turn the universal portent to un－ troubled prosperity for Thebes．＇

8410 oéévos Cf．I．3． 2.
84 14－16 So Hermann．The asterisks mark the lacuna which he filled up．For the sentiment of．

Eur．Phoen． 894 єโs $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \tau a \mid$ т̀ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ，el $\chi \rho \eta \eta^{\prime}, \pi \in l \sigma o \mu a l$ ． $\tau i \gamma \alpha \rho \pi d \theta \omega$ ；Cic．ad Fam．6．2．2， Plin．Epp．6．20． 17 possem gloriari non gemitum mihi，non uocem parum fortem excidisse，nisi me cum omni－ bus，omnia mecum perire misero magno tamen mortalitatis solacio credidissem．

85 Epist．Socrat．1；Aristides 2．571．Cf．P．10． 10.

852 t̀v For és of．P．2． 11. $\pi \rho a ̂ y o s ~ ' G r e a t ~ u n d e r t a k i n g, ' ~ c f . ~ N . ~$ 3．6，Aesch．Sept．c．Th． 2.

$$
\text { 86. }[228 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 109 .
$$

 є́คєvvaनát由 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda a ́ \nu o \rho o s ~ ‘ A \sigma u \chi i ́ a s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi a i \delta \rho o ̀ v ~ \phi a ́ o s, ~$ $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \pi \rho a \pi i \delta o s ~ є ̇ \pi i к к о т о \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon \lambda \omega ́ \nu$,

87. $[76]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 110$.
 $\tau а \rho \beta \epsilon i ̂ \pi \rho о \sigma i o ́ v \tau a ~ \nu \iota \nu ~ к а р \delta i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \sigma \omega ̂ \varsigma . ~$
88. $[77]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 111$.
 $\nu \omega \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$
т $\rho a \chi \grave{v}$ ค́óтa入ov, тé nos $\delta^{\prime}$ ảeípats $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ * * $\sigma \tau \iota \beta a \rho a ̀ s$ є́ $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \rho a \xi € \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \rho a ́ s$,


$$
\text { 89. }[78 .]=B^{4} 112 .
$$



$$
\text { 90. }[79 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 113 .
$$





86 Stob. Flor, 58. 9. Cf. Polybios 4. 31, where we are told that in this poem Pindar advised his countrymen not to resist the Perstans.
$862{ }^{2}$ Aruxlas Cf. P. 8. 1.
863 From this line it might be inferred that Pindar was advising the patriotic party to prefer submission to the Persians to intestine strife.

87 Stob. Flor. 50. 3. Eustath. p. 841, 32 .
 $\pi \in \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu V . l$. द́ $\mu \pi \epsilon \ell \rho \omega \nu$.

88 Erôtian, p. 74 (Franz). See Frag. 145.

883 aị́v Erôt. loc. cit. ס $\nu \omega \tau \iota a i ̂ o s ~ \mu v e \lambda o ́ s$. Perhaps this fragmont is on the same subject as Frag. 145.

89 Athênaeos 14.631 c.

$$
\text { 91. }[80 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 114 .
$$



 $\kappa \tau \lambda$.

$$
\text { 92. }[81 .]=B^{4} 115 .
$$




$$
\text { * 93. 94. }[82 .]=B^{4} 116.117 .
$$



```
* * *
```



## VIII.

## ЕГК $\Omega$ MIA.

$\Theta H P \Omega N I$ AKPATANTIN $\Omega \iota$. 95. 96.
95. $[83]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 118$.

Bov̀лощaı $\pi a i ́ \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' E \lambda \lambda a ́ v \omega \nu . . . . . . . . . ~$

$$
\text { * 96. [84.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 119 .
$$






93, 94 Hêphaest. p. 78 (46). Examples of Pindaric hendecasyllables.

95 Schol. O. 2. 16. mss. read $\pi a . \delta \in \cup \sigma \iota \nu$.

96 Schol. O. 2. 16. From the same enkômion as Frag. 95.

961 ลُv V. $l$. å้v.
962 Cf. P. 12. 2.
963 For the theme cf. 0.3. 39, 40.

964 véфos Apparently suggested by the shower of gold at Rhodes, cf. I. 6. 5 note.
F. II.

## ANEヨANAP $\Omega$ ィ AMYNTA．97． 98.

$$
\text { 97. }[85 .]=B^{4} 120 .
$$

＇О $\lambda \beta i \omega \nu$ ó $\mu \dot{\omega} \nu v \mu \epsilon \quad \Delta a \rho \delta \alpha \nu \iota \delta a ̂ \nu$, $\pi a i ̂ ~ \theta \rho a \sigma u ́ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \varsigma ~ ' A \mu \nu ́ \nu \tau a$.
＊98．［86．］＝ $\mathrm{B}^{4} 121$.

 $\theta \nu a ́ \sigma \kappa є \iota ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \sigma \iota \gamma a \theta$ èv ка入òv eैpyov．

## IX．

ミ KO AI A.

ZENO $\Omega$ iNTI KOPIN＠IR．

$$
\text { 99. }[87 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 122 .
$$

Поли́gєขає עєávıסєऽ，à $\mu \phi i ́ \pi о \lambda о \iota$



97 Schol．N．7．1，Dion Chry－ sost．Prat．2，p． 25 （Vol．1．28，ed． Ember）．

98 Dion．Hal．de adm．vi die． Demosth．c．26．From the same enkômion as Frag． 97.

981 For sentiment of．N． 3. 29.

982 тотเ廿av́є Cf．P．9．120， I．3． 29.

983 Cf．N．4．6，I．3．58． $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ бเya0́tv So Barnes．Mss．$\delta^{\prime}$＇̇ $\pi \iota-$ $\tau \alpha \theta \epsilon \in \nu$ ．Sylburg，Böckh є́ $\pi i \lambda \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ ．

99 Athênaeos 13． 573 0．Part of a skolion performed at the temple of＇Aфposiт $\begin{gathered}\text { Oúpavia when }\end{gathered}$

Xenophon of Corinth offered a sacrifice before competing for the Olympian games，and according to Corinthian custom engaged a num－ ber of єंтaîpal，iepóou入力o to attend the ceremony．Such lєpójouno are still found in connexion with temples in India．The skolia of Pindar seem to have differed from ordinary drinking songs in being choric，or at least accompanied by a choric dance，executed in this case by 100 étaîpac．

991 Ho入úǵvau For this fermi－ nine cf．N．3． 2.
 5 עó $\eta \mu a$ тоттà $\nu$＇Aфроסítav，

ن́ $\mu i ̂ \nu$ ä $\nu \in v \theta^{\prime}$ ảтауорías є้торє $\nu$ ， $\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \beta^{\prime}$.

 $\sigma v ̀ \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma к a ́ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda o ́ \nu . . . . . . . . . ~$
$\Sigma_{\tau \rho .} \gamma^{\prime}$.

 छvváopov そ̌vvaîs ruvaıそiv．

$\Sigma_{\tau \rho} . \delta^{\prime}$.



©EOEEN $\Omega \iota$ TEN $\triangle I \Omega \iota$ ．

$$
{ }^{*} 100 .[88 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 123 .
$$

$\Sigma \tau \rho$.
 ar $\lambda \iota \kappa i ́ a$.

995 vó $\eta \mu$ a＇Soaring in soul．＇ Cf．Soph．$A j$ ．693．mortáv The poet seems to adopt the dialect of Corinth．Cf．O．13．3，note（ fin ．）．

996 ar vive＇di $\pi$ ．So Bergk or $\epsilon ̇ \pi a \gamma o p l a s$. Böckh ${ }^{i} \mu \mu \nu \nu$ ar $\tau^{\prime} d \nu \omega \theta \in \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ．The goddess is wont to make no excuse for allowing you \＆c．； ie．she deems it proper．

998 む̈pas．．．карто́v Cf．P． 9. 37，N．8．1．ámò．．．$\delta \rho \in ́ \pi r$ ．Tmesis． Causative middle．

999 The constraint is the en－ thusiasm excited by the goddess． 8 ＇＇For．＇
9913 Si $\delta$ ágaucv Frequentative aorist．＇We prove．＇

9915 éxaróyputov Here yvîov probably means the whole body，as in N．7．73，9． 24.

100 Athên．13． 601 C ．For Theoxenos cf．O．and P．p．viii．

1001 Cf．Frag． 104.
 бракєія

ทै б८ठápov кє $\chi a ́ \lambda \kappa є ч т а \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \iota \nu a \nu ~ к а р \delta i ́ a \nu ~$
＇А $\nu \tau$ ．
 $\beta \lambda \epsilon \phi$ ápov
 ［ $\psi v \chi \rho a ̀ \nu] ~ \phi о \rho є і ̂ \tau а \iota ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ v ~ \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu . ~$


＇Е $\pi$ ．

 є́s ${ }^{\eta} \beta \quad \beta \quad$.

$\kappa а i ̀ \mathrm{Xápıs} \mathrm{viòv} \mathrm{'A} \mathrm{\gamma} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\sigma ı} \mathrm{\lambda áov}$.
$\Theta P A \Sigma Y B O Y \Lambda \Omega \iota$ AKPATANTIN $\Omega \iota$ ．

$$
\text { 101. }[89.94 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 124
$$

${ }^{\top} \Omega \Theta \rho a \sigma v ́ \beta o v \lambda$＇，є’ $\rho a \tau \alpha \hat{\nu}$ oै $\chi \eta \mu$＇ảoı $\delta \hat{\alpha} \nu$
 то́таєбі́ข тє үдขкєро̀

1002 щapцарvそ̧ofoas Edd． $\mu a \rho \mu \alpha \rho \iota \zeta$ ．，but one MS．gives text， for which ef．$\mu a \rho \mu a \rho v \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ．
$1004 \mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda a \iota v a v$ Cf．Aristoph． Ran． 470.

1005 \＆uxpẫ Oxymoron and causative use ；＇chilling．＇

1006 ßıalus＇Unnaturally，＇ cf．Plat．Tim． 64 d，Aristot．Eth． Nic． 3 хрŋuatıбтท̀s（ $\beta$ los）Blalós tis ̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ．үиvaкєiఱ Either alter to रuעatкєion $\theta \rho a \alpha^{\prime}$ os or take the text as a Pindaric dative with $\theta \epsilon \rho \alpha$－ $\pi \epsilon \cup \dot{\prime} \omega \nu$ ，＇in attendance on bold－faced women．＇

1007 廿uxpáv Probably cor－ rupted by the proximity of $\psi v \chi \rho \hat{q}$,
v． 5.
1008 тâб $\delta^{\prime}$ ধкать Mss．$\delta^{\prime}$ ยккать． Bergk $\pi \hat{a} s \delta \notin a \tau$ ．＇By the influence of Aphroditê．＇Eגa ipâv Bergk．
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \hat{\alpha} \nu$（MSS．$\mu \epsilon \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ）is out of order．Qy．eै $\rho \gamma o \nu \mu \in \lambda_{l} \sigma \sigma a \hat{\nu}$ ？

1009 És Cf．Frag．53． 1.
100 10， 11 Qy．Пєь⿴囗人，．．．Xápıv viós？

101 Athênaeos 11． $480 \mathrm{c}, 14$. 641 B．Böckh thinks that this skolion was sung at a feast in celebration of a Panathênaic vic－ tory，perhaps that mentioned I． 2. 19.

1012 ย่v $\xi v \nu \omega ิ$＇At once．＇
 кє้́т $\rho \frac{\nu}{}$.




$$
\text { 102. }[91 .]=B^{4} 125 .
$$





$$
\text { * 103. [92.] = } \mathrm{B}^{4} 126 .
$$




$$
\text { * 104. }[236 .]=B^{4} 127 .
$$

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu \dot{a} \rho \iota \theta \mu \circ \hat{v}$ ठíшкє, $\theta \nu \mu \epsilon ́, \pi \rho \hat{a} \xi \iota \nu$.

1013 'A日avalara The best kind of kylix was manufactured in Attica. F. Bless, Mus. Rhen. 19.306, makes aंo८ $\delta \hat{\alpha} \nu$, eli $\eta$, карт $\hat{\psi}$ the ends of the lines of a three-lined strophe, and joins on to this fragment Frag. 203.

102 Athên. 14. 635 D.
1021 tóv The $\beta$ áp $\beta$ ctos or нá ${ }^{\prime}$ ads.

1023 ảvт (фӨоyүov 'Of opposite sound.' Terpander, oppressed by the shrillness of the $\pi \eta \kappa \tau i s$, conceived the idea of the deep-toned $\beta$ áp $\beta \iota \tau o s$. For this sense of $\dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda d s$ cf. $\dot{\cup} \psi \delta \phi \omega \nu 0$.

103 Athên. 12. 512 D. From a poem in praise of Hero of Syracuse. Böckh refers it to the skolion, whence comes Frag. 102.

104 Athên. 13.601 o. Cf. Frag. 100. 1.

1041 кौخ Cf. I. 1. 64. हрать Bergk conjectured ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, and of at the end of the verse, referring it to Frag. 100.

1042 'Do not pursue amours when older than the (natural) tale (of years).' For construction cf. I. 3. 31. $\pi \rho a \hat{\xi}$, See L. and S. s.v. II. 3 .

$$
\text { 105. }[90 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 128 .
$$

Xápıтás т' ’Aфроסибí $\omega \nu$ є́ $\rho \dot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu$,
 ко́ттаßод.

## X.

## $\Theta \mathrm{PH} \mathrm{NO}$ I.

* 106. 107. [95.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 129,130$.
 фоı $\nu \iota \kappa о \rho o ́ \delta o \iota s \delta^{\prime}$ èv̀̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \mu \omega ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о a ́ \sigma \tau \iota o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~$


 äтая тє́ $\theta a \lambda \epsilon \nu$ ö $\lambda \beta$ ßоऽ.
ó $\delta \mu \grave{a} \delta^{\circ}$ є́paтòv катà $\chi \hat{\omega} \rho о \nu$ кíठvaтаı
 $\beta \omega \mu о i \bar{s}$.
 $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho о i ̀ ~ \delta \nu о ф є \rho a ̂ s ~ \nu v \kappa т o ̀ s ~ \pi о т а \mu о i ́ . . . . . . . . . ~$

$$
\text { 108. }[96 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 131 .
$$



105 Athên. 10.427 D.
1052 Xццápш мвs. хєцца́рч, Böckh $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu a ́ \rho \rho \varphi$. Text, Bergk comparing Polyb. 29. 1.

106, 107, 108 Plutarch, Cons. ad Apoll. c. 35, de Occulto Viv. c. 7 ( $\sigma \kappa v \theta$ (- for $\sigma \kappa<a \rho-$ ).

1061 Contrast O. 2.61, where the vernal equinox is perpetual. Perhaps the poet could have reconciled the two statements.

1063 бкıapóv Hermann.

Böckh $\sigma \kappa \iota a \rho a ̂$.
$1067 \mu$ เуvúvт $7 v$ 'Since they are ever mingling.' Cf. P. 8. 43 , 85.

107 Metre of vv. 6, 7 of 106. $\beta \lambda \eta \times \rho 01$ 'Sluggish.' Cf. Hor. Od. 2. 14, 17 visendus ater flumine languido | Cocytos.

108 From another thrênos, Plut. Vit. Rom. c. 28.

1081 入uбitovov After this word Böckh inserts $\mu \in \tau a \nu i \sigma \sigma o \nu \tau a \iota$.


 $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ध่v $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i ̂ s ~ o ̉ \nu \epsilon i ́ p o \iota s ~$
$5 \delta \epsilon i \kappa \nu v \sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ '่ $\phi \in ́ \rho \pi \sigma \iota \sigma a \nu \chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \rho i \sigma \iota \nu$.

$$
{ }^{*} \text { 109. [97.] }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 132 .
$$

$\Psi \nu \chi a i ̀ ~ \delta ’ ~ a ̉ \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ v i \pi o v \rho a ́ v ı o \iota ~$
 viтò ఢ̌єúr入aıs ảфúктоьs какю̂v.



$$
\text { * } 110 .[98 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 133 .
$$


 à $\nu \delta \iota \delta o \hat{\imath} \psi v \chi a ̀ s ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$,
 тє $\mu$ é $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau о \iota$

1084 траббо́vtwv Cf. N. 1. 26. For sentiment cf. Aesch. Eum.
 $\pi \rho u ́ v \epsilon \tau a l$, Cic. Div. 1. 30. Pindar attached great importance to dreams (Paus. 9. 23). These views as to the future state are probably due to Heraklitos or Pythagoras.

1085 Reveal the (correct) judgment which will be held in the future state as to things pleasant and painful.

109 Theodôrêtos, Gr. Affect. Curatio, 8, p. 599 c ; Clemens Alex. Strom. 9, p. 640, 22. Dissen suspects the genuineness of this fragment. Prof. Seymour however shows that the sentiments, if not found elsewhere in Pindar, are classical, by quoting Plato, Phaedo $81 \mathrm{c} \dot{\eta}$ rot-
 $\epsilon l s \tau \delta \nu \dot{\delta} \rho a \tau \delta \nu \tau \delta \pi \sigma \nu \ldots \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \eta_{-}$
$\mu a \tau a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а і ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \tau a ́ \phi o u s ~ \kappa v \lambda เ \nu \delta o v \mu e ́ v \eta ~$ $\ldots(\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \phi \alpha u ́ \lambda \omega \nu \quad \psi v \chi a l)$ ait $\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\kappa a \kappa \eta$ s oư $\quad \eta s$, and the epigram on those who fell at Potidaea (Corp. Inscr. Att. 442) aiөض̀p $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \psi v \chi$ às $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\epsilon} \xi a \tau 0, \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \alpha \quad \delta \epsilon \grave{ } \quad \chi \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, and Epicharmos (Plut. Consol. ad Apoll. 15) $\gamma \hat{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in i s \gamma_{\hat{a} \nu, \pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \alpha} \delta^{\prime} a \nu \omega$.

1092 үala Locative, cf. N. 10. 35.

1095 ца́кара Note this use of the singular $=\theta \in \delta \nu$.

110 Plato, Meno, p. 81 в.
1101 ỗı Cf. O. 13. 29, P. 4. 21, 37. тoıváv Cf. Il. 9. 633. $\pi \epsilon ́ v \theta \in o s$ Euphemistic for ${ }^{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau l a s$.

1102 ย̇vátw The reference has been thought to be to the exile of a homicide.

1103,4 MSS. $\psi v \chi \grave{\alpha} \nu \ldots \tau \omega ิ \nu$.
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ к а \lambda \epsilon ข ิ \nu \tau a \iota$.
111. [99.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 134$.

## Ev่ $\delta a \iota \mu o ́ \nu \omega \nu$



$$
\text { 112. }[100 .]=B^{4} 135
$$

Пє́фขє ठє̀ трєîऽ каі $\delta є ́ \kappa ’ ~ a ̈ \nu \delta р а \varsigma . ~ т є т р а ́ т џ ~ \delta ’ ~ a u ̉ т o ̀ s ~$ $\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$.

$$
\text { * 113. }[101 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 136 .
$$


 $\sigma \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ i.

$$
{ }^{*} 114 .[102 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 137 .
$$

 ßíov $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ข \tau \alpha ́ v$,
oî $\delta \epsilon \nu$ $\delta \epsilon$ ̇̀ $\delta \iota o \sigma \delta o \tau o \nu$ ả $\rho \chi a ́ \nu$.

$$
\text { 115. }[103 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 138
$$

Antiatt. in Bekk. An. 1. 99, 2: "Нто८ ойк ${ }^{*} \rho \chi$ оv, ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ítoтаббó $\mu \in v o v \cdot ~ \Pi i ́ v \delta a \rho o s ~ \Theta \rho \eta ́ v o t s . ~$

$$
116 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 139
$$

"Еขтє $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho v \sigma a \lambda a \kappa a ́ t o v ~ т \epsilon к \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \Lambda a \tau o v ̂ s ~ a ̉ o \iota \delta a i ̀ ~$


111 Stobaeos, Flor. 103. 6 Kıótov, cf. I. 3. 23. (Serm. 249, p. 821, Wechel). b̊ßos Vulg. $8 \lambda$ ßıos. Cf. N. 8. 17.

112 Scholl. O. 1. 127, Il. 10. 252. $\pi \epsilon \delta$ d́O $\eta$ Frag. 116. 8. 0. 1. 76.

114 Clemens Alex. Strom. 3. 518. On an Athenian who had been initiated at Eleusis. Bergk suggests Hippokrates. кeiv' $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mathrm{\sigma}^{\prime}$
 коІ $\lambda a \nu \mid$ єicıv. $\quad$ liov Lobeck

115 Cf. N. 6. 5.
116 Schol. Eurip. Rhes. 892. The general sense is that paeâns pertain to Apollo and Artemis, some other form of song to some other or others, but thrênoi to three Muses; Urania, mother of Linos; Terpsichorê, mother of Hy menaeos; and Kalliopê, mother of Iâlemos by Apollo, and of Orpheus by Oeagros.
 ．．．．．．$\sigma \omega \prime \mu a \tau$ ảтоф $\theta \iota \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu$.
 à $\delta^{~ ' \Upsilon \mu e ́ v a \iota o \nu, ~ o ̂ \nu ~ є ̇ \nu ~ \gamma a ́ \mu o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi \rho о і ̈ \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu . . . ~}$ ．．．．$\sigma$ v̀v $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau о \nu ~ \lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \nu$,


viòv Oiárpou（ $\tau \epsilon, \chi \rho v \sigma a ́ o \rho ' ~ ' O \rho \phi є ́ a) . .$.

## XI．

## E引 A $\Delta H \Lambda \Omega N$ EI $\Delta \Omega N$ ．

$$
\text { 117. }[104 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 140
$$

Tí $\theta \epsilon o ́ s ; ~ o ̂ ~ \tau \iota ~ т o ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 118. }[105 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 141
$$

Өєòs ó тà та́עта тєú $\omega \nu$ ßротоîs каi $\chi$ व́pıv ảoıठ̂á $\phi \cup \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \ell$.

$$
\text { 119. }[106 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 142
$$

 $\nu ข \kappa т o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \mu i ́ a \nu т о \nu ~ o ̋ \rho \sigma а \iota ~ ф a ́ o s, ~$ $\kappa є \lambda a \iota \nu \epsilon \phi \in ́ ̈ ̈ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa о ́ т є \iota ~ к а \lambda u ́ \psi а \iota ~ \kappa а Ө а р о ̀ \nu ~$ д́ $\mu \in ́ \rho a s ~ \sigma e ́ \lambda a s . ~$

1163 Td $\delta$ é＇And again．＇ tpeîs mss．only give $\tau \rho^{3}$ ．Some equivalent of Moíaut vî̀ follows．

1166 o̊v ėv үápotat mss．


1167 mss．$\sigma \nu \bar{\mu} \quad \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \lambda^{\lambda} \beta \epsilon \nu$. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \chi$ ．，к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．Pindar alludes either to the death of Hymenaeos when singing a nuptial song（Serv．Verg． Aen．4．127）or in ipsis nuptivs in lecto geniali．

1168 ふّнобо́入ч Hermann．ms． ס．．．$\%$ ． $\theta$ ө́̀тои．

1169 The words in brackets are Bergk＇s from Schol．17．15． 256.

117， 118 （to $\beta$ potois）．Euseb． Praep．Ev．13． 688 c（13）．［Clem．
 $\pi a ̂ \nu$ ．］

118 Also Didymos Alex．de Trin．3．1，p． 320 ．фuтéєє Didy－ mos，l．c．，фогт $\frac{1}{\prime} \in l$.

119 Clem．Alex．Strom．5．708， Euseb．Praep．Ev，13． 674 в， Theodôrêt．Gr．Affect．Curatio， 6. 89， 27.

$$
\text { 120. }[107 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 143 .
$$

 $\pi o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \tau ’$ aै $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \iota, ~ \beta a \rho v \beta o ́ a \nu$


$$
\text { 121. }[108 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 144 .
$$

'E入aбíßpòтa тaî ‘Ре́as.

$$
\text { 122. }[109 .]=B^{4} 145 .
$$



$$
\text { 123. }[112 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 146 .
$$


 і'ऽєац.

$$
\text { 124. }[114 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 147 .
$$



$$
\text { 125. }[115 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 148 .
$$

'O $\rho \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau$ ' à $\gamma \lambda a i ̂ a s ~ a ̀ \nu a ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu, ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho v \phi a ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \rho ' ~ " А \pi о \lambda \lambda o \nu$.

$$
\text { 126. }[116 .]=B^{4} 149 .
$$



$$
\text { 127. }[118 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 150 .
$$



$$
\text { 128. }[119 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 151 .
$$



120 Plutarch, de Superst. c. 6, 4. $a d v$. Stoicos, c. 31.

121 Schol. Aristoph. Equit. 624. Cf. O. 4. 1 and 2. 12.

122 Aristid. 1. 11 (8).
123 Schol. Il. 24. 100 (äт $\epsilon \rho$ for ä $\tau \epsilon$ ), Plutarch, Qu. Symp. 1. 2.

124 Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 383.
125 Athên. 1. 22 в.
126 Plut. de EI ap. Delph.
c. 21 .

127 Eustath. Il. 9. 44.
128 Eustath. Il. 9. 40.

$$
\text { 129. }[266 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 152 .
$$


є’ $\mu \grave{a}$ रोvкєрю́тєроя ò $\mu \phi \dot{\text { á }}$
130．$[125]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 153$.
 áqעò̀ ф＇́vyos ótт́́pas．

$$
\text { 131. }[126 .]=B^{4} 154 .
$$

＇Е入aфрàv китápı $\sigma \sigma o \nu$ фı入éєıv，

 $\pi \epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu$ ס＇oủ火 ë̀ $\lambda a \chi o \nu$ oưסè $\sigma \tau a \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ ．

132．［127．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 155$.

 EủӨvuiaq $\tau \varepsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ єï $\eta \nu$ ， тоиิт’ aìт $\eta \mu i \quad \sigma \epsilon$ ．

$$
\text { 133. }[57 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 156 .
$$

 ồ Maдєáyovos ${ }^{\text {é }} \theta \rho \epsilon \psi \epsilon \mathrm{Naï} \mathrm{\delta os} \mathrm{ảкоítas}$ $\Sigma_{\epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \nu o ́ s . ~}^{\text {．}}$

134．$[128]=.B^{4} 157$.
 $\kappa о \mu \pi \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.

129 Cram．An．Ox．1．285． 19.
130 Plutarch，de Is．et Osir． c．35．vouóv＇Grove，＇ef．Frag． 131.

131 Plutarch，de Exil．c． 9.
1311．фレ入éєเv＇Be contented with．＇
$1312 \pi \epsilon p i \delta a i ̂ v$＇Around Mt

Ida，＇Crete was celebrated for cy－ press－groves，cf．Plato，Legg．p． 625. Perhaps from a skolion．

132 Athên．5． 191 F．
133 Paus．3．25，2．
134 Schol．Aristoph．Nub． 223. Seilênos is addressing the Phrygian youth Olympos．

135．$[129]=.B^{4} 158$.
Taîs iєрaîбı $\mu \epsilon \lambda i \sigma \sigma a \iota s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \pi \epsilon \tau a \iota$.
136．$[132]=.B^{4} 159$.


$$
137 .=B^{4} 160 .
$$


138．$[134]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 161$.
．．．．．．．．．Oí ${ }^{i} \mu \notin \nu$
катшка́ра $\delta є \sigma \mu$ оíбı $\delta є ́ \delta є \nu \tau а \iota . . .$.

$$
139=\mathrm{B}^{4} 162 .
$$

Пítvàtes Өoà $\nu$
$\kappa \lambda i \mu a \kappa$＇Є่s ov̉раעò̀ aimúv．
140．$[137]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 163$.
＇A入入a入oфóvovs é $\pi a ́ \xi a \nu \tau o ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \chi a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu i ̀ ~ \sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a u ̀ \tau o i ̂ s . ~$
141．$[142]=.B^{4} 164$.
Фı入ó $\mu a \chi$ оу 才є́vos є̇к Пєрбє́os．
142．$[146]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 165$.

143．［147．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 166$.
 oìpov，

135 Schol．P．4． 104.
136 Dionys．Hal．de Orator． Ant．c． 2.

137 Stob．Flor．126． 2.
138 Schol．Aristoph．Pax 153.
139 Cramer，An．Ox．1．201． 14.
140 Apollôn．Dysk．de Synt． 2.
179 （Bekker）．

141 Athên．4． 154 F．
142 Plutarch，Amator．c．15， and de Defect．Oracl．c．11．The subject is Dryads．

143 Athên．11． 476 B．
1431 คீıтáv Cf．P．1．10，my note．

 тívovtes è $\pi \lambda$ á̧ovto.

$$
\text { 144. }[148 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 167 .
$$




$$
\text { 145. }[150 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 168 .
$$



 каєр仑̂ хро́доs.

$$
\text { 146. }[151 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 169 .
$$

Nó $\mu o s$ ó $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ßaбı $\lambda \epsilon \grave{s}$
$\theta \nu a \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \theta a \nu a ́ т \omega \nu ~$

iттєрта́та $\chi$ хьрі• тєкцаіродаь




$$
\text { 147. }[154 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 170 .
$$

Пávтa $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \iota \nu$ êcaтóv.

144 Schol. Apoll. Rhod. 1. 57.
1442 Text Böckh. mss. ol̀ $\chi$ єтaь $\chi$. or $\omega^{\chi} \chi \in \tau^{\prime}$ els $\chi \theta \delta \nu a$. Kaeneus, one of the Lapithae, whose son Korônos entertained Hêrakles when that hero devoured a whole ox, bones and all. To this feat of gluttony the next fragment refers.

145 Athênaeos 10. 411 B. See above, and Frag. 88. Cf. Philostr. Imag. 2. 24. Dr Verrall proposed

 mass in the skull." Journal of Philology, Vol. 9, p. 122.

146 Plato, Gorgias, p. 484 B, Aristides 2. 68, Schol. N. 9. 35. Cf. Hêrod. 3. 38. Some Edd. prefix катà фúбוע from Gorgias p. 488 B , Legg. 4. 714 D, ib. 3. p. 690 в.

1463 Cf. P. 2. 17.
1467 ảvaıтท่тas Böckh for ảvalpeîral.

147 Strabo 3. 155.

$$
\text { 148. }[157 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 171 .
$$




$$
\text { 149. }[158 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 172 .
$$



 $\epsilon u ̋ \delta o \xi o \nu \pi \lambda o ́ o \nu$

150. $[160]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 173$.

Zúpıov єủpvaíquav סєєîtov $\sigma \tau \rho a t o ́ v$.
151. 152. 153. [159. 161. 162.] $=B^{4} 174-176$.

Pausan. vii. 2, 7 ; i. 2, 1 ; Plut. vit. Thes. c. 28.
154. 155. 156. 157. 158. [164—168.] = $B^{4} 177$.





$\delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ס̀̀v $\pi \rho o \sigma a \iota \tau \in ́ \omega \nu$


148 Schol. Il. 10. 252. On the slaughter by Hêrakles of Nêleus and his sons. Cf. Frag. 112.

149 Schol. Eurip. Andr. 796. Text Böckh.

150 Strabo 12. 544.
151-153 Pindar is reported to say that the Amazons founded the temple of Artemis of Ephesos on their expedition against Athens;
that Peirithoos and Thêseus carried off Antiopê and that she had a son Dêmophon by Thêseus.

154-158 Priscian, de Metr. Comic. p. 248 (Lindemann), quoting Hêliodôros. Specimens of Pindar's treatment of iambic metre. $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$

 ค $\bar{\sigma} \alpha \iota$, N. 10. 48.

$$
\text { 159. }[169 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 178 .
$$

Nó $\mu \omega \nu$ ảкои́ovтєя $\theta є o ́ \delta \mu a \tau о \nu ~ \kappa є ́ \lambda a \delta o \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 160. }[170 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 179 \text {. }
$$


$a ̈ \nu \delta \eta \mu a$.

$$
\text { 161. }[172 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 180 .
$$


 кратьбтєv́шע 入óүos.

$$
\text { * 162. }[174 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 181 .
$$



$$
\text { 163. }[175 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 182 .
$$

 oủk єî̀vîa.

$$
\text { 164. }[177 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 183 .
$$

" $\mathrm{O}_{\varsigma} \Delta_{0} \lambda o ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ä $\gamma a \gamma \epsilon \theta \rho a \sigma \grave{v} \nu$ ö $\mu \iota \lambda o \nu \quad \sigma \phi \epsilon \nu \delta o \nu a ̂ \sigma a \iota$,

165. [179.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 184$.
' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon ̀ \varsigma ~ a ̉ к а \mu а \nu т o ́ \chi а р \mu а \nu ~ A i ̂ a \nu . ~$

$$
\text { 166. }[184 .]=B^{4} 185 .
$$



159 Ib. p. 251. Cf. perhaps P. 3. 90 , N. 5. 23.

160 Schol. N. 7. 116. Cf. N. 8. 15, I. 3. 61.

161 Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 345. Cf. N. 5. 17, 18.

1611 ávapp. Cf. Aristoph. Eq. 626. áxpeîov mss, á $\rho \chi a \hat{i} o \nu$.

162 Schol. N. 7. 89.

163 Aristid. 2. 547.
164 Strabo 9. 131.
165 Choeroboskos 1. 106 (Bekker, Anecd. 3. 1183).

166 Etym. Gud. 321. 54 ย $\sigma \tau \iota$ 就 тоє Хє́ксь áки́єь к. Cramer, An. Par.
 Text Böckh.

$$
\text { 167. }[185 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 186 .
$$

 є้ $\sigma \delta \epsilon \xi a \iota \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu о ข ̂ \chi \circ \nu$.

$$
\text { 168. }[186 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 187 .
$$



$$
\text { 169. }[190 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 188 .
$$

 $\phi \omega v i ́ o v a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ́ s$.

$$
\text { 170. }[197 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 189 .
$$



$$
\text { 171. }[198 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 190 .
$$



$$
\text { 172. }[199 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 4 .
$$



$$
\text { 173. }[201 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 191 .
$$

Aionєùs є̈ßalve $\Delta \omega \rho i ́ a \nu \kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \nu \theta o \nu \quad \ddot{v} \mu \nu \omega \nu$.

$$
\text { 174. }[204 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 192 .
$$

$\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ [ ${ }^{\prime} \mu \nu \omega \nu$ ] $\mu a ́ \nu \tau \iota \epsilon \varsigma$
'Атодлашібає.

$$
\text { 175. }[205]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 193 .
$$

......Пєขтаєтทрі今 є́ортà
 $\sigma \pi a \rho \gamma a ́ v o \iota s$.

167 Apoll. de Synt. 2, p. 138 (Bekker).

168 Plut. Qu. Symp. 2. 10. 1.
169 Strabo 14. 642.
170 Schol. Aristoph. Vesp. 306. Far. lect. $\pi a \nu \delta \epsilon i \mu a \nu \tau o l$. Hermann
 Aesch. Pers. 745.

171 Schol, P. 8. 53.
172 Schol. I. 4. Inscr.
173 Schol. P. 2. 127.
174 Schol. P. 4. 4. Heyne $\theta \epsilon \mu l \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, MSS. $\theta \epsilon \mu \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.

175 Cf. O. and P. p. vii. 1l. 15, 16.

176．$[206]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 194$.



 єं $\pi a \sigma \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \quad \theta \epsilon \omega ิ \nu$
5 каі̀ кат’ à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi т \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma \nu \iota a ́ s$.
177．［207．］＝ $\mathrm{B}^{4} 195$.
 ä $\gamma a \lambda \mu a, ~ \Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a \ldots$

178．［209．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 196$.


$$
\text { 179. }[210 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 197 .
$$

${ }^{5} \Omega$ талаíтшроь Ө $\hat{\eta} \beta a \iota \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { * } 180 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 198 .
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\Theta \dot{\eta} \beta a \iota$ ．

181．$[211]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 198$.
Me入ıvaӨ̀̀s ả $\mu \beta \rho o ́ \sigma \iota o \nu v ̌ \delta \omega \rho$
Tı入ф由́ббаs ảтò ка入入ıкра́vov．
182．［213．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 199$.
 ai $\chi \mu a i ́$,
каì Xopoì кaì Moîбa кaì＇A $\gamma \lambda a i l a$.

176 Aristid．2． 509.
$1761 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{\pi is} \quad$ Cf．P．7． 3.
1762 Cf．O．1．16．Teixh
乡ouev Cf．P．6． 9 note．
177 Schol．P．4．25，P．2．Inscr．
Cf．I．1． 1.
178 Schol．P．2．Inscr．
F．II．
179 Cf．O．and P．p．viii．1． 4.
180 Chrysippos，$\pi \in \rho l$ àmoфatı－ $\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu, c_{1} 2$.

181 Strabo 9．411，Athên． 2. 41 ๕．

182 Plut．Vit．Lycurg．c．21， about Sparta．
183. [214.] $=\mathrm{B}^{4} 200$.

184. [215.] = $\mathrm{B}^{4} 201$.




$$
\text { 185. }[216 .]=B^{4} 202 \text {. }
$$



$$
\text { 186. }[217 .]=B^{4} 203 .
$$

 $\nu є \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ i ̋ \pi \pi о \nu ~ \sigma \tau \nu \gamma є ́ o \iota \sigma \iota \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \varphi ~ к \tau а ́ \mu є \nu о \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ ф а ́ є \iota . ~$
 $\kappa \in \phi a \lambda a ́ s$.

$$
\text { 187. }[218 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 204 .
$$



$$
\text { 188. }[221 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 205 .
$$

 є́ $\mu a ̀ \nu$


$$
189 .=B^{4} 11 .
$$



$$
\text { 190. }[222 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 206 .
$$



183 Schol. O. 11. 17.
184 Strabo 17. 802.
185 Schol. P. 4. 206.
186 Zenobios 5. 59, on the proverb 'O $\Sigma \kappa \cup ́ \theta \eta s ~ \tau \partial \nu ~ \ell \pi \pi о \nu$.

187 Schol. P. 2. Inscr.
188 Stobaeos, Flor. 11. 3.
189 Eustath. Prooem. 21.
190 Plut. Vit. Niciae, c. 1.

191．$[223]=.B^{4} 207$.
 ．．ảváүкаıs．

$$
\text { 192. }[224 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 208 .
$$

 р́ধ廿аú $\chi \in \nu \iota \sigma \grave{\nu} \kappa \lambda o ́ \nu \varphi$ ．

193．［227．］$=\mathrm{B}^{4} 209$.
＇Атє入へ̂ бофіая карто̀̀ סрє́тєєข．

$$
\text { 194. }[229 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 20 .
$$






$$
\text { 195. }[230 .]=211 .
$$

 карто́ข．

$$
\text { 196. }[231 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 212 .
$$

Plut．de Cap．ex Host．Util．c．10，Kaì $\pi \hat{a} \sigma a$ фú $\sigma \iota s$ ả $v \theta \rho \omega$ énov

 ф $\eta$ б九 Пívóapos．

$$
\text { 197. }[232]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 213 .
$$

 ทิ бко入ıaîs ảmátaıs ảvaßaìveє



191 Plut．Consol．ad Apoll． c． 6 ．

192 Plut．Qu．Symp．7．5，de Defect．Orac．c． 14.

193 Stobaeos，Flor．80．4．Of oí фvбio入оүоиิขтєs．

195 Plut．de Sera Num．Vind． c． 19 ．

197 Plato，de Republ．2． 365 в， Maxim．Tyr．18．Cf．Cic．ad Attic． 13． 38.

$$
\text { 198. }[233 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 214 .
$$


 $\beta \in \rho \nu \hat{a}$.

$$
\text { 199. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 10 .
$$



$$
\text { 200. }[152 .]=B^{4} 215 .
$$

 є̈кабтоя.

$$
\text { 201. }[235 .]=\text { B }^{4} 216 .
$$



$$
\text { 202. }[237 .]=B^{4} 217 .
$$

Г入vки́ тı клєттó $\mu \epsilon v o v ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta \mu a ~ K u ́ \pi p \iota \delta o s . ~$

$$
\text { 203. }[239 .]=B^{4} 218 .
$$






*     *         *             *                 *                     *                         *                             * 

......àégovtaı фрévas à $\mu \pi \epsilon \lambda$ خivoıs tó ${ }^{\prime}$ oıs $\delta a \mu$ év $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$.

$$
\text { 204. }[240 .]=\text { B }^{4} 219 .
$$



198 Plato, de Republ. 1. 331 А. Compare Stob. Flor. 111, p. 12 Пiv-
 $\tau \omega \nu$ Ėvúmvıa (Frag. 274).

199 Eustath. Prooem. 21.
200 Cramer, An. Par. 3, 154.

201 Plut. Consol. ad Apoll. c. 28, Schol. Eur. Hipp. 263.

202 Clem. Alex. Paedag. 3. 295.

203 Athênaeos 11. 782 D.
204 Etym. Mag. 178. 10. 13.

$$
\text { 205. }[241 .]=B^{4} 220 .
$$


 то́עтоv тє $\mathfrak{\rho} \iota \pi a i ̀ ~ ф є ́ \rho о \iota \sigma \iota v . ~$

$$
\text { 206. }[242 .]=B^{4} 221 .
$$


 $\beta$ вотá.
 $\sigma \hat{\omega}$ ऽ $\iota a \sigma \tau \epsilon i \beta \omega \nu . . . . . . . . .$.

$$
\text { 207. }[243 .]=B^{4} 222 .
$$

... $\Delta$ iòs maîs ó đpurós. кєî̀ò oủ $\sigma \eta ̀ \varsigma ~ o u ̉ \delta \grave{~ \kappa i ̀ s ~ \delta a ́ \pi \tau \tau \epsilon, ~}$


$$
\text { 208. }[244 .]=B^{4} 223 .
$$

Kaì ф'́fovtaí $\pi \omega \varsigma$ vimò $\delta o u ́ \lambda \epsilon \epsilon o \nu ~ \tau u ́ \chi a \nu ~$ aið $\mu a ́ \lambda \omega \tau о \iota, \kappa a \grave{~} \chi \rho v \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu \quad \beta \epsilon \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ є̀vті трауцаті́al......

$$
\text { 209. }[246 .]=B^{4} 224 .
$$

 íтотрє́ $\sigma \sigma a \iota . . . .$.

$$
210 .[247 .]=B^{4} 225 .
$$

 тápos $\mu$ é $\lambda a \iota \nu a \nu ~ к \rho а \delta i ́ a \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau v ф e ́ \lambda ı \xi є ~ \epsilon \nu . . . ~$

205 Plut. Qu. Symp. 7. 5. 3.
206 Sextus Empir. Hypoth. Pyrrh. 1. 20 (Bekker). Cf. Hor. Od. 1. 1.

207 Schol. P. 4.408. Proklos on Hês. Opp. et D. 428. Contrast
I. $4.2,3$.

2072 Cf. "neither moth nor rust doth corrupt." Isth. 3. 2.

208 Theodôros Metoch. 562.
209 Schol. Il. 17. 98.
210 Schol. O. 2. 40.

$$
\text { 211. }[248 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 226 .
$$



$$
\text { 212. }[250 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 227 .
$$





$$
\text { 213. }[252 .]=B^{4} 228 .
$$

....Tı $\theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ả $\gamma \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$


$$
\text { 214. }[253 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 229 .
$$

 oủ $\phi i ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ évàtíov è̉ $\lambda \theta \epsilon i ้ \nu$.

$$
215 .[254 .]=\mathrm{B}^{ \pm} 230 .
$$



$$
\text { 216. }[255 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 231 .
$$

 є่ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \omega \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

$$
\text { 217. }[256 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 232 .
$$

ミХท́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ тò $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$ oủ $\pi \hat{v} \rho$, oủ $\sigma \iota \delta a ́ \rho \epsilon o \nu$ $\tau \in \hat{\chi} \chi o s$.

$$
\text { "218. }[257 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 233 .
$$

Пぃтт̀̀̀ $\delta$ ’ ảmíatous oủ $\delta$ év.

211 Aristid. 2. 547.
212 Clem. Alex. Strom. 4. 586.
213 Plut. An seni sit ger. resp. c. 1.

2132 Cf. Bacchyl. 13. 142 ff. ở $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \pi \in \sigma \iota \quad \nu \cup \kappa \tau \delta s \mid \pi a \sigma \iota \phi a \nu \eta े s$
 $\sigma \iota \nu . \quad \pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma ı s$ Cf. P. 5.25 sqq . aimúv Cf. O. 11. 42, also Soph.




214 Schol. O. 13. 92. Cf. P.
8. 85-87.

215 Liban. Epist. 144.
216 Schol. N. 7. 87.
217 Plut. Vit. Marcell. c. 29. อง่...ov̉ Cf. N. 7. 3 .
219. $[258]=$. B $^{4} 234$.
'Үф’ äр $\rho \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ їтттоৎ,
 $\kappa a ́ \pi \rho \omega$ ठè ßov入єv́ovta фóvov кúva $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ т $\tau$ á $\theta v \mu о \nu$ є́ $\xi \in \cup \rho \in i ̂ \nu . .$.

$$
220 .[259 .]=B^{4} 235 .
$$





$$
\text { 221. }[260 .]=B^{4} 236 .
$$



$$
\text { 222. }[261 .]=B^{4} 237 .
$$

"О $\mathrm{O} \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ס̀̀ кєîpaı $\theta \rho a \sigma \epsilon \iota a ̂ \nu$


$$
\text { 223. }[262 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 238 .
$$


$\lambda \epsilon о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \ldots .$.
224. $[265]=.B^{4} 239$.
'I $a \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \beta a \rho v \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \kappa \tau \hat{\alpha} \nu$ à $\gamma \epsilon \in \lambda a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

$$
\text { 225. }[269 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 240 .
$$


226. $[280]=.B^{4} 241$.


218 Clem. Alex. Paedag. 3. 307.

219 Plut. de Virt. Mor. c. 12, de Tranqu. Anim. c. 14.

220 Plut. Qu. Symp. 7. 5. 2, de Sollert. Anim. c. 36.

2201 ข่тóкрเテเท Adv. 'like.' V.l. д $\pi$ кккр.

221 Schol. Od. 10. 240.
222 Aristid. 2. 509 (378).
223 Schol. P. 2. 31.
224 Hêrodian. $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell \sigma \chi \eta \mu .60$.
13. Schema Pindaricum.

225 Schol. O. 11. 58. Cf. I. 4. 51.

226 A thênaeos 6. 248 c.

$$
227 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 242 .
$$

'A $\mu e ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda ı s ~ A i a \kappa ı \delta a ̂ \nu . ~$

$$
228 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 243 .
$$

......Фà̀ $\delta$ ' $\not ้ \mu \mu \epsilon v a \iota ~$


$$
229 .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 245 .
$$

Про́фабıऽ $\beta \lambda \eta \chi \rho о \hat{v}$ үі́vєтац ขєі́кєоs.

$$
\text { * } 230 .[286 .]=B^{4} 246 .
$$

$\mathrm{M} \epsilon \lambda \iota \rho \rho о ́ \theta \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ётєєац $\pi \lambda о ́ к а \mu о \iota . ~$

$$
\text { 231. }[123 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 247
$$

Etym. M. 277, 39, $\Delta$ cóvvбos'...oi ס̀̀ ảmò tov̂ $\Delta$ iòs каì т $\eta$ s
 каї ảvєт ${ }^{2} \not{ }^{\prime} \phi$.

$$
\text { 232. }[124 .]=B^{4} 248
$$

Plut. de Adul. et Amic. c. 27, єv̉סía үà $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \alpha^{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \iota$ v́́申оs ó




$$
\text { 258. }[288 .]=B^{4} 274
$$

Quintil. x. 1, 109, Non enim pluvias, ut ait Pindarus, aquas colligit, sed vivo gurgite exundat (Cicero).

$$
264 .[249 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 279
$$

Liban. Or. I. 432 ed. Reiske, $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \widehat{̣} \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \cup ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} v$




227 Schol. Aristoph. Pax 251.
228 Hêrodian. $\pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \sigma \chi \eta \mu$. 59. 29.

229 Cramer, $A n$. Ox. 1. 95. 5. 230 Lesbonax, de Fig. 184 (Valcknaer).

$$
265 \mathrm{~A} .=\mathrm{B}^{4} 280 .
$$

Philo, de Caritate, II. 404 (Mang.), є̈ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \delta^{\prime}$ öт८ фроvท́patos




$$
265 \text { B. }=\mathrm{B}^{4} 281 .
$$

Philo, de Providentia, II. p. 120 (Auger.), Pro honore itaque, ut dixit olim Pindarus, silentium laetabundus suscipiam.

$$
266 \text { see } \mathrm{B}^{4} \text { p. } 477
$$


 $\tau \iota \mu \omega \mu \notin \nu$ оs $\eta^{\prime} \delta \in \tau \alpha \iota$.
273. $[121]=.\mathrm{B}^{4} 288$.




$$
\text { 274. }[234 .]=\mathrm{B}^{4} 289 .
$$

 є่ $\gamma \rho \eta \gamma \circ \rho o ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ध่vv́тvıa.

## EPIGRAMMA.




Proklos, Hềs. p. 7 (Gaisford).
265 A Cf. O. 5. 24, I. 4. 14.

## LIST OF WORDS AND PHRASES ATTRIBUTED TO PINDAR IN FRAGMENTS NOT GIVEN．

аंкабк人ิ－$\dot{\eta} \sigma \dot{\chi} \chi \omega s$.
＇A入є́pas b广ov－TiTvov．
＇A入єvádes－Ө＇́ $\sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda o \iota$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota-\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v, \pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \omega \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon v \sigma \iota \epsilon \pi \eta$－ epithet of $\phi \rho o \nu \tau i s$.
apá $\chi \nu \eta s$ ，ó－＂spider．＂
aprúpcat－epithet of Muses．
àp $\mu a \sigma$ i $\delta o u \pi o l$.
Гaסє $\rho t \delta a \iota$（－iтal）$\pi$ ú入at－Pillars of Hêrakles．
غ́катоуто́рүvıos．
é $\lambda a \iota o ́ \omega$ ．
$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma l \chi \theta \omega \nu$－epithet of $\Pi \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
光 $\nu \tau \in \alpha$－äp $\mu a \tau \alpha$ ．
є＇$\xi \sigma \sigma$ такы́s．
є $\rho \iota \sigma ф \dot{\rho} \rho \alpha \gamma_{0}$ ．
eúpúsuzos－epithet of Zeús．



крат $\quad \sigma \iota \beta i a \nu$.
$\lambda \iota \tau \eta \nu-\epsilon \cup ̉ \kappa \tau a l a \nu$（epithet of＇A ${ }^{\prime}$ ）．
$\mu \alpha ́ \rho \eta-\chi \in i \rho$ ．
$\mu \epsilon \rho \subset \mu \nu \alpha ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$ ．
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \sigma \tau \in \notin \alpha \nu o s-$ epithet of $a^{\gamma} \dot{\omega} \nu$.
$\xi \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \delta \sigma \kappa \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu-\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{\rho} \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$ ．
$\delta \lambda \beta 0 \theta \rho \dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \nu \nu \in s$－epithet of K $\hat{\eta} \rho \in s$ ．
ópєıктitou $\sigma$ vós－ópeaкч́ou $\sigma$ vós．
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta o \phi a ́ \gamma o \nu ~ i \chi \theta \dot{\jmath} \nu-\kappa \hat{\eta} \tau о s$.
$\pi \in \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \nu \tau a \epsilon(\dot{\eta}) р \in \tau \mu \circ$－epithet of the ships of the Achaeans．
$\pi \rho \delta \beta \alpha \tau \alpha-i \pi \pi \alpha$ ．
$\rho \in \rho i \phi \theta a l$ ध $\pi$ оs．
$\Sigma \kappa о \pi \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon s-\Theta \epsilon \in \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о$ ．
тоита́кц．
$\tau \rho \iota \gamma \lambda \omega \dot{\chi} \iota \nu$－epithet of Sicily．
$\dot{v} \psi c \kappa \in \rho \alpha s$－epithet of $\pi \hat{\varepsilon} \tau \rho a$ ．
$\chi$ ¡лıótral（－тєis）－epithet of the Hy－ perboreans．

## GREEK INDEX．

dंßoari，meaning，N． 8.9
$\dot{a} \beta \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega$, F． 1 A． 1
$\dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$, fem．I．7． 20
áy入ata，ár入ads，of victories in games，N．1．13，3．69，9．31， 11. 20 ；I．2． 18
à $\gamma \lambda$ atio，＇fame＇or＇song，＇N．1． 13
áд入а́ккартоs，－крадоs，N．3． 56
$\dot{\alpha} \delta v \epsilon \pi \eta \eta^{\prime}$ ，N．1． 4
$\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\omega} \nu$, peculiar use of aor．part．N．
8． 38 （？gnômic）
$\alpha \in \lambda \lambda o \pi \sigma \delta \omega \nu$ \％$\pi \pi \omega \nu$, N． 1.6
alavìs，P．1． 83 ；I．1．49， 3.2
aldoios，meaning，I．2． 37
aiठ̀ेs，N．9． 33 （alठف for alel，O． 13. 115）
almùs，＇sheer＇（metaph．），F．213． 2. （Cf．а́то́тоноя，Soph．Oed．R． 877 ； Eur．Alc．118）
aioa，＇assignment，＇＇direction，＇ ＇standard，＇＇career，＇N．3．16， 6. 13， 49 ；I．1．34；F．1， 108
ai $\chi \mu \dot{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \kappa \mu \alpha$ in mss．N．5．54， 10. 60；I．3． 69
al $\omega \nu$ ，＇fate，＇N．2．8；I．3．18，7． 14
－fem．N．9． 44
áкцд̀，N．3．39；I．3． 69
むкoú $\omega$ ，＇feel，＇N．2． 14
äкроv，＇first prize，＇N．1．11，6． 24
ả入a $\theta$ eias ó $\delta$ òs，I．2． 10
ai $\lambda \lambda \alpha_{\text {，}}$ resumptive， $0.4 .6,6.22,8$. 9 ；N．9．8，11． 9
à $\lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}-\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, I．3．34，6． 16
$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \xi$ เт $\grave{\nu}$, adj．N．6． 56
$\varangle \mu \pi \nu \epsilon v \mu a$, N．1． 1
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi$ ，with acc．P．2．15，8． 69 ；N． 1． 54 ；I． 6.9
－with dat．N．1．29，2． 17 （cf． Eur．El．945，å $\delta^{\prime}$＇̇s $\gamma^{\prime}$ vaîkas）， 6. $14,7.80$ ；I． 4.55
a゙v，absence of，I．7． 35
－om．with ós and subj．I．1． 50
$\alpha{ }^{\circ} \nu$, repeated，N． 9.35
－with future，N．7．68；I． 5. 59
ávaßá入入о $\mu a \iota, ~ ' b e g i n, ' ~ N . ~ 7, ~ 77, ~ 10 . ~$ 33
－＇put off，＇N．9． 28
$\alpha^{\alpha} \nu \in \chi(\omega$, N．7． 89
aं $\nu \tau$ ย́ $\chi o \mu a l$ ，meaning of，N．1． 33


ảmáp $\chi \omega$, N． 4.46
ä $\pi$ as，＇in every case，＇N．5．16；I． 7． 14
áтоцди́ $\omega$ ，deiero，N．7． 70
aं $\pi о \pi \nu \hat{\epsilon} \omega$ ，＇make to breathe forth，＇ N．1． 17
d $\rho a=\alpha \rho \alpha$, I．7． 59
äpapev，N．3．64，5． 44
dрeт $\mathrm{d} \nu$ ，＇distinction＇（？），N．3．42， 10． 2
ápı $\theta \mu$ òs，＇counting，＇N．2． 23
－＇right number＇（of years），F． 104． 2
ăррŋкто⿱，＇stalwart，＇I．5． 47
$\alpha \rho \chi \alpha i \quad \beta \in \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha$, with gen．кат亠 б̛́veбเข（？），N．1． 8
－as－ayros，fem．I．5． 73
ár $\epsilon \lambda \eta$ خेs，＇ineffectual，＇N．3． 42
aú $a ́ s o \mu a \iota, ~ m e a n i n g, ~ N . ~ 10.61 ~$
aủ̀єцal $\theta$ úpaı，N．1， 19
aútòs，＇exact，＇of space and time， N． 5.1
á $\omega$ cos，metaphor to the superlative， N．2． $9,3.29,8.9$ ；I．1． $51,5$. 4，6． 18
$\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ a=\beta a \sigma l \lambda \epsilon \iota a$, N，1， 39

ßcaios，＇unnaturally，＇F．100．6
Bios，Biotos，confused in MSs．I． 3. $23,7.15$
Bo九ผtlav ขึ้，F． 60
$\gamma$ à $\hat{\omega} v$, I．2． 12
$\gamma \epsilon$, N．4． 4
$\gamma \epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \nu$, N． 8.50 ；I． 3.18
$\gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$, N．3．83，10． 33
$\gamma \in \pi \rho i \nu, N .4 .28-\pi \rho l \nu \gamma \epsilon, 0.13 .65$
riqvopal，in aor．＇prove oneself to
be，＇N．3． 71 ；I． 7.25
$\gamma \lambda \alpha ́ \xi \in \iota s$, F． 75
र入икєри́тєроs，fem．F． 129
रoáф $\omega$ ，＇enter name，＇N．6． 7
үuîov，＇body，＇N．7．73，9． 24 ；F． 99． 15
$\delta^{\prime}{ }^{2} \rho \alpha$, N． 9.21 ；O．11． 43 ；P．3．27， 57
$\delta \alpha \iota \mu$ vicos，N．1． 9
$\delta \varepsilon$ ，＇accordingly，＇I．3．90，6． 23
$-=\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$, N．11． 48
－＇also，＇I．1． 58
－＇for，＇N．1．11，2．10，10． 35 ；I．
1． $49,3.13,29$ ；F．99． 9
$\delta$ ккконац，with dat．I．5． 4
$\delta \epsilon \lambda \phi i s$, P．2． 51 ；N．6．66；F．1．6， 219
$\delta \in \hat{v} \rho \circ$, O．8． 51
$\delta \eta=\eta ँ \delta \eta$, N．8． 51
$\delta \iota a$, in composition，N． 5.3
бıaтрúбıos，etymol．N．4． 51
біঠактаl à $є \tau \alpha l$, P．＇s depreciation of， N．3． 41
$\delta \iota \theta \dot{\cup} \alpha \mu \beta o s$ ，etymol．F． 57 a
סika，＇quarrel，＇N．9． 15
$\Delta$ às Kóplv $\theta$ os，N．7． 105
$\Delta$ tòs ódos，＇milky way，＇F．7． 3
סó $\mu \mathrm{o}$, ，$\delta$ ómous，understood with prep． and gen．I．2． 34
бракєіs，N． 7.3
$\varepsilon$ for $a_{l}$ in MSs．N．10．72，v．al
є̇ $\gamma к о \nu \eta \tau i$, N．3． 36
$\epsilon \quad$＇$\omega$ ，in transition，N．1． 33 ；I． 1. $14,5,16$
$\hat{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \omega \theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$, N． 10.84
－＇am＇wont，＇N．11． 40
－$\mu \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$（？），N． 7.90
$\epsilon l$ ，with subjunctive，N．7．11，16， 9.46 ；I．3．59，4． 13
el $\eta$ ，with acc．N．7． $25\left({ }^{\circ} \nu\right)$ ；I． 1. $64,5.7$
－without pron．I．5．7；F． 104. 1
－with dat．N．4． 9

єl้ยєкєข，＇that，＇I．7． 33
є̇кขо́рцоя，N．1． 56
$\epsilon \lambda \hat{a}, \mathrm{~N}_{\text {．}}$ 3． 74
є́ $\lambda a \phi \rho o ̀ s$ ，fem．N．5．20；v．$\gamma \lambda \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \omega$－ $\tau \in \rho o s$
＇E入eiOvia，etymol．N． 7.1
é $\lambda \pi i$ s，＇expectation＇merely，N． 1. 32，11． 22
غौлтоцає，＇believe，＇N．7．20；F． 39.1 ё $\mu \pi a$, N． 4.36
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, ＇according to，＇N． $10 . \varepsilon 8 ;$ I． 2. 38
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, adverbial（ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ ），F． 57 в
$\epsilon^{\prime} \nu=\epsilon$＇s，F．53．1，85．2， 96
－＇in dependence on，＇N．7．90， 10． 30
－＇near，＇N．10．8；I． 2.18
－＇with，＇N．11．9，17，and so with musical instruments，N． 3. 79
．－．with titles of contests，I．1． 25
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ，＇à propos of，＇＇in the sphere of，＇ N．1． $34,3.32$ ；I． $1.18,34,57$ ， 4． 53
$\epsilon_{\imath} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \in\lceil\beta o \nu \tau \iota, ~ N . ~ 11 . ~ 42 ~$
év $\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$, N．1．69，11． 39 ；I． 5. 22
द่گฉаркєิิ，＇suffice，＇N．1． 32
غ́ $\pi a t \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，meaning，I． 3.24
$\epsilon ̇ \pi а \% \omega$, F． 53.14 （cf．áкои́ $\omega$ ）
є่т $\mathfrak{a} \lambda \tau 0, \mathrm{~N}, 6.52$
่̇ $\pi \epsilon \in \beta \alpha \nu$, N．1． 18
धौтомац，with acc．N．10． 37
Éлó $\psi a \tau o$ ，＇lived to see，＇or＇was re－ warded by the sight of，＇F． 65.6
ย̈ $\rho \gamma \mu \alpha$, N．1． 7
E＇prov，＇contest，＇I．3． 86
еिрицоs，0．1． 6
ép opal，N．1．27，with accus．I． 3. 72
ย̇ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, I．5． 17
そ̈ $\sigma t \iota$ ，emphatic，N．1．10，2．10， 10. 20
Éo $\begin{gathered}\text { aros，in good sense，N．10．} 32 \text { ；}\end{gathered}$ I．3． 29
ย゙тє $\tau \circ$ ，euphemistic，N． 8.3
$\epsilon u \hat{u} \pi$ á $\sigma \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，with gen．N．1． 32
evivd，＇union，＇ $\mathrm{N}_{.} 5.31$ ；I．7． 30
－etymol．I．7． 30
$\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \cup \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} s$, N．5． 4
єบ่̉vХєढ－ia，meaning in P．，N． 1. 10

єüфpooúva，＇good cheer，＇N．4．1； I．3． 10
 dat．N．8． 36
${ }^{\text {é }} \phi \in \delta \rho \circ$ ，N．4． $96,6.65$
$\epsilon \chi(\omega$ ，with aor．part．N．1． 31
ย $\chi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma o \nu$, N． 4.36
ऍaucvク̀s，N．3．63，4． 13
Zev̀s v゙ $\psi \iota \sigma \tau o s$, N．1． 60
グ．．．グтоц，N．6． 5
$\eta$ ，atter verb of will，choice，N． 10. 58
$-\eta \mu \iota$ ，forms in，N．5．5， 11
$\eta \nu$ ，with acc．pron．N．7． 25 （see c ${ }^{\prime \prime} \eta$ ）
$\eta ँ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o s$, etymol．N．4． 51
$\theta a \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$, N．4． 88
$\theta$ álos，N．1． 2
$\theta a \mu a \dot{\delta} \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha$, N．1． 17
Ocia，etymol，I，4． 1
$\theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega, v$ ．$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$
$\theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ ，＇establish，＇N．1． 5
$\theta \in \dot{s}$（with proper name），fem．N． 5. 41
$\theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，etymol．N．5． 10
$\theta$ $\gamma \gamma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega$ ，with dat．N．4． 35
 18；F．53． 1
i $\delta \in$ êv és $(\epsilon \downarrow \nu)$ ，F． $53.1,100.9$
iepódou入os，F． 99
－七o－for－o－，I．1． 26
¿ $\pi \pi \pi \iota o s \nu$ о́ $\mu$ os，I．1． 16
－is，acc．plur．P．3． 112
$/ \sigma \theta \iota, \ell \sigma \tau \omega$ ，with part．N．9．45， 11. 15 ；I．1．68，6． 27
loov，＇an equal share，＇N．10． 86
loos，quantity of，N．6． 68
$i \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$, N．1．69，note
โuү⿳⺈，Iynx，N．4． 35
кal，＇actually，＇I．1． 63
－＇and accordingly，＇N．4．32， 10.
65
－＇and so，＇F． 58.5
－＇even so，＇N． 7.7
－＇so，＇N． 2.3
－between prep．and case，N． 7. 31
kail $\gamma \mathrm{a} \rho$ ，I．4． 4
— $\mu \alpha{ }^{2} \nu$ ，N．10． 54 ；P．4． 289
－long before vowel，N．2． 1
каl－тє，N．3．61，4． 75 ；I．1． 20 ， 55
кal $\epsilon \epsilon \rho$ ，with indic．（？），N．4． 36
кaıpòs，＇moderation，＇N．1． 18
ка入à，N．1． 10
Kaotb $\rho \in \iota \circ$ ，I．1．16，note
 9．28；N．3． 16 （Eur．Herc．F． 655）
$\kappa a \tau^{\prime} a \hat{\imath} \sigma \alpha \nu$, N．3． 16
катаßаiрш，N．3．35，42，4． 38
ката́кєцутац，N．4． 52
катa，$\alpha \dot{\rho} \pi \tau \omega$ ，＇seize and hold，＇N． 3. 35 ；I．3． 53
ката́p $\chi \epsilon \iota$, F． 57 в
катย́ $\chi \omega$, N．8． 24 ；I． 3.2
$\kappa \in, \kappa \in \nu, v . a{ }^{*} \nu$
кєîvos，＇such，＇I．3．61；v．ои̂tos
K $\eta \lambda \eta \delta \dot{6} \nu \in s$, F． 30
$\kappa \lambda \notin o s$, in bad（or colourless）sense， N．8． 36 （cf．$\delta \delta \xi \alpha \nu$ Thuk．3．11， $\S 10$ ，Postgate）
$\kappa \lambda \nu \tau \grave{s}, \mathrm{~N} .7 .16$ ；I．5．17，6． 19 ； F．53． 2
$\kappa \nu \nLeftarrow \delta \alpha \lambda о \nu$, N．1． 50
кб́оя，N．1． 65 ；I．3． 2
корифd，N．1．15，34，10．32；I． 5. 11 （cf．0．1．113）
$\kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \in \omega$ ，different senses，N．10． 25
$\kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ，＇more intelligent，＇N． 9. 15
кирía ójòs，N．7． 51
$\kappa ช ́ \omega \nu=\Pi a ̀, ~ \mathrm{~F} .73,1$
$\lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \beta \rho o \nu$, N． 8.46
$\lambda \epsilon \lambda \circ \gamma \chi \epsilon$, N． 1.24
入ıтарal＇AӨทิvaı，N．4．18；I．2． 20 ； F． 54
$\lambda$ 入́rıо，N．6． 31
入ó $o \iota$, ，discussion，＇N．8． 21
入úa，N．9． 14
на́кар，＇deity，＇F．109． 5
$\mathrm{M} \in \lambda i a$, F．6． 1
$\mu \grave{̀} \nu, v . \gamma \in \mu \grave{̀} \nu$
－without $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, N．9． 11
－á $\lambda \lambda$ d̀，N．2． 20 ；I．7． 56
－à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \mu \omega ิ s$, I．4． 46
— aủtเร，I．5． 3
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu-\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, with repeated word, N. 1. $62,6.10,9.8,10.27,11.3,6$; I. 3. $8,4.30,5.71$
$\mu \in ̀ \nu-\tau \epsilon$, N. 2. $9,8.30$; I. 1. 14, 2. 38; F. 53. 10
$\mu$ '́трор, N. 11.47
$\mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta} \delta \epsilon$, omitted, I. 1. 60

- omitted after ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega$, N. 11. 23 ; I. 3. 72
$\mu \hat{\prime} \tau \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ (ef. oű $\ldots \ldots$... ov̉ $\delta \epsilon$ ), I. 2. 45
$\mu i \gamma \nu v \mu \ell, \mu i \sigma \gamma \omega$, Pindaric use, N. 1.
$18,2.22,4.21,9.31$; I. 2. 29,

3. 3, 6. 25
$\mu i \tau p a$, N. 8.15 ; I. 4.62

- of a warrior, N. 10. 90
$\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \grave{\rho} \rho$, N. 1. 16
Moipal, attend at births, N. 7. I
Moplac, N. 10. 34, note
$\nu \hat{\epsilon} \mu о \mu \alpha \iota$, 'have a range,' N. 3. 82
$\nu \epsilon \mu \omega$, meaning, I. 2. 22
$\nu \in b \tau a s$, meaning, N. 9. 44
ขómos, 'practice (surgical),' N. 3. 55
$\xi$, of Doric future of verbs in $\zeta \omega, \mathrm{F}$. 57 A
ò $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, suppressed, N. 8. 37 (cf. I. 4. 19), 9.65
őapos, N. 3. 11
ò òs кvpla, v. кup. о̀б.
oTos, exclam. I. 5. 62
òлк̀̀s, N. 5. 2
ö $\mu \phi \alpha \lambda o s$, between golden eagles at
Delphi, F. 32
-ov, lengthened before vowel, N. 1.
$51,69,6.60$
-ov, long before F, I. 5. 42
ơvotds (for $\dot{\text { ono }}$ oròs), I. 3. 68
öтaסòs, subs. N. 3. 8; F. 72
ó $\pi$ úpa, N. 5.6
óp $\theta \delta \omega$, N. 1. 15 ; I. 1. $46,3,56,4$. 48, 5. 65
ó $\rho \hat{\psi}$ Є̀ $\pi i$ $\sigma \phi v \rho \hat{\psi}, \pi \circ \delta l$, I. 6. 12, 13 ; 0. 13. 72
-os, acc. plur. N. 3. 24,29
- fem. N. 5. 20; F. 129
\%oos, exclamatory, N. 10. 41
จย่หย่тน...ยี่тน, N. 9. 47
ovtę, 'such,' N. 9. 42
. $\delta_{\phi \in \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon \iota, ~ i m p e r s o n a l, ~ N . ~ 2 . ~} 6$
ó $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu$ òs, 'glory,' O. 2. 10; P. 5. 17
$\pi a ́ \theta a$, N. 7. 21
таעтодa $\frac{1}{}$ s, I. 1. 46 ; F. 73.1
mapa, of extension beside with acc.
N. 5. $10 ;$ I. 7.57
- in compounds, 'indirectly,' 'by the way,' N. 10. 30
$\pi$ араıтє́о $\mu a$, N. 10. 30
$\pi a \rho \alpha ́ ф \eta \mu, ~ ' b e g u i l e, ' ~ N . ~ 5 . ~ 32 ~$
$\pi \alpha p \in \chi \in \iota$, impersonal, I. 7. 69
$\pi \alpha ́ p \phi a \sigma \iota s$, 'detraction,' N. 8. 32
$\pi a ̂ s$, 'in every case,' see a' áras
Пaбcөє́ $\eta$, meaning, N. 5. 10
$\pi \epsilon \delta \dot{a}=\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$, P. 5. 44, 8. 74; F. 101. 5
$\pi \epsilon \delta \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$, 'penitus,' I. 4. 38
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \epsilon \in \theta \lambda \iota o \nu$, I. 1. 26
$\pi \epsilon \pi \iota \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$, I. 3. 90
$\pi \epsilon$ робos, N. 11. 40
Mépoevs, etymol. N. 10. 4
$\pi o \kappa \kappa l \lambda o s$, \&c. applied to music, N . 4. 14
$\pi o t \nu \grave{\alpha}, \pi o l v l \mu o s$, in good sense, N. 1.
70
$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$, N. 5. 31, 8. 8
$\pi 0 \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \nu=\pi \rho \dot{\partial} s \tau \dot{\omega} \nu$, F. 99.5
moûs vad̀s, N. 6. 57
$\pi \rho a ̂$ os, N. 3.6
$\pi \rho a \hat{\xi} \iota s$, 'amours,' F. 104. 2
$\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$, act. for mid. I. 4. 8, 5. 11
- 'deal,' 'wreak,' N. 3. 46
- 'exercise one's functions,' $N$.

1. $26 ;$ F. 108
$\pi \rho l \nu \gamma \epsilon$, N. 4. 28
$\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \rho o \nu, ~ N . ~ 1 . ~ 19 ~ 19 ~$
трока́шиог, N. 4. 11
$\pi \rho о \lambda \in$ бонац, N. 2. 18
$\pi \rho о \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \tau$, I. 7. 17
Пр́ффабıs, F. 213. 2
$\pi \rho u ́ t a \nu c s$, N. 11.1
$\pi \tau v \chi^{\alpha i}$, 'hollows,' N. 2. 21
$\pi \omega s$, use of, N. 10. 60
$\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \beta \delta \delta_{0}, ~ I . ~ 3 . ~ 56$
$\hat{\rho} \alpha \pi \tau \bar{s}$, N. 2.2
$\dot{\rho} \iota \mu \phi$, L 2. 3
$\sigma \grave{\alpha} \nu, \mathrm{F} .57 \AA$
$\sigma \epsilon \theta \in \nu$, adv, of motion from, N. 1. 4
$\sigma \theta \epsilon v o s$, not $=$ copia, I. 3. 2; F. 84.

бка́щцата, N. 5. 20, note
бкотдs, 'warder,' N. 5. 27
бофia, 'augury,' F. 84.4
бофla, $\sigma \circ \phi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{s}, \quad \sigma 0 \phi d s, \quad$ 'poetry,'
'poetic,' N. 4. 2, 7. 23; I. 1. 45,
4. $28,7.47$; F. 1.6

бофเбтウ)s, poet, I. 4. 28
$\sigma \pi \epsilon i \rho \epsilon$, for MSs. Еै $\gamma \in \iota \rho \epsilon$, N. 1. 13
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, N. 1. 40
$\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta \mu a$, N. 6. 7; F. 1. 5
$\sigma \tau \epsilon i \chi \omega$, used of voyage, N. 5. 3
बìv, N. 1. 9, 2. 24 ; I. 3. 1, 4. 35, 5. 12
бúvঠıкоs, О. 9. 78 ; P. 1. 2
$\sigma \phi \in ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ s=\dot{\epsilon} \partial \mathrm{s}$, I. 5. 33
$\sigma \chi \in i ̂ \nu$, 'get,' N. 10. 24
$\sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$, see $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \sigma \chi \in \rho \hat{\psi}$
$\Sigma \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \rho$, I. 5.2
rà, neut. plur. rel. to masc. or fem. antecedent (cf. O. 1. 16), N. 7 . 55
тà òé, 'and again,' N. 9. 42 (?); I. 3. 11
$\tau \dot{\alpha}(\tau \alpha ́ \tau \epsilon) \kappa a i \tau \dot{\alpha}$, I. 3. 51, 4. 52
$\tau \in$, explanatory, N. 8. 46

- 'or,' I. 3. 28

Té ${ }^{\prime}$ os, not periphrastic, I. 3. 85 , note

- äкроv, I. 3. 50
- 'prize,' I. 1. 27

тєि $\mu a$, 'the line from which a throw was made,' N. 7. 71

- not periphrastic, I. 3. 85
$\tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu a \nu \theta \grave{\eta}$, N. 7. 53
тєт $\quad$ aopla, N. 4. 28
т $10 \eta \mu$, factitive, N. 10. 7
$\tau \iota \mu \grave{\alpha}$, 'power,' 'prerogative,' I. 4. 6
tis, for plur. I. 7. 1, note
rıs, absence of, N. 7. 16, 9. 46; I . 1. 41
- with active (instead of passive construction), I. 7.1

тঠे, 'wherefore,' N. 4. 9
$\tau \grave{~ \delta} \delta \grave{\varepsilon}$, 'and again,' F. 116. 3
трє́торає, I. 4. 22
тú $\chi$, 'help,' N. 5. 48

- 'help,' 'guidance,' N. 4. 7, 6. 25
тú $\chi a=$ ev่тvхía, N. 7. 11, 10. 25; I. 7. 67

Túxa, O. 12.2
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$, 'wherefore,' I. 7. 5, 65
$v$ for $a$ or 0, N. 4. 51
ن́ $\gamma \rho \grave{\nu} \nu$, 'elastic,' P. 1. 9 ; N. 8. 41
ข゙ठ $\omega \rho$, O. 1. 1, 3. 42

virè $\rho$, 'above,' N. 7. 65

- after case, N. 7. 42

ย์ $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho a \lambda \lambda o s$, N. 3. 33
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\delta}$, 'from under,' N. 1. 35

- 'by means of,' I. 5. 44
- with dat. 'under the influence of,' N. 7. 17
ข์тб́крьбьv, 'like,' adv. F. 220.1
ن́ $\psi \eta$ خ̀̀s, 'shrill,' F. 102. 3
$\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$, comp. of, used intransitively, N. 6. 4

фvá, 'physique,' N. 1. 25 ; I. 5. 47, 6. 22

фúvıs, 'physique,' N. 6. 5; I. 3. 67
$\chi \chi \lambda \kappa$ ка́p ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, etymol. I. 3. 81
$\chi$ व́p $\nu$, 'by grace of,' N. 1. 6

- 'song,' I. 3. 8, 90, 7. 16; F. 53. 2
$\chi a ́ \rho \mu a$, 'delight, blessing, ' N. 7. 88
- 'victory,' N. 3. 66
$\chi \lambda \omega \rho \delta \mathrm{s}$, N. 8. $40 ;$ F. 99
$\chi \rho u ́ \sigma \epsilon o s$, 'glittering,' N. 1. 17
$\dot{\omega} s=\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon(?)$, N. 6. 3
ஸ́s ชัтє, N. 9.16 ; I. 5.1
$\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, with inf. N. 5. 35


## ENGLISH INDEX.

A or o changed to $v$, N. 4. 51
absolute, $v$. genitive
accusative after '̈ $^{2} \pi о \mu \iota \iota$, N. 10. 37

- and infinitive expressing entreaty, command, N. 9. 6
- double (2nd of gen. agreement), N. 4.55
— of 'extent,' N. 3. 72
- of general agreement with or in apposition to idea of predicate, N. 3. 72, 11. 16; I. 3. 7, 7. 4, 63
- of gen. agreement, qualifying predication, N. 11. 24
- of reference with $\nu \iota \kappa \dot{\alpha} \omega-\eta \mu \nu, \mathrm{N}$. 5. 5, 53
- of reference after pronominal adjective, F. 1.5
- of time, peculiar, I. 3. 85, 5. 46
- plur. in -os, Doric, N. 3. 24, 29
- with $\pi$ a $\alpha$ d, of extension beside, N. 5. 10; I. 7.57
action, synonymous with place of action, N. 1. 1, note
active participle used as gerundive, N. 11. 42
active for middle, I. 4. 8, 7. 45
adjectival use of participle, $v$. participle
adjective, accusative, used adverbially, N. 10.6; I. 3. 31; F. 104
- causative use of, N. 4. 13, 7. 16, 61, 8. 40 ; I. 4. 12, F. 100.5
- compound, for genitive (with adj.), N. 10. 38
- predicative, I. 1. 17, 2.12
adverbial force of adjective, $v$, adjective
Aeginetan statuary, N. 5. 1
Aethiopis of Arktinos, N. 3. 61, 7. 21, 8. 30; I. 3.55

Aias and Hektor, N. 2. 14; 1. 3. 55

Alcmanicum, schema, v. schema Alc. aorist, gnômic or frequentative, N .
3. 42, 5. 10, 6. 7, 26, 7. 12, 72, 11. 14; I. 4. 2; F. 99. 13

- gnômic in hypothetical construction, N. 7. 12
- gnômic, participle of, N. 1. 62,

7. 3 ( $\delta$ ракє́ $\varphi \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ), 9.54

- reduplicated form of, I. 5. 53
- ref. to immediate past, 'idiomatic,' N. 1. 18, 19, 7. 76; I. 1. 39, 4. 21, 5. 53; F. 53.11
Aphaea, $v$. Artemis
Aphroditê Urania, F. 99
Arethusa, N. 1. 2
Artemis Aphaea, F. 66. 2
- Potamia, N. 1. 1-3
article, def. with indef. pron. N. 1. 64
- omitted, N. 10. 26
assimilation, N. 9. 15
asyndeton, N. 4. 82, 6.1, 7.19
Boeotian shield, I. 1. 1
bronze prizes, N. 10. 45
causal, causative middle, N. 6. 26, 9. 43
causative use of adjective, $v$. adjective
change of case of participle to accusative, $v$. accusative
change of subject, N. 10. 13, 90
chiasmus, I. 2. 41
colour, words of, in general sense of brightness, N. 1. 17, 5. 7, 11. 28; I. 2. 26, 7. 5; F. 99.3
compendious construction, N. 9. 41; I. 5. 47
confusion of thing and personification, N. 8. 18
copulative for disjunctive, I. 3. 28, 5. 15
crowns at Isthmos, I. 2. 15
dactyl, with resolved thesis (two short syllables instead of the long), I. 3. 63, 5. 25
Daedalos i.q. Hephaestos (?), N. 4. 59
dative after subst. N. 9. 12; I. 2. 13, 3. 16
- for locative, N. 10. 35 ; F. 109. 2
- instrumental, oủ $\psi \in \dot{v} \delta \iota$, N. 1. 18
- of accompanying action, N . 10. 75
- of cause, I. 5. 70, 6. 15
- of closer specification, I. 1. 62
- of purpose, I. 7. 27
- of reference, I. 1. 42
- possessive, N. 7. 22, 10. 29
- of manner, I. 3. 29
dativus commodi, N. 1. 24, 46, 58, 2. 24, 3. 62, 7. 40, 11. 7; I. 1. 32, 3. 75, 4. 21, 5. 12, 6. 21, 7. 10, 57, 66
dativus termini, N. 1. 50, 4. 15, 35, 7. 7; I. 5. 41
deliberative future (supposed), N . 9. 1

Dêlos, names of, F. 64. 4
Delphic knife, N. 7.42
dialectic form, oceasional strong Doric, F. 99. 5, v. accusative
Dioskuroi, N. 10. 53
Doric accusative plur. v. accusative
Doric pres. inf. P. 4. 115
double genitive, $v$. genitive
dreams, F. 108. 4
ellipse of eโvau, N. 5. 9, 10
enthroned statues, N. 10. 1
epithet, double, N. 4. 18
epitrite, with two short for one long syllable in first foot, I. 4 passim, 5. 32, 41, 51, 56; P. 9.25 - in second foot, I. 2. 16, 32, 48 exclamation, N. 10. 41 ; I. 1.24 (?), 5. 62
explanatory infinitive clause, N. 2. 9, 10
extension of predicate, N. 1. 14, 3. 18, 7. 32, 57, 11. 5; I. 1. 34; F. 100. 2, 110. 1
feminine in -as -avzos, N. 9. 16; I. 5. 73

- in -os, N. 5. 20 ; F. 129
- of adj. in -os -ov, N. 3. 2, 5. 9, 7. 83
future act. with middle form, N. 9. 43
- apodosis to imperative, N. 4. 37
- middle of vb. of sound, I. 1. 34
- referring to time of recitation, N. 9. 1
gender, peculiar, N. 5. 20, 41, 9. 44; I. 5. 73, 7. 20; F. 129
general apodosis to particular protasis, N. 4. 79, 84; I. 1. 41, 45
genitive absolute of participle without noun, I. 3. 5, 49; F. 106.7
- after adj. $\pi \delta \mu \pi \iota \mu о \nu \nu \delta \sigma \tau o v, \mathrm{~N}$.

3. 25

- after pass. of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \omega$, N. 9. 2
- definitive, N. 1. 8
- descriptive, N. 10. 46
- of origin 'in the contest of,'
I. 3. $89,4.58,6.10$
- of material, I. 1. 20
- (of noun) for adjective, N. 8. 3;
I. $4.19,6.22,7.5$
- of theme of speech, N. 7. 50
- partitive, I. 3.51
- 'sacred to-,' N. 10. 67
- with dexal $\beta \epsilon \epsilon \beta \lambda \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ (? кат $\sigma^{u} \nu \in \sigma(\nu)$, N. 1. 8
- with रo入бомає, N. 7.25
gnômic aorist, $v$. aorist
goldsmith's work, N. 7. 78
Graces connected with victory, N . 5. $53,9.54,10.38$
hendecasyllables, Pindaric, F. 93, 94
hendiadys, N. 1. 7, 3. 8, 4.9
hiatus, N. 3. 34 ; I. 1. 9, 16, 32, 7. 56
historic present, N. 3. 18
hypallage, N. 1. 15, 34, 3. 38; I. 7. 38
iambelegus, F .11 в
imperfect for aorist, of $\nu \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \omega, \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, N. 5. 5; I. 3. 13
- of intended action, N. 1. 50
infinitive after $\delta \ell \delta \omega \mu$, N. 10. 26
- after verb of motion, N. 6. 47;
I. 2. $16,7.63$; F. 7. 5, 53. 10
-- for imperative, N. 3. 29, 6. 7,
9.6 ; I. 3.56
- of possible result, I, 4. 45, 48
- of reference after adj. N. 3.30,

7. $27,76,10.19,20,72,11.18$, 33 ; I. 2. 37, 6. 44

- of result, N. 3. 31, 32, 6. 7, 10. 79 ; I. 3. 10,61
- redundant, expletory, N. 6.8
iota, suppressed, N. 11. 40 ; I. 1. 63
Kynaethos, N. 2. 1
late position of subject, $v$. subject
locative, N. 10. 28; I. 3. 2, v. dative
meiosis, N. 3. 15, 4. 21, 10. 6; I. 2. 20, 3. 13
metaphor, mixed, N. 3. 79, 6. 29
milky way, $\Delta$ cos ídòv, F. 73
moral qualities ascribed to beasts, N. 1. 63

Muses' song, N. 5. 22
myrtle, sacred to the dead, I. 3. 87, 7. 67
negative, applied emphatically to one word, N. 1. 18, 8. 37
neuter acc. adj. after verb of 'looking,' 'seeing,' N. 4. 39, 7. 66 - - (predicative) with fem. subs. I. 6. 22

- plur. noun with plur. verb, I.

4. 12, 7. 47 ; F. 53. 14
nominative for vocative, N. 3, 76
object suppressed, N. 4. 11, 36, 7. 23
olive crowns at the Panathênaea, N. 10. 34
optative with ke in relative clause with pres. indic. in apodosis, N . 4.8

- without $\partial \nu, v, d \nu$ omitted
order, connexion of consecutive
beginnings or ends of two verses, N. 3. $68,4.1,6.37$; I. 3. 70, 4. $9,19,43,5.28,39,6.46,7.28,49$ order, connexion of beginning or ends of two lines though a line intervene (v. I. 3. 36), I. 6. 12
- enclitic between preposition and case, N. 7. 42, 8. 18
- irregtular (v. I. 3. 36), N. 1. 24, 10. 72 ; I. 7. 70 ; F. $100.8,9$
-preposition between two coupled cases, N. 7. 31, 9. 14, 22, 10. 38,53 ; I. 1.29
- proper name, peculiar position of, I. 4. 49, 5. 18
Ortygia, N. 1. 2
Panathênaic vases, N. 10. 36
participle, active, used as gerundive, N. 11.42
- adjectival use of, N. 4. 29,

7. $65,10.18$; I. 1. $31,3.5,37$

- as in Thuk. 1. 20, § 2 (Shilleto),
N. 8. 38; I. 5. 14, 6. 40
- coupled with finite verb, N. 11.

45 ; I. 1. 14

- case changed to accusative, $v$. accusative
- genitive absolute without noun,
$v$. genitive abs.
- of gnômic aorist, v. aorist
- sign, cause, N. 3. 16
- with oú фрáseral, I. 1. 68 (ef. i $\sigma \theta c$ )
pentathlon, Introductory essay, N. 7, Introd. ('spear-throwing' should be placed before discushurling)
perfect denoting permanent effect or continuance of past action, $N$.

3. 84,8 . 25 , 9.41 ; I. 3.3
personal constr. with part. for impers. with acc. and inf. N. 6. 2, 9. 6 ; I. 7. 12
personal pron. omitted, $v . \epsilon^{l} \eta \eta$
Phlegra, N. 4. 27; I. 5. 33
Pindar's (apparent) Medism, F. 86
Pindaric heudecasyllables, F. 93, 94
Pindaricus versus, F. 11 A
place of action synonymous with action, N. 1. 1, note
pleonasm, N. 3. 34
plural adj. 'it is,' N. 4. 71, 8. 4

- in allusion to one person, $N$. 1. 58 ; I. 4.43 ; F. 53.10
- verb with neuter plural, v. neuter
possessive dative, $v$. dative
predicate, extension of, $v$. extension, prolepsis
predicative adjective, emphatic, $N$. 10.32 ; I. 1. 17, 2. 12
present, historic, $v$. historic
- subjunctive after $\delta$ otav of past time in orat. obliqua, N. 1. 68
prolepsis, N. 1. 43 ; I. 6.29
relative, neuter plural, with definite antecedent, N. 9. 9; F. 176
schema Alcmanicum, N. 10. 48
- Pindaricum, F. 53. 15-18, 224
seasons, N. 5.6
showers of gold, F. 96. 4
sibilants, consecutive avoided, I. 3. 17, note
- in Greek, F. 57 A
simile, $v$. metaphor
sing. for plur. ${ }_{a} \lambda \lambda \operatorname{los}, \mathrm{~N} .4 .39, v . \tau \iota s$
subject, late position of, I. 5. 30, $35,40,7.16$
suppression of eival, N. 5. 9, 10
-     - $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, with verb of wishing, choice, N. 10. 58
-     - ó (oi) $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu, v . \delta \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$
-     - $\tau i v \tau \varepsilon$ in explaining $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \circ i \hat{\nu}$, I. 4. 19
-     - pron. with el $\eta, v$, ei' $\eta$
three libations, I. 5. 2
tmesis, N. 3. 24, 67, 7. 68, 9. 8, 33; 10. $71,11.30$; I. 2. $29,6.30,7$. 14,58 ; F. $65.5,99.8$
transition indicated by Éyú, v. '̇ $\gamma a^{\prime}$
transposition in MSs. N. 3. 17 (Triclin. $\sigma \tau о \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \tau \omega \delta$. ); I. 3. 82
virtues, division of, N. 3. 72
wrestling terms, N. 4. 93
zeta, F. 57 A, note zeugma, N. 8. 3, 10. 25 ; I. 5. 47

15381

Date Due


$$
15381
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PA4274 } \\
& \text { - N5F3 } \\
& 1899
\end{aligned}
$$

ST. OLAF COLLEGE
PA4274.N5 F3 1899


30111002391539


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pointed out by Mr Ridgeway.

[^1]:    * Caesura except 'A $\pi \epsilon i \rho \mid \varphi v .51$.

[^2]:    * Caesura.

[^3]:    * Incisio.
    + Caesura after the first syllable except $v .39$. $\ddagger$ Caesura after the fifth syllable.

[^4]:    * Caesura after seventh syllable.

[^5]:    * Incisio after first foot.
    + Incisio four times, caesura after the next syllable in the other verses.
    $\ddagger$ Incisio.

[^6]:    39 Stob．Ecl．Phys．2．1． 8.
    391 （2nteal Cf．N．7． 20.
    393 Cf．I．4．16，Eur．Bacch．

    1002．द́pยuváбє Böckh，Stob． є́pєvขá⿱亠巾u．

